

LIMIT RARING PROCESSES WITH APPLICATION

VITALII A. GASANENKO

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with study of the sufficient condition of approximation raring process with mixing by renewal process. We consider use the proved results to practice problem too

If we have a strictly increasing almost sure sequence of positive random values $\{\tau_i, i \geq 0\}$, $\tau_0 = 0$, $\tau_{i+1} > \tau_i$, $i \geq 0$ then we can define random flow of point-event on the time axes. The moment appearance i -th event coincides with time τ_i . Any subflow this flow is named raring flow. Thus i -th event in raring flow has number $\beta(i)$ in initial flow (it is clear $\beta(i) \geq i$). We wish to investigate the sequence $\{\beta(i), i \geq 0\}$. We make more precise the limit theorem from [1] and consider new application too.

1. Limit theorem

Let us consider the sequence of discrete random values

$$\xi(t), \quad t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad \xi(t) \in \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

We are going to investigate distribution the following sequence

$$\beta(1) = \xi(0), \quad \beta(m+1) = \beta(m) + \xi(\beta(m)), \quad m \geq 1,$$

For this purpose, we introduce the following objects

$$v(t) = \max\{m \geq 1 : \beta(m) \leq t\},$$

$$\alpha(k) = \sup_{x \geq 0} \sup_{A \in F_{\leq x}, B \in F_{\geq x+k}} |P(AB) - P(A)P(B)|,$$

$$F_{\leq x} := \sigma(\xi(s), s \leq x), \quad F_{\geq x} := \sigma(\xi(s), s \geq x).$$

⁰This research was supported (in part) by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, project No 01.07/103

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60 J 60.

Key words and phrases. raring processes, mixing coefficient, generating function, renewal processes.

Statement. *The following inequality holds for any $x > 0$*

$$P(\beta(m) < x) \leq \max_{t \leq x} P(\xi(t) < \frac{x}{m})([x] + 1).$$

Proof. We have by definition of $\beta(m)$

$$\{\beta(m) < x\} \subseteq \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{[x]+1} \left\{ \xi(i) < \frac{x}{m} \right\} \right\},$$

from latter one proof must.

Now we will proof the limit theorem for random values $\beta(m)$ in case when process $\xi(t)$ depends on parameter n . The dependence on n means, in this case, that sequence processes $\xi_n(t)$ must convergence to infinity (in some sense) at fixed t under $n \rightarrow \infty$. Such situation occurs in practice problem very often.

The parameter n is index for all values are defined by $\xi_n(t)$.

For example, the values $v(t)$ transform to $v_n(t)$.

Let $\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}$ denotes weak convergence of random values or distribution functions. Let $N(t)$ is equal to number of renewals on the interval $[0, T]$ of renewal process $\{\eta_i\}_{i \geq 1}$. This process has the following property

$$P(\eta_1 \leq x) = R_1(x), \quad P(\eta_i \leq x) = R_2(x), i \geq 2.$$

Here $R_1(\cdot), R_2(\cdot)$ are a distribution function.

Theorem 1. *If sequence of numbers $c_n \rightarrow \infty$ under $n \rightarrow \infty$ exist such that the following conditions hold :*

- 1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\xi_n(0)c_n^{-1} \leq x) = R_1(x);$
 - 2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a \leq \delta \leq t} |P(\xi_n([c_n \delta])c_n^{-1} \leq y) - R_2(y)| = 0;$
- δ - any positive number, $t < \infty$,
 functions $R_i(y)$ are continuos functions for $y > 0$;
- 3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(c_n)c_n = 0.$
- then

$$v_n(c_n t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} N(t),$$

for every fixed t .

Proof.

We denote by $\beta_k(m)$, $m \geq 1$ the sequence which is defined by the sequence $\beta(m)$ under condition $\xi(0) = k$.

That is $P(\beta_k(m) = s) = P(\beta(m) = s/\xi(0) = k)$.

Futher $v(k, t) = \max\{m \geq 1 : \beta_k(m) < t\}$.

We define the following sequence of random values $\nu_k(m)$:

$$\nu_k(0) \equiv 0, \quad \nu_k(1) = \xi(k), \quad \nu_k(m+1) = \nu_k(m) + \xi(\nu_k(m)), \quad m \geq 1.$$

Futher let $V_k(t) = \max\{m \geq 1 : \nu_k(m) \leq t\}$.

Now we introduce the sequence of random integer numbers $\beta_{l,k}(m)$, $m \geq 1$, which have the following distribution function

$$\begin{aligned} P(\beta_{l,k}(m) = s) &= P(\beta(m) = s/\xi(0) = l, \xi(l) = k) = \\ &= P(v_{l+k}(m) = s - l - k/\xi(0) = l, \xi(l) = k). \end{aligned}$$

We will denote by $v_{l,k}(t) = \max\{m \geq 2 : \beta_{l,k}(m) \leq t\}$.

By the definition of $v(t)$ and $v(l, t)$ we have stochastic equalities (right and left parts have the same distribution function)

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &\doteq \sum_{l=1}^{[t]} I(\xi(0) = l)(v(l, t) + 1), \\ v(l, t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{[t-l]} I(\xi(l) = k/\xi(0) = l)(v_{l,k}(t) + 1) \end{aligned}$$

here the function $I(\cdot)$ is indicator function of sets.

Applying indicator identity

$$s^{I(\cdot)x} = 1 + I(\cdot)(s^x - 1),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} Ms^{v(t)} &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{[t]} MI(\xi(0) = l)(s^{v(l,t)+1} - 1), \\ Ms^{v(l,t)} &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{t-l} MI(\xi(l) = k/\xi(0) = l)(s^{v_{l,k}(t)+1} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

here $s \in (0, 1)$.

If the $\xi(t)$ depends on parameter n , then latter equalities have the following forms.

Put

$$Ms^{v_n(c_n t)} = g_n(c_n t, s), \quad Ms^{V_{n,k}(c_n t, s)} = f_{n,k}(c_n t, s).$$

Futher

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(c_n t, s) &= 1 - P(\xi_n(0) \leq t) + s \sum_{l=1}^{[c_n t]} MI(\xi_n(0) = l) s^{v_n(l, c_n t)}, \\ Ms^{v_n(l, c_n t)} &= 1 - P(\xi_n(l) \leq c_n t/\xi_n(0) = l) + \\ &+ s \sum_{k=1}^{c_n t - l} MI(\xi_n(l) = k/\xi_n(0) = l) s^{v_{n,l,k}(c_n t)} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

We will devide the sums in the right parts equalities (1) into two sums:

$$\sum_1^{[c_n \delta]} + \sum_{[c_n \delta] + 1}^{[c_n t]}. \quad (2)$$

The first sum we can make less than given number. This follows from the conditions 1,2 and continous of functions $R_i(\cdot)$ in zero.

The second sum consists of the expectations of two random factors. These factors are bounded one and mesured with respect to σ -algebrs $F_{\leq x}, F_{\geq x+c_n\delta}$ respectively The latter one enables us to change every summand of second part of (2) by factor of expectations given random values with error less than $2\alpha_n(c_n\delta)$ (look for example (20.29)[2]):

We have the following estimates under $l \geq c_n\delta$,

$$|MI(\xi_n(0) = l)s^{v_n(l, c_n t)} - MI(\xi_n(0) = l)Ms^{v_n(l, c_n t)}| \leq 2\alpha_n(c_n\delta),$$

$$Ms^{v_n(l, t)} = \sum_{d=0}^{c_n t - l} s^d (P(\nu_{n,l}(d) \leq c_n t - l, \nu_{n,l}(d+1) > c_n t - l / \xi_n(0) = l) \pm$$

$$\pm P(\nu_{n,l}(d) \leq c_n t - l, \nu_{n,l}(d+1) > c_n t - l)) = Ms^{V_{n,l}(c_n - l)} + \pi_n.$$

here $|\pi_n| \leq K\alpha_n(c_n\delta)$, $K < \infty$.

$$|P(\xi_n(l) \leq c_n t / \xi_n(0) = l) - P(\xi_n(l) \leq c_n t)| \leq \alpha_n(c_n\delta).$$

Futher we have estimates in case when $k \geq c_n\delta$

$$\left| MI(\xi_n(l) = k / \xi_n(0) = l) s^{v_{n,l,k}(c_n t)} - \right. \\ \left. - MI(\xi_n(l) = k / \xi_n(0) = l) Ms^{v_{n,l,k}(c_n t)} \right| \leq 2\alpha_n(c_n\delta);$$

$$\left| Ms^{v_{n,l,k}(c_n t)} - Ms^{V_{n,l+k}(c_n t - l - k)} \right| \leq K_1\alpha_n(c_n\delta), \quad K_1 < \infty.$$

Now we can rewrite (1) in the following form

$$g_n(c_n t, s) = 1 - P(\xi_n(0) \leq c_n t) + a_{n,1}(\delta) + b_{n,1} + s \sum_{l=[c_n\delta]+1}^{[c_n t]} P(\xi_n(0) = l) f_{n,l}(c_n t - l),$$

$$f_{n,l}(c_n t - l) = 1 - P(\xi_n(l) \leq c_n t) + a_{n,2}(\delta) + b_{n,2} +$$

$$+ s \sum_{k=[c_n\delta]+1}^{[c_n t]} P(\xi_n(l) = k) f_{n,l+k}(c_n t - l - k), \quad l \geq [c_n\delta], \text{ eqno(3.35)}$$

here

$$|b_{n,i}| \leq k_i \alpha_n(c_n\delta)[c_n t], \quad k_i < \infty; \quad a_{n,1}(\delta) \leq P(0 < \xi_n(0) \leq c_n\delta),$$

$$a_{n,2}(\delta) \leq \sup_{q \geq [c_n\delta]} P(0 < \xi_n(q) \leq c_n\delta).$$

Futher we introduce a sequence of independence random values with the same distribution function $\{\eta_k(n, \delta)\}_{k \geq 1}$ under fixed δ . The distribution function is difined the following equality

$$P(\eta_1(n, \delta) \leq x) = P(\xi_n(c_n \delta) \leq x).$$

We will denote

$$S_m(n, \delta) = \sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k(n, \delta), \quad D_{n, \delta}(t) = \sup_{m \geq 1} \{m : S_m(n, \delta) \leq t\}.$$

$$Ms^{D_{n, \delta}(t)} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} s^d P(D_{n, \delta}(t) = d) =: F_{n, \delta}(t, s).$$

We will estimate of difference of $f_{n, l}(c_n t - l, s)$, $l \geq c_n \delta$ and $F_{n, \delta}(c_n t - l, s)$. The definition leads to

$$f_{n, l}(c_n t - l, s) = \sum_{d=0}^{[c_n t - l]} s^d P(\nu_{n, l}(d) \leq c_n t - l, \nu_{n, l}(d+1) > c_n t - l).$$

Futher we get for $d = 0$ by assumption 2

$$\begin{aligned} P(\xi_n(l) > c_n t - l) \pm P(\xi_n(c_n \delta) > c_n t - l) &= \\ &= \theta_n + P(\xi_n(c_n \delta) > c_n \delta - l). \end{aligned}$$

Late on the designation θ_n means that we have some sequence of number sush that it convergence to zero under $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the following condition holds

$$|\theta_n| \leq 2 \sup_{y \leq t} \sup_{\delta \leq \Delta \leq t} |P(\xi_n([c_n \Delta])c_n^{-1} < y) - R_2(y)|$$

We have for $d = 1$: $P(\nu_{n, l}(1) \leq c_n t - l, \nu_{n, l}(2) > c_n t - l) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=1}^{[c_n t - l]} P(\xi_n(l) = k, \xi_n(k+l) > c_n t - l - k) = \\ &= a_{n, \delta} + r_{n, 1, \delta} + \sum_{k=[c_n \delta]}^{[c_n t - l]} P(\xi_n(l) = k) P(\xi_n(k+l) > c_n t - l - k) = \\ &= a_{n, \delta} + r_{n, 1, \delta} + \theta_n + \sum_{k=[c_n \delta]}^{[c_n t - l]} (P(\xi_n(l) = k) P(\eta_2(n, \delta) > c_n t - l - k) = \\ &= a_{n, \delta} + r_{n, 1, \delta} + \theta_n + P(D_{n, \delta}(c_n t - l) = 1) - \\ &- \sum_{k=[c_n \delta]}^{[c_n t - l]} \sum_{s=[c_n \delta]}^k (P(\xi_n(l) = s) - P(\eta_1(n, \delta) = s)) P(\eta_2(n, \delta) = c_n t - l - k) = \\ &= P(D_{n, \delta}(c_n t - l) = 1) + \pi_{n, 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here

$$|\pi_{n,1}| \leq 2(a_{n,\delta} + \alpha_n(c_n\delta) + \theta_n), \quad a_{n,\delta} = \max_i(a_{n,i}(\delta)), \quad |r_{1,n}| \leq 2\alpha_n(c_n\delta).$$

We used Abel transformation for sum of pair factor of (3). Similar considerations apply to case $d = 2$. Thus applying (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\nu_{n,l}(2) \leq c_nt - l, \nu_{n,l}(3) > c_nt - l) = a_{n,\delta} + r_{n,2} + \\ & + \sum_{k=[c_n\delta]}^{[c_nt-l]} P(\xi_n(l) = k)P(\nu_{n,l+k}(1) \leq c_nt - l - k, \nu_{n,l+k}(2) > c_nt - l - k) = \\ & = P(D_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l) = 2) - \sum_{k=[c_n\delta]}^{[c_nt-l]} \sum_{s=[c_n\delta]}^k (P(\xi_n(l) = s) - P(\eta_1(n, \delta) = s)) \times \\ & \times (P(D_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l - k - 1) = 1) - P(D_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l - k) = 1)) + a_{n,\delta} + r_{n,2} + \pi_{n,1} = \\ & = P(D_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l) = 2) + \pi_{n,2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the latter one we used Abel transform and following equality which checks easy.

$$\begin{aligned} & P(D_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l - k - 1) = 1) - P(D_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l - k) = 1) = \\ & = P(S_2(n, \delta) = [c_nt] - k - l) - P(\eta_1(n, \delta) = [c_nt] - k - l) \end{aligned}$$

The implicit introduced sequences have obvious sense and the following estimates take place $|r_{n,2}| \leq 2\alpha_n(c_n\delta)$, $|\pi_{n,2}| \leq 4(a_{n,\delta} + \alpha_n(c_n\delta) + \theta_n)$.

It is no difficult to show with help induction that we have for $d = p$ the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\nu_{n,l}(p) \leq c_nt - l, \nu_{n,l}(p+1) > c_nt - l) = \\ & = P(D_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l) = p) + \pi_{n,p}; \quad |\pi_{n,p}| \leq 2p(a_{n,\delta} + \alpha_n(c_n\delta) + \theta_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtained for fixed $s \in (0, 1)$ representations

$$f_{n,l}(c_nt - l, s) = F_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l, s) + L_n, \quad |L_n| \leq L(a_{n,\delta} + \alpha_n(c_n\delta) + o_n(1)), \quad L < \infty.$$

$$g_n(c_nt, s) = 1 - P(\xi_n(0) \leq c_nt) + L_{n,\delta} + s \sum_{l=[c_n\delta]+1}^{[c_nt]} P(\xi_n(0) = l)F_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l, s),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l, s) = 1 - P(\xi_n(c_n\delta) \leq c_nt) + Z_{n,\delta} + \\ & + s \sum_{k=[c_n\delta]+1}^{[c_nt-l]} P(\xi_n(c_n\delta) = k)F_{n,\delta}(c_nt - l - k), \quad l \geq [c_n\delta], \end{aligned}$$

The constructions of $L_{n,\delta}$ and $Z_{n,\delta}$ now leads to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n,\delta} = l_1 L_\delta; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_{n,\delta} = l_2 Z_\delta; \quad \max(l_1, l_2) < \infty.$$

here $|L_\delta| \leq 2(R_1(\delta) - R_1(0))$, $|Z_{n,\delta}| \leq 2(R_2(\delta) - R_2(0))$.

Combining construction of $F_{n,\delta}(t, s)$ and condition 2 we conclude that the following limit exists

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n,\delta}(c_n t, s) = F(t, s),$$

This limit is solution the following equation

$$F(t, s) = 1 - R_2(t) + sR_2(\cdot) * F(t, s). \quad (4)$$

The sequence of generating function $g_n(c_n t, s)$ has limit too

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(c_n t, s) = g(t, s),$$

This limit is solution of the following equation

$$g(t, s) = 1 - R_1(t) + sR_2(\cdot) * F(t, s).$$

The latter one and (4) lead to proof of theorem.

Remark 1. We consider a extension of theorem 1. It consists in definition more weakly the mixing coefficient than $\alpha(c_n)$.

Suppose that sequence $c_n, n \geq 1$ from theorem1 is defined. Now we take any sequence $r_n, n \geq 1$ which satisfy the folowing condition $r_n \rightarrow \infty, r_n = o(c_n)$ under $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Futher we construct trancated process:

$$\bar{\xi}_n(t) = \begin{cases} \xi_n(t), & \xi_n(t) \leq c_n - r_n, \\ c_n - r_n, & \xi_n(t) > c_n - r_n. \end{cases}$$

and construct σ - algebra $F_{\leq x}^{r_n} = \sigma(\bar{\xi}_n(t), t \leq x)$ too.

Now we define new mixing coefficient

$$\alpha_{\xi_n, r_n} = \sup_{x \geq 0} \sup_{A \in F_{\leq x}^{r_n}, B \in F_{\geq x+c_n}} |P(AB) - P(A)P(B)|.$$

Thus this coefficient is constructed only on those events from $F_{\leq x}$ on which the process $\xi_n(t)$ under $t \leq x$ less than value $c_n - r_n$. Such coefficient is useful in those cases when time dependence is controled by values of process $\xi_n(t)$. For example, the event $\{\xi_n(x) = k\}$ determine the behavior of process no far interval $[0, x + k]$.

Now we devide second sum of (2) in this way:

$$\sum_{[c_n \delta] + 1}^{[c_n t]} = \sum_{[c_n \delta] + 1}^{[(c_n - r_n)t]} + \sum_{[(c_n - r_n)t] + 1}^{[c_n t]}. \quad (5)$$

We can do second sum from (5) less any given value due to continuity of $R_i(\cdot)$.

Futher we apply the transformation from theorem 1 to first sum with use coefficient $\alpha_{\xi_n, r_n}(c_n)$.

Thus we can replace the condition 3 of theorem 1 the following condition 3' it exists such sequence $r_n : r_n \rightarrow \infty, r_n = o(c_n)$ that

$$c_n \alpha_{\xi_n, r_n}(c_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Remark 2. If the process $\{\tau_i, i \geq 0\}$ be such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} i^{-1} \tau_i = \mu^{-1} < \infty, \quad \mu = \text{const}$$

then we get convergence under conditions theorem 1

$$P(\tau_{\beta_n(i)} \leq xc_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} R_1 * R_2^{*(i-1)}(x\mu).$$

It follows from known theorem of transfer (look, for example [3])

2. Interaction of two renewal processes.

The model of raring process which is considered below is result interaction two renewal process. This model was offered in [4] as the mathematical model of practice problem.

Let us denote by Z and H two renewal processes : $Z = \{\zeta_i, i \geq 1\}$, $H = \{\eta_i, i \geq 1\}$. We define stochastic characteristics of H, Z

$$\tau_i = \sum_{l=1}^i \eta_l, \quad \vartheta_i = \sum_{l=1}^i \zeta_l, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$N_1(t) = \sup\{n : \tau_n < t\}, \quad N_2(t) = \sup\{n : \vartheta_n < t\},$$

$$\gamma_1^+(t) = \tau_{N_1(t)+1} - t, \quad \gamma_2^+(t) = \vartheta_{N_2(t)+1} - t, \quad t > 0.$$

The points $\tau_i, \vartheta_i, i \geq 1$ are named renewal points processes H and Z respectively.

If we have a renewal points of process Z in interval $(\tau_{n-1}, \tau_n]$ then we will say that the renewal point τ_n is marked by process Z . The process H marks a points of renewal of process Z analogy.

Let us denote by $T_0'' = 0, T_1'', \dots$ renewal point of H marked by Z and T_1', T_2', \dots renewal points of Z marked by H . It is clear that the following equalities $T_0'' = 0 < T_1' \leq T_1'' \leq T_2' \leq \dots$ take place. It is shown in [4] that sequence random values

$$V_n = T_n' - T_{n-1}'', \quad U_n = T_n'' - T_n', \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

be Markov chain. This chain is defined by transition probabilities

$$P(V_1 < x) = P(\zeta_1 < x),$$

$$P(U_n < x | V_n = y), \quad P(V_{n+1} < x | U_n = y), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is easy to see that for investigation V_n, U_n it is necessary to observe two raring processes simultaneously:

$$T'' = \{T_0'' = 0, T_1'', T_2'' - T_1'' \dots\}, \quad T' = \{T_1', T_2' - T_1', \dots\}$$

We will investigate these raring processes separately. We will use that the processes T'' , T' are raring processes respect to processes H , Z respectively.

We take, for example, T'' . It is define T'' as subflow of H by the following indicators

$$\chi(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i\text{-th renewal point } H \text{ belong to } T'', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\xi(l) = \min\{j \geq 1 : \chi(l+j) = 1\}, \quad l \geq 0.$$

Thus $\beta(i) = \beta(i-1) + \xi(\beta(i-1))$, $i \geq 1$ be number i -th event from H which belongs to T'' . The moment $\tau_{\beta(i)}$ is moment of appearance this event.

We shall suppose that processes H and Z depend on a parameter n , $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $H_n = \{\eta_{n,i}, i \geq 1\}$ $Z_n = \{\zeta_{n,i}, i \geq 1\}$. Now the characteristics these processes have forms:

$$\tau_{n,i}, \quad \vartheta_{n,i}, \quad i \geq 1, \quad \gamma_{n,k}^+(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Theorem 2. *If the following conditions:*

1) *there are a positive numbers $c_n \rightarrow \infty$ and distribution function $G(x)$, $x \geq 0$ guaranteeing the following limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} |P(\gamma_{n,2}^+(t) < \tau_{n,[nx]}) - G(x)| = 0,$$

here x is point of continuous of $G(x)$;

2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^{-1} \tau_{n,c_n} = \mu$ $\mu = \text{const.}$
hold then

$$P(\tau_{\beta_n(k)} < xc_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} G^{*k}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right).$$

Proof. We will check all conditions of theorem 1 for process $\xi_n(l)$. We calculate probability $P(\xi(l) = m)$.

$$P(\xi(l) = 1) = P(\gamma_2^+(\tau) < \eta_{l+1}).$$

$$P(\xi(l) = 2) = P(\gamma_2^+(\tau) \geq \eta_{l+1}, \gamma_2^+ < \eta_{l+2}) =$$

$$= P(\gamma_2^+(\tau) \geq \eta_{l+1}, \gamma_2^+(\tau) - \eta_{l+1} < \eta_{l+2}) =$$

$$= P(\gamma_2^+(\tau) < \eta_{l+1} + \eta_{l+2}) - P(\gamma_2^+(\tau) < \eta_{l+1}).$$

...

$$P(\xi(l) = k) = P(\gamma_2^+(\tau) < \eta_{l+1} + \dots + \eta_{l+k}) - P(\gamma_2^+(\tau) < \eta_{l+1} + \dots + \eta_{l+k-1}).$$

Thus

$$P(\xi(l) \leq m) = \sum_{k=1}^m P(\xi(l) = k) = P(\gamma_2^+(\tau_l) < \eta_{l+m} + \dots + \eta_{l+1}). \quad (6)$$

The latter one and condition 1 lead to the following convergence

$$\begin{aligned} P(\xi_n(l) < c_n x) &= \int_0^\infty P(\gamma_2^+(t) < \tau_{n, [c_n x]}) P(\tau_{n, l} \in dt) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \\ &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} G(x), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

here $x > 0$ is point of continuous of $G(x)$.

We have the following equality when it is considered that (6) holds

$$P(\xi(l) \leq m, \xi(l+r) \leq s) - P(\xi(l) \leq m)P(\xi(l+r) \leq s) = 0, \text{ if } m < r.$$

Now we have for any sequences of numbers r_n such that $r_n \rightarrow \infty$, $r_n < c_n$, $n \geq 0$

$$\alpha_{\xi_n, r_n}(c_n) = 0, \quad n \geq 0$$

Thus all conditions of theorem 1 hold respect to process $\xi_n(l)$. Now the statement of theorem 2 becomes apparent if it is remembered the theorem of transfer.

Now we consider example of definition of sequence c_n and bound function $G(x)$.

We shall suppose that process Z is Poisson process with parameter λ_n such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ under $n \rightarrow \infty$. The process H don't depends on parameter n . It has the following expactation of renewall interval $\mu = M\eta_1 < \infty$.

All these suppositions led to formula

$$P(\gamma_{n,2}^+(\tau_l) < \eta_{l+1} + \dots + \eta_{l+m}) = \int_0^\infty \lambda_n e^{-\lambda_n y} P(\tau_m > y) dy =: G_n(m).$$

If we put $m = [c_n x]$ and make change of variables $\lambda_n y = z$ then we get

$$G_n([c_n x]) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z} P(\lambda_n \tau_{[c_n x]} > z) dz.$$

Put $c_n := \lambda_n^{-1}$. The indicator of set A will be denoted by $I(A)$. The following convergences are based on law of large numbers.

$$\begin{aligned} G_n([c_n x]) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-z} P\left(x \frac{\tau_{[\lambda_n^{-1} x]}}{x \lambda_n^{-1}} > z\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \\ &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \int_0^\infty e^{-z} I(x\mu > z) dz = 1 - e^{-\mu x}, \quad x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_n}{n} = \mu.$$

Thus all conditions of theorem 2 was cheked. The function $G(x)$ (from condition 1 of theorem 2) be bound for the function $G_n([x\lambda_n^{-1}])$. In this example the moment of appereance k -th event in flow T_n'' has the following function distribution

$$P(\tau_{\beta_n(k)} < x\lambda_n^{-1}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (1 - \exp(\cdot))^{*k}(x), \quad x \geq 0.$$

It is clear that similar example we may consider for process T' . In this case the process H must be Poisson with "rare" events and the process Z must be a simple renewall process with bounded expectation of time between neighboring renewall point.

REFERENCES

1. V.A. Gasanenko, *limit theorem for raring processes with mixing I.*, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal **50** (1998), 471-475.
2. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures* (1977), Nauka, Moscow, 352 p.
3. A.A. Anisimov, *Random processes with discrete component* (1988), Vyshaij shkola, Kiev, 184 p.
4. I. Kopocinska, *Two mutually rarefied renewall processes*, Application Mathmatice **22** (1994), 267-273.