PackLib²: An Integrated Library of Multi-Dimensional Packing Problems

Sándor P. Fekete and Jan C. van der Veen¹

Department of Mathematical Optimization, Braunschweig University of Technology, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany.

Abstract

We present PackLib², the first fully integrated benchmark library for multi-dimensional packing instances. PackLib² combines a systematic collection of all benchmark instances from previous literature with a well-organized set of new and challenging large instances. The XML format allows linking basic benchmark data with other important properties, like bibliographic information, origin, best known solutions, runtimes, etc. Transforming instances into a variety of existing input formats is also quite easy, as the XML format lends itself to easy conversion; for this purpose, a number of parsers are provided. Thus, PackLib² aims at becoming a one-stop location for the packing and cutting community: In addition to fair and easy comparison of algorithmic work and ongoing measurement of scientific progress, it poses numerous challenges for future research.

Key words: packing and cutting, benchmark library, multi-dimensional packing, open problems, XML.

1 Introduction

A crucial feature of most important real-world operations research problems is that they tend to be computationally hard: It is highly unlikely that there exists an "ideal" algorithm that will construct a provably optimal solution in relatively short time, no matter what instance it is faced with. Fast and easy heuristics may return solutions that are quite poor for "difficult" instances;

Email addresses: s.fekete@tu-bs.de@tu-bs.de (Sándor P. Fekete),

j.van-der-veen@tu-bs.de (Jan C. van der Veen).

¹ Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for project "ReCoNodes", grants FE 407/8-1 and FE 407/8-2.

even sophisticated methods that are guaranteed to find an optimum may return a solution only after prohibitively long time. Nevertheless, such difficult problems need to be dealt with, so algorithms have to be constructed, tested, improved and compared.

A natural approach for evaluating the practical performance of solution methods is to run experiments on test instances. This is even true for problems that allow a theoretically "good", i.e., polynomial, algorithm, as this does not guarantee a useful running time in practical applications. Obviously, the choice of test instances may have a crucial impact on the results of such experiments, and comparisons between alternative approaches are only possible when similar test instances are used. Finally, beyond the performance of individual algorithmic implementations, keeping track of scientific progress over time is of vital interest for a research community. This makes it also desirable to maintain a canon of open challenge problems that can serve as catalysts for future developments.

2 Benchmark Libraries

A well-established answer to the demands described above is establishing and maintaining benchmark libraries for a large variety of problems. In operations research, one of the first such efforts was undertaken by Beasley with ORLIB [4], a collection of instances for 98 different classes of combinatorial optimization problems, ranging from airport capacity allocation problems to vehicle routing problems, and including many different cutting and packing instances.

Arguably the most prominent of benchmark libraries for combinatorial optimization is the TSPLIB [26] by Reinelt. As the name indicates, this collection is comprised of instances of the traveling salesman problem (TSP), even though there are also some instances of the capacitated vehicle routing problem. The TSPLIB has been extremely successful in various ways. Its instances have been used for a large variety of problems, e.g., for matching [10] or for finding long tours [15]. Moreover, solving a large, previously unsolved TSPLIB instance has become a major scientific achievement, requiring years of work by outstanding researchers and decades of CPU time, announced in newspaper headlines and in one case [1] recognized as work worthy of a Beale-Orchard-Hays Prize for Excellence in Computational Mathematical Programming. Clearly, the TSPLIB demonstrates that a benchmark library can be more than just a basis for runtime comparison of algorithmic code.

Two other examples of benchmark libraries are the MIPLIB for mixed integer programming (presented by Bixby et al. [7]) that serves as a benchmark for all modern integer linear programming solvers, and the SteinLib by Koch et al. [23] that clearly demonstrates the advances in preprocessing and exact solution methods for Steiner tree problems.

3 Packing Problems

Problems of cutting and packing are among the most important problems in both mathematical programming and real-world operations research. Even the basic one-dimensional versions of problems like bin packing and knapsack (discussed and used as examples in any introductory course in optimization) are NP-hard, but are more or less well-understood by means of linear and integer programming. Multi-dimensional generalizations face additional difficulties, as a straightforward modeling as a compact integer linear program is no longer available (see Fekete and Schepers [18] for a discussion.) As demonstrated in this special issue (and its predecessors [12], [6], and [30]), this gives rise to a multitude of algorithmic approaches, dealing with a variety of problem variants. But unlike the progress made for the TSP, the Steiner tree problem, and for one-dimensional packing problems, solution methods for multi-dimensional packing problems have failed to provide breakthroughs, where the size of solved benchmark instances has grown by several orders of magnitude, e.g., reaching the 24978 cities of Sweden for the TSP. At this point, the two-dimensional knapsack instance gcut13, consisting of 32 rectangles, is beyond the reach of the best solution methods by Fekete and Schepers [16] and Caprara and Monaci [8]. At the same time, multi-dimensional packing instances are among the most popular types of puzzles, sometimes even surrounded by quite a bit of hype, e.g., Monckton's "Eternity" tiling puzzle that was the subject of a \pounds 1,000,000 prize contest [22].

Over the years, a number of benchmark instances for cutting and packing have been presented and used in the scientific literature. Beasley's ORLIB had a limited number of two-dimensional instances. Wottawa's PACKLIB [32] was a first attempt at establishing a general benchmark library for multidimensional packing. ESICUP [13] started to collect data sets from different sources; unfortunately, these instances differ in file format, making it harder than neccessary for researchers to facilitate them in their research.

Other instances were created and used in the context of a variety of research papers; see Section 5 for an overview. It should be noted that most of the larger instances were originally designed as test instances for other, more restricted problems like guillotine cutting. This indicates that even though the capability of algorithms for solving instances of multi-dimensional packing problems has grown only moderately compared to those for other problems, the development of benchmark instances has not kept up. This makes it desirable to establish a collection of harder instances, allowing a basis for comparison and an ongoing challenge for further progress.

4 PackLib²

As indicated above, there has been more than one attempt at establishing a library of cutting and packing problems. Each of these libraries had their advantages and their shortcomings. From the perspective of input, the strong point of the ORLIB has been its very simple file format: the description of a packing instance is reduced to numbers. On the other hand, this also constitutes a disadvantage, as a correct parsing of an instance requires reading the corresponding article; moreover, despite of its compact representation, OR-LIB encoding still contains some redundant information. If a cost is given for a box, it is almost always equal to its volume. Other important information is omitted from the files. This has lead to modifications of instances: In [8] Caprara and Monaci accidentally solve a slight modification of the gcut instances. The gcut instances do not contain information how many boxes of a given type can be packed. So Caprara and Monaci assumed that there was only one box of each type.

The most sophisticated attempt at setting up a cutting and packing library in terms of file format has been Wottawa's PACKLIB [32]. Wottawa tried to promote a file format that was self-describing. Its drawback was that, at that time, it required a rather sophisticated and error-prone parser to read such a file.

As indicated by the name, PackLib² is a successor of PACKLIB. Like PACK-LIB it employs state-of-the-art technologies for representing cutting and packing instances. Unlike all previous attempts, PackLib² files not only capture instances but also references to creators, references to attempts at solving the instances, bibliographic information, and solution data. Because PackLib² is XML-based, the parser for our file format is based on standard technology. As distribution has progressed from electronic mail [4] over ftp-servers (possibly dressed in a web interface) [32], we are making full use of current cross-referencing possibilities of websites: PackLib² is hosted at

http://www.math.tu-bs.de/packlib2

As mentioned above, the core of PackLib² is a set of XML-based files, one for each article listed on the website. Each of these files is subdivided into three sections:

(1) The description section gives general information about the article. This is

the only mandatory section of a PackLib² XML file. This section basically is an extension of the BibTeX format.

- (2) If new problem instances or modifications of known instances are described in the article, they are listed in the problem section.
- (3) Finally the results section lists the computational results of the article. Whenever we are able to obtain a complete description of the solution, the solution itself and resulting images are available on PackLib².

A detailed and up-to-date description (including future updates and extensions) of the file format is available on the PackLib² website. Based on these XML files, the PackLib² website is rebuilt (half-)automatically whenever a new file is added. This automatism ensures the integrity and comparability of the results obtained by different researchers.

Besides listing instances and results, PackLib² also hosts cutting and packing software. At this point, a parser for the XML files, as well as converters to an ORLib-like format and to the old PackLib format are available. Furthermore a program that generates zero–one ILP formulations based on [2] is available.

5 Description of Data Sets

In this section we describe the instances that are currently part of PackLib², listed in chronological order. So far, all instances are two-dimensional instances. Most instances presented were originally posed as guillotine cutting stock problems. They have been reused in other settings as well. We have classified all results using the new typology presented in [31].

The oldest and smallest instance was defined by Herz in [19], presenting a recursive procedure for the two-dimensional guillotine cutting problem. The algorithm was implemented in PL/1 and one hand-crafted instance was solved.

In 1977 Christofides and Whitlock presented a tree-search algorithm for the same problem [9]. They tested their algorithm on 7 instances of the twodimensional guillotine cutting problem. Three of these instances were described explicitly and are part of PackLib². The test problems were randomly generated. Given the container A_0 with area $\alpha_0 = L_0 W_0$, m boxes with area α_i were drawn uniformly at random from the interval $[0, 0.25\alpha_0]$. Given these areas, the length l_i of a box was drawn from the interval $[0, \alpha_i]$ and then rounded up to the nearest integer. The width of the boxes was calculated by $w_i = \lceil \alpha_i / l_i \rceil$. The boxes were then weighted by $v_i = r_i \alpha_i$, where r_i is a uniformly distributed random number in the range from 1 to 3.

Another set of instances is due to Bengtsson. In [5] he gave 10 two-dimensional

bin-packing problems. For each of the instances he generated 200 boxes with length $\lfloor 12r+1 \rfloor$ and width $\lfloor 8r+1 \rfloor$. Here *r* is drawn from a uniform distribution in the range (0,1). Two different containers of width 25 and length 10 and of width 10 and height 25 were considered.

Two practical instances of the constrained two-dimensional cutting stock problem taken from applications in the lumber industry were given by Wang in [29]. In [2], Beasley introduced 13 randomly generated problems of different sizes. Here the length l_i of each box was generated by sampling an integer from the uniform distribution $[L_0/4, 3L_0/4]$. The width w_i was drawn from the interval $[W_0/4, 3W_0/4]$. L_0 and W_0 denote width and height of the container. The value of the boxes was set to their area.

In [3] Beasley introduces 12 more packing instances. The procedure for generating instances is essentially the same as in [9]. In addition, each box may be packed more than once. The maximal count was generated by sampling an integer from the uniform distribution [1, 3].

Hadjiconstantinou and Christofides introduced 12 new data sets for the general, orthogonal, two-dimensional kanpsack problem. They were generated as follows. The dimensions l_i and w_i of the boxes R_i are integers sampled from the uniform distributions $[1, 0.75W_0]$ and $[0.1, 0.75W_0]$, respectively. The integer value v_i was generated by multiplying l_iw_i by a real random number drawn from a uniform distribution and rounding up the result to the nearest integer.

PackLib² also hosts two randomly generated instances of the two-dimensional cutting-stock problem by Tschöke and Holthöfer [28]. Five more instances were listed explicitly in Hifi's article [20]. In [17] five new two-dimensional knapsack instances are defined. They were produced by a method described in [24, 25].

For the (un)weighted constrained two-dimensional cutting stock problem seven problems were given by Cung, Hifi, and Le Cun in [11]. The box sizes l_i and w_i are chosen uniformly at random from the intervals $[0.1L_0, 0.75L_0]$ and $[0.1W_0, 0.75W_0]$. The weight assigned to the boxes is computed by $v_i = \lceil \rho l_i w_i \rceil$, where $\rho = 1$ for the unweighted case and $\rho \in [0.25, 0.75]$ for the weighted case.

Finally there are the C-instances by Hopper and Turton [21]. These instances have 17 to 197 items. Three instances were generated for each problem catogery. Width and height of the boxes are produced randomly with a maximum aspect ratio of 7. The problems were constructed such that the optimal solution is known in advance. The ratio of the two dimensions of the container varies between 1 and 3.

Many of these instances have been used again and again by other researchers to demonstrate the effectiveness of their algorithms. This fact is now traceable through PackLib².

6 Conclusions

There are various possible expansions of PackLib². An obvious one is to add more instances; contributions are always welcome, especially if they are provided with accompanying data, ideally in XML format. Other enhancements include two- and three-dimensional problem variations (like including order constraints, as suggested in [14]) and the possibility to consider other types of objective functions.

We are also in the process of soliciting practical problem instances from the technical computer science community dealing with reconfigurable computing, where the objective is to place reconfigurable modules in two-dimensional space (on a reconfigurable device like an FPGA) and time (as space may be re-used when a module is no longer needed). This means that instances are three-dimensional. See [27] for a more detailed description.

Another upcoming step will be to upgrade $PackLib^2$ to host more algorithms. In the very near future we plan to post the implementation of our leading-edge packing code [16,17], as well as meta-heuristic based algorithms to tackle even larger instances. Again, constributions are welcome.

Acknowledgements

We thank Andreas Ahrens, Peter Degenkolbe, and Christopher Tessars for their help with setting up and maintaining PackLib², and two anonymous referees for suggestions that helped to improve the presentation of this paper.

References

- D. Applegate, R. Bixby, V. Chvátal, and W. Cook. On the solution of traveling salesman problems. *Documenta Mathematica*, Extra Volume Proceedings ICM III (1998):645–656, 1998.
- J. E. Beasley. Algorithms for unconstrained two-dimensional guillotine cutting. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 36:297–306, 1985.
- [3] J. E. Beasley. An exact two-dimensional non-guillotine cutting tree search procedure. Operations Research, 33:49-64, 1985.

- [4] J. E. Beasley. OR-Library: distributing test problems by electronic mail. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 41(11):1069–1072, 1990.
- [5] B. E. Bengtsson. Packing rectangular pieces–a heuristic approach. *The Computer Journal*, 25:353–357, 1982.
- [6] E. Bischoff and G. Wäscher, editors. Special Issue on Cutting and Packing, volume 84 of European Journal of Operational Research. Elsevier B. V., 1995.
- [7] R. E. Bixby, E. A. Boyd, S. S. Dadmehr, and R. Indovina. The MIPLIB mixed integer programming library. COAL Bulletin, 22, 1993.
- [8] A. Caprara and M. Monaci. On the two-dimensional knapsack problem. Operations Research Letters, 32(1):5–14, 2004.
- [9] N. Christofides and C. Whitlock. An algorithm for two-dimensional cutting problems. Operations Research, 25(1):30–44, 1977.
- [10] W. J. Cook and A. Rohe. Computing minimum-weight perfect matchings. INFORMS Journal on Computing, 11:138–148, 1999.
- [11] V.-D. Cung, M. Hifi, and B. Le Cun. Constrained two-dimensional cutting stock problems – A best-first branch-and-bound algorithm. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, 7:185–210, 2000.
- [12] H. Dyckhoff and G. Wäscher, editors. Special Issue on Cutting and Packing, volume 44 of European Journal of Operational Research. Elsevier B. V., 1990.
- [13] ESICUP. EURO Special Interest Group in Cutting and Packing. http://www.apdio.pt/sicup.
- [14] S. P. Fekete, E. Köhler, and J. Teich. Multi-dimensional packing with order constraints. In *Proceedings 7th International Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures*, volume 2125 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 300–312. Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [15] S. P. Fekete, H. Meijer, A. Rohe, and W. Tietze. Good and fast heuristics for large geometric maximum matching and maximum traveling salesman problems. *Journal of Experimental Algorithms*, 7, 2002. 20 pages.
- [16] S. P. Fekete and J. Schepers. An exact algorithm for higher-dimensional orthogonal packing. Revised for Operations Research.
- [17] S. P. Fekete and J. Schepers. A new exact algorithm for general orthogonal d-dimensional knapsack problems. In *Algorithms – ESA '97*, volume 1284 of *Lecture Notes Computer Science*, pages 144–156. Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [18] S. P. Fekete and J. Schepers. A combinatorial characterization of higherdimensional orthogonal packing. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 29:353– 368, 2004.
- [19] J. C. Herz. Recursive computational procedure for two-dimensional stock cutting. IBM Journal of Research and Development, 16:462–469, 1972.

- [20] M. Hifi. An improvement of Viswanathan and Bagchi's exact algorithm for constrained two-dimensional cutting stock. *Computers and Operations Research*, 24(8):727–736, 1997.
- [21] E. Hopper and B. C. H. Turton. An empirical investigation of meta-heuristic and heuristic algorithms for a 2D packing problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 128(1):34–57, 2001.
- [22] E. Pegg jr. The Eternity puzzle. http://www.mathpuzzle.com/eternity. html.
- [23] T. Koch, A. Martin, and S. Voß. SteinLib: An updated library on Steiner tree problems in graphs. Technical Report ZIB-Report 00-37, Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik Berlin, Takustr. 7, Berlin, 2000.
- [24] S. Martello, D. Pisinger, and D. Vigo. The three-dimensional bin packing problem. Operations Research, 48:256–267, 2000.
- [25] S. Martello and D. Vigo. Exact solution of the two-dimensional finite bin packing problem. *Management Science*, 44(3):388–399, 1998.
- [26] G. Reinelt. TSPLIB a traveling salesman problem library. ORSA Journal of Computing, 3(4):376–384, 1991.
- [27] J. Teich, S. P. Fekete, and J. Schepers. Optimal hardware reconfiguration techniques. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 19:57–75, 2001.
- [28] S. Tschöke and N. Holthöfer. A new parallel approach to the constrained two-dimensional cutting stock problem. In *Proceedings 2nd Workshop Parallel Algorithms for Irregularly Structured Problems*, volume 980 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 285–300. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [29] P. Y. Wang. Two algorithms for constrained two-dimensional cutting stock problems. Operations Research, 31:573–586, 1983.
- [30] P. Y. Wang and G. Wäscher, editors. Special Issue on Cutting and Packing, volume 141 of European Journal of Operational Research. Elsevier B. V., 2002.
- [31] G. Wäscher, H. Haussner, and H. Schumann. An improved typology of cutting and packing problems. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2006. this issue.
- [32] M. Wottawa. PACKLIB: Ein ASCII-Datenformat für Packungsprobleme. Technical Report 216, Mathematisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, 1996.