SAMPLING THEOREMS ON LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS FROM OSCILLATION ESTIMATES

H. FÜHR AND K. GRÖCHENIG

Abstract. We present a general approach to derive sampling theorems on locally compact groups from oscillation estimates. We focus on the L^2 -stability of the sampling operator by using notions from frame theory. This approach yields particularly simple and transparent reconstruction procedures. We then apply these methods to the discretization of discrete series representations and to Paley-Wiener spaces on stratified Lie groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The sampling theorem of Shannon-Whittaker-Kotel'nikov is the prototype of any sampling theorem. It states that a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $\widehat{f} \subseteq [-1/2, 1/2]$ can be reconstructed from its sampled values $f|_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by the cardinal series

(1)
$$
f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k) \frac{\sin \pi (x - k)}{\pi (x - k)},
$$

with convergence both in the L^2 -norm and uniformly. A related property is the norm equality

(2)
$$
||f||_2^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(k)|^2.
$$

In communication theory and signal processing [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is considered the paradigm of a digital-analog conversion, in applied mathematics the study of sampling and reconstruction theorems has become a independent and very active field (as is documented by the collections $[5, 6]$ $[5, 6]$. But the Shannon sampling theorem is also important in investigations that are not primarily motivated by applications. Sampling and interpolation problems form a whole branch of complex analysis [\[27\]](#page-17-0). Since by a theorem of Paley and Wiener a function is bandlimited if and only if it possesses an extension to an entire function of exponential type, spaces of bandlimited functions are often called Paley-Wiener spaces.

In this paper, we adapt the point of view that the sampling theorem is a phenomenon in harmonic analysis; the search for generalizations of the cardinal series in (nonabelian) locally compact groups is an interesting problem in itself, and abstract sampling theorems reveal new aspects of the analysis on locally compact groups.

Date: October 31, 2018.

²⁰⁰⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A80, 42B35; Secondary 42C40, 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Stratified Lie groups; Paley-Wiener space; sampling theorems; frames; discrete series representations.

The Shannon-Wittaker-Kotel'nikov sampling theorem [\(1\)](#page-0-0) and [\(2\)](#page-0-1) follows directly from the Poisson summation formula and is thus in the realm of locally compact abelian groups. Indeed, a version of the sampling theorem for LCA groups was formulated early on by Kluvanek [\[23\]](#page-17-1).

If $\mathbb R$ is replaced by a nonabelian locally compact group G , one is faced with several fundamental questions:

(a) What is the appropriate concept of a bandlimited function on G ?

(b) How to formulate and prove sampling theorems in the spirit of [\(1\)](#page-0-0)?

(c) Which sets in G can take the role of $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$? What is "uniform" and "nonuniform" sampling in G ?

These questions are of course interrelated and depend very much on which notion of bandlimited functions on G is chosen.

A natural attempt consists in replacing the Fourier transform on R by the operatorvalued Fourier-Plancherel transform on G. A function is then said to be be bandlimited, if its Fourier-Plancherel transform is supported in a given (quasi)-compact set of the dual object \widehat{G} . This notion was pursued by Dooley for motion groups, i.e., semidirect products of the form $G = \mathbb{R}^k \rtimes K$, for a compact matrix group K [\[11\]](#page-16-2). He derived uniqueness theorems for the resulting functions spaces together with reconstruction procedures.

In a different direction, Pesenson [\[24\]](#page-17-2) investigates sampling problems on stratified nilpotent Lie groups G. In his context, the Fourier transform on $\mathbb R$ is replaced by the spectral decomposition of the (left-invariant) sub-Laplacian on G. A function is then called bandlimited, if it belongs to the range of a spectral projection of the sub-Laplacian. Using a delicate optimization argument, he proved uniqueness theorems for spaces on bandlimited functions. More generally, in a series of papers [\[24,](#page-17-2) [25,](#page-17-3) [26\]](#page-17-4) Pesenson proposed an abstract notion of bandlimitedness associated to any (unbounded) self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space and applied this idea to a variety of situations.

Our contribution is threefold.

First, we develop the technique of oscillations estimates for sampling in left-invariant closed subspaces of $L^2(G)$ with a reproducing kernel. This technique has been developed in the early 90's to treat nonuniform sampling of bandlimited functions and of generalized wavelet transforms [\[15,](#page-16-3) [22\]](#page-17-5). Recently oscillation estimates have been rediscovered and adapted to sampling in shift-invariant spaces [\[1\]](#page-16-4), in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces [\[19\]](#page-16-5), and sampling in Paley-Wiener spaces on manifolds [\[16\]](#page-16-6).

Second, we solve the discretization problem for the continuous wavelet transform with respect to discrete series representations [\[2\]](#page-16-7). This is equivalent to a sampling theorem for the generalized wavelet transform and amounts to the construction of frames contained in a single orbit of the group action. Our contribution closes a technical gap in the literature (where the discretization was shown under an additional integrability condition [\[22\]](#page-17-5)).

Third, we derive a sampling theorem for bandlimited functions on stratified nilpotent Lie groups. The main theorem is a generalization of a celebrated theorem of Beurling [\[7\]](#page-16-8) from \mathbb{R}^n to stratified groups. Though some of the proof ideas are similar in spirit to Pesenson's [\[24\]](#page-17-2), our approach yields a significant technical simplification and several new insights. The stability of the sampling procedure is made explicit by formulating an analogue of Shannon's theorem (1) and (2) , and — at least in principle — the reconstruction of a bandlimited function from its samples is stable and constructive.

Notation. In the following, G denotes a second-countable non-compact, locally compact group. Then $L^2(G)$ is a separable Hilbert space. We denote integration against Haar measure by $\int_G \cdot dx$, and use |A| to denote the Haar measure of a Borel set $A \subset G$. \mathcal{U}_e denotes the neighborhood filter at unity. The left regular representation L of G acts on $L^2(G)$ by $L_x f(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$. Given a function f on G, we let $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}$ be the usual involution. In the following, we will use frequently that for $f, g \in L^2(G)$, the convolution $f * g^*$ is a well-defined continuous function vanishing at infinity, and that the convolution can be written as the inner product $f * g^*(x) = \langle f, L_x g \rangle$.

Acknowledgement. Part of this work was carried out when both authors were visiting the Erwin Schrödinger Institute in Vienna. We would like to thank ESI for its great working conditions and creative research atmosphere.

2. Sampling theorems and frames

In this section we recall a few general properties of spaces with sampling expansions and observe their close relationship to reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. This connection allows us to view reconstruction formulas as a discretization of the reproducing kernel.

The basic notion considered in this respect is that of a sampling set.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(G)$ be a leftinvariant closed subspace consisting of continuous functions. A discrete subset $\Gamma \subset G$ is called **sampling set (for H)** if the restriction map $R_{\Gamma}: f \mapsto f|_{\Gamma}$ is a topological embedding $\mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(\Gamma)$, i.e., if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

(3)
$$
A||f||^2 \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)|^2 \leq B||f||^2.
$$

When using the optimal constants in (3) , the quantity B/A is called **tightness** of the sampling set. \Box

The sampling theorems in [\[11,](#page-16-2) [24\]](#page-17-2) are concerned with establishing that R_{Γ} is injective, whereas we are interested in criteria to show that R_{Γ} is a topological embedding.

We first show that for left-invariant spaces the *continuity* of the sampling process implies the existence of a reproducing kernel for \mathcal{H} that is given by convolution with a suitable L 2 -function.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(G) \cap C(G)$ be closed and leftinvariant. Assume that there exists $\gamma \in G$ such that the point evaluation $f \mapsto f(\gamma)$ is a continuous linear functional on H. Then there exists a unique function $p \in \mathcal{H}$ with the following properties: $p = p * p^*$, and the orthogonal projection onto H is given by the map $f \mapsto f * p^*$. Furthermore, H consists of continuous functions vanishing at infinity.

Proof. By the Riesz representation theorem there exists $p_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f(\gamma) =$ $\langle f, p_{\gamma} \rangle$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. We let $p = L_{\gamma^{-1}} p_{\gamma}$. Since H is leftinvariant, $L_{\gamma x^{-1}} f \in \mathcal{H}$, and we obtain for all $x \in G$ that

$$
f(x) = f(x\gamma^{-1}\gamma) = (L_{\gamma x^{-1}}f)(\gamma) = \langle L_{\gamma x^{-1}}f, p_{\gamma} \rangle = \langle f, L_x(L_{\gamma}^{-1}p_{\gamma}) \rangle
$$

= $\langle f, L_x p \rangle = f * p^*(x)$.

Consequently, if $f \in \mathcal{H}$, then $f = f * p^*$, and if $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\perp}$, then $f * p^* = 0$. Thus the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal H$ is indeed given by right convolution with p^* and $\mathcal H$ consists of continuous functions vanishing at infinity.

Inserting $f = p$, we obtain $p = p * p^*$, and thus $p^* = (p * p^*)^* = p * p^* = p$. For uniqueness, assume that $q \in \mathcal{H}$ with $q = q * q^*$, and such that $f \mapsto f * q^*$ is the projection onto H . Observe that then $q = q^*$ and $p = p^*$, and therefore

$$
q = q^* = (q * p)^* = p^* * q^* = p * q = p.
$$

 \Box

Recall that a **frame** in a Hilbert space H is a family $(\eta_i)_{i\in I}$ satisfying for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ the inequalities

$$
A||f||^{2} \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, \eta_{i} \rangle|^{2} \leq B||f||^{2},
$$

for constants $0 < A \leq B \leq \infty$.

It has been observed on several occasions that sampling theorems in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces are closely related to frames. The following result formulates this for the group case.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(G)$ a leftinvariant closed subspace consisting of continuous functions, and such that point evaluations are continuous on H . Let p be the associated reproducing kernel of H. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) Γ is a sampling set.
- (b) The family $(L_{\gamma}p)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a frame of H.

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that sampled values and frame coefficients coincide. □

Remark 2.4. The previous observations allow us to apply well-known results from frame theory to obtain sampling expansions. In particular, the reconstruction of a function from its samples can be achieved by the frame algorithm [\[12,](#page-16-9) [8\]](#page-16-10). Let

(4)
$$
Sf = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle f, L_{\gamma} p \rangle L_{\gamma} p = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) L_{\gamma} p
$$

be the frame operator associated to the family $\{L_{\gamma}p : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Then $\langle Sf, f \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)|^2 \ge$ $\mathcal{A}||f||^2$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and so S is invertible on \mathcal{H} . Set $\tilde{e}_{\gamma} = S^{-1}(L_{\gamma}p)$, the so-called *dual* frame, then

(5)
$$
f = S^{-1}Sf = S^{-1}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma)L_{\gamma}p\right) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma)\tilde{e_{\gamma}},
$$

and so we have a sampling expansion that is in complete analogy to the cardinal series [\(1\)](#page-0-0). The above series [\(5\)](#page-3-0) converges unconditionally in $L^2(G)$ by frame theory, but it also converges uniformly. Since $f(x) = (f * p^*)(x) = \langle f, L_x p \rangle$ and thus $|f(x)| \le ||f|| ||p||$ uniformly in $x \in G$, we obtain the uniform convergence of [\(5\)](#page-3-0) from the L^2 -convergence.

The reconstruction formula can be simplified in the following two cases.

If the sampling set is tight, i.e. $A = B$ in [\(3\)](#page-2-0), then the frame operator S is $A \cdot Id_{\mathcal{H}}$, and so $\tilde{e}_{\gamma} = S^{-1}(L_{\gamma}p) = \frac{1}{A}L_{\gamma}p$. The reconstruction $f = \frac{1}{A}$ $\frac{1}{A} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) L_{\gamma} p$ is then the exact analog of [\(1\)](#page-0-0).

Furthermore, if the sampling set Γ is a subgroup, then S commutes with the translations $L_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma$, and so $S^{-1}(L_{\gamma}p) = L_{\gamma}S^{-1}p = L_{\gamma}\tilde{e}$ for a single function $e \in \mathcal{H}$. As a result we obtain the following analog of Shannon's sampling theorem:

(6)
$$
f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) (L_{\gamma} \tilde{p})(x) .
$$

This reconstruction formula is in nice correspondence to the continuous formula

(7)
$$
f(x) = \langle f, L_x p \rangle = \int_G f(y) (L_y p^*)(x) dy.
$$

Now [\(6\)](#page-4-0) is easily recognized as a Riemann sum analog of [\(7\)](#page-4-1). Hence one expects that $\tilde{p} \approx c_{\Gamma} p$, as the tightness of the frame approaches one.

Remark 2.5. In the light of Theorem [2.2,](#page-2-1) Dooley's definition of bandlimited functions turns out to be too large for the existence of Shannon-type sampling theorems. Using the Plancherel formula for the motion group, one can show that Dooley's spaces have an L^2 -reproducing kernel only if the group G is a *finite* extension of a vector group. See $[21]$ for more details. \Box

3. Sampling and oscillation estimates

The sampling theorems obtained in this paper are derived using L^2 -estimates of the oscillatory behavior of the functions under consideration. Following [\[22\]](#page-17-5), we define the modulus of continuity, the so-called *oscillation*, of a function f on G .

Definition 3.1. For a function f on G and a set $U \subset G$, we define

$$
osc_U(f)(x) = \sup_{y \in U} |f(x) - f(xy^{-1})|.
$$

Clearly, $U \subset U'$ implies $\operatorname{osc}_U(f) \leq \operatorname{osc}_{U'}(f)$ pointwise. Moreover, if U is relatively compact and f is continuous, then $\csc_U(f)$ is well-defined everywhere and continuous: Indeed, for $x, z \in G$,

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n|\text{osc}_{U}(f)(x) - \text{osc}_{U}(f)(z)| & = & \left| \sup_{y \in U} |f(x) - f(xy^{-1})| - \sup_{y \in U} |f(z) - f(zy^{-1})| \right| \\
& \leq & \sup_{y \in U} \left| |f(x) - f(xy^{-1})| - |f(z) - f(zy^{-1})| \right| \\
& \leq & |f(x) - f(z)| + \sup_{y \in U} |f(xy^{-1}) - f(zy^{-1})| \,.\n\end{array}
$$

If $z \to x$, then the first term tends to zero by continuity of f. The second term goes to zero, because f is (left) uniformly continuous on the relatively compact set xU .

We next consider conditions on the sampling set. These requirements are quite intuitive and generalize certain density concepts from \mathbb{R}^n to G.

Conditions of this type are often encountered in connection with discretization, see e.g. [\[7,](#page-16-8) [13\]](#page-16-12).

Definition 3.2. Let $U, W \subset G$ be Borel, and $\Gamma \subset G$ countable.

(a) Γ is called **U-dense** if $\Gamma U = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma U = G$.

(b) Γ is called **W-separated** if $|\gamma W \cap \gamma' W| = 0$ for distinct $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. Γ is called separated if it is W-separated for some $W \in \mathcal{U}_e$.

(c) Γ is a **quasi-lattice** if there exists a relatively compact Borel set C, such that Γ is both C-separated and C-dense. Such a set C is called a **complement of** Γ .

The main example of a quasi-lattice is a cocompact, discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ (often called a lattice in G). Here any relatively compact fundamental domain of Γ , i.e. a relatively compact set of representatives mod Γ , can be chosen as a complement C. However, the concept of a quasi-lattice is strictly weaker than that of a cocompact discrete subgroup, and quasi-lattices may exist even in groups that do not admit a lattice.

We next collect some technical lemmas in connection with separated and dense sets.

Lemma 3.3. For every $U \in \mathcal{U}_e$ there exists a separated U-dense set.

Proof. Let $V \in \mathcal{U}_e$ satisfying $VV^{-1} \subset U$. Choose a V-separated set Γ that is maximal with respect to inclusion. Then by maximality, for every $g \in G$ there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $gV \cap \gamma V \neq 0$. But this implies $g \in \gamma V V^{-1} \subset \gamma U$, and so Γ is U-dense. that $qV \cap \gamma V \neq 0$. But this implies $q \in \gamma V V^{-1} \subset \gamma U$, and so Γ is U-dense.

The following lemma provides a substitute for a uniform partition of G.

Lemma 3.4. Let $U, W \in \mathcal{U}_e$ with $W \subset U$, and $\Gamma \subset G$ be a W-separated and U-dense set. Then there exist relatively compact Borel sets $(V_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ such that $W \subset V_\gamma \subset U$ and $(\gamma V_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a partition of G, i.e., $G = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma}^{\bullet} \gamma V_{\gamma}$ as a disjoint union.

Proof. Since G is σ -compact, the cardinality of disjoint translates of $W \in \mathcal{U}_e$ can be at most countable. Since Γ is W-separated and U-dense, Γ must be countable. We may therefore enumerate Γ using a bijection $\mathbb{N} \to \Gamma$ and write $\Gamma = {\gamma_k : k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Now let $A = G \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma W$, which is a Borel set. We define recursively

(8)
$$
V_{\gamma_k} = W \cup \gamma_k^{-1} \left((A \cap \gamma_k U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i V_{\gamma_i} \right) .
$$

Observe that the union is *disjoint*, since $\gamma_k W \subset G \setminus A$, whereas

$$
\gamma_k \gamma_k^{-1} \left((A \cap \gamma_k U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma_i V_{\gamma_i} \right) \subset A .
$$

We claim that the V_{γ} 's have the desired properties. Clearly, $W \subset V_{\gamma} \subset U$ and the V_{γ} 's are measurable by construction. Now suppose that $g \in \gamma_j V_{\gamma_j} \cap \gamma_k V_{\gamma_k}$ for $j \leq k$. On the one hand, if $g \in \gamma_k W$, then $g \in G \setminus A$, whereas $g \in \gamma_j V_j$ implies $g \in \gamma_j W$. This contradicts the W-separatedness. On the other hand, if $g \in A$, then

$$
g\in (A\cap \gamma_k U)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1}\gamma_i V_{\gamma_i} ,
$$

which contradicts $g \in \gamma_j V_j$, as $j < k$.

Finally, let $g \in G$ be arbitrary. If $g \in \Gamma W$, then $W \subset V_\gamma$ shows $g \in \gamma V_\gamma$ for a suitable γ . In the other case let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal with $k \in \gamma_k U$. Then $g \in \gamma_k V_{\gamma_k}$, since

$$
\gamma_k V_k \supset (A \cap \gamma_k U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i V_{\gamma_i} \supset (A \cap \gamma_k U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i U.
$$

By assumption on k, g is contained in $\gamma_k U$, but not in $\gamma_i U$, for $i < k$, which proves the statement. \Box

The next theorem shows how to derive sampling theorems from oscillation estimates.

Theorem 3.5. Let $U, W \in \mathcal{U}_e$ and $W \subset U$ and $\Gamma \subset G$ be U-dense and W-separated set. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(G)$ be a closed, leftinvariant subspace of $L^2(G)$ consisting of continuous functions and assume that there exists $\epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1$, such that $\|\cos c_U(f)\|_2 \leq \epsilon \|f\|_2$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Then Γ is a sampling set for \mathcal{H} . More precisely, we have the estimate

(9)
$$
\frac{1}{|U|^2} (1 - \epsilon)^2 \|f\|_2^2 \le \|R_\Gamma f\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{|W|^2} (1 + \epsilon)^2 \|f\|_2^2, \qquad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}
$$

Proof. Let $(V_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be the family of Borel sets asserted by Lemma [3.4.](#page-5-0) We introduce the auxiliary operator $Q: \ell^2(\Gamma) \to L^2(G)$ defined by

$$
Q((c_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_{\gamma} L_{\gamma} \chi_{V_{\gamma}}.
$$

Since the sets γV_{γ} are pairwise disjoint and $|W| \leq |V_{\gamma}| \leq |U|$, Q is a well-defined bounded operator with operator norm $||Q||_{\infty} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |V_{\gamma}| \leq |U|$. More importantly, Q has a bounded inverse on its range with operator norm $||Q^{-1}||_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |V_{\gamma}|} \le \frac{1}{|W|}$ $\frac{1}{|W|}$.

Since Q is an interpolation of the sequence (c_{γ}) by a step function, it is plausible that Q approximates well the inverse of R_{Γ} . The following estimate makes this precise by introducing osc_U to the argument. Since $V_\gamma \subset U$ and the γV_γ 's are disjoint, we may estimate, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
||f - QR_{\Gamma}f||_2^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma V_{\gamma}} |f(x) - f(\gamma)|^2 dx
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma V_{\gamma}} |\text{osc}_{U}(f)(x)|^2 dx
$$

\n
$$
= ||\text{osc}_{U}(f)||_2^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \epsilon^2 ||f||_2^2.
$$

Consequently, we obtain the upper bound of the sampling inequality [\(3\)](#page-2-0) for $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$
||R_{\Gamma}f||_2 = ||Q^{-1}QR_{\Gamma}f||_2
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||Q^{-1}||_{\infty}||QR_{\Gamma}f||_2
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||Q^{-1}||_{\infty} (||f||_2 + ||f - QR_{\Gamma}f||_2)
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||Q^{-1}||_{\infty} (1 + \epsilon) ||f||_2
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{|W|} (1 + \epsilon) ||f||_2.
$$

The decisive lower bound follows similarly by

$$
||R_{\Gamma}f||_2 \geq ||Q||_{\infty}^{-1}||QR_{\Gamma}f||_2
$$

\n
$$
\geq ||Q||_{\infty}^{-1} (||f||_2 - ||f - QR_{\Gamma}f||_2)
$$

\n
$$
\geq ||Q||_{\infty}^{-1} (1 - \epsilon) ||f||_2
$$

\n
$$
\geq \frac{1}{|U|} (1 - \epsilon) ||f||_2.
$$

Thus Γ is a sampling set for \mathcal{H} .

Remark 3.6. The theorem allows to estimate the tightness of the sampling estimate from above by

$$
\frac{|U|^2}{|W|^2} \cdot \frac{(1+\epsilon)^2}{(1-\epsilon)^2}.
$$

The first quotient $|U|^2/|W|^2$ is a measure for the **uniformity** of the sampling set Γ. For quasi-lattices it is $|U|^2/|W|^2 = 1$ and we may therefore call this case uniform sampling. In this case the tightness estimate in Theorem [3.5](#page-6-0) depends only on the oscillation.

The second quotient $\frac{(1+\epsilon)^2}{(1-\epsilon)^2}$ $\frac{(1+\epsilon)^2}{(1-\epsilon)^2}$ depends on the **density** of Γ . Since $\operatorname{osc}_U(f) \to 0$ as $U \to$ $\{e\}$, high density (small U) improves the tightness of the sampling procedure. \Box

Theorem [3.5](#page-6-0) and Lemma [3.3](#page-5-1) yield the following existence result.

Corollary 3.7. Let H be a leftinvariant closed space consisting of continuous functions that satisfy $\|\operatorname{osc}_U(f)\|_2 \leq \epsilon \|f\|_2$ for $\epsilon < 1$ and a suitable $U \in \mathcal{U}_e$. Then there exists a sampling set for H.

A simple but useful trick for the derivation of oscillation estimates is the following observation:

(10)
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\cos c_U(f*g)(x) &= \sup_{z \in U} |\int_G f(y)(g(y^{-1}x) - g(y^{-1}xz^{-1})dy| \\
&\leq \int_G |f(y)| \sup_{z \in U} |g(y^{-1}x) - g(y^{-1}xz^{-1})| dy \\
&\leq (|f| * \cos c_U(g))(x)\n\end{aligned}
$$

This can be combined with Theorem [3.5](#page-6-0) to establish sampling theorems for a certain class of leftinvariant spaces. Similar arguments were employed in [\[14,](#page-16-13) [22\]](#page-17-5).

Theorem 3.8. Let H be a closed, leftinvariant subspace of $L^2(G)$. Assume that there exists a continuous $g \in L^1(G)$ satisfying $\|\operatorname{osc}_W g\|_1 < \infty$ for some $W \in \mathcal{U}_e$, as well as $f = f * g$ for all $f \in H$. Then there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_e$ such that every separated U-dense set is a sampling set.

Proof. The continuity of g yields that $\operatorname{osc}_U(g) \to 0$ pointwise, as U runs through a basis of \mathcal{U}_e . Since $\|\cos\psi g\|_1 < \infty$, and \mathcal{U}_e has a countable basis, the dominated convergence theorem applies to yield $\operatorname{osc}_{U} g \to 0$ in the L¹-norm. Pick U with $\|\operatorname{osc}_{U} g\|_1 < 1$. Then inserting (10) into Theorem [3.5](#page-6-0) yields the desired statement. \Box

4. Sampling Theorems and Discrete Series Representations

In this section we consider a particular case of left-invariant closed subspaces of $L^2(G)$ that arise in the context of the representation theory of G. The reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces described in Theorem [2.2](#page-2-1) occur naturally as the range of a (generalized) continuous wavelet transforms. These are obtained by the following procedure. Given a unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}_{π}) and a vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}$, we define the (generalized) wavelet *transform* V_{η} from \mathcal{H}_{π} to $L^{\infty}(G)$ by

$$
V_{\eta}\varphi(x) = \langle \varphi, \pi(x)\eta \rangle \qquad x \in G.
$$

This operator maps vectors $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ onto representation coefficients of G. We call η **admissible** whenever V_{η} is an isometry into $L^2(G)$. The properties of the regular representation of G lead to the following conclusions: (a) the space $\mathcal{H} = V_{\eta}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi})$ is a closed, leftinvariant subspace of $L^2(G)$, (b) V_η intertwines (π, \mathcal{H}) and the regular representation L_x restricted to $V_n(L^2(G))$, and (c) the projection from $L^2(G)$ onto H is given by right convolution with $S = V_n \eta$. See e.g. [\[21\]](#page-16-11) and the references therein for details. Now the construction of a sampling set for H is equivalent to the problem of finding $\Gamma \subset G$ such that $\pi(\Gamma)\eta$ is a frame of \mathcal{H}_{π} . This question is referred to as the *discretization problem* for the continuous wavelet transform, and has attracted considerable attention [\[2,](#page-16-7) [8\]](#page-16-10).

A special class of representations for which the construction of frames has been investigated extensively are the so-called discrete series representations, i.e., irreducible square-integrable representations of G. These always possess admissible vectors. The papers [\[13,](#page-16-12) [10,](#page-16-14) [22,](#page-17-5) [4,](#page-16-15) [20,](#page-16-16) [3\]](#page-16-17) are a small, but non-exhaustive list of papers where the discretization problem of discrete series representations has been studied.

To our knowledge, the construction of frames from discrete series representations has been proven rigorously only under the additional assumption that the representation be integrable. The following result shows that all discrete series representations can be discretized and yield a construction of frames. Despite the widespread interest in this question, the result seems to be new (although it has been mentioned in [\[22\]](#page-17-5)).

Theorem 4.1. Let π be a discrete series representation of G. There exists a vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_{e}$ (depending on η and π) such that $(\pi(\gamma)\eta)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H}_{π} , whenever $\Gamma \subset G$ is separated and U-dense.

Proof. Let ψ be an arbitrary admissible vector, $\mathcal{H}_0 = V_{\psi}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}) \subseteq L^2(G)$ and P be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(G)$ onto \mathcal{H}_0 . Choose $h \in C_c(G)$ that projects onto a nonzero element of \mathcal{H}_0 . This means that $Ph = V_{\psi}\eta$ for some non-zero $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}$. Since V_{ψ} is an isometry and intertwines with the regular representation of G , we obtain

$$
V_{\eta}\varphi(x) = \langle \varphi, \pi(x)\eta \rangle
$$

= $\langle V_{\psi}\varphi, L_xV_{\psi}\eta \rangle$
= $\langle PV_{\psi}\varphi, P(L_xh) \rangle$
= $\langle V_{\psi}\varphi, L_xh \rangle$
= $(V_{\psi}\varphi * h^*)(x).$

Therefore $V_{\eta}(\pi(z)\phi) = V_{\psi}(\pi(z)\phi) * h^* = L_z(V_{\psi}\phi * h^*) = L_zV_{\eta}\phi$, and V_{η} is a (nonzero) bounded intertwining operator from \mathcal{H}_{π} into $L^2(G)$. Since π is irreducible and η is nonzero, Schur's lemma implies that V_{η} is a scalar multiple of an isometry and that V_{η} maps \mathcal{H}_{π} onto a closed leftinvariant subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(G)$.

We can now employ (10) to establish

$$
\|\text{osc}_{U}V_{\eta}\varphi\|_{2} \leq \|V_{\psi}\varphi\|_{2} \|\text{osc}_{U}h^{*}\|_{1} = c_{\eta,\psi} \|V_{\eta}\varphi\|_{2} \|\text{osc}_{U}h^{*}\|_{1} ,
$$

for a suitable positive constant $c_{\eta,\psi}$. Since $h \in C_c(G)$, the oscillation $\csc_U h^*$ is a bounded and compactly supported for all relatively compact sets U. In particular, $\operatorname{osc}_U h^*$ is integrable, and by choosing U small enough, we obtain $c_{\eta,\psi}$ || $\csc_U h^*$ || $_1 < 1$. This is exactly, what is needed to apply Theorem [3.5.](#page-6-0) We conclude that every separated and U-dense set $\Gamma \subset G$ is a sampling set for \mathcal{H} , and $(\pi(\gamma)\eta)_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H}_{π} .

Remark 4.2. It is easy to see that the set of η for which the above argument works, is a dense subspace of \mathcal{H}_{π} . If in addition, π is integrable, then a different argument yields the existence of "frame vectors" η [\[22\]](#page-17-5).

For nilpotent connected Lie groups, all discrete series representations are in fact inte-grable; this follows by [\[9,](#page-16-18) Theorem 4.5.11]. However, the semisimple Lie group $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ provides an example of a discrete series representation that is not integrable. \Box

5. Paley-Wiener spaces on stratified Lie groups

As a second application we use the oscillation method of Section 3 to derive sampling theorems on stratified Lie groups. In the setting of stratified Lie groups, the required oscillation estimates can be formulated and proved with particular ease. The intuition behind this approach is that the control over the sub-Laplacian entails control over all finite order left-invariant differential operators. Hence the oscillation can be controlled.

We first recall some basic facts about stratified Lie groups and then define Paley-Wiener spaces on such groups. We refer to $[9, 18, 17]$ $[9, 18, 17]$ $[9, 18, 17]$ for more details. We assume that G is a simply connected, connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$ of dimension n. The Lie algebra is assumed to be **stratified**, which means that \mathfrak{g} is the direct sum of subspaces V_1, \ldots, V_m satisfying $[V_1, V_j] = V_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, where we use $V_{m+1} = \{0\}$. The **homogeneous dimension** of G is given by $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{m} j \cdot (\dim V_j)$. We will use results from [\[17\]](#page-16-20), and therefore we adopt the assumption $Q > 2$ made in that paper, noting that it only excludes the groups $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb R^2$, for which the sampling theorems derived below are known anyway. For the following, we fix a basis X_1, \ldots, X_n of $\mathfrak g$ that is composed of bases of the V_j , i.e., $X_k \in V_j$ for $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \dim(V_i) < k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} \dim(V_i)$.

If g is stratified, it possesses a one-parameter group of Lie algebra automorphisms defined as

$$
\delta_t(\sum_{i=1}^m v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m t^i v_i, v_i \in V_i.
$$

We also fix a **homogeneous norm**, which is a mapping $|\cdot| : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}^+$, fulfilling $|\delta_t(X)| =$ t|X| and $|-X| = |X|$. Confer [\[18\]](#page-16-19) for existence.

Since for simply connected, connected nilpotent Lie groups the exponential map exp : $\mathfrak{g} \to G$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism with polynomial inverse, the dilations δ_t on \mathfrak{g} yield a one-parameter group of automorphisms δ_t of G, and a homogeneous norm |.| on G. (As is costumary, we use the same notation on $\mathfrak g$ and on G.) The homogeneous norm fulfills $|\delta_t(x)| = t|x|, |x^{-1}| = |x|$, and the triangle inequality

(11)
$$
|xy| \leq C_{\triangle}(|x|+|y|) \qquad x, y \in G,
$$

for some constant $C_{\triangle} > 0$ [\[18,](#page-16-19) Proposition 1.6]. The Haar measure is changed by the dilations δ_t according to the formula $|\delta_t(A)| = t^Q |A|, A \subseteq G$. Consequently, on $L^2(G)$ we have

(12)
$$
||f \circ \delta_t||_2 = t^{-Q/2} ||f||_2.
$$

Next we consider differential operators on G . $C^k(G)$ denotes the space of k times continuously differentiable functions on G . We identify $\mathfrak g$ in the usual manner with the space of leftinvariant differential operators of order one acting on $C^{\infty}(G)$. We use the multiindex notation X^{α} , for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, to denote the monomial differential operator $X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}$ of order $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i|$, wh $X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}$ of order $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i|$, where the X_i are the elements of the basis fixed above. Since δ_t acts on V_j by multiplication with t^j , all X_i are homogeneous, and

(13)
$$
X_i(f \circ \delta_t) = t^j(X_i f) \circ \delta_t \quad \text{for } X_i \in V_j, \forall f \in C^{\infty}(G).
$$

Consequently, all monomial differential operators X^{α} inherit a similar homogeneity property,

(14)
$$
X^{\alpha}(f \circ \delta_t) = t^{d(\alpha)}(X^{\alpha}f) \circ \delta_t,
$$

where $d(\alpha)$ is a suitable integer $> |\alpha|$.

For the analysis on stratified Lie groups the so-called **sub-Laplacian** \mathcal{L} plays a distinguished role. If $\ell = \dim(X_1)$, then X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ is a basis of V_1 , and the sub-Laplacian is defined as $\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} X_i^2$. It is well-known that \mathcal{L} extends uniquely from $C_c^{\infty}(G)$ to a selfadjoint positive definite operator on $L^2(G)$. By the spectral theorem, we can associate to $\mathcal L$ a projection-valued measure (or spectral measure), which we denote by $\Pi_{\mathcal{L}}$. Following Pesenson [\[24\]](#page-17-2), the **Paley-Wiener space** $E_{\omega}(G)$, for $\omega > 0$, is defined by $E_{\omega} = \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}([0,\omega])(L^2(G))$. Since \mathcal{L} is left-invariant, the projections $\Pi_{\mathcal{L}}([0,\omega])$ are also left-invariant, and therefore E_{ω} is a closed, leftinvariant subspace of $L^2(G)$.

Example 5.1. If $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the sub-Laplacian is simply the Laplace operator $-\Delta$. The Fourier transform yields the spectral representation $(-\Delta f)\hat{}(\xi) = |\xi|^2 \hat{f}(\xi)$, therefore $(\Pi_{\Delta}([0,\omega])f)(\xi) = \chi_{\{\xi:|\xi|^2 \leq \omega\}}|\xi|^2 \hat{f}(\xi)$, and so the Paley-Wiener space E_{ω} is identical to the space of "bandlimited functions" $\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq B_{\sqrt{\omega}}\}.$

This example illustrates that $E_{\omega}(G)$ is a reasonable generalization of the usual notion of Paley-Wiener space on \mathbb{R}^n .

The following theorem summarizes the main property of E_{ω} that is required for the derivation of sampling theorems.

Theorem 5.2. For all $\omega > 0$, $E_{\omega} \subset C^{\infty}(G)$. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the differential operator $X^{\alpha}: E_{\omega} \to L^2(G)$ is bounded.

Proof. The domains of the powers of the sub-Laplacian define a scale of Sobolev spaces S_s^2 (s > 0) [\[17\]](#page-16-20). The Bernstein inequality [\[24,](#page-17-2) Theorem 1] states for all $f \in E_\omega$ and all

 $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\|\mathcal{L}^k f\| \leq \omega^k \|f\|$, in particular $E_\omega \subset S_{2k}^2$. This holds for all $k \geq 0$, hence $E_{\omega} \subset C^{\infty}(G)$. Now by Corollary 4.13 of [\[17\]](#page-16-20) the Sobolev norm, for fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
||f||_{2,2k} = ||f||_2 + ||\mathcal{L}^k f||_2
$$

is equivalent to the norm

$$
f\mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2k}\|X^\alpha f\|_2\ .
$$

Consequently, the Bernstein inequality implies for all $f \in E_{\omega}$

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \le 2k} \|X^{\alpha} f\|_2 \le C\omega^k \|f\|_2,
$$

with a constant C independent of f. \Box

Our goal is to establish a sampling theorem for the Paley-Wiener space $E_{\omega}(G)$. In view of Theorem [5.2](#page-10-0) the basic strategy is to derive a uniform L^2 -estimate for the oscillation of $f \in E_{\omega}$. Applying suitable dilations δ_t to a U-dense and W-separated set $\Gamma \subseteq G$, we can produce a set $\delta_t(\Gamma)$ of any required density, while preserving the uniformity. It is then plausible that the tightness of a sampling estimate improves with increasing density.

Therefore we try to derive estimates of $\csc(U)$ as a function of the diameter of U. As a tool we will use the mean value theorem, which is the simplest version of Taylor's formula for G, and Sobolev-type estimates. We cite the mean value theorem, which is a left-invariant version of [\[18,](#page-16-19) 1.33].

Lemma 5.3. Let G be stratified. There exist constants $C > 0$ and $b > 1$ such that for all $f \in C^1(G)$ and all $x, y \in G$,

$$
|f(xy) - f(x)| \le C|y| \sup_{|z| \le b|y|, 1 \le j \le n} |X_j f(xz)|.
$$

Next we state a Sobolev-type estimate for the comparison of a local uniform norm and the L²-norm. Given any function f on $G, U \subset G$, we write $||f||_{p,U} = ||f \cdot \chi_U||_p$ for the $local L^p$ -norms.

Lemma 5.4 ([\[9\]](#page-16-18), Lemma A.1.5). For each compact set $K \subset G$ there is a constant C_K such that

$$
||f||_{\infty,K} \leq C_K \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} ||X^{\alpha}f||_2, \qquad \forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(G) .
$$

For the derivation of oscillation estimates, we will need a local version of this lemma.

Lemma 5.5. Let $K \subset G$ be relatively compact, and suppose that $U \supset \overline{K}$ is open and relatively compact. There exists a constant $C_{K,U}$ such that for all $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$

(15)
$$
||f||_{\infty,K} \leq {2n \choose n}^{1/2} C_{K,U} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} ||X^{\alpha}f||_{2,U}^2\right)^{1/2}.
$$

Proof. Fix $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ with $\psi|_K \equiv 1$ and $\psi|_{G\setminus U} \equiv 0$. If $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$, the Sobolev estimate of the previous lemma implies the estimate

$$
||f||_{\infty,K} = ||f \cdot \psi||_{\infty,K}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C_K \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} ||X^{\alpha}(\psi \cdot f)||_{2,G}
$$

\n
$$
= C_K \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} ||X^{\alpha}(\psi \cdot f)||_{2,U}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C_{\psi} C_K \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} ||X^{\alpha}f||_{2,U} .
$$

Applying the (discrete) Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we find that

(16)
$$
||f||_{\infty,K} \leq C_n C_{K,U} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} ||X^{\alpha} f||_{2,U}^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Here $C_{K,U} = C_K C_{\psi}, C_n = \text{card} \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n : |\alpha| \le n \}^{1/2} = {2n \choose n}$ $\binom{2n}{n}^{1/2} \leq 2^{n-1/2}$, and the latter constant depends only on the dimension of G .

In the following it is understood that $C_{K,U}$ is the optimal constant. The next lemma investigates the behavior of this constant under translations and dilations.

Lemma 5.6. Let $K \subset U$, with K and U relatively compact and U open. Then (i) $C_{xK,xU} = C_{K,U}$ for all $x \in G$. (ii) If $0 < r < 1$, then

$$
C_{\delta_r(K),\delta_r(U)} \leq r^{-Q/2} C_{K,U} .
$$

Proof. (i) is clear, since the differential operators X^{α} are left-invariant. (ii) The inequality follows from

$$
||f||_{\infty, \delta_r(K)} = ||f \circ \delta_r||_{\infty, K}
$$

\n
$$
\leq {2n \choose n}^{1/2} C_{K,U} \Big(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} ||X^{\alpha} (f \circ \delta_r)||_{2,U}^2 \Big)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
= {2n \choose n}^{1/2} C_{K,U} \Big(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} r^{2d(\alpha)} ||(X^{\alpha} f) \circ \delta_r||_{2,U}^2 \Big)^{1/2},
$$

where we used the homogeneity relation [\(14\)](#page-10-1). As $r \leq 1$, we continue

$$
\binom{2n}{n}^{1/2} C_{K,U} \Big(\sum_{|\alpha| \le n} r^{2d(\alpha)} \| (X^{\alpha} f) \circ \delta_r \|_{2,U}^2 \Big)^{1/2} \le
$$

$$
\le \binom{2n}{n}^{1/2} C_{K,U} \Big(\sum_{|\alpha| \le n} \| (X^{\alpha} f) \circ \delta_r \|_{2,U}^2 \Big)^{1/2}
$$

$$
= \binom{2n}{n}^{1/2} C_{K,U} r^{-Q/2} \Big(\sum_{|\alpha| \le n} \| (X^{\alpha} f) \|_{2,\delta_r(U)}^2 \Big)^{1/2} ,
$$

where the last equality is an application of [\(12\)](#page-10-2). Since $C_{\delta_r(K),\delta_r(U)}$ is the optimal constant, the conclusion follows.

The following lemma contains the central estimate for the oscillation of functions in the Paley-Wiener space. We will write $B_{\epsilon} = \{x \in G : |x| < \epsilon\}$ for the homogeneous ball of radius ϵ centered at e. All oscillation estimates below are formulated with respect to these balls, which are symmetric and relatively compact sets [\[17,](#page-16-20) Lemma 1.2].

Lemma 5.7. There exists a constant C_G depending only on the group G such that for all $f \in E_1$ and all $0 < r \leq 1$ the oscillation estimate

(17)
$$
\|\text{osc}_{B_r}(f)\|_2 \leq rC_G \|f\|_2
$$

holds. The constant $C_G > 0$ can be chosen to be

(18)
$$
C_G = {2n \choose n}^{1/2} 2^{Q/2} b^{Q/2} C_{B_b, B_{2b}} |B_1|^{1/2} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n+1} \|X^{\alpha}\|_{E_1 \to L^2(G)},
$$

where b is the constant from Theorem [5.3.](#page-11-0)

Proof. We first apply Lemma [5.3](#page-11-0) and estimate

$$
\int_{G} |\n\cos_{B_r} f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{G} \sup_{|y|< r} |f(x) - f(xy^{-1})|^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{G} \sup_{|y|< r} |y|^2 \sup_{|z|< b|y|, 1 \leq j \leq n} |X_j f(xz)|^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq r^2 \int_{G} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} ||X_j f||^2_{\infty, xB_{rb}} dx,
$$

Next the Sobolev estimate [\(15\)](#page-11-1) allows us to continue

$$
\cdots \leq r^2 {2n \choose n} \int_G C_{xB_{rb}, xB_{2rb}}^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n+1} \|X^{\alpha} f\|_{2, xB_{2rb}}^2 dx
$$

$$
= r^2 {2n \choose n} C_{B_{rb}, B_{2rb}}^2 \int_G \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n+1} \|X^{\alpha} f\|_{2, xB_{2rb}}^2 dx ,
$$

Applying the Lemma [5.6,](#page-12-0) we can continue by

$$
\begin{split}\n&\therefore \quad \leq \quad r^{2-Q} \binom{2n}{n} \ C_{B_b, B_{2b}}^2 \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n+1} \int_G \int_{B_{2rb}} |X^{\alpha} f(xy)|^2 dy dx \\
&= \quad r^{2-Q} \binom{2n}{n} \ C_{B_b, B_{2b}}^2 |B_{2rb}| \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n+1} \|X^{\alpha} f\|_2^2 \\
&= \quad r^{2-Q} \binom{2n}{n} \ (2rb)^Q C_{B_b, B_{2b}}^2 |B_1| \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq n+1} \|X^{\alpha} f\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \quad r^2 C_G^2 \|f\|_2^2 \ .\n\end{split}
$$

In the last step we have used the boundedness of differential operators on E_1 by Theo-rem [5.2.](#page-10-0) \Box

Now it is easy to prove a sampling theorem for Paley-Wiener spaces. We first give a version for arbitrary nonuniform sets.

Theorem 5.8. Given a band-width $\omega > 0$, choose $s < r < \min(C_G^{-1} \omega^{-1/2}, \omega^{-1/2})$. Then every B_s -separated and B_r -dense set $\Gamma \subset G$ is a sampling set for E_ω . In particular the sampling inequality

(19)
$$
\frac{\omega^{-Q/2}}{|B_r|^2} (1 - r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2 \|f\|_2^2 \le \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)|^2 \le \frac{\omega^{-Q/2}}{|B_s|^2} (1 + r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2 \|f\|_2^2
$$

holds for every $f \in E_{\omega}$.

Proof. Assume first that $\omega = 1$. Then by Lemma [5.7](#page-13-0) the r-oscillation of $f \in E_1$ is at most rC_G . Choosing $r < \min(C_G^{-1}, 1)$, Theorem [3.5](#page-6-0) is applicable and yields the sampling inequality [\(19\)](#page-14-0).

The extension to arbitrary ω is obtained by a dilation argument. For $t > 0$ let U_t denote the unitary dilation operator $f \mapsto t^{-Q/2} f \circ \delta_{t^{-1}}$.

We claim that $U_t(E_\omega) = E_{t^2\omega}$. To see this, we note that by [\(13\)](#page-10-3) and [\(14\)](#page-10-1) the sub-Laplacian is 2-homogeneous and thus satisfies $U_t \mathcal{L} = t^{-2} \mathcal{L} U_t$. Since the spectral measure is unique, we conclude that $U_t \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}(A) = \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}(t^{-2}A)U_t$ for any Borel set $A \subseteq G$ and $t^{-2}A =$ $\{t^{-2}r : r \in A\}$. As a consequence,

(20)
$$
U_t E_{\omega} = U_t \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}([0, \omega])(L^2(G)) = \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}([0, t^{-2}\omega])U_t(L^2(G))
$$

$$
= \Pi_{\mathcal{L}}([0, t^{-2}\omega])(L^2(G)) = E_{t^{-2}\omega} .
$$

For the reduction of the general case $\omega > 0$ to $\omega = 1$ we choose $t = \sqrt{\omega}$. If $\Gamma \subseteq G$ is B_{s-1} separated and B_r -dense, then $\delta_{\sqrt{\omega}} \Gamma$ is $B_{\sqrt{\omega}s}$ -separated and $B_{\sqrt{\omega}}$ -dense. Since $r\sqrt{\omega} < C_G^{-1}$, $\delta_{\sqrt{\omega}}\Gamma$ is a sampling set for E_1 . Now take an arbitrary $f \in E_{\omega}$, then by [\(20\)](#page-14-1) $U_{\delta_{\sqrt{\omega}}} \in E_1$. By the special case $\omega = 1$ we obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{|B_{\sqrt{\omega}r}|^2} (1 - r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2 ||U_{\sqrt{\omega}}f||_2^2 \le
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |U_{\sqrt{\omega}}f(\delta_{\sqrt{\omega}}\gamma)|^2 = \omega^{-Q/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(\gamma)|^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{|B_{\sqrt{\omega}s}|^2} (1 + r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2 ||U_{\sqrt{\omega}}f||_2^2
$$

Since U_t is unitary, and $|B_r|^2/|B_{\sqrt{\omega}r}|^2 = \omega^{-Q} = |B_s|^2/|B_{\sqrt{\omega}s}|^2$, we have proved [\(19\)](#page-14-0) for all $\omega > 0$.

Remark 5.9. At first glance, the dilation property of E_{ω} is unexpected, therefore it is instructive to formulate Theorem [5.8](#page-14-2) explicitly on \mathbb{R}^n . On \mathbb{R}^n , $E_{\omega} = \{f \in L^2 : \text{supp}\hat{f} \subseteq$ $B_{\sqrt{\omega}}$. If $f \in E_{\omega}$, then $(U_t f)^\gamma(\xi) = t^{n/2} \hat{f}(t\xi)$ and $\text{supp}(U_t f)^\gamma \subseteq B_{\sqrt{\omega}/t^2}$.

For $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem [5.8](#page-14-2) contains a celebrated theorem of Beurling [\[7\]](#page-16-8). He proved (in our normalization) that if $r\sqrt{\omega} < \pi/2$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is separated and B_r -dense, then Γ is a sampling set for E_{ω} . The beauty of Beurling's Theorem is that the density condition

is sharp, whereas our condition $r\sqrt{\omega} < C_G^{-1}$ is weaker. In our experience, oscillation estimates do not lead to sharp density results, their strength lies in the general applicability. \Box

Theorem [5.8](#page-14-2) treats the general case of nonuniform sampling in E_{ω} . In this case the tightness of the sampling estimate possesses the upper bound $\frac{|B_{\delta_r}|^2}{|B_{\delta_r}|^2}$ $\frac{|B_{\delta_r}|^2}{|B_{\delta_s}|^2} \frac{(\widetilde{1}+r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2}{(1-r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2}$ $\frac{(1+r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2}{(1-r\sqrt{\omega}C_G)^2}$. Next we treat the case of uniform sampling, i.e., sampling on quasi-lattices, where better estimates can be derived.

First we show that quasi-lattices always exist in a simply connected solvable Lie group. By contrast, a nilpotent Lie group allows the existence of a discrete cocompact subgroup only if it has rational structure constants (see e.g. [\[9\]](#page-16-18) for this well-known theorem of Malcev).

Proposition 5.10. Let G be a simply connected, connected solvable Lie group. Then there exists a quasi-lattice $\Gamma \subset G$.

Proof. We proceed by induction over the dimension of G. The one-dimensional case is obvious by taking the lattice $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

For the induction, choose a (connected) normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ of codimension one. Then we can write $G = N \rtimes \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{R} acts via a suitable homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to$ Aut(N) and the group law on $G \equiv N \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ is $(n, t)(m, s) = (n\alpha_t(m), t + s)$. By induction hypothesis, there exists a quasi-lattice $\Gamma_0 \subset N$ and a (relatively compact) complement $C_0 \subset N \subset G$.

We set

$$
\Gamma = \{(\alpha_\ell(\gamma), \ell) : \gamma \in \Gamma_0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

and

$$
C = \{(n, t): n \in C_0, t \in [0, 1)\}.
$$

We claim that Γ is a quasi-lattice in G with complement C.

Let $(m, s) \in G = N \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. We can write $s = \ell + t$ for unique $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [0, 1)$. Likewise, since Γ_0 is a quasi-lattice in N, there are unique $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ and $n \in C_0$ such that $\gamma n = \alpha_{-\ell}(m) \in N$. Then $(m, s) = (\alpha_{\ell}(\gamma n), \ell + t) = (\alpha_{\ell}(\gamma), \ell)(n, t) \in \Gamma C$. Thus ΓC is a covering of G, and the uniqueness of the factorization implies that the covering is disjoint, in other words, Γ is a quasi-lattice. \Box

The final result of the paper is devoted to regular sampling. We observe that here the tightness of the sampling estimate approaches the optimum as the sampling density increases.

Theorem 5.11. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a quasi-lattice, and $\omega > 0$. Let $C \subset G$ be a complement of Γ satisfying $C \subset B_s$ for a suitable $s > 0$. Then $\delta_r(\Gamma)$ is a sampling set for E_ω , as soon as r satisfies $r < \min(s^{-1}\omega^{-1/2}, s^{-1}\omega^{-1/2}C_G)$. The tightness of the sampling expansion is $\leq (1 + \frac{2rs\omega^{1/2}}{C_G})^2.$

Proof. We only consider $\omega = 1$, the general case follows just as in the proof of the previous theorem. As C is a complement of Γ , $\delta_r(C)$ is a complement of $\delta_r(\Gamma)$, due to the fact that δ_r is an automorphism. Moreover $C \subset B_s$ implies $\csc_{\delta_r(C)}(f) \leq \csc_{B_{rs}}(f)$. Hence [\(9\)](#page-6-1), with $U = W = \delta_r(C)$, yields the desired statement, including the tightness estimate. \Box

Remark 5.12. We expect that the arguments employed here for the L^2 -case should be adaptable to yield Plancherel-Polya-type results for the L^p-setting, for $1 \le p < \infty$. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aldroubi and H. G. Feichtinger. Exact iterative reconstruction algorithm for multivariate irregularly sampled functions in spline-like spaces: the $L \supseteq p$ -theory. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(9):2677– 2686, 1998.
- [2] S. T. Ali, J.-P. Antoine, J.-P. Gazeau, and U. A. Mueller. Coherent states and their generalizations: A mathematical overview. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 7(7):1013–1104, 1995.
- [3] P. Aniello, G. Cassinelli, E. De Vito and A. Levrero, *Wavelet transforms and discrete frames associated to semidirect products,* J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998), 3965-3973.
- [4] D. Bernier and K. Taylor, *Wavelets from square-integrable representations,* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 27 (1996), 594-608.
- [5] J. J. Benedetto and P. J. S. G. Ferreira, editors. *Modern sampling theory*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. Mathematics and applications.
- [6] J. J. Benedetto and A. I. Zayed, editors. *Sampling, wavelets, and tomography*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [7] A. Beurling. Local harmonic analysis with some applications to differential operators. In *Some Recent Advances in the Basic Sciences, Vol. 1 (Proc. Annual Sci. Conf., Belfer Grad. School Sci., Yeshiva Univ., New York, 1962–1964)*, pages 109–125. Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva Univ., New York, 1966.
- [8] O. Christensen. An introduction to frames and Riesz bases. Birkhäuser, Bosten, 2003.
- [9] L. Corwin and F.P. Greenleaf. *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications. Part 1: Basic theory and examples.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [10] I. Daubechies, *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis,* IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 34 (1988), 961-1005.
- [11] A.H. Dooley, *A nonabelian version of the Shannon sampling theorem,* Siam. J. Math. Anal. 20 (1989), 624-633.
- [12] R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer,*A class of nonharmonic Fourier series,* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 341-366.
- [13] H.G. Feichtinger and K.-H. Gröchenig, A unified approach to atomic decompositions through in*tegrable group representations,* 52-73 in *Function spaces and applications,* Hrsg. M. Cwikel et al., Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1302, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [14] H.G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, *Irregular sampling theorems and series expansions of bandlimited functions,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 167, No.2, 530-556 (1992).
- [15] H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig. Iterative reconstruction of multivariate band-limited functions from irregular sampling values. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 23(1):244–261, 1992.
- [16] H.G. Feichtinger and I. Pesenson, *Recovery of band-limited functions on manifolds by an iterative algorithm,* Heil, Christopher (ed.) et al., *Wavelets, frames and operator theory.* Contemporary Mathematics 345 (2004), 137-152.
- [17] G.B. Folland, *Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups,* Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), 161-207.
- [18] G.B. Folland and E.M. Stein. *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982.
- [19] M. Fornasier and H. Rauhut. Continuous frames, function spaces, and the discretization problem. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 11(3):245–287, 2005.
- [20] H. Führ, *Wavelet frames and admissibility in higher dimensions*, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 6353-6366.
- [21] H. Führ. *Abstract Harmonic Analysis of Continuous Wavelet Transforms*. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1863, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 2005.

18 H. FÜHR AND K. GRÖCHENIG

- [22] K. Gröchenig, *Describing functions: Atomic decompositions versus frames*, Monatsh. Math. 112 (1991) 1-42.
- [23] I. Kluv´anek. Sampling theorem in abstract harmonic analysis. *Mat.-Fyz. Casopis Sloven. Akad. ˇ Vied*, 15:43–48, 1965.
- [24] I. Pesenson, *Sampling of Paley-Wiener functions on stratified groups*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 4 (1998), 271-281.
- [25] I. Pesenson, *Sampling of band-limited vectors,* J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 7 (2001), 92-100.
- [26] I. Pesenson. Poincaré-type inequalities and reconstruction of Paley-Wiener functions on manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 14(1):101–121, 2004.
- [27] K. Seip. *Interpolation and sampling in spaces of analytic functions*, volume 33 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

Institute of Biomathematics and Biometry, GSF National Research Center for Environment and Health, Ingolstadter Straße 1, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany. ¨ *E-mail address*: fuehr@gsf.de

E-mail address: karlheinz.groechenig@gsf.de