

# Finite groups in which elements of the same order outside the center are conjugate \*

Qian Guohua<sup>1</sup>, Shi Wujie<sup>2</sup>, You Xingzhong<sup>2,3</sup>

1. Department of Mathematics, Changshu College, Changshu, 215500, China.

2. School of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, 215006;

3. Department of Mathematics, Changsha University of Science and Technology ,  
Changsha, 410077, China.

September 3, 2018

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove that if  $G$  is a finite group in which elements of the same order outside the center are conjugate, then  $G$  is abelian or is isomorphic to  $S_3$ , the symmetry group of degree 3.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20D60, 20E45

**Keywords:** finite group, rational group, conjugacy class

## 1 Introduction and main results

In [1] and [2], W. Feit and G. M. Seitz, and J. P. Zhang has solved independently the well-known problem posed by Syskin : If  $G$  is a finite group in which elements of the same order are conjugate, then  $G \cong S_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ . In this paper, we will generalize this result. Since a central element in a group is only conjugate to itself, naturally, we investigate finite groups in which elements of the same order outside the center are conjugate. For convenience we call a finite group an  $OC$ -group provided that all elements of the same order outside the center are conjugate. An  $OC$ -group  $G$  is non-trivial if  $1 < Z(G) < G$ . Our results are as follows.

**Theorem A.** *There does not exist a non-trivial  $OC$ -group.*

A finite group  $G$  is called a rational group if every complex character of the group is rational. Equivalently,  $g^m$  is conjugate to  $g$  in  $G$  whenever  $g \in G$  and the integer  $m$  is relatively prime to  $o(g)$ , the order of  $g$ . Clearly, a quotient group of a rational group is also a rational group. By [3] a solvable rational group is an  $\{2, 3, 5\}$ -group. In order to prove Theorem A, we need investigate the rational groups in which elements of the same odd order are conjugate and

---

\*Project supported by the NNSF of China(Grant NO. 10171074), the NSF of Jiangsu Province College and University (Grant NO. 03KJB110112) and the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department.

obtain the following Theorem B. Also, Theorem B is of independent interest, for example, we may give a concise alternative proof of Syskin problem by Theorem B.

**Theorem B.** *If  $G$  is a finite rational group in which elements of the same odd order are conjugate, then  $G/O_2(G) \cong 1, S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ , where  $W$  is a Frobenius group with kernel an elementary abelian group of order 9 and complement  $Q_8$ ,  $\beta$  is a unitary automorphism of  $L_3(4)$ .*

An interesting fact is that the quotient groups occurred in Theorem B and the finite groups in which elements of same order are conjugate are all the finite groups whose element orders are consecutive integers(see [4]).

Groups in this paper are all finite. For a subset  $A$  of a finite group  $G$ , denote by  $\pi_e(A)$  the set of distinct orders of elements in  $A$ . Other notation and terminology are standard.

## 2 Several Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $G$  is a non-trivial  $OC$ -group, then  $|Z(G)| = 2$  or  $G$  is nilpotent.

**Proof.** Suppose  $G$  is non-nilpotent. Then for any prime  $p \in \pi(Z(G))$ , there exists a prime  $q \in \pi(G/Z(G))$  such that  $p \neq q$ . Choose  $x \in G - Z(G)$  such that  $o(x) = q^r$ ,  $y \in Z(G)$  such that  $o(y) = p$ . Clearly,  $xy, xy^{-1} \in G - Z(G)$  and  $o(xy) = o(xy^{-1})$ . Hence  $x^g y = xy^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$  and so  $y^{q^r} = (x^g y)^{q^r} = (xy^{-1})^{q^r} = (y^{-1})^{q^r}$ , that is,  $y^{2q^r} = 1$ , so  $y^2 = 1$  and  $p = 2$ . Thus  $Z(G)$  is an elementary abelian 2-group. If  $|Z(G)| \neq 2$ , let  $a_1, a_2$  be two distinct elements in  $Z(G)$ , then  $xa_1, xa_2$  are two elements of the same order outside  $Z(G)$  and so  $x^g a_1 = xa_2$  for some  $g \in G$ , thus  $a_1 = (x^g a_1)^{q^r} = (xa_2)^{q^r} = a_2$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|Z(G)| = 2$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $G$  is an  $OC$ -group, then  $G/Z(G)$  is a rational group.

**Proof.** For any  $x \in G - Z(G)$ , if  $m$  is an integer prime to  $o(x)$ , then  $x, x^m \in G - Z(G)$  and  $o(x) = o(x^m)$ , so  $x$  is conjugate to  $x^m$  in  $G$ . It follows that  $\chi(x) = \chi(x^m)$  for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  and so  $\chi(x)$  is rational. In particular, for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G/Z(G))$ ,  $\chi$  takes its rational value in  $G - Z(G)$ . Therefore all irreducible characters of  $G/Z(G)$  are rational and so  $G/Z(G)$  is a rational group.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $G$  be a  $q$ -solvable group,  $V$  a faithful irreducible  $E[G]$ -module over a finite field  $E$  of characteristic  $q$ . Then there exists a faithful irreducible character  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $\chi(1) | \dim_E(V)$ .

**Proof.** It is well-known that  $D = \text{End}_{E[G]}(V)$  is a finite field containing  $E$ , denote by  $e = |D : E|$ , then  $V$  is an absolutely irreducible  $D[G]$ -module with degree  $\dim_E(V)/e$ . Choose a  $D$ -basis of  $V$  and construct an absolutely irreducible  $D$ -representation  $\Lambda$  of  $G$ . Let  $R$  be a ring of algebraic integers contained in the complex field,  $M$  the maximal ideal of  $R$  such that  $M \geq qR$ . Then  $F = R/M$  is an algebraically closed field. Regard  $D$  as a subfield of  $F$ , then  $\Lambda$ , for emphasis, denote by  $\Lambda^F$ , is a faithful irreducible  $F$ -representation of  $G$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the Brauer character corresponding to  $\Lambda^F$ . Because  $G$  is a  $q$ -solvable group, there exists  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$

such that  $\chi(x) = \gamma(x)$  for any  $q$ -regular element  $x \in G$  by Fong-Swan theorem [[5], Theorem 10.2.1]. In particular,  $\chi(1) = \gamma(1) = \dim_E(V)/e$ , and so  $\chi(1) | \dim_E(V)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $q$  be a prime,  $r, a$  two positive integers and  $r > 1$ . If  $q^r - 1 | 2^a \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , then  $q = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 29, 31, 41, 71, 127$ , where  $r = 2, 3, 4$  or  $6$  for  $q = 2$ ,  $r = 2$  or  $4$  for  $q = 3$  and  $r = 2$  for other cases of  $q$ .

**Proof.** If  $q = 2$ , then  $q^r - 1$  is odd. Hence  $q^r - 1 | 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , so  $r = 2, 3, 4$  or  $6$ .

In the following, let  $q$  be an odd prime. If  $r = 2^t \cdot s$ , where  $s$  is an odd greater than 1. Let  $d = (q^{2^t})^{s-1} + (q^{2^t})^{s-2} + \dots + q^{2^t} + 1$ , then  $q^{2^t \cdot s} - 1 = (q^{2^t} - 1)d$  and  $d$  is odd. By  $q^r - 1 | 2^a \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , then  $d | 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . A direct checking can lead to a contradiction. Hence  $r = 2^t$  and by  $q^{2^t} - 1 = (q^{2^{t-1}} + 1)(q^{2^{t-2}} + 1)(q^{2^{t-3}} + 1) \dots (q^2 + 1)(q + 1)(q - 1) | 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , we have  $t \leq 3$ .

If  $q = 3$ , by  $3^r - 1 | 2^a \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$  and  $r = 2^t$ ,  $t \leq 3$ , then  $r = 2$  or  $4$ .

If  $q > 3$  and  $r = 2^t = 4$  or  $8$ , then we always have  $q^4 - 1 = (q^2 + 1)(q + 1)(q - 1) | 2^a \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . If  $q - 1 = 2^c$ , then  $c = 2$  or  $c \geq 4$  and  $q^4 - 1 = (2^{2c-1} + 2^c + 1)(2^{c-1} + 1)2^{c+2}$ , so  $(2^{2c-1} + 2^c + 1)(2^{c-1} + 1) | 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , which is impossible when  $c = 2$  or  $c \geq 4$ . Similarly,  $q + 1$  is not a power of 2. Therefore all of  $q^2 + 1, q + 1$  and  $q - 1$  contain odd prime divisors which are distinct from each other, so  $p - 1 = 2^c \cdot 3, 2^c \cdot 5, 2^c \cdot 7$  or  $2^c \cdot 3^2$ . If  $c = 1$ , then  $q = 7, 11$  or  $19$ , but in every case,  $q^4 - 1$  does not divide  $2^a \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , a contradiction; if  $c = 2$ , then  $p + 1 = 2 \cdot 3, 2 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 7$  or  $2 \cdot 3^2$  and so  $q = 5, 13$  or  $17$ , we can get the same contradiction.

If  $q > 3$  and  $r = 2$ , then  $q^2 - 1 | 2^a \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . A similar argument yields  $q = 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 29, 31, 41, 71, 127$  by a direct calculation.

**Lemma 2.5.** For any finite group  $G$ ,  $G/Z(G)$  is not a generalized quaternion group.

**Proof.** see [6], p.94 Exercise 58.

**Lemma 2.6.** If  $G$  is a non-trivial  $OC$ -group, then  $G$  is not nilpotent.

**Proof.** Suppose  $G$  is a minimal nontrivial nilpotent  $OC$ -group. We infer a contradiction via several steps.

**Claim 1.**  $G$  is a 2-group.

Let  $H$  be a normal 2-complement of  $G$ . Clearly,  $H/Z(H)$  is a direct factor of the rational group  $G/Z(G)$ , so  $H/Z(H)$  is a rational group. Since a non-trivial group of odd order can not be rational,  $H = Z(H)$ . Hence  $G = P \times H$ , where  $P$  is a non-abelian 2-group. Clearly,  $P$  is a nontrivial  $OC$ -group, so  $G = P$  by the minimality of  $G$ .

**Claim 2.**  $|Z_2/Z| = 2$ , where  $Z = Z_1 = Z(G), Z_{i+1}/Z_i = Z(G/Z_i)$ .

In the following, we always assume that  $\exp(Z) = 2^k$  and  $\overline{G} = G/Z$ .

By Lemma 2.2,  $G/Z$  is rational, so  $Z_2/Z$  is an elementary abelian group. Suppose  $|Z_2/Z| = |\overline{Z_2}| \neq 2$ . Let  $\overline{a_i} \in \overline{Z_2}$  such that  $o(\overline{a_i}) = 2, i = 1, 2, 3, x \in Z$  such that  $o(x) = \exp(Z) = 2^k$ . Then  $2^k \leq o(xa_i) \leq 2^{k+1}$  and so two elements in  $\{xa_1, xa_2, xa_3\}$  are of the same order, hence they are conjugate in  $G$  and so their images are conjugate in  $\overline{G}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $|Z_2/Z| = 2$ .

**Claim 3.** All elements in  $Z_2 - Z$  are of order  $2^{k+1}$ . Hence if  $y^2 \in Z$  for any  $y \in G - Z_2$ , then  $o(y) \leq 2^k$  and  $yZ$  possesses an element of order  $2^k$  in  $G$ .

Suppose not all elements in  $Z_2 - Z$  are of order  $2^{k+1}$ . Since  $\exp(Z) = 2^k$ ,  $Z_2 - Z$  possesses an element  $x$  of order  $2^k$ .

Let  $y \in G - Z_2$  such that  $y^2 \in Z_2$ , that is,  $yZ_2$  is an involution of  $G/Z_2$ . Note that  $yZ_2$  possesses no elements conjugate to  $x$ , then all elements in  $yZ_2$  are of order  $2^{k+1}$  (Otherwise,  $yZ_2$  possesses an element  $y_1$  such that  $o(y_1) \leq 2^k$ . Let  $w \in Z$  such that  $o(w) = 2^k$ . Then  $o(y_1w) = 2^k$  and  $y_1w \in yZ_2$ , a contradiction). Therefore  $y$  is conjugate to  $t$ , where  $t$  is some fixed element of order  $2^{k+1}$  in  $Z_3 - Z_2$ , so all involutions of  $G/Z_2$  are conjugate. It follows that  $G/Z_2$  is a cyclic or generalized quaternion group. By Lemma 2.5,  $G/Z_2$  is cyclic, then  $G/Z$  is abelian and so is  $G = Z_2$ , a contradiction.

**Claim 4.**  $\exp(Z) = 2$ . By claim 3,  $o(x) = 4$  for all  $x \in Z_2 - Z$  and  $o(y) = 2$  for all  $y \in G - Z_2$  satisfying  $y^2 \in Z$ .

Suppose  $\exp(Z) = 2^k \geq 4$ . Let  $x \in Z_2 - Z$ ,  $y \in G - Z_2$  such that  $y^2 \in Z$  (By Lemma 2.5, we can choose such  $y$ ). By claim 3,  $o(xy) \leq 2^k$ . So by [6] Chapter 3 Lemma 1.3, we have

$$1 = (xy)^{2^k} = x^{2^k} y^{2^k} [y, x]^{2^{k-1}(2^k-1)} = x^{2^k} [y, x]^{2^{k-1}(2^k-1)} = x^{2^k} [y^2, x]^{2^{k-1}(2^k-1)} = x^{2^k}$$

a contradiction.

**Claim 5.** Let  $\overline{Z_3} = Z_3/Z$ . Then  $\overline{Z_3}$  is not a cyclic group.

Otherwise, by [6] Chapter 3 Theorem 7.7,  $\overline{G}$  possesses a cyclic normal subgroup  $\overline{T}$  of index 2. Let  $\overline{T}$  be a cyclic group of order  $2^m$ . Note that all elements of orders  $2^i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq m+1$ ) in  $G$  are contained in  $T - Z$ , and  $G - T$  possesses no elements of order  $2^{m+2}$  in  $G$  (Otherwise,  $G/Z$  is cyclic, a contradiction), so all elements in  $G - T$  are of order 2. By the condition, they are conjugate in  $G$  and constitute a  $G$ -class with length  $|G|/2$ , which is clearly impossible.

**Claim 6.**  $Z_3/Z$  is an elementary abelian group of order 4.

First, since  $G/Z_2$  is rational,  $Z_3/Z_2$  is an elementary abelian group. By claim 5,  $Z_3/Z$  is not cyclic, so  $G$  possesses a subgroup  $M$  such that  $Z_2 < M \leq Z_3$  and  $M/Z$  is an elementary abelian group of order 4. Clearly,  $M \triangleleft G$ . By claim 4,  $M - Z_2$  possesses an element of order 2. Suppose  $Z_3 > M$ . For all  $x \in Z_3 - M$ , since  $Z_3/Z_2$  is an elementary abelian group, we have  $x^2 \in Z_2$ . If  $x^2 \in Z$ , then  $o(x) = 2$  by claim 4, but  $M - Z_2$  possesses the elements of order 2, a contradiction. It follows that  $x^2 \in Z_2 - Z$  for any  $x \in Z_3 - M$ . By claim 4, all elements in  $Z_3 - M$  are of order 8, so  $Z_3 - M$  is a  $G$ -class with the length  $|x^G| = |Z_3 - M| \geq |M|$ , thus  $|C_G(x)| \leq |G/M|$ . But  $xZ_2$  belongs to the center of  $G/Z_2$ , we have  $|C_G(x)| \geq |G/Z_2| = 2|G/M|$ , a contradiction. Hence  $Z_3 = M$  and  $Z_3/Z$  is an elementary abelian of order 4.

**Claim 7.** The last contradiction.

Clearly,  $G/Z_3$  is not cyclic. Let  $xZ_3 \in G/Z_3$  be any involution. We may assume that  $x$  is an element of the greatest order in  $xZ_3$ , then  $o(x) \geq 2$ . Note that  $Z_3$  contains all elements of orders 2 and 4 in  $G$ , then  $o(x) \geq 8$ . Since  $\exp(Z_3) = 4$  (see claim 6), we have  $o(x) = 8$ . Since all such  $x$  are  $G$ -conjugate, then all involutions in  $G/Z_3$  are  $G/Z_3$ -conjugate. Therefore  $G/Z_3$  possesses an unique involution and so  $G/Z_3$  is a generalized quaternion group, contradicting Lemma 2.5.

### 3 Proof of theorems

**Proof of Theorem B.** Let  $N \triangleleft G$ . We prove that the conditions of Theorem A are inherited by the quotient group  $G/N$ . It is clear that  $G/N$  is a rational group. Let  $\overline{G} = G/N$ ,  $\overline{x}, \overline{y}$  be two elements of the same odd order in  $\overline{G}$ . We may assume that  $x$  and  $y$  are of the least odd orders in  $xN$  and  $yN$ , respectively. If  $o(x) = o(y)$ , then  $x$  and  $y$  are  $G$ -conjugate and so  $\overline{x}$  and  $\overline{y}$  are  $\overline{G}$ -conjugate. If  $o(x) \neq o(y)$ , then  $o(x) = p^b m$  and  $o(y) = p^a n$  for some odd prime  $p$ , where  $a < b$  and  $p \nmid mn$ . Let  $x_1 = x^{mn}, y_1 = y^{mn}$ , then  $o(\overline{x_1}) = o(\overline{y_1})$ . Note that both  $x_1^{p^{b-a}}$  and  $y_1$  are of odd order  $p^a$ , so they are  $G$ -conjugate and thus  $x_1^{p^{b-a}}$  and  $\overline{y_1}$  are  $\overline{G}$ -conjugate. Hence  $o(\overline{x_1}) = o(\overline{y_1}) = o(x_1^{p^{b-a}})$ , so  $o(\overline{x_1})$  and  $o(\overline{x})$  are  $p'$ -numbers. This implies that  $x$  is not an element of the least odd order in  $xN$ , a contradiction.

By induction, we may assume that  $O_2(G) = 1$ . Under this hypothesis, we shall prove that  $G \cong 1, S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ .

**Claim 1.**  $G$  possesses a unique minimal normal subgroup.

Suppose  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are two distinct minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $A_1, A_2 \triangleleft G$  such that  $A_1/N_1 = O_2(G/N_1), A_2/N_2 = O_2(G/N_2)$ . By induction,  $G/A_1$  is isomorphic to  $1, S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ , so is  $G/A_2$ . Since  $N_2$  is isomorphic to a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/N_1$  and is not a 2-group,  $N_2$  is isomorphic to a group of order 3 or  $A_5$  or an elementary abelian group of order  $3^2$  or  $L_3(4)$ , so is  $N_1$ .

If  $N_2 \cong A_5$ , then the rational group  $G/C_G(N_2) \cong S_5$ . Since elements of the same odd order in  $G$  are conjugate,  $G/C_G(N_2)$  is a  $\{3, 5\}'$ -group, and so  $G/N_2$  is a  $3'$ -group. By induction,  $G/A_2 \cong S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ , then  $3 \mid |G/N_2|$ , a contradiction.

If  $N_2 \cong L_3(4)$ , then the rational group  $G/C_G(N_2)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(L_3(4))$ , by [7],  $G/C_G(N_2) \cong L_3(4) < \beta >$ . A similar argument above yields a contradiction.

Therefore  $N_2$  is of order 3 or an elementary abelian group of order  $3^2$ , so is  $N_1$ . But all elements of order 3 are contained in a  $G$ -class, a contradiction.

**Claim 2.** Let  $N$  be the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $N$  is unsolvable, then  $G \cong S_5$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ .

If  $N$  is unsolvable, let  $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_k$  is the product of  $k$  isomorphic simple groups  $N_i$ . Let  $x \in N_1$  such  $o(x) = p$ , where  $p$  an odd prime. Then  $x^G \subseteq N_1$ . If  $k > 1$ , let  $y \in N_2$  such that  $o(y) = p$ , then  $o(xy) = o(x) = p$  and so  $xy$  and  $x$  are  $G$ -conjugate, thus  $xy \in N_1$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $k = 1$  and  $N$  is a non-abelian simple.

Since  $N$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $C_G(N) = 1$  and so  $G \leq \text{Aut}(N)$ . By Theorem B in [1],  $N$  is isomorphic to one of the following simple groups:

$$A_n, PSp_4(3), Sp_6(2), O_8^+(2), L_3(4), PSU_4(3)$$

If  $N \cong A_n$ , note that  $A_n$  is not a rational group, then  $G \cong S_n$  or  $G \leq \text{Aut}(A_6)$ . If  $n > 6$ , then  $S_n$  possesses at least two conjugacy classes of elements of order 3, a contradiction. If  $n = 6$ ,  $G$  possesses two conjugacy classes of elements of order 3 by [7], a contradiction. Hence  $G \cong S_5$ .

Again by [7], if  $N \cong PSp_4(3), Sp_6(2), O_8^+(2)$  or  $PSU_4(3)$ ,  $G$  always possesses two conjugacy classes of elements of the same odd order, a contradiction; if  $N \cong L_3(4)$ , then  $G \cong L_3(4) < \beta >$ .

**Claim 3.** In the following, we always assume that  $N$  is the unique minimal normal

subgroup of odd order in  $G$  and an elementary abelian group of order  $q^r$  ( $q \geq 3$ ), let  $A/N = O_2(G/N)$ . We prove that  $G$  is split in  $N$ , so  $G = HN$ ,  $H \cap N = 1$ . Furthermore,  $(|H|, |N|) = 1$ .

In fact, If  $N \leq \Phi(G)$ , then  $q \mid |G/N|$ . Since  $O_2(G) = 1$ , then  $O_2(G/N) = 1$ . So  $A = N$  and by induction  $G/N \cong 1, S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ . Since  $|N| - 1$  is a  $G$ -class of all elements of order  $q$  in  $G$ ,  $|N| - 1 \mid |G/N|$ .

3.1. If  $G/N \cong S_3$ , then  $N$  is of order 3 and so  $P \in Syl_3(G)$  is a cyclic group of order 9. Clearly,  $P \triangleleft G$ . Therefore  $P - N$  is a  $G$ -class of all elements of order 9 in  $G$ . Let  $x \in P - N$ . Then  $|x^G| = |P - N| = 6$  and so  $|C_G(x)| = 3$ , a contradiction.

3.2. If  $G/N \cong S_5$ , then  $q = 3, 5$ . If  $q = 3$ , since  $q^r - 1 = 3^r - 1 \mid 120$ , then  $3^r = 3, 9$ . Note that  $G$  possesses 10 Sylow 3-subgroups, all 3-elements in  $G - N$  are of order 9 and constitute a  $G$ -class, denote by  $u^G$ , then  $10(3^{r+1} - 1) = |u^G|$ . Therefore  $|C_G(u)| = \frac{3^r - 1}{3^r - 1}$  and so  $|N| = 3^r = 3$ , thus  $|C_G(u)| = 6$ , contradicting  $o(u) = 9$ ; if  $q = 5$ , a similar argument yields a contradiction.

3.3. If  $G/N \cong W$ , then  $q = 3$  and  $|N| = 3, 9$ . Let  $H \in Syl_2(G)$  and  $P \in Syl_3(G)$ . Then  $H \cong Q_8$  and  $P \triangleleft G$ . If  $|N| = 3$ , since all elements of order 3 in  $G$  are  $G$ -conjugate, then  $G$  possesses an unique subgroup of order 3. Thus  $P$  is cyclic, but the Sylow 3-subgroup of  $W$  is not cyclic, a contradiction. If  $|N| = 9$ , then  $|P| = 81$  and  $P - N$  is a  $G$ -class of all elements of order 9 in  $G$ . Let  $x \in P - N$ . Then  $|x^G| = 72$  and so  $|C_G(x)| = 9$ . In addition,  $N - 1 = u^G$  for some  $u \in N - 1$ , so  $|C_G(u)| = 81$ . It follows that  $H$  acts fixed-point-freely on  $P$ . Note that  $2 \mid |H|$ , then  $P$  is abelian and so  $|C_G(x)| \geq 81$ , a contradiction.

3.4. If  $G/N \cong L_3(4) < \beta >$ , then  $q = 3, 5, 7$ . Let  $M \triangleleft G$  such that  $M/N \cong L_3(4)$ .

If  $q = 5$ , by  $q^r - 1 \mid |G/N| = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , then  $|N| = q^r = 5, 5^2$ . If  $|N| = 5$ , then it is easy to see  $N \leq Z(M)$ . Since the Schur multiplier of  $L_3(4)$  is an  $\{2, 3\}$ -group, then  $M = N \times L_3(4)$  and so all elements of order 5 in  $G$  are not contained in a  $G$ -class, a contradiction. If  $|N| = 5^2$ , since  $M/C_M(N) \cong GL(2, 5)$  and  $GL(2, 5)$  possesses no the subgroups that are non-abelian simple groups, then  $C_M(N) = M$  and also  $M = N \times L_3(4)$ , a contradiction.

If  $q = 7$ , a similar argument above yields a contradiction.

If  $q = 3$ , by  $q^r - 1 \mid |G/N| = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , then  $|N| = 3^r = 3, 3^2, 3^4$ . If  $|N| = 3$ , a similar argument in the case 3.3 yields a contradiction. If  $|N| = 3^2$ , since  $GL(2, 3)$  is solvable, then  $N \leq Z(M)$  and so all elements of order 3 in  $G$  are not contained in a  $G$ -class, a contradiction. If  $|N| = 3^4$ , then  $|C_G(x)/N| = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$  for  $x \in N - \{1\}$  and so  $L_3(4) \cong M/N$  possesses a subgroup of order  $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$  or  $2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$ . By [7], this is impossible.

Therefore  $N \cap \Phi(G) = 1$  and so  $G$  is split in  $N$ . Since elements of same odd order in  $G$  are conjugate,  $N$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $G$ .

**Claim 4.**  $q^r - 1 \mid |H|$ ,  $H$  possesses a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  such that  $\chi(1) \mid r$ .

Since  $N - \{1\}$  is a  $G$ -class of all elements of order  $q$  in  $G$ , by claim 3,  $q^r - 1 \mid |H|$ . Clearly,  $H$  is  $q$ -solvable, so by Lemma 2.3, claim 4 holds.

**Claim 5.** If  $q = 3$ , then  $G \cong S_3$  or  $W$ .

If  $q = 3$ , by induction,  $H/O_2(H) \cong 1, S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ . Note that  $H$  is a  $3'$ -group, so  $H$  is an 2-group, that is,  $H = O_2(H)$ . By claim 4  $H$  possesses a faithful irreducible character  $\chi$  such that  $\chi(1) \mid r$ .

If  $r = 1$ , then  $\chi$  is a faithful linear character of  $H$ . Therefore the rational group  $H$  is of order 2 and so  $G \cong S_3$ .

If  $r = 2k > 2$ , since  $q^r - 1 = (q^k + 1)(q^k - 1) \mid |H|$ , then  $(q^k + 1)$  and  $(q^k - 1)$  are powers of

2. But  $k > 1$ , we get a contradiction.

If  $r = 2$ , then the 2-group  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $Aut(N) = GL(2, 3)$ . Note that the Sylow 2-subgroup  $P$  of  $GL(2, 3)$  is the semidihedral group of order 16, which is not rational, so  $H < P$ . Since  $8 = q^r - 1 || H$ , then  $|H| = 8$  and so  $G$  is a Frobenius group. Thus the rational group  $H = Q_8$ .

In the following we prove that  $q \neq 3$  does not hold.

**Claim 6.** If  $q \neq 3$ , then  $r = 2$ .

If  $r = 1$ , then  $H$  possesses a faithful linear character, so the rational group  $H$  is cyclic of order 2, in this case,  $q = 3$ , a contradiction. So  $q > 3$  and  $r > 1$ . By claim 4, we have  $q^r - 1 | 2^a \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , so by Lemma 2.4 we have  $r = 2$ .

**Claim 7.**  $q = 3$  and so Theorem B holds.

Suppose  $q \neq 3$ . We work for an contradiction. First by claim 6,  $r = 2$ . Again by claim 3 we have  $G = HN$  and  $H \cap N = 1$ ,  $N \in Syl_p(G)$ . By induction,  $H/O_2(H) \cong 1, S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ . Let  $\chi \in Irr(H)$  be a faithful irreducible character such that  $\chi(1) | 2$ . Then  $\chi(1) = 2$ .

If  $\chi$  is not primitive, then  $H$  possesses a normal abelian subgroup  $T$  of index 2 and so  $H/O_2(H) \cong S_3$ . Therefore  $G$  is a solvable rational group and so  $|N| = 5^2$ . Since  $N$  is the unique minimal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $T$  acts faithfully on  $N$ , in this case, it is well-known that  $C_T(y_0) = 1$  for some  $y_0 \in N - \{1\}$ . Since  $N - \{1\}$  is a  $G$ -class, we have  $C_T(y) = 1$  for all  $y \in N - \{1\}$ . It follows that  $T$  acts fixed-point-freely on  $N$  and so  $T$  is cyclic (since  $T$  is a Frobenius complement of the Frobenius group  $TN$ ). Note that  $|y_0^G| = 24$ , we have  $|T| = 12$  or 24. when  $|T| = 24$  (or 12), the elements of order 24 (or 12) in  $T$  are not contained in a  $H$ -class (since a  $H$ -class of such elements is of the length 2), so  $H$  is not a rational group, a contradiction.

If  $\chi$  is primitive, by [8] p.260,  $H/Z(H) \cong A_4, S_4$  or  $A_5$ . By induction, we have  $H/Z(H) \cong S_4$ . Note that  $H$  is rational and  $Z(H)$  is cyclic, then  $|Z(H)| \leq 2$ . Since  $\chi$  is primitive,  $Z(H) > 1$ , so  $H \cong GL(2, 3)$ . But by [9] E11.8,  $GL(2, 3)$  is not a rational group, a contradiction.

As a corollary of Theorem B, we give a concise alternative proof of Syskin problem.

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $G$  is a finite group in which all elements of the same order are conjugate, then  $G \cong S_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ .*

**Proof.** Note that the condition of corollary 3.1 is inherited by the quotient groups and  $G$  is a rational group, by Theorem A,  $G/O_2(G) \cong 1, S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ . Note that  $S_5$  possesses two  $G$ -classes of elements of order 2 and  $W$  and  $L_3(4) < \beta >$  possess three  $G$ -classes of elements of order 4, so  $G/O_2(G) \cong 1$  or  $S_3$ . If  $G = O_2(G)$ , since all elements of the same order are conjugate, if  $G \neq 1$ , then  $G$  possesses a unique element of order 2. Therefore  $G$  is a cyclic or generalized quaternion group and so  $G \cong S_2$ . If  $G/O_2(G) \cong S_3$ , let  $exp(O_2(G)) = 2^k$ . Then  $O_2(G)$  contains all elements of orders  $2^i (1 \leq i \leq k)$  in  $G$  and so  $o(x) = 2^{k+1}$  for all 2-elements  $x \in G - O_2(G)$ . It follows that all 2-elements in  $G - O_2(G)$  constitute a  $G$ -class with length  $|x^G| = 3|O_2(G)| = |G|/2$  and so  $|C_G(x)| = 2$ . Hence  $k = 0, O_2(G) = 1$ , that is,  $G \cong S_3$ .

**Proof of Theorem A.** Suppose that  $G$  is a non-trivial  $OC$ -group. We work for a

contradiction.

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.6,  $G$  is non-nilpotent and  $|Z(G)| = 2$ , so  $G$  is a rational group satisfying the conditions of Theorem B, we have  $G/O_2(G) \cong S_3, S_5, W$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ . Let  $M \triangleleft G$  such that  $O_2(G) < M < G$  and  $|G/M| = 2$ .

Let  $x \in G - M$  be not an 2-element, then  $x = yz, yz = zy$ , where  $y$  is an 2-element and  $z$  is of odd order. It is easy to see that  $y \in G - M$  and  $o(z)$  is a fixed positive integer, for example,  $o(z)=3$  if  $G/O_2(G) \cong S_5$  or  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ ;  $z$  does not exist if  $G/O_2(G) \cong S_3$  or  $W$ , that is, the elements in  $G - M$  are all 2-elements.

Let  $P \in Syl_2(G)$ ,  $P_1 = M \cap P \in Syl_2(M)$ ,  $exp(P_1) = 2^k$ . By the condition, there do not exist 2-elements  $x \in G - M$  and  $y \in M - Z(G)$  such that  $o(x) = o(y)$ . Note that  $G - M$  is not a  $G$ -class, otherwise  $G$  is a Frobenius group with kernel  $M$ , contradicting  $Z(G) > 1$ . We consider the following two cases.

Case 1,  $P - P_1$  possesses no involutions.

For any  $x \in G - M$ , if  $x$  is an 2-element, then  $o(x) = 2^{k+1}$ ; if  $x$  is not an 2-element, then  $o(x) = 3 \cdot 2^{k+1}$ . Hence  $G - M$  possesses just two  $G$ -classes of elements of orders  $2^{k+1}$  and  $3 \cdot 2^{k+1}$ , respectively. It follows that  $|C_G(x)| = 4$  for any  $x \in G - M$ , contradicting that  $G - M$  possesses the elements which are not 2-elements.

Case 2,  $P - P_1$  possesses an involution.

In this case,  $P_1 - Z(G)$  possesses no involutions, so  $P_1$  is a cyclic or generalized quaternion group.

If  $P_1$  is cyclic, then  $G/O_2(G)$  is neither isomorphic to  $S_5$  nor  $L_3(4) < \beta >$ . When  $G/O_2(G) \cong S_3$  or  $W$ ,  $\pi_e(G - M) = \{2, 2^{k+1}\}$  and  $G - M$  possesses just two  $G$ -classes. Hence  $P$  is cyclic, a contradiction.

If  $P_1$  is a generalized quaternion group.

(a). If  $G/O_2(G) \cong S_3$  or  $W$ , then  $G - M$  possesses just two  $G$ -classes and  $\pi_e(G - M) = \{2, 2^{k+1}\}$ . It follows that  $|C_G(x)| = 4$  for any  $x \in G - M$  and so  $2^{k+1} = 4, k = 1$ . Therefore  $P_1$  is an elementary abelian group, a contradiction.

(b). If  $G/O_2(G) \cong S_5$ , since  $P_1$  is a generalized quaternion group, then  $O_2(G)$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $P_1$  of index 4 and  $C_M(O_2(G)) > O_2(G)$ , and so  $O_2(G) = Z(M)$ . Clearly,  $M = M'$  (Otherwise  $P_1$  could not be a generalized quaternion group), hence  $O_2(G)$  is the Schur multiplier of  $A_5$ , where  $A_5 \cong M/O_2(G)$ , so  $O_2(G) = Z(G)$ . Therefore we have  $M = SL_2(5)$  and  $G = 2.A_5.2$ , where  $2.A_5.2$  is the Schur covering group of  $A_5$ , but in this case, both  $G - M$  and  $M$  possess the elements of the order 6, a contradiction.

(c). If  $G/O_2(G) \cong L_3(4) < \beta >$ , then  $M/O_2(G) \cong L_3(4)$ . Since  $P_1$  is a generalized quaternion group, we can easily get a contradiction by investigating the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $L_3(4)$ .

For solving Syskin's problem we all used the classification of finite simple groups directly or indirectly in [1], [2] and Theorem B of this paper.

**Problem** Whether or not exist a proof for solving Syskin's problem which does not depend on the classification of finite simple groups?

**Acknowledge** The authors would very much like to thank Professor J. P. Zhang for his helpful comments at the stage of preparation of this paper. In fact, he pointed out the result of Lemma 2.1. □



## References

- [1] Feit W., Seitz G. M., On finite rational groups and related topics. *Illinois J. Math.*, 1988, 33: 103 ~ 131.
- [2] Zhang J. P., On Syskin problem of finite group. *Science in China*, 1988, 2: 189 ~ 193.
- [3] Gow R., Groups whose characters are rationally-valued. *J. Algebra*, 1976, 40: 280 ~ 299.
- [4] Brandl R., Shi W. J., Finite groups whose element orders are consecutive integers, *J. Algebra*, 1991, 143: 388 ~ 400.
- [5] Feit W., *The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam New York Oxford, 1982, 419.
- [6] Huppert B., *Endlich gruppen I*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1979, 94, 253, 305.
- [7] Conway J. H., Curtis R. T., Norton S. P., et al. *Atlas of finite groups*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, New York, 1985, 1 ~ 88.
- [8] Isaacs I. M., *Character Theory of Finite Groups*. Academic Press, New York, 1976, 260.
- [9] Huppert B., *Character Theory of Finite Groups*. Walter de Gruyter · Berlin · New York, 1998, 161.