

# SOME EXAMPLES OF 1-CONVEX NON-EMBEDDABLE THREEFOLDS

GIOVANNI BASSANELLI AND MARCO LEONI

ABSTRACT. We construct a family of 1-convex threefolds, with exceptional curve  $C$  of type  $(0, -2)$ , which are not embeddable in  $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ . In order to show that they are not Kähler we exhibit a real 3-dimensional chain  $A$  whose boundary is the complex curve  $C$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In general a 1-convex manifold with 1-dimensional exceptional set is embeddable, that is it can be realized as an embedded subvariety of  $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ , for suitable  $m$  and  $n$ . The only possible exceptions are given by the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.1.** (see Theorem 3 in [C]) *Let  $X$  be a non-embeddable, 1-convex manifold whose exceptional set  $C$  has dimension 1. Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = 3$  and  $C$  has an irreducible component which is a rational curve of type  $(-1, -1)$ ,  $(0, -2)$  or  $(1, -3)$ .*

As regards the existence of the quoted exceptions, in [C] there is an example of type  $(-1, -1)$ . In [V1] (p. 242 B) there is an example of type  $(0, -2)$ , but the argument is dubious (see [C], Remark 4, but see also [V2]). For the case  $(1, -3)$  nothing is known.

In fact the first two cases are easier: as it is well known (see [L]), there is a family  $\{W_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of fiber bundles over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1$  which give a local model: this means that if  $X$  is a 1-convex threefold whose exceptional set is a smooth rational curve  $C$  of type  $(-1, -1)$  (respectively  $(0, -2)$ ) then there is a neighbourhood of  $C$  biholomorphic to a neighbourhood of the null section of  $W_1$  (resp. of  $W_k$ , for a suitable  $k \geq 2$ ) (see Definition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2). Moreover the sequence of the normal bundles associated to  $C$  is

$$\underbrace{(0, -2), \dots, (0, -2)}_{k-1}, (-1, -1).$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32F10.

Research partially supported by the GNSAGA of the INdAM..

Starting from this remark we shall show:

**THEOREM 1.2.** *For every integer  $k \geq 1$  there is a non-embeddable 1-convex threefold  $\tilde{X}_k$  whose exceptional set is a smooth rational curve  $C$  for whose the sequence of normal bundles is*

$$\underbrace{(0, -2), \dots, (0, -2)}_{k-1}, (-1, -1).$$

*In particular  $N_{C|\tilde{X}_1} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$  and, for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $N_{C|\tilde{X}_k} = \mathcal{O}(0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$ .*

For  $k = 1$  we get the above quoted Coltoiu's example. We point out that our construction is explicit and elementary; in order to see that  $\tilde{X}_k$  is not Kähler we shall exhibit a real 3-chain  $A$  whose boundary is the exceptional curve  $C$ .

## 2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. The equations :

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} w = \frac{1}{z} \\ y_1 = z^2 x_1 + z x_2^k \\ y_2 = x_2 \end{cases}$$

in the coordinates  $(z, x_1, x_2)$  and  $(w, y_1, y_2)$ , define a fiber bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1$ , with fiber  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , which will be denoted by  $W_k$ .

As we just said in the Introduction, these manifolds  $W_k$  are local models for 1-convex threefolds, as the following Proposition states.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *(see [P], p. 234) In any 1-convex threefold whose exceptional set is a smooth rational curve  $C$  of type  $(-1, -1)$  (resp.  $(0, -2)$ ) there exists a neighbourhood of  $C$  biholomorphic to a neighbourhood of the null section of  $W_1$  (resp. of  $W_k$ , for a suitable  $k \geq 2$ ).*

There is a geometrical description of these threefolds:

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $N_k \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbb{C}^4$  be the blow-up with center at the complex smooth surface*

$$(2.2) \quad S_k := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^4; z_1 - iz_2 = z_3 - z_4^k = 0\}.$$

*Then  $W_k$  is the strict transform of the hypersurface*

$$(2.3) \quad Y_k := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^4; z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 - z_4^{2k} = 0\}$$

*whose only one singular point is the origin  $P_k = 0$ ; the null section of  $W_k$  is  $C_k = f_k^{-1}(P_k)$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to follow the outline of [P], Example 2.14.  $\square$

Now we shall investigate in more detail this geometric construction and build a suitable commutative diagram

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} N_0 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & N_1 & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{h_{k-1}} & N_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{h_k} & N_k \\ \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 & & & & \downarrow f_{k-1} & & \downarrow f_k \\ M_0 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & M_1 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{g_{k-1}} & M_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{g_k} & M_k = \mathbb{C}^4 \end{array}$$

**Step 1.** *Applying the desingularization process to the hypersurface  $Y_k \subset \mathbb{C}^4 := M_k$  we the sequence*

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{g_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{g_2} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{k-1}} M_{k-1} \xrightarrow{g_k} M_k = \mathbb{C}^4$$

More precisely this sequence is defined by induction:  $M_{k-1} \xrightarrow{g_k} M_k = \mathbb{C}^4$  is the blow-up with center  $P_k := 0$ . Then define the chart  $(U_{k-1}; u_1, \dots, u_4)$  of  $M_{k-1}$  saying that in these coordinates the map  $g_k$  has the following equations:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} z_j = u_j u_4, & \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3 \\ z_4 = u_4 \end{cases}$$

Denoting by  $Y_{k-1} \subset M_{k-1}$  the strict transform of  $Y_k$ , we get that the only singular point of  $Y_{k-1}$  is  $P_{k-1} := 0 \in U_{k-1}$  and

$$(2.6) \quad Y_{k-1} \cap U_{k-1} = \{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 - u_4^{2(k-1)} = 0\}.$$

Comparing (2.6) with (2.3), we see that we can iterate the process: the map  $M_{j-1} \xrightarrow{g_j} M_j$  is the blow-up of center  $P_j$  and  $Y_{j-1}$  is the strict transform of  $Y_j$ . Finally, since

$$Y_0 \cap U_0 = \{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 - 1 = 0\}$$

$Y_0$  is smooth, so that the process ends.

We need the following lemma:

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be a smooth complex surface in a complex 4-fold  $M$  and let  $P \in S$ . There is the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N' & \xrightarrow{h} & N \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ M' & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

where:  $g$  is the blow-up with center  $P$ ,  $S'$  is the strict transform of  $S$  in  $M'$ ,  $f$  (resp.  $f'$ ) is the blow-up of center  $S$  (resp.  $S'$ ),  $h$  is the blow-up with center the curve  $C := f^{-1}(P)$ .

*Proof.* The problem is local near  $P$ , thus we may assume that  $M = \mathbb{C}^4$  and that  $S$  is a plane. Choosing  $S = \mathbb{C}^2 \times \{0\}$  the direct computation is easier.  $\square$

Now, recalling Proposition 2.3, we finish our construction:

**Step 2.** Define  $S_{j-1}$  as the strict transform of  $S_j$  by means of the map  $M_{j-1} \xrightarrow{g_j} M_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Let  $N_j \xrightarrow{f_j} M_j$  be the blow-up of center  $S_j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Moreover let  $C_j := f_j^{-1}(P_j)$  and  $N_{j-1} \xrightarrow{h_j} N_j$  be the blow-up with center  $C_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

Then the diagram (2.4) is commutative.

*Proof.* By means of Lemma 2.4 it is enough to check that  $P_j \in S_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k$ . But, as noted above,  $P_j = 0 \in U_j$  and using the chart  $U_j$ , it is straightforward to check that

$$(2.7) \quad S_j \cap U_j = \{u_1 - iu_2 = u_3 - u_4^j\}. \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** Let  $X_j$  be the strict transform of  $Y_j$  by means of the map  $N_j \xrightarrow{f_j} M_j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k$ . Considering restrictions of maps, we get, from (2.4) the following commutative diagram:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{h_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{h_{k-1}} & X_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{h_k} & X_k = W_k \\ \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 & & & & \downarrow f_{k-1} & & \downarrow f_k \\ Y_0 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{g_{k-1}} & Y_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{g_k} & Y_k \end{array}$$

Moreover:

- (i)  $X_j$  is smooth, the rational curve  $C_j$  (which is the center of center of  $h_j$ ) is contained in  $X_j$  and there is a neighbourhood of  $C_j$  in  $X_j$  biholomorphic to a neighbourhood of the null section of  $W_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ;
- (ii)  $C_j = h_j(C_{j-1})$ ,  $j \geq 2$ ;
- (iii)  $X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} Y_0$  is a biholomorphism;
- (iv) the exceptional divisor  $E_0$  of  $h_1$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1$  and the induced map  $E_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1 \xrightarrow{h_1} C_1 \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_1$  is one of the two canonical projections.

*Proof.* The diagram (2.8) is well defined, because diagram (2.4) is commutative. Comparing equations (2.3) and (2.6), (2.2) and (2.7) we may apply Proposition 2.3 for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus we get  $W_k = X_k$  and, for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ ,  $W_j = X_j \cap f_j^{-1}(U_j)$  and  $C_j$  is its null section. By Proposition 2.2,  $N_{C_1|X_1} = (-1, -1)$ , while  $N_{C_j|X_j} = (0, -2)$ , for  $j \geq 2$ . Thus the exceptional divisor  $E_{j-1}$  of  $h_j$  is a rational ruled surface:  $E_0 = F_0$  (this proves (iv)) and  $E_j = F_2$ , for  $j \geq 1$ . Now the curve  $C_j$  is not a

fiber of  $F_2$ , otherwise  $N_{C_j|X_j} = (0, -1)$ , thus  $C_j$  is a section of  $E_j \simeq F_2$ ; this shows (ii). Finally, since  $S_0$  and  $Y_0$  are smooth,  $X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} Y_0$  is a biholomorphism.  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* In the rational ruled surface  $F_2$  there is only one curve  $C$  with negative self-intersection:  $C \cdot_{F_2} C = -2$ . Since  $C_j$  is not a fiber of  $E_j$ , from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(C_j \cdot_{E_j} C_j) \rightarrow N_{C_j|X_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(C_j \cdot_{X_j} E_j) \rightarrow 0$$

it follows easily that  $C_j$  is the curve of  $E_j$  with negative self-intersection; this means that the sequence  $X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_k$  is the sequence of the blow-ups associated to the curve  $C_k$ .

Let us state the following elementary result

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_3; z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 - z_3^2 = 0\}$ . Every line of  $\mathcal{Q}$  has a real point.*

*Proof.* Let  $r \subset \mathcal{Q}$  be a line and let  $P \in r$ . If  $P$  is not real, consider the line  $s$  passing through  $P$  and  $\bar{P}$ . Now  $s$  is a real line and  $s \cap \mathbb{R}^4$  is external to the real sphere  $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}^4$ , therefore there are exactly two planes passing through  $s$  tangent to  $\mathcal{Q}$  and the tangent points are real. One of these planes must be the plane  $\alpha$  defined by the lines  $r$  and  $s$  (infact  $\alpha \cap \mathcal{Q}$  contains  $r$  and thus is a degenerate conic), therefore is tangent to  $\mathcal{Q}$  in a real point  $Q$  which must belong to  $r$ .  $\square$

By means of the detailed description of the map  $X_k \xrightarrow{f_k} Y_k$  given in (2.8), the following statement is a simple corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $B := \{z \in Y_k \cap \mathbb{R}^4; z_4 > 0\}$  and  $A := f_k^{-1}(B)$ . Then  $A$  is a real threefold with boundary  $\partial A = C_k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D := (g_k \circ \cdots \circ g_1)^{-1}(B)$ . Since the diagram (2.8) is commutative,  $A = h_k \circ \cdots \circ h_1(f_0^{-1}(D))$ . From (2.5) it follows that  $g_k^{-1}(B) \subset U_{k-1}$ , and iterating this argument we get that  $D \subset U_0$ , more precisely

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4; x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 1 = 0, x_4 > 0\}.$$

Therefore the boundary  $\partial D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4; x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 1 = x_4 = 0\}$  is contained in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^4; z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 - 1 = z_4 = 0\} = E_0 \cap U_0$ . By Corollary 2.5(iv) the fibers of the map  $E_0 \simeq f_0^{-1}(E_0) \xrightarrow{h_1} C_1$  are given by one of the two family of lines of the quadric  $E_0$ . By Lemma 2.7 each

of these lines intersect  $\partial D$ , therefore  $h_1(f_0^{-1}(\partial D)) = C_1$ . Thus from Corollary 2.5(ii)  $\partial A = C_k$ .  $\square$

In order to obtain our example  $\tilde{X}_k$  we must perturb  $Y_k$  outside the origin.

**LEMMA 2.9.** *For every fixed integer  $k \geq 1$  there exist an integer  $N > k$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  such that the origin is the only singular point of the hypersurface*

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{Y}_k := \{z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 - z_4^{2k} + \varepsilon(z_1^{2N} + z_2^{2N} + z_3^{2N} + z_4^{2N}) = 0\}.$$

The equations  $w_j := z_j(1 + \varepsilon z_j^{2N})^{1/2}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$  and  $w_4 = z_4(1 - \varepsilon z_4^{2N})^{1/2k}$  define a biholomorphic map  $V \xrightarrow{\Phi} \tilde{V}$  between two neighbourhood of the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . Thus we can define  $\tilde{X}_k$  gluing  $f_k^{-1}(V) \cap X_k$  and  $\tilde{Y}_k \setminus \{0\}$  by means of  $\Phi$ . The maps  $\tilde{X}_{j-1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_j$  and  $\tilde{Y}_{j-1} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_j$  are defined as above since nothing is changed near  $P_j$  and  $C_j$ , while the maps  $\tilde{X}_j \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_j$  are defined by a gluing process (these maps are not blow-ups).

**PROPOSITION 2.10.**  *$\tilde{X}_k$  is not embeddable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{B} := \mathbb{R}^4 \cap \tilde{Y}_k \cap \{x_4 > 0\}$ . From (2.9) it follows that  $\tilde{B}$  is relatively compact. From the definition of  $\Phi$  it follows that  $\tilde{B} \cap \tilde{V} = \Phi^{-1}(B \cap V)$ ; thus the preimage  $\tilde{A}$  of  $\tilde{B}$  is again a 3-chain with boundary  $C_k$ . Hence the exceptional curve  $C_k = \partial \tilde{A}$  is a boundary in  $\tilde{X}_k$ , which is not Kähler.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [C] Coltoiu, M.: *On 1-convex manifolds with 1-dimensional exceptional set*. Collection of papers in memory of Martin Jurchescu. rev. Roumaine Math. pures Appl. 43 (1998), n. 1-2, 97-104.
- [L] Laufer, H. B.: *On  $\mathbb{C}P_1$  as an exceptional set*. Recent developments in several complex variables (proc. Conf., Princeton, N. J., 1979), pp. 261-275, Ann. of Math. Study. 100, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton N. J., 1981.
- [P] Peternell, Th.: *Pseudoconvexity, the Levi problem and vanishing theorems*. Several complex variables, VII, pp. 221-257, Encyclopedia of mathematical Sciences, 74, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [V1] Vo Van Tan: *On certain non-Kählerian strongly pseudoconvex manifolds*. J. geom. Anal. 4 (1994), n. 2, 233-245.
- [V2] Vo Van Tan: *On the quasi-projectivity of compactifiable strongly pseudoconvex manifolds*. Bull. Sci. math. 129 (2005) 501-522.

(G. BASSANELLI) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI  
DI PARMA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, I-43100 PARMA, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `giovanni.bassanelli@unipr.it`

(M. LEONI) COLLÈGE LOUIS NUCÉRA, 171 ROUTE DE TURIN, 06300 NICE,  
FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* `marco.leoni2003@libero.it`