

SLICES FOR LIFTED TANGENT AND COTANGENT ACTIONS

JOHANNES HUEBSCHMANN

Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille
U. F. R. de Mathématiques
CNRS-UMR 8524
F-59 655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ, France
Johannes.Huebschmann@math.univ-lille1.fr

August 24, 2005

ABSTRACT. Given a Lie group G , a G -manifold M , and a point b of M with compact stabilizer, we construct slices for the lifted tangent and cotangent actions at a pre-image of b in terms of a slice for the G -action on M at the point b . We interpret the slice for the lifted cotangent action in terms of a symplectic slice and in terms of a Witt-Artin-decomposition.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D20, 70H33.

Key words and phrases. Slice theorem, symplectic slice, Witt-Artin decomposition, momentum mapping, symplectic reduction.

Introduction

Let G be a Lie group, M a smooth manifold, suppose that M is endowed with a smooth G -action, let b be a point of M , and suppose that the stabilizer G_b of b is compact. In this paper we will explore G -slices at a pre-image of the point b for the lifted G -actions on the tangent and cotangent bundles of M in terms of a G -slice at b .

To this end, we endow M with a G_b -invariant Riemannian metric. Then the tangent space T_bM to M at the point b is an orthogonal G_b -representation, and the orthogonal complement $S_b = (\mathfrak{g}b)^\perp$ in T_bM of the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}b$ to the G -orbit Gb in M is well known to be an infinitesimal slice at b for the G -action on M , that is, for a suitable G_b -invariant ball B_b in S_b containing the origin, the smooth map from $G \times_{G_b} B_b$ to M which is given by the assignment to $(x, y) \in G \times B_b$ of $x \cdot \exp_b(y) \in M$ is a G -equivariant diffeomorphism onto a neighborhood of the G -orbit Gb of b in M in such a way that the zero section is identified with Gb . The orthogonal representation of G_b on S_b is then referred to as the *slice representation* at the point b . The total spaces TM and T^*M of the tangent and cotangent bundles, respectively, of M inherit smooth G -actions. In this paper we shall construct infinitesimal slices for these lifted G -actions on TM and T^*M and in particular describe the resulting slice representations entirely in terms of data that involve only the base manifold M and the geometry of the group G . In particular, the slice representation for the cotangent bundle case given in Theorem 3.9 below implies the following.

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g}b$ ($\subseteq T_b(M)$) be the tangent space to the G -orbit Gb in M at the point b of M , let $S_b = (\mathfrak{g}b)^\perp \subseteq T_b(M)$ be the corresponding infinitesimal slice at b for the G -action on M , and let $T_b^*(M) = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus S_b^*$ be the resulting orthogonal decomposition of $T_b^*(M)$. Moreover, let $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ be the tangent space to the G_b -orbit $G_b p$ (in $T_b^*(M)$) at the point p of $T_b^*(M)$, necessarily a linear subspace of $S_b^* \cong T_b S_b^* \subseteq T_b^*(M)$, let $S_b^* = \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus \sigma_b^*$ be the resulting orthogonal decomposition of S_b^* , and let $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \subseteq T_b S_b \cong S_b$ be the vector space which is dual to $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ so that $S_b = (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \oplus \sigma_b$ is the corresponding orthogonal decomposition of S_b . Then, at the point p of $T_b^*(M)$, as an orthogonal G_p -representation, a suitable infinitesimal slice for the lifted G -action on the total space T^*M of the cotangent bundle of M is isomorphic to the orthogonal G_p -representation*

$$(\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \oplus \sigma_b.$$

This description of the infinitesimal G -slice at the point p of the total space T^*M of the cotangent bundle of M plainly involves only data phrased in terms of the base M . Notice that, in particular, p is a point of $T_b^*(M)$, that $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ is the tangent space to the G_b -orbit $G_b p$ (in $T_b^*(M)$) at the point p of $T_b^*(M)$, and that $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \subseteq T_b S_b \cong S_b$ is the vector space which is dual to $\mathfrak{g}_b p$. From this description of the infinitesimal G -slice at p , it is straightforward to derive a *symplectic slice* and a corresponding *Witt-Artin decomposition* at p ; for details and comments related with the significance of the Witt-Artin decomposition, see [3].

At the present stage, we can already explain the symplectic slice and the Witt-Artin decomposition at the point p without too much trouble, leaving some

of the details to Section 4 below; to this end, let $\mu: T^*M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the cotangent bundle momentum mapping for the G -action on T^*M , let $\nu = \mu(p) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, and let $\mathcal{O}_\nu = G\nu \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$, the coadjoint orbit generated by ν . Let $G_\nu \subseteq G$ be the stabilizer of the point ν of \mathfrak{g}^* under the coadjoint action; we note that the stabilizer G_p of p is plainly a subgroup of G_ν . Endow the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with a G_b -invariant inner product, let $\mathfrak{g}_\nu \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G_ν and $\mathfrak{g}_p \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\nu$ that of G_p , let \mathfrak{q} be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{g}_ν in \mathfrak{g} , and let \mathfrak{m} be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{g}_p in \mathfrak{g}_ν , so that

$$(0.1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_\nu \oplus \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}_p \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{q},$$

$$(0.1.2) \quad \mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_\nu^* \oplus \mathfrak{q}^* = \mathfrak{g}_p^* \oplus \mathfrak{m}^* \oplus \mathfrak{q}^*$$

are G_p -invariant orthogonal decompositions. Then

$$(0.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}p &= \mathfrak{g}_\nu p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p = \mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \subseteq T_p(T^*M), \\ (\mathfrak{g}p)^* &= (\mathfrak{g}_\nu p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* = (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \end{aligned}$$

are G_p -invariant decompositions, indeed, the projection from \mathfrak{g} onto $\mathfrak{g}p$ decomposes into the direct sum of the surjection from \mathfrak{g}_ν onto $\mathfrak{g}_\nu p = \mathfrak{m}p$ with kernel \mathfrak{g}_p and an isomorphism from \mathfrak{q} onto $\mathfrak{q}p$, whence the projection from \mathfrak{g} onto $\mathfrak{g}p$, restricted to $\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$, is an isomorphism onto $\mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p = \mathfrak{g}p$. In Section 4 below we shall show that the cotangent bundle projection map induces a G_p -equivariant isomorphism from $(\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^*$ onto $(\mathfrak{g}p)^* = (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ which, in view of the decompositions (0.2), enables us to decompose the infinitesimal G -slice S_p given in the above theorem as

$$S_p \cong (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$$

and hence the tangent space $T_p(T^*M)$ as

$$\mathfrak{g}p \oplus S_p \cong \mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b.$$

Now Lemma 4.8 below will say that the derivative $d\mu_p: T_p(T^*M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ of the momentum mapping μ at the point p vanishes on the sum $\mathfrak{m}p \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$, comes down to the canonical injection of $(\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ into \mathfrak{g}^* on $(\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$, the canonical injection being induced by the projection from \mathfrak{g} onto $\mathfrak{g}p = \mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_p$ and, furthermore, amounts to the map

$$\mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{q}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}^*, \quad (X, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha - \text{ad}_X^*(\nu), \quad X \in \mathfrak{q},$$

on $\mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$. Let K be the kernel of the latter map. The vector space $(\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ is canonically isomorphic to the tangent space $T_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu$ to the orbit \mathcal{O}_ν at ν and the vector space $T_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu$, in turn, endowed with the negative Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau symplectic form, is a symplectic vector space. Now, under the projection from $\mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ to the second summand, K is mapped isomorphically onto $(\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ in such a way that the symplectic form on $T_p(T^*M)$, restricted to K , is identified with the negative Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau symplectic form. Let $V = K \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$, and endow V with the product symplectic structure, the structure on $\sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$ being the cotangent bundle structure. The obvious G_ν -action on K and the obvious

G_b -action on $\sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$ induce a G_p -action on V , necessarily Hamiltonian in the obvious fashion. The Hamiltonian G_p -space V is a *symplectic slice* at the point p of T^*M . Moreover, letting $W = (\mathfrak{m}p)^*$, we obtain the *Witt-Artin decomposition*

$$(0.3) \quad T_p(T^*M) = \mathfrak{g}_\nu p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \oplus V \oplus W$$

at the point p of T^*M . We shall show in Section 4 below how this decomposition can entirely be characterized in terms of the G -action on the base M and of the geometry of the coadjoint orbit generated by ν .

In our approach, the group G and manifold M may be infinite dimensional. The only additional requirement is, then, that the Riemannian metrics used below always exist as *strong* metrics and that the implicit function theorem hold. This situation actually arises in gauge theory.

The present paper was prompted by the recent postings of [4] and [5].

1. Slices and fiber bundles

Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a fiber bundle. For $b \in M$, let $F_b \subseteq E$ be the fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$ over b . The (total spaces of the) tangent bundles constitute a fiber bundle

$$(1.1) \quad T\pi: TE \rightarrow TM$$

in an obvious fashion. Given a point b of M and a vector v_b in the tangent space T_bM at b , the fiber $(T\pi)^{-1}(v_b)$ over v_b is the bundle AF_b of affine spaces over F_b such that, for $p \in F_b$, the fiber A_pF_b is the affine subspace $w_p + T_pF_b$ of T_pE where $w_p \in T_pE$ is a pre-image of v_b ; the affine subspace $w_p + T_pF_b$ depends only on v_b and p and not on the choice of w_p since two such choices differ by an element of T_pF_b . Notice that when v_b is the zero vector of T_bM the fiber $(T\pi)^{-1}(v_b)$ over v_b is the ordinary tangent bundle TF_b of F_b . In particular, at $p \in E$ with $\pi(p) = b \in M$, the tangent spaces constitute the extension

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_pF_b \rightarrow T_pE \rightarrow T_bM \rightarrow 0$$

of vector spaces.

Let G be a Lie group, write its Lie algebra as \mathfrak{g} , and suppose that π is a G -fiber bundle. Thus G acts on E and on M and the projection π is a G -map. Given $(y, r) \in G \times M$ we will write the result of the action of y on r as $yr \in M$ and, likewise, given $(y, z) \in G \times E$, we will write the result of the action of y on z as $yz \in E$.

Let p be a point of E , let $b = \pi(p) \in M$, and suppose that the stabilizer G_b of b is a compact Lie group. Then the stabilizer G_p of p is a closed subgroup of G_b and hence a compact Lie group as well. For example, when the action is proper, the stabilizer G_y is compact for any point y of M . Our aim is to explore the relationship between G -slices at p and b .

To this end, we first endow E and M with G_b -invariant Riemannian metrics in such a way that, at each point y of E , the orthogonal complement of the tangent space T_yF to the fiber F at y is mapped under π isometrically to the tangent space $T_{\pi(y)}M$ to the base M at the point $\pi(y)$ of M ; we refer to this situation by saying that the projection π is *compatible* with the Riemannian structures.

Such Riemannian metrics on E and M can be constructed as follows: Associated with π is the standard extension

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow TE \rightarrow \pi^*TM \rightarrow 0$$

of vector bundles on E where \mathcal{V} denotes the (total space of the) vertical subbundle, that is, the bundle of vectors tangent to the fibers of π . At $p_r \in E$ with $\pi(p_r) = r \in M$, the extension (1.3) comes down to the extension

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{p_r} \rightarrow T_{p_r}E \rightarrow T_rM \rightarrow 0$$

of vector spaces. This is just a rewrite of the extension (1.2), with r substituted for b and p_r for p . Recall that an *Ehresmann connection* for π is by definition a splitting of the extension (1.3) of vector bundles on E . Such a connection may be given by either a section $\pi^*TM \rightarrow TE$ of vector bundles over E , so that this section and the inclusion of \mathcal{V} into TE induce an isomorphism

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{V} \oplus \pi^*TM \rightarrow TE$$

of vector bundles over E , or by a surjection of vector bundles $TE \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ over E , referred to as a *connection form*, so that this connection form and the projection from TE onto π^*TM induce an isomorphism

$$(1.6) \quad TE \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \oplus \pi^*TM$$

of vector bundles over E ; as usual, the summand \mathcal{V} is then referred to as the *vertical* part and the summand π^*TM as the *horizontal* part. When M carries a Riemannian metric, an Ehresmann connection for π induces a Riemannian metric on the total space E of π in such a way that the decompositions (1.5) and (1.6) are orthogonal decompositions at each point of E and that the projection π is compatible with the metrics in the sense explained earlier; when the connection is, furthermore, compatible with the G_b -module structures, the Riemannian metrics may be taken to be G_b -invariant in such a way that the decompositions (1.5) and (1.6) are orthogonal decompositions of G_b -representations at each point of E .

Now, suppose that π is endowed with a G_b -invariant Ehresmann connection and suppose that E and M are endowed with corresponding G_b -invariant Riemannian metrics such that π is compatible with the metrics. Consider the induced G_b -representation on T_bM and let $S_b = (\mathfrak{g}b)^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}b = T_b(Gb)$ to the G -orbit of b in M . This is the standard infinitesimal slice at b for the G -action on M . Then a suitable G_b -invariant ball $B_b \subseteq S_b$ containing the origin will be a local slice, that is, the map

$$(1.7) \quad G \times_{G_b} S_b \rightarrow M, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot \exp_b(y),$$

restricted to $G \times_{G_b} B_b$, is a diffeomorphism onto a G -invariant neighborhood of b in M in such a way that the zero section goes to the orbit Gb ; here \exp_b refers to the exponential mapping at the point b for the Riemannian metric on M . We will refer to this kind of situation as a *slice decomposition for the G -action on M at the point b* . Likewise, consider the induced G_p -representation on T_pE and let

$S_p = (\mathfrak{g}p)^\perp$, the orthogonal complement in T_pE of the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}p = T_p(Gp)$ to the G -orbit of p in E . This is the standard infinitesimal slice at p for the G -action on E , and the map

$$(1.8) \quad G \times_{G_p} S_p \rightarrow E, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x \exp_p(y),$$

is a slice decomposition for the G -action on E at the point p ; here \exp_p refers to the exponential mapping at the point p for the Riemannian metric on E . By construction, the projection from T_pE to T_bM restricts to a projection from S_p onto S_b , the kernel κ_p thereof inherits a G_b -module structure so that κ_p is actually an orthogonal G_b -representation, and the resulting map

$$(1.9) \quad G_b \times_{G_p} \kappa_p \rightarrow F_b$$

is a slice decomposition for the G_b -action on the fiber F_b at the point p of F_b ; notice that, indeed, κ_p is the orthogonal complement $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$ of $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ in $T_p F_b$. Furthermore, the obvious projection from $G \times_{G_p} S_p$ to $G \times_{G_b} S_b$ is a fiber bundle having the space $G_b \times_{G_p} \kappa_p$ as fiber at b , and the resulting diagram

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G_b \times_{G_p} \kappa_p & \longrightarrow & F_b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_{G_p} S_p & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_{G_b} S_b & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

whose top and middle horizontal maps are the corresponding slice decompositions at p and whose bottom horizontal map is the corresponding slice decomposition at b is commutative, that is, a morphism of fibre bundles. Now, consider the orthogonal complement κ_p^\perp of κ_p in S_p and the orthogonal complement $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$ of $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ in $\mathfrak{g}p$, viewed as a linear subspace of T_pE . In terms of these vector spaces, we have the decompositions

$$T_p F = \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus \kappa_p, \quad T_p E = \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp \oplus \kappa_p \oplus \kappa_p^\perp$$

of G_b -representations and, as an extension of G_b -representations, (1.2) may be written as

$$(1.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus \kappa_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp \oplus \kappa_p \oplus \kappa_p^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}b \oplus S_b \rightarrow 0$$

in such a way that the injection is the obvious one and the projection identifies $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$ with $\mathfrak{g}b$ and κ_p^\perp with S_b . A choice of suitable balls in the infinitesimal slices then yields local slices.

2. The tangent bundle

We now apply the previous discussion to the special case where π is the tangent bundle of M and where the total space is endowed with the lifted G -action.

Since below we shall explore the tangent bundle of the total space of the tangent bundle, for clarity, we will write the tangent bundle of M as $\tau_M: M^T \rightarrow M$, where M^T is the total space. As in the previous section, let b be a point of M with compact stabilizer G_b , and let p be a tangent vector at b , that is, a point of T_bM . We will sometimes write the fiber T_bM as F_b . Furthermore, introduce G_b -invariant Riemannian metrics in M and M^T in such a way that the projection τ_M is compatible with the metrics in a sense explained in the previous section. To this end, endow M with a G_b -invariant Riemannian metric. The corresponding Levi-Civita connection on M is G_b -invariant and induces a G_b -invariant Ehresmann connection on the tangent bundle τ_M in a canonical fashion. This connection, in turn, in particular splits the corresponding exact sequence of orthogonal G_b -representation spaces of the kind (1.4), that is, induces a G_b -equivariant isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi^T: T_p(T_bM) \oplus T_bM \rightarrow T_p(M^T)$$

of orthogonal G_b -representations.

Consider the infinitesimal slices $S_b = (\mathfrak{g}b)^\perp \subseteq T_bM$, $S_p = (\mathfrak{g}p)^\perp \subseteq T_pM^T$, and $\kappa_p = (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp \subseteq T_pF_b$ as well as the slice decompositions

$$(2.2) \quad G \times_{G_b} S_b \rightarrow M,$$

$$(2.3) \quad G \times_{G_p} S_p \rightarrow M^T,$$

$$(2.4) \quad G_b \times_{G_p} \kappa_p \rightarrow F_b$$

introduced in the previous section. Since, with reference to the decomposition $T_bM = \mathfrak{g}b \oplus S_b$, the G_p -action on $\mathfrak{g}b$ is trivial, the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}_b p = T_p(G_b p) \subseteq T_bM$ to the G_b -orbit of p in T_bM is actually a linear subspace of $T_pS_b \cong S_b$; let $\sigma_b \subseteq S_b$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ in the vector space S_b . Then $S_b = \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus \sigma_b$ and hence

$$(2.5) \quad T_bM = \mathfrak{g}b \oplus S_b = \mathfrak{g}b \oplus \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus \sigma_b$$

are G_p -invariant orthogonal decompositions. Accordingly, the isomorphic image $\varphi^T(T_bM)$ decomposes as

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi^T(T_bM) = (\mathfrak{g}b)^h \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^h \oplus \sigma_b^h$$

in such a way that the summands $(\mathfrak{g}b)^h$, $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^h$ and σ_b^h correspond to, respectively, $\mathfrak{g}b$, $\mathfrak{g}_b p$, and σ_b , whence the notation $(\mathfrak{g}b)^h$, $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^h$ and σ_b^h ; here the superscript h is intended to indicate that, in the decomposition (2.9.1) below, $(\mathfrak{g}p)^h$ and σ_b^h will be *horizontal* constituents with respect to the Ehresmann connection.

Under the canonical isomorphism of vector spaces, even orthogonal G_b -representations, between T_bM and $T_p(F_b) = T_p(T_bM)$, the orthogonal decomposition (2.5) corresponds to the decomposition

$$(2.7) \quad T_p(F_b) = (\mathfrak{g}b)^v \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^v \oplus \sigma_b^v$$

in such a way that the summands $(\mathfrak{g}b)^v$, $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^v$ and σ_b^v correspond to, respectively, $\mathfrak{g}b$, $\mathfrak{g}_b p$, and σ_b , whence the notation $(\mathfrak{g}b)^v$, $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^v$ and σ_b^v ; here the superscript v is intended to indicate that, in the decomposition (2.9.1) below, $(\mathfrak{g}p)^v$ and σ_b^v will be *vertical* constituents with respect to the Ehresmann connection. Then

$$(2.8) \quad \kappa_p = (\mathfrak{g}b)^v \oplus \sigma_b^v, \quad \kappa_p^\perp = (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^h \oplus \sigma_b^h,$$

and the construction in the previous section entails the following.

Theorem 2.9. *The infinitesimal slice $S_p = (\mathfrak{g}p)^\perp \subseteq T_p(M^\mathbb{T})$ has the G_p -invariant orthogonal decomposition*

$$(2.9.1) \quad S_p = (\mathfrak{g}b)^v \oplus \sigma_b^v \oplus (\mathfrak{g}bp)^h \oplus \sigma_b^h.$$

Furthermore, the projection from S_p to S_b , restricted to $\sigma_b^v \oplus \sigma_b^h$, coincides with the obvious projection from $\sigma_b^v \oplus \sigma_b^h$ to σ_b^h followed by the canonical isomorphism from σ_b^h onto the summand σ_b of S_b and hence amounts to the tangent bundle projection map of σ_b .

Proof. Indeed, $S_p = \kappa_p \oplus \kappa_p^\perp$ and, as explained in the previous section, $\mathfrak{g}p$ decomposes as the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}bp \oplus (\mathfrak{g}bp)^\perp$ of $\mathfrak{g}bp$ with its orthogonal complement $(\mathfrak{g}bp)^\perp$ in $\mathfrak{g}p$ in such a way that, under the tangent bundle projection map, $(\mathfrak{g}bp)^\perp$ is identified with $\mathfrak{g}b$. This implies the assertion. \square

Turned the other way round, the theorem says the following.

Corollary 2.10. *At the point p of $T_b(M)$, as an orthogonal G_p -representation, a suitable infinitesimal slice for the lifted G -action on the total space $M^\mathbb{T}$ of the tangent bundle of M is isomorphic to the orthogonal G_p -representation*

$$\mathfrak{g}b \oplus \sigma_b \oplus \mathfrak{g}bp \oplus \sigma_b.$$

This description of the infinitesimal G -slice at the point p of the total space $M^\mathbb{T}$ of the tangent bundle of M plainly involves only data phrased in terms of the base M .

The above slice decomposition admits an interpretation in terms of the induced action of the tangent group. We now explain this, since it will help understand the cotangent bundle situation in the next section. Thus, we write the total space of the tangent bundle of G as $G^\mathbb{T}$ and note first that $G^\mathbb{T}$ inherits a Lie group structure in the following fashion: Let \mathfrak{g}_a be the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , viewed as an abelian Lie algebra, i. e. real vector space, endowed with the G -representation coming from the adjoint action. Then the semi-direct product Lie group $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_a$ is defined, and left translation identifies $G \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_a$ with $G^\mathbb{T}$ and hence turns $G^\mathbb{T}$ into a Lie group in such a way that the tangent bundle projection map is a surjective homomorphism. Explicitly, given $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in G$, write $y_1 V_1 \in T_{y_1} G$ and $y_2 V_2 \in T_{y_2} G$ for the results of left translation with y_1 and y_2 , respectively; then

$$(2.11) \quad (y_1 V_1)(y_2 V_2) = y_1 y_2 (\text{Ad}(y_2)^{-1} V_1 + V_2) \in T_{y_1 y_2} G.$$

Given $r \in M$ and $(y, X_r) \in G \times T_r M$, with reference to the lifted G -action $G \times M^\mathbb{T} \rightarrow M^\mathbb{T}$ on $M^\mathbb{T}$, we will write the result of the action of y on X_r as $yX_r \in T_{yr} M$. The lifted action, in turn, extends to a $G^\mathbb{T}$ -action

$$(2.12) \quad G^\mathbb{T} \times M^\mathbb{T} \rightarrow M^\mathbb{T}$$

on $M^\mathbb{T}$ in an obvious manner: Given $y \in G$, $V \in \mathfrak{g}$, $r \in M$, and $X_r \in T_r M \subseteq M^\mathbb{T}$, the result of the action of $yV \in G^\mathbb{T}$ upon X_r is given by the expression

$$(2.13) \quad yV(X_r) = yX_r + yV_r.$$

Here $V_r \in \mathbb{T}_r M$ refers to the value at $r \in M$ of the fundamental vector field V_M on M induced by $V \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $yX_r + yV_r$ arises from the pair $(y, X_r + V_r) \in G \times \mathbb{T}_r M$ by an application of the lifted G -action on $M^{\mathbb{T}}$. It is straightforward to check that (2.13) yields indeed an action of $G^{\mathbb{T}}$ on $M^{\mathbb{T}}$. In particular, the restriction of the action to the copy of \mathfrak{g}_a maps each fiber $\mathbb{T}_r M \subseteq M^{\mathbb{T}}$ to itself where $r \in M$, and the resulting action

$$(2.14) \quad \mathfrak{g}_a \times \mathbb{T}_r M \subseteq M^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_r M \subseteq M^{\mathbb{T}}$$

of \mathfrak{g}_a on $\mathbb{T}_r M$ is given by the assignment to $(V, X_r) \in \mathfrak{g}_a \times \mathbb{T}_r M \subseteq M^{\mathbb{T}}$ of $X_r + V_r \in \mathbb{T}_r M$ and is hence given by affine transformations, i. e. generalized translations. Consequently, for each $r \in M$, the induced infinitesimal action

$$(2.15) \quad \mathfrak{g}_a \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\mathbb{T}_r M)$$

sends each $W \in \mathfrak{g}_a$ to the constant vector field W_r which assigns to any point $X_r \in \mathbb{T}_r M$ the vector $W_r \in \mathbb{T}_{X_r}(\mathbb{T}_r M)$, the latter vector space being canonically identified with $\mathbb{T}_r M$, viewed as a vector space.

Consider the slice decomposition (2.2), and let $\tau_{G_b}: G_b^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow G_b$ and $\tau_{S_b}: S_b^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow S_b$ be the tangent bundles of G_b and S_b , respectively. Similarly as above, left translation identifies the semi-direct product $G_b \ltimes (\mathfrak{g}_b)_a$ with $G_b^{\mathbb{T}}$. The resulting slice decomposition

$$(2.16) \quad G^{\mathbb{T}} \times_{G_b^{\mathbb{T}}} S_b^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow M^{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x(\text{Texp}_b)(y),$$

for the $G^{\mathbb{T}}$ -action on $M^{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{T}M$ at the point 0_b of $\mathbb{T}M$, that is, at the origin of the tangent space $\mathbb{T}_b M$ of b at M , viewed as a subspace of $M^{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{T}M$, exhibits the total space of the tangent bundle of $G \times_{G_b} S_b$ as the quotient space $G^{\mathbb{T}} \times_{G_b^{\mathbb{T}}} S_b^{\mathbb{T}}$ in such a way that this slice decomposition amounts to the tangent map of the slice decomposition $G \times_{G_b} S_b \rightarrow M$ for the G -action on the base M at the point b , cf. (2.2).

3. The cotangent bundle

We now explain the necessary modifications for the case where π is the cotangent bundle $\tau_M^*: \mathbb{T}^*M \rightarrow M$ of M and where the total space is endowed with the lifted action of the Lie group G . Without further hypotheses, we cannot simply identify the tangent bundle with the cotangent bundle in a G -equivariant fashion, and we must be a bit more circumspect.

Below it will be convenient to downplay the structure of \mathbb{T}^*M as the total space of a vector bundle and to concentrate merely on the structure as a symplectic manifold. We will then denote the total space \mathbb{T}^*M by $M^{\mathbb{T}^*}$. Let b be a point of M with compact stabilizer G_b , and let p be a cotangent vector at b , that is, a point of $M^{\mathbb{T}^*}$ with $\tau_M^*(p) = b$. We will sometimes write the fiber \mathbb{T}_b^*M as F_b .

Similarly as in the previous section, the Levi-Civita connection on M induced by the chosen G_b -invariant Riemannian metric on M is G_b -invariant and induces a G_b -invariant Ehresmann connection on the cotangent bundle τ_M^* in a canonical fashion. This connection, in turn, in particular splits the corresponding exact

sequence of orthogonal G_b -representation spaces of the kind (1.4), that is, induces a G_b -equivariant isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi^{T^*} : T_p(T_b^*M) \oplus T_bM \rightarrow T_p(M^{T^*})$$

of orthogonal G_b -representations. Consider the infinitesimal slices $S_b = (\mathfrak{g}b)^\perp \subseteq T_bM$, $S_p = (\mathfrak{g}p)^\perp \subseteq T_pM^{T^*}$, and $\kappa_p = (\mathfrak{g}_bp)^\perp \subseteq T_pF_b$ as well as the slice decompositions

$$(3.2) \quad G \times_{G_b} S_b \rightarrow M,$$

$$(3.3) \quad G \times_{G_p} S_p \rightarrow M^{T^*},$$

$$(3.4) \quad G_b \times_{G_p} \kappa_p \rightarrow F_b,$$

introduced in Section 1 above. As in the previous section, our present aim is now to decompose S_p and S_b further in such a way that a summand of S_p may be identified with the total space of the tangent bundle of a summand of S_b .

To this end we note first that, even though there is no obvious way to identify the tangent and cotangent bundles of M in a G -equivariant manner, the chosen G_b -invariant Riemannian metric on M induces a G_b -equivariant isomorphism between the vector spaces T_bM and T_b^*M , and the G_b -invariant inner product on T_bM induces a G_b -invariant inner product on T_b^*M . Thus the orthogonal decomposition $T_bM = \mathfrak{g}b \oplus S_b$ induces the orthogonal decomposition $T_b^*M = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus S_b^*$.

Consider the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}_bp = T_p(G_bp) \subseteq T_b^*M$ to the G_b -orbit of p in T_b^*M . Since, with reference to the decomposition $T_b^*M = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus S_b^*$, the G_p -action on $(\mathfrak{g}b)^*$ is trivial, the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}_bp = T_p(G_bp) \subseteq T_b^*M$ to the G_b -orbit of p in T_b^*M is actually a linear subspace of $T_pS_b^* \cong S_b^*$; let $\sigma_b \subseteq S_b$ be the unique linear subspace such that $S_b^* = \mathfrak{g}_bp \oplus \sigma_b^*$ is a G_p -invariant orthogonal decomposition. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_bp)^*$ be the unique subspace of S_b such that its dual $(\mathfrak{g}_bp)^{**}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{g}_bp \subseteq S_b^*$. Then $S_b = (\mathfrak{g}_bp)^* \oplus \sigma_b$ is a G_p -invariant orthogonal decomposition as well, and

$$(3.5.1) \quad T_b^*M = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus S_b^* = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_bp \oplus \sigma_b^*$$

$$(3.5.2) \quad T_bM = \mathfrak{g}b \oplus S_b = \mathfrak{g}b \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_bp)^* \oplus \sigma_b$$

are G_p -invariant orthogonal decompositions. Accordingly, the isomorphic image $\varphi^{T^*}(T_bM)$ decomposes as

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi^{T^*}(T_bM) = \mathfrak{g}b \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_bp)^* \oplus \sigma_b$$

where the notation $\mathfrak{g}b$, $(\mathfrak{g}_bp)^*$, and σ_b is slightly abused.

Under the canonical isomorphism of vector spaces, even orthogonal G_b -representations, between T_b^*M and $T_p(F_b) = T_p(T_b^*M)$, the orthogonal decomposition (3.5.2) passes to the decomposition

$$(3.7) \quad T_p(F_b) = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_bp \oplus \sigma_b^*$$

where the notation $(\mathfrak{g}b)^*$, \mathfrak{g}_bp and σ_b^* is slightly abused. Then

$$(3.8) \quad \kappa_p = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus \sigma_b^*, \quad \kappa_p^\perp = (\mathfrak{g}_bp)^* \oplus \sigma_b,$$

and the construction in Section 1 entails the following.

Theorem 3.9. *The infinitesimal slice $S_p = (\mathfrak{g}p)^\perp \subseteq T_p(M^{\text{T}^*})$ has the G_p -invariant orthogonal decomposition*

$$(3.9.1) \quad S_p = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \oplus \sigma_b.$$

Furthermore, the projection from S_p to S_b , restricted to $\sigma_b^ \oplus \sigma_b$, coincides with the obvious projection from $\sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$ to σ_b and hence amounts to the cotangent bundle projection map of σ_b .*

We note that, in the statement of Theorem 3.9, there is no need to distinguish in notation between horizontal and vertical constituents since by construction $(\mathfrak{g}b)^*$ and σ_b^* are vertical and $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^*$ and σ_b are horizontal with respect to the Ehresmann connection.

Proof. The argument is formally the same as that for the proof of Theorem 2.9: The slice S_p decomposes as $S_p = \kappa_p \oplus \kappa_p^\perp$ and, as explained in Section 1, $\mathfrak{g}p$ decomposes as the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$ of $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ with its orthogonal complement $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$ in $\mathfrak{g}p$ in such a way that, under the cotangent bundle projection map, $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$ is identified with $\mathfrak{g}b$. This implies the assertion. \square

Turned the other way round, Theorem 3.9 entails the theorem spelled out in the introduction; the theorem in the introduction is the exact analogue of Corollary 2.10 above.

REMARK 3.10. Let $X \in T_b M$ and let $p \in T_b^* M$ be the image of X under the adjoint of the chosen G_b -invariant Riemannian metric. This metric induces an isomorphism of G_b -representations from the infinitesimal G -slice

$$S_X = (\mathfrak{g}b)^v \oplus \sigma_b^v \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b X)^h \oplus \sigma_b^h$$

given as (2.9.1) above onto the infinitesimal G -slice

$$S_p = (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \oplus \sigma_b,$$

given as (3.9.1) above. By construction, this isomorphism decomposes into the direct sum of the induced isomorphisms

$$(\mathfrak{g}b)^v \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}b)^*, \quad \sigma_b^v \rightarrow \sigma_b^*, \quad (\mathfrak{g}_b X)^h \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^*$$

with the identity morphism $\sigma_b^h \rightarrow \sigma_b$. Moreover, the Riemannian metric identifies the stabilizers G_X and G_p . In view of the slice decompositions (2.3) and (3.3), the induced map from $G \times_{G_X} S_X$ to $G \times_{G_p} S_p$ therefore yields a G -equivariant diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of the G -orbit of the point X of M^{T} onto a neighborhood of the G -orbit of the point p of M^{T^*} . From this observation it is straightforward to concoct a proof of the familiar fact that, provided the group G is finite dimensional, given a finite dimensional paracompact G -manifold Q having the property that the stabilizer of each point is compact, the tangent and cotangent bundles of Q are G -equivariantly isomorphic as vector bundles on Q or, equivalently, the manifold Q admits a G -invariant Riemannian metric.

4. Symplectic slices and Witt-Artin decomposition

The purpose of this section is to give an interpretation of the decomposition (3.9.1) in terms of a symplectic slice. We will also construct a Witt-Artin decomposition, cf. [3]. Thus, as before, let G be a Lie group, M a G -manifold, and consider the lifted G -action on M^{T^*} ($= T^*M$). Let p be a point of M^{T^*} , and let $b = \pi(p)$ be the image of p in M under the cotangent bundle projection map $\pi = \tau_M^*$. The notation $\mu, \nu, \mathfrak{g}_\nu, \mathfrak{q}$ and \mathfrak{m} used below is the same as that in the introduction.

Denote the infinitesimal coadjoint \mathfrak{g} -action on \mathfrak{g}^* by $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, so that

$$(4.1) \quad [Y, \psi](Z) = \psi([Z, Y]).$$

It is also common in the literature to write $(\text{ad}_Y^*(\psi))(Z) = \psi([Y, Z])$. With the notation $[\cdot, \cdot]$, the tangent map

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$$

of the canonical projection from G onto $\mathcal{O}_\nu = G\nu$ is given by the assignment to $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ of $[X, \nu] \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. This tangent map passes to an isomorphism from \mathfrak{q} onto $T_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu$ and hence induces an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{q}p$ onto $T_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu = (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ —this isomorphism is precisely the inverse of the adjoint of the symplectic structure on $T_\nu \mathcal{O}_\nu$ corresponding to the Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau symplectic structure on \mathcal{O}_ν . Consequently the tangent map of the canonical projection from G onto \mathcal{O}_ν induces an injection

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{q}p \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Furthermore, the projection from \mathfrak{g} to $\mathfrak{g}p$ induces an injection

$$(4.4) \quad (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* = (\mathfrak{g}_\nu p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* = (\mathfrak{g}p)^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

In view of Theorem 3.9, at the point p of M^{T^*} , the tangent space $T_p(M^{\text{T}^*})$ ($\cong T_p(G \times_{G_p} S_p)$) decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{g}p \oplus S_p = \mathfrak{g}p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \oplus \sigma_b.$$

Consider the subspace $\mathfrak{g}_b p$ of the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}p$ at p to the G -orbit Gp in M^{T^*} . With respect to the G_b -invariant inner product on $T_p(M^{\text{T}^*})$, $\mathfrak{g}p$ decomposes as

$$(4.5) \quad \mathfrak{g}p = \mathfrak{g}_b p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$$

in such a way that the projection from $\mathfrak{g}p$ to $\mathfrak{g}b$ (induced by the cotangent bundle projection map) restricts to an isomorphism from $(\mathfrak{g}_b p)^\perp$ onto $\mathfrak{g}b$. This isomorphism, in turn, induces an isomorphism

$$(4.6) \quad (\mathfrak{g}b)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_b p)^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}p)^* = (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$$

which, in view of the decompositions (4.2), enables us to decompose the infinitesimal G -slice S_p as

$$(4.7.1) \quad S_p \cong (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$$

and hence the tangent space $T_p(M^{\text{T}^*})$ as

$$(4.7.2) \quad \mathfrak{g}p \oplus S_p \cong \mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b.$$

Lemma 4.8. *In terms of the decomposition given as the right-hand side of (4.7.2), at the point p , the derivative*

$$d\mu_p: \mathfrak{mp} \oplus \mathfrak{qp} \oplus (\mathfrak{mp})^* \oplus (\mathfrak{qp})^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

of the momentum mapping μ vanishes on the sum $\mathfrak{mp} \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$, comes down to the injection (4.3) on the summand \mathfrak{qp} , and to the injection (4.4) on $(\mathfrak{mp})^* \oplus (\mathfrak{qp})^* = (\mathfrak{gp})^*$.

To prepare for the proof, we note first that, since any G -slice decomposition is a diffeomorphism onto a full neighborhood of a G -orbit, the tangent map $T(G \times_{G_b} S_b) \rightarrow TM$ of the slice decomposition $G \times_{G_b} S_b \rightarrow M$ on the base, cf. (3.2), induces a smooth map

$$T^*(G \times_{G_b} S_b) \rightarrow T^*M = M^{\text{T}^*}$$

over the slice decomposition on the base which is compatible with the cotangent bundle structures. In particular, the induced map is compatible with the tautological 1-forms and hence with the symplectic structures and momentum mappings. We may therefore replace the space M^{T^*} with the total space $T^*(G \times_{G_b} S_b)$ of the cotangent bundle on $G \times_{G_b} S_b$.

Write the total space of the cotangent bundle of the infinitesimal slice S_b as $S_b^{\text{T}^*}$ and, likewise, write the total space of the cotangent bundle of G as G^{T^*} . It is well known that, as a Hamiltonian G -space, a space of the kind $T^*(G \times_{G_b} S_b)$ is in a canonical way isomorphic to the Hamiltonian G -space $(G^{\text{T}^*} \times S_b^{\text{T}^*})_0$ which arises by G_b -reduction at zero momentum, applied to the product $G^{\text{T}^*} \times S_b^{\text{T}^*}$, endowed with the product cotangent bundle symplectic structure, where the G_b -action on G^{T^*} is induced from the lift of the G -action on itself by *right* translation and where the G_b -action on $S_b^{\text{T}^*}$ is the cotangent bundle lift of the G_b -action on S_b . The requisite momentum mapping is, then, the sum of the momentum mappings of the factors, where “sum” is interpreted in the obvious fashion. In this way, the G -action on G^{T^*} which is the lift of the G -action on itself by *left* translation descends to a Hamiltonian G -action on the left of $(G^{\text{T}^*} \times S_b^{\text{T}^*})_0$ with momentum mapping

$$(4.8.1) \quad \mu_{[0]}: \left(G^{\text{T}^*} \times S_b^{\text{T}^*}\right)_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

in such a way that the G -action and momentum mapping correspond exactly to the corresponding cotangent bundle structure, with reference to these structures on $T^*(G \times_{G_b} S_b)$. This kind of construction underlies the local normal form for the momentum mapping developed in [1] and [2].

The above consideration reduces the proof of Lemma 4.8 to the special case where the Hamiltonian G -space space M^{T^*} is of the kind $(G^{\text{T}^*} \times S_b^{\text{T}^*})_0$. The description of $T^*(G \times_{G_b} S_b)$ as the reduced space $(G^{\text{T}^*} \times S_b^{\text{T}^*})_0$ is the exact dual of the construction $G^{\text{T}} \times_{G_b^{\text{T}}} S_b^{\text{T}}$ in Section 2 above and the induced map

$$(4.8.2) \quad \left(G^{\text{T}^*} \times S_b^{\text{T}^*}\right)_0 \rightarrow M^{\text{T}^*}$$

is the exact dual of (2.16) above.

Proof of Lemma 4.8. Under the slice decomposition $G \times_{G_b} S_b \rightarrow M$ for the G -action on the base M at the point b , cf. (3.2), the point b of M corresponds to the point $[e, 0] \in G \times_{G_b} S_b$; here $[e, 0]$ denotes the class in $G \times_{G_b} S_b$ of the point $(e, 0) \in G \times S_b$ where $e \in G$ is the neutral element. Accordingly, the point p now corresponds to a point of the kind

$$[e, \nu, 0, p_b] \in \left(G^{\mathrm{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathrm{T}^*} \right)_0,$$

that is, to the class in $(G^{\mathrm{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathrm{T}^*})_0$ of a point of the kind

$$(e, \nu, 0, p_b) \in G^{\mathrm{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathrm{T}^*}$$

in the zero locus of the corresponding G_b -momentum mapping. Recall that the familiar G -equivariant cotangent bundle momentum mapping $\mu: G^{\mathrm{T}^*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by the formula

$$\mu(\alpha_x) = \alpha_x \circ (R_x)_*, \quad x \in G, \alpha_x \in \mathrm{T}_x^* G,$$

where, for $x \in G$, $(R_x)_*: \mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{T}_e G \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_x G$ refers to right translation by $x \in G$. In particular, since the G -momentum mapping $\mu_{[0]}$ is induced by μ , necessarily $\mu_{[0]}[e, \nu, 0, p_b] = \nu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. The derivative

$$d\mu_\alpha: \mathrm{T}_e G \times \mathrm{T}_\alpha \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

of the momentum mapping μ at the point $\alpha = \alpha_e \in \mathrm{T}_e^* G = \mathfrak{g}^*$ has the form

$$(4.8.3) \quad d\mu_\alpha(X, \varphi) = \varphi + [X, \alpha].$$

This implies the claim of Lemma 4.8. Indeed, in the special case where G_b is trivial, the decomposition (4.7.2) has the form

$$\mathrm{T}_p(G \times S_p) \cong \mathfrak{g}p \oplus S_p \cong \mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \oplus (S_b^*)^v \oplus S_b^h,$$

and the canonical map from $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ to $\mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p$ is an isomorphism. The explicit expression (4.8.3) for the derivative of the momentum mapping now implies the claim at once. It also implies the claim in the general case where G_b is non-trivial since in this case the G -momentum mapping $\mu_{[0]}$ arises from the G -momentum mapping for the free case by G_b -reduction. \square

Let ω_b be the cotangent bundle symplectic structure on $\sigma_b \oplus \sigma_b^*$. The decomposition (4.7.2) of the tangent space

$$\mathrm{T}_p(M^{\mathrm{T}^*}) \cong \mathrm{T}_{[e, \nu, 0, p_b]}(G^{\mathrm{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathrm{T}^*})_0$$

may plainly as well be written as

$$(4.9.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}p \oplus S_p \cong \mathfrak{g}p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}p)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b.$$

Given $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we will write its image in $\mathfrak{g}p$ under the canonical projection as X_p and, likewise, given $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we will denote by ϕ_p the unique element of $(\mathfrak{g}p)^*$ which, under the canonical injection from $(\mathfrak{g}p)^*$ into \mathfrak{g}^* , goes to ϕ .

Proposition 4.9. *In terms of the right-hand side of the decomposition (4.9.1), when $M^{\mathbb{T}^*}$ is identified with $(G^{\mathbb{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathbb{T}^*})_0$ via (4.8.2), at the point $[e, \nu, 0, p_b]$ of $(G^{\mathbb{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathbb{T}^*})_0$, which corresponds to the point p of $M^{\mathbb{T}^*}$, the symplectic structure*

$$\omega: \mathbb{T}_{[e, \nu, 0, p_b]}(G^{\mathbb{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathbb{T}^*})_0 \times \mathbb{T}_{[e, \nu, 0, p_b]}(G^{\mathbb{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathbb{T}^*})_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is given by the formula

$$(4.9.2) \quad \omega((Y_p, \psi_p, u), (Z_p, \phi_p, v)) = \phi(Y) - \psi(Z) + [Z, \alpha](Y) + \omega_b(u, v)$$

where $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, and $u, v \in \sigma_b \oplus \sigma_b^*$, and where, with a slight abuse of notation, we do not distinguish in notation between p and $[e, \nu, 0, p_b]$.

Proof. At the point $\alpha = \alpha_e \in \mathbb{T}_e^*G = \mathfrak{g}^*$, the cotangent bundle symplectic structure

$$\omega: (\mathbb{T}_eG \times \mathbb{T}_\alpha\mathfrak{g}^*) \oplus (\mathbb{T}_eG \times \mathbb{T}_\alpha\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

on $G^{\mathbb{T}^*}$ has the form

$$(4.9.3) \quad \omega((Y, \psi), (Z, \phi)) = \phi(Y) - \psi(Z) + [Z, \alpha](Y), \quad Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}, \phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

and is actually determined by this expression since ω is G -biinvariant. This implies the assertion since $(G^{\mathbb{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathbb{T}^*})_0$ arises from $G^{\mathbb{T}^*} \times S_b^{\mathbb{T}^*}$ by G_b -reduction. \square

4.10. THE SYMPLECTIC SLICE. By virtue of Lemma 4.8, restricted to the subspace $\mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ of

$$\mathbb{T}_p(G \times_{G_p} S_p) \cong \mathfrak{g}p \oplus S_p \cong \mathfrak{m}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{m}p)^* \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b,$$

the derivative of the momentum mapping comes down to the linear map

$$(4.10.1) \quad \mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{q}p)^* \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad (X_p, \alpha_p) \mapsto [X, \nu] + \alpha,$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{q}^*$. The kernel K of (4.10.1) consists of the pairs $(-X_p, [X, \nu]_p)$ with $X \in \mathfrak{q}$. Proposition 4.9 implies that, given $(-X_p, [X, \nu]_p)$ and $(-Y_p, [Y, \nu]_p)$ in K ,

$$\omega((-X_p, [X, \nu]_p), (-Y_p, [Y, \nu]_p)) = \nu([Y, X]),$$

that is, under the projection from $\mathfrak{q}p \oplus (\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ to the second summand, K is mapped isomorphically onto $(\mathfrak{q}p)^*$ in such a way that the symplectic form on $\mathbb{T}_p(G \times_{G_p} S_p)$, restricted to K , is identified with the negative Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau form. This amounts of course to the familiar fact that reduction at the value $\nu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ for the G -action on \mathbb{T}^*G by left translation yields the coadjoint orbit $G\nu$ endowed with the negative Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau form.

It is now immediate that, in view of the decomposition (4.7.2) of the tangent space to $M^{\mathbb{T}^*}$ at p , the vector space

$$(4.10.2) \quad V = K \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$$

is a *symplectic slice* at the point p , where K is endowed with the negative Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau form or what corresponds to it, and where $\sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b$ carries the linear cotangent bundle symplectic structure written above as ω_b . That is to say: Endowed with the corresponding G_p -momentum mapping, G_p -reduction, applied to V , yields a local model for the G_ν -reduced space at ν , as a stratified symplectic space. This symplectic slice admits a characterization in terms of the G -action on the base M and of the geometry of the coadjoint orbit generated by ν , as explained in the introduction.

4.11. THE WITT-ARTIN DECOMPOSITION. Letting $W = (\mathfrak{m}p)^* (= (\mathfrak{g}_\nu p)^*)$, we obtain the *Witt-Artin decomposition*

$$(4.11.1) \quad \mathrm{T}_p(\mathrm{T}^*M) \cong \mathfrak{g}_\nu p \oplus \mathfrak{q}p \oplus V \oplus W$$

at the point p of T^*M . Indeed, in view of (4.9.2), with reference to the decomposition (4.9.1) of $\mathrm{T}_p(\mathrm{T}^*M)$, the skew-orthogonal complement $(\mathfrak{g}p)^\omega$ of $\mathfrak{g}p$ in $\mathrm{T}_p(\mathrm{T}^*M)$ consists of the triples

$$(X_p, \psi_p, u) \in \mathfrak{g}p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}p)^* \oplus (\sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b)$$

satisfying the equation

$$\psi(Y) + [X, \nu](Y) = 0$$

for every $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ whence

$$(\mathfrak{g}p)^\omega = \{(-X_p, [X, \nu]_p, u); X \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b\} = \mathfrak{m}p \oplus K \oplus \sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b = \mathfrak{m}p \oplus V,$$

and V is a G_p -invariant complement of $\mathfrak{g}_\nu p = \mathfrak{m}p$ in $(\mathfrak{g}p)^\omega$. Likewise, with reference to the decomposition (4.9.1) of $\mathrm{T}_p(\mathrm{T}^*M)$, in view of (4.9.2), the skew-orthogonal complement $(V \oplus \mathfrak{q}p)^\omega$ of $V \oplus \mathfrak{q}p$ in $\mathrm{T}_p(\mathrm{T}^*M)$ consists of the triples

$$(X_p, \psi_p, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}p)^* \oplus (\sigma_b^* \oplus \sigma_b)$$

satisfying the equation

$$\phi(X) - \psi(Z) - [X, \nu](Z) = 0$$

for every $Z \in \mathfrak{q}$ and every $\phi \in \mathfrak{q}^*$, and a little thought reveals that, therefore, $(V \oplus \mathfrak{q}p)^\omega = W \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\nu p$; moreover, W is a G_p -invariant Lagrangian complement of $\mathfrak{g}_\nu p$ in $(V \oplus \mathfrak{q}p)^\omega$. Hence (4.11.1) is indeed a Witt-Artin decomposition, cf. [3].

The Witt-Artin decomposition (4.11.1) can be characterized entirely in terms of the G -action on the base M and the geometry of the coadjoint orbit generated by ν . Indeed, let $G_{\nu,b} (= G_\nu \cap G_b)$ denote the stabilizer of b for the G_ν -action. The tangent space $\mathfrak{g}_\nu p$ to the G_ν -orbit of p at the point p decomposes as $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p)^\perp$. Here $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p$ is the tangent space at p to the $G_{\nu,b}$ -orbit of p in T_b^*M , $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p$ being viewed as a linear subspace of the tangent space $\mathrm{T}_p\mathrm{T}_b^*M$, that is, of T_b^*M , and $(\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p$; under the cotangent bundle projection map, $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p$ is identified with the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}$ ($\subseteq \mathrm{T}_bM$) to the G_ν -orbit at the point b of the base M . Likewise, let $\mathfrak{q}_{\nu,b}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}$ in \mathfrak{g}_b , so that $\mathfrak{g}_b = \mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b} \oplus \mathfrak{q}_{\nu,b}$. Then the vector

space $\mathfrak{q}p$, viewed as a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{g}p$ and hence as a linear subspace of T_b^*M , decomposes as $\mathfrak{q}_{\nu,b}p \oplus (\mathfrak{q}_{\nu,b}p)^\perp$ and, under the cotangent bundle projection map, $(\mathfrak{q}_{\nu,b}p)^\perp$ is identified with $\mathfrak{q}b$, viewed as a subspace of T_bM . Consequently, as $G_{\nu,b}$ -representations, $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu}p \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\nu,b}p \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu}b$ and $\mathfrak{q}p \cong \mathfrak{q}_{\nu,b}p \oplus \mathfrak{q}b$.

REFERENCES

1. V. W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *A normal form for the Moment Map*, in: Differential Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics, S. Sternberg, ed. (1984), Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht.
2. C. M. Marle, *Modèle d'action hamiltonienne d'un groupe de Lie sur une variété symplectique*, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico **43** (1985), Università e Politecnico, Torino, 227–251.
3. J.-P. Ortega and T. Ratiu, *Hamiltonian Reduction*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 222, Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston · Basel · Berlin, 2004.
4. M. Perlmutter, M. Rodríguez-Olmos, and M. E. Sousa-Dias, *The Witt-Artin decomposition of a cotangent-lifted action*, math.SG/0501207.
5. T. Schmah, *A cotangent bundle slice theorem*, math.SG/0409148.
6. R. Sjamaar and E. Lerman, *Stratified symplectic spaces and reduction*, Ann. of Math. **134** (1991), 375–422.

USTL, UFR DE MATHÉMATIQUES, CNRS-UMR 8524
 F-59 655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CÉDEX, FRANCE
 JOHANNES.HUEBSCHMANN@MATH.UNIV-LILLE1.FR