

Generating functions for ranks of pre-modular categories

Eric C. Rowell

ABSTRACT. We derive generating functions for the ranks of pre-modular categories associated to quantum groups at roots of unity.

1. Introduction

The *rank* of a semisimple tensor category \mathcal{O} is the number of simple objects (up to isomorphism). A problem that has received particular attention recently is the classification of finite rank categories with some extra axioms satisfied by the representation categories of finite groups, quantum groups or Hopf algebras. A *pre-modular category* **[Br]** is a finite rank ribbon category, *i.e.* a semisimple, balanced, rigid braided tensor category. A *modular category* **[T1]** is a pre-modular category that satisfies a further non-degeneracy condition (see **[BK]** or **[T2]** for detailed definitions), while more generally a *fusion category* **[ENO]** is a finite rank, semisimple, rigid tensor category. Zhenghan Wang has recently conjectured that there are only finitely many modular categories of a fixed rank; a conjecture that has been verified for ranks 1,2,3 and 4, see **[BRSW]** for an explicit list of all modular categories of these ranks. Similar conjectures have been proposed for pre-modular and fusion categories, and some progress has been made for these generalizations (see **[O1]** and **[O2]**). The problem of determining the ranks of known pre-modular categories is motivated by this conjecture and the relationship between these categories and low-dimensional topology **[T2]**, quantum computing **[FNSWW]**, **[FLW]** and Hopf algebras **[ENO]**.

The most ubiquitous examples of modular categories come from two sources: representation categories of Hopf doubles of finite group algebras, and sub-quotients of representation categories of quantized universal enveloping algebras of simple Lie algebras (henceforth *quantum groups*) specialized at roots of unity. In the finite group examples one always obtains a modular category, whereas the quantum group categories sometimes fail the modularity condition. The purpose of this note is to give formulas for the ranks of the pre-modular categories constructed from quantum groups. Most of these formulas have already appeared without formal proof in a more primitive form in **[R1]**. The ranks of the modular categories constructed from finite groups have been considered in **[CGR]**, where all cases up to rank 50 are determined.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B37; Secondary 05A15, 18D10.

Key words and phrases. pre-modular tensor category, quantum groups at roots of unity.

TABLE 1. Notation

λ_i	i th fundamental weight
P_+	dominant weights
h	Coxeter number
\tilde{h}	dual Coxeter number
$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$	symmetric bilinear form on weight lattice
m	ratio $\frac{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle}$ α a long root, β a short root
θ_0	longest root
θ_1	longest short root
ρ	half the sum of the positive roots

2. Pre-modular Categories from Quantum Groups

To each simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and positive integer ℓ (with ℓ large enough) one obtains a family of pre-modular categories sharing the same (finite) labeling set of simple objects and tensor product decomposition rules. The construction (which can be found in [A] and [T2]) is summarized as follows: Lusztig's integral form of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group $U_q \mathfrak{g}$ is well-defined for q^2 a primitive ℓ th root of unity. The corresponding representation category is not semisimple, but has a well-behaved subcategory of so-called *tilting modules*. The quotient of the tilting module category by the tensor ideal of *negligible* morphisms (essentially the annihilator of a trace-form) is a pre-modular category $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, q, \ell)$. While the structure of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, q, \ell)$ depends on the choice of q , the rank only depends on \mathfrak{g} and ℓ . To describe the labeling sets of simple objects we need some standard notation from Lie theory, which is found in Table 2. We take the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to be normalized so that the square length of a *short* root is 2.

DEFINITION 2.1. Referring to Table 2 for notation, the labeling sets of isomorphism classes of simple objects are (see [Ki]):

$$C_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) := \begin{cases} \{\lambda \in P_+ : \langle \lambda + \rho, \theta_1 \rangle < \ell\} & \text{if } m \mid \ell \\ \{\lambda \in P_+ : \langle \lambda + \rho, \theta_2 \rangle < \ell\} & \text{if } m \nmid \ell \end{cases}$$

Observe that $m = 1$ for the *simply-laced* Lie types A, D and E , while $m = 2$ for Lie types B, C and F_4 , and $m = 3$ for Lie type G_2 . We define an auxiliary label $\ell_m = 0$ if $m \mid \ell$ and $\ell_m = 1$ if $m \nmid \ell$ for notational convenience.

We reduce the problem of determining the cardinalities of the labeling sets $C_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ to counting partitions of n with parts in a fixed (finite) multiset $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m)$ that depends only on the rank and Lie type of \mathfrak{g} and the divisibility of ℓ by m (encoded in ℓ_m). Fix a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of rank r and a positive integer ℓ . Let $\lambda = \sum_i a_i \lambda_i$ be a dominant weight of \mathfrak{g} written as an \mathbb{N} -linear combination of fundamental weights λ_i . To determine if $\lambda \in C_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$, we compute:

$$\langle \lambda + \rho, \theta_j \rangle = \langle \rho, \theta_j \rangle + \sum_i^r a_i \langle \lambda_i, \theta_j \rangle$$

TABLE 2. $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, q, \ell)$ Data

X_r	$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m)$	ℓ_0
A_r	$[1, \dots, 1]$	$r + 1$
B_r, ℓ odd	$[1, 2, \dots, 2]$	$2r + 1$
B_r, ℓ even	$[2, 2, 4, \dots, 4]$	$4r - 2$
C_r, ℓ odd	$[1, 2, \dots, 2]$	$2r + 1$
C_r, ℓ even	$[2, \dots, 2]$	$2r + 2$
D_r	$[1, 1, 1, 2, \dots, 2]$	$2r - 2$
E_6	$[1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3]$	12
E_7	$[1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4]$	18
E_8	$[2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6]$	30
F_4, ℓ even	$[2, 4, 4, 6]$	18
F_4, ℓ odd	$[2, 2, 3, 4]$	13
$G_2, 3 \mid \ell$	$[3, 6]$	12
$G_2, 3 \nmid \ell$	$[2, 3]$	7

where $j = 1$ or 2 depending on if $m \mid \ell$ or not. Setting $L_i^{(j)} = \langle \lambda_i, \theta_j \rangle$ we see that the condition that $\lambda \in C_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ becomes:

$$\sum_i^k a_i L_i^{(j)} \leq \ell - \langle \rho, \theta_j \rangle - 1.$$

Since $a_i, L_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

LEMMA 2.2. *The cardinality of $C_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ is the number of partitions of all natural numbers n , $0 \leq n \leq \ell - \langle \rho, \theta_j \rangle - 1$ into parts from the size $r = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ multiset $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m) = [L_i^{(j)}]_i^r$.*

So it remains only to compute the numbers $\langle \rho, \theta_j \rangle$ and $L_i^{(j)}$ (with $j = 0, 1$) for each Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and integer $\ell > \langle \rho, \theta_j \rangle$ and to apply standard combinatorics to count the number of partitions into parts in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m)$. The first task is easily accomplished with the help of the book [Bo]. Table 2 lists the results of these computations, where ℓ_0 is the minimal non-degenerate value of ℓ (i.e. satisfying $\ell_0 \geq \langle \rho, \theta_j \rangle + 1$). The combinatorial techniques are described in the next section.

3. Generating Functions

Let $P_{\mathcal{T}}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts in a multiset \mathcal{T} , and $P_{\mathcal{T}}[s] = \sum_{n=0}^s P_{\mathcal{T}}(n)$ the number of partitions of all integers $0 \leq n \leq s$ into parts from the multiset \mathcal{T} . Any standard reference on generating functions (see e.g. [Sn]) will provide enough details about generating functions to prove the following:

LEMMA 3.1. *The number $P_{\mathcal{T}}(n)$ of partitions of n into parts from the multiset \mathcal{T} has generating function:*

$$\prod_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{1 - x^t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{\mathcal{T}}(n),$$

while the number $P_{\mathcal{T}}[s]$ of partitions of all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq n \leq s$ into parts from the multiset \mathcal{T} has generating function:

$$\frac{1}{1-x} \prod_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{1-x^t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{\mathcal{T}}[s].$$

Applying this lemma to the sets $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m)$ given in Table 2 we obtain:

THEOREM 3.2. *Define*

$$F_{\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m}(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} \prod_{k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m)} \frac{1}{1-x^k}.$$

Then the rank $|C_{\ell}(\mathfrak{g})|$ of the pre-modular category $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}, q, \ell)$ is the coefficient of $x^{\ell - \ell_0 + \ell_m}$

in the power series expansion of $F_{\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m}(x)$.

PROOF. It is clear from Lemma 3.1 that the coefficients of generating function $F_{\mathfrak{g}, \ell_m}(x)$ counts the appropriate partitions. The coefficient of x that gives the rank for a specific ℓ is shifted by the minimal non-degenerate ℓ_0 , which corresponds to the $x^0 = 1$ term if $m \mid \ell$ and to the $x^1 = x$ term if $m \nmid \ell$, hence the correction by x^{ℓ_m} . With this normalization only the coefficients of those powers of x divisible (resp. indivisible) by m give ranks corresponding to ℓ divisible (resp. indivisible) by m . \square

We illustrate the application of this theorem with some examples.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be of type G_2 .

- (a) Let $\ell = 27$. Then $\ell_m = 0$ and $\ell_0 = 12$. So the rank of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}(G_2), q, 27)$ is given by the $(27 - 12 + 0) = 15$ th coefficient of

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^3)(1-x^6)} = (1+x+x^2)(1+2x^3+4x^6+6x^9+9x^{12}+12x^{15}+\dots)$$

so $|C_{27}(\mathfrak{g}(G_2))| = 15$.

- (b) Let $\ell = 14$. Then $\ell_m = 1$ and $\ell_0 = 7$. So $|C_{14}(\mathfrak{g}(G_2))|$ is the $(14 - 7 + 1)$ th coefficient of

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)} = 1+x+2x^2+3x^3+4x^4+5x^5+7x^6+8x^7+10x^8 \dots$$

so the rank of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}(G_2), q, 14)$ is 10.

We close with some remarks.

REMARK 3.4. For some Lie types the pre-modular categories described here admit pre-modular subcategories. For \mathfrak{g} of Lie type A_r and ℓ is chosen so that $\gcd(\ell, r+1) = 1$, one obtains a modular subcategory generated by the simple object whose labels are integer weights (see [MW]). The rank of this subcategory is easily obtained as $\frac{1}{r+1}$ times the rank of the full category. Similarly one obtains modular subcategories from \mathfrak{g} of type B_r for ℓ odd by taking the simple objects labeled by integer (non-spin) weights give a pre-modular subcategory with rank exactly half that of the original category.

References

- [A] H.H. Andersen, *Tensor products of quantized tilting modules*, Comm. Math. Phys. **149** (1991), 149-159.
- [BK] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov Jr., *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*. AMS Providence, 2001.
- [BRSW] S. Belinschi, E. Rowell, R. Stong and Z. Wang, *Classification of Modular Tensor Categories I: Low-rank cases*, in preparation.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 4-6 (1968), Hermann, Paris.
- [Br] A. Bruguières, *Catégories prémodulaires, modularisations et invariants des variétés de dimension 3*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000) 215-236 (French).
- [CGR] A. Coste, T. Gannon and P. Ruelle, *Finite group modular data*, Nuclear Phys. B **581** (2000), no. 3, 679-717.
- [ENO] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *On fusion categories*, to appear in Ann. of Math. preprint available at: www.arxiv.org/math.QA/0203060
- [FLW] M. Freedman, M. Larsen and Z. Wang, *The two-eigenvalue problem and density of Jones representation of braid groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **228** (2002), no. 1, 177-199.
- [FNSWW] M. Freedman, C. Nayak, K. Shtengel, K. Walker and Z. Wang, *A class of P,T-invariant topological phases of interacting electrons*, Ann. Physics **310** (2004) no. 2, 428-492.
- [Ki] A. Kirillov Jr., *On an inner product in modular categories*, J. of AMS **9** (1996), 1135-1170.
- [MW] G. Masbaum and H. Wenzl, *Integral modular categories and integrality of quantum invariants at roots of unity of prime order*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **505** (1998), 209-235.
- [O1] V. Ostrik, *Fusion categories of rank 2*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 177-183.
- [O2] V. Ostrik, *Pre-modular categories of rank 3*, preprint, arxiv.org/math.QA/0503564.
- [R1] E. Rowell, *From quantum groups to unitary modular tensor categories*, preprint, available at arxiv.org/math.QA/0503226.
- [Sn] R. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics*, Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [T1] V. Turaev, *Modular categories and 3-manifold invariants*, Int. J. of Modern Phys. B, **6** (1992) 1807-1824.
- [T2] V. Turaev, *Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds*, de Gruyter studies in math., Vol. 18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405
E-mail address: erowell@indiana.edu