arXiv:math/0507233v1 [math.AG] 12 Jul 2005 [arXiv:math/0507233v1 \[math.AG\] 12 Jul 2005](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0507233v1)

RATIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC CURVES AND ELIMINATION THEORY

ALEXANDER SHAPIRO AND VICTOR VINNIKOV

Abstract. Elimination theory has many applications, in particular, it describes explicitly an image of a complex line under rational transformation and determines the number of common zeroes of two polynomials in one variable. We generalize classical elimination theory and create elimination theory along an algebraic curve using the notion of determinantal representation of algebraic curve. This new theory allows to describe explicitly an image of a plane algebraic curve under rational transformation and to determine the number of common zeroes of two polynomials in two variables on a plane algebraic curve.

INTRODUCTION

The main goal of this research is to describe explicitly an image of an algebraic curve under rational transformation.

The simplest and very illustrative case is a rational transformation of a projective line into projective plane. Three homogeneous polynomials in two variables p_0 , p_1 and p_2 maps a projective line \mathbb{CP} into projective plane \mathbb{CP}^2 :

$$
(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow (p_0(x_0, x_1), p_1(x_0, x_1), p_2(x_0, x_1))
$$

This case was described by N. Kravitsky using the classical elimination theory, see [\[7\]](#page-17-0). The image of a projective line is a rational curve. This curve is defined by a polynomial

$$
\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \det(x_0 B(p_1, p_2) + x_1 B(p_2, p_0) + x_2 B(p_0, p_1))
$$

where $B(p_i, p_j)$ is the Bezout matrix of polynomials p_i and p_j .

Our original objective was to find an analogue of the constructions of [\[7\]](#page-17-0) in the general case. This led us to consider elimination theory for pairs of polynomials along an algebraic curve given by a determinantal representation. While our results, as presented in this paper, are for polynomials in two variables, plane algebraic curves, and pairs of operators, the generalization to polynomials in d variables, algebraic curves in the d-dimensional space, and d-tuples of operators should be, for the most part, relatively straightforward.

Let us recall the main goal of elimination theory. Given $n+1$ (nonhomogeneous) polynomials in n variables we want to find necessary and sufficient conditions (in

Partially supported by EU-network HPRN-CT-2009-00099(EAGER) , (The Emmy Noether Research Institute for Mathematics and the Minerva Foundation of Germany), the Israel Science Foundation grant # 8008/02-3 (Excellency Center "Group Theoretic Methods in the Study of Algebraic Varieties").

terms of the coefficients) for these polynomials to have a common zero (and furthermore to determine the number of common zeroes, counting multiplicities, if they exist), see [\[9\]](#page-17-1).

In the classical case we consider (nonhomogeneous) polynomials in one variable,

$$
p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + p_2 x^2 + \dots + p_n x^n = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i x^i =
$$

$$
= (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x^2 \\ \dots \\ x^n \end{pmatrix} = pV_{n+1}(x)
$$

Here $p = (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ is the row-vector of coefficients of the polynomial $p(x)$ and $V_{n+1}(x)$ is a so called Vandermonde vector of the length $n + 1$:

$$
V_{n+1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ x^2 \\ \dots \\ x^n \end{pmatrix}
$$

We will see that Vandermonde vectors provide a convenient framework for a proof of classical results of elimination theory for two polynomials in one variable. In fact a key point in generalizing the classical results in our approach is to find an appropriate generalization of the notion a Vandermonde vector.

For polynomials in two variables,

$$
p(x_1, x_2) = p_{00} + p_{10}x_1 + p_{01}x_2 + \dots + p_{n0}x_1^n + p_{n-11}x_1^{n-1}x_2 + \dots + p_{0n}x_2^n =
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i_1, i_2=0}^{n} p_{i_1, i_2}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2} =
$$

=
$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} p_{00} \\ p_{10} \quad p_{01} \\ p_{20} \quad p_{11} \quad p_{02} \\ \dots \\ p_{n0} \quad p_{n-11} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad p_{0n} \end{array}\right)^T \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ x_1 \quad x_2 \\ x_1^2 \quad x_1x_2 \quad x_2^2 \\ \dots \\ x_1^{n-1}x_2 \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ x_1^{n-1}x_2 \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ x_2^{n} \end{array}\right),
$$

one usually considers elimination theory for three polynomials.

But it is an unfortunate fact that the easy, useful and beautiful constructions of the single variable case do not generalize in any straightforward fashion to the case of two or more variables. Some generalizations of the classical results using much more sophisticated algebraic techniques have been obtained in recent years by Gelfand, Kapranov, Zelevinsky, see [\[5\]](#page-17-2), and Jouanolou, see [\[6\]](#page-17-3). We shall follow a different, somewhat "asymmetrical" approach. Namely, we shall choose one of our three polynomials and view it as defining a plane algebraic curve.

Our goal becomes to determine, in terms of coefficients of two polynomials in two variables, whether these two polynomials have a common zero on an algebraic curve defined by a third polynomial, and if they do to determine the number of common zeroes, counting multiplicities.

To solve this problem it turns out to be essential to consider a plane algebraic curve defined by a polynomial $\Delta(x_1, x_2)$ of degree m together with a determinantal representation of the form

$$
\Delta(x_1, x_2) = \det(D_0 + x_1 D_1 + x_2 D_2)
$$

where D_0 , D_1 and D_2 are $m \times m$ constant matrices. In this paper we shall assume that the polynomial $\Delta(x_1, x_2)$ is irreducible, though many results can be generalized to the reducible case as well. We shall also assume that $\Delta(x_1, x_2)$ has real coefficients and D_0 , D_1 and D_2 are complex hermitian matrices; it allows to dispose of certain considerations of duality which will be necessary to handle the case when the reality assumption is dropped.

The determinantal representation of a curve provides us with an additional structure. In the case of a plane irreducible curve for every point (x_1, x_2) of a curve there is a nontrivial subspace $\ker(D_0 + x_1D_1 + x_2D_2)$ (one-dimensional, because of the irreducibility of $\Delta(x_1, x_2)$, except at the singular points) – the fiber of the corresponding line bundle at this point. We choose a vector e in this fiber. This additional structure allows us to define an appropriate analogue of Vandermonde vectors in one variable: Vandermonde vectors on a curve. Vandermonde vector on a curve (equipped with a determinantal representation as above) is given by

$$
V_{n+1}(x_1, x_2, e) = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ x_1 e & x_2 e \\ x_1^2 e & x_1 x_2 e & x_2^2 e \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1^{n-1} e & x_1^{n-1} x_2 e & \dots & \dots & x_2^{n} e \end{pmatrix}
$$

Let m be the degree of the polynomial $\Delta(x_1, x_2) = \det(D_0 + x_1D_1 + x_2D_2)$. We will denote the complex euclidian space of the dimension $m \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ by W_n . It is clear that every Vandermonde vector $V_n(x_1, x_2, e)$ on a curve belongs to W_n . We will call W_n the blown space. Unlike the one variable case all the Vandermonde vectors on a curve generate not the whole blown space W_n but only a certain subspace of W_n . Let us denote the subspace generated by Vandermonde vectors on a curve by V_n . We will call V_n the principal subspace. The dimension of the principal subspace V_n is equal to nm , and it can be described explicitly in terms of the matrices D_0 , D_1 and D_2 appearing in the determinantal representation.

The blown space and the principal subspace play a key role in the elimination theory on a plane algebraic curve. Namely, all the constructions of the classical elimination theory can be formally generalized in terms of the blown spaces. After this formal generalization we restrict the new "blown" constructions to the corresponding principal subspaces and obtain complete analogues of the classical results.

One immediate result of elimination theory along a plane algebraic curve is that these analogues of the classical constructions allow us to describe an image of an algebraic curve given by a determinantal representation under a rational transformation.

As we have mentioned earlier we expect that all the results of our elimination theory extend in a straightforward fashion to algebraic curves in a d-dimensional space for any d, using determinantal representations of the form discussed in $[8]$, p. 42, yielding a properly defined notion of a rational transformation of a d -tuple of commuting nonselfadjoint operators.

In the first paragraph of the chapter 1 we prove classical results of the elimination theory in a framework of Vandermonde vectors. In the rest of the chapter we describe an image of a line under a rational transformation via a notion of Bezout matrix and give brief review of a classification of determinantal representations of real plane algebraic curves.

In the chapter 2 we introduce the notion of Vandermonde vector on a plane algebraic curve and generalize notions of Sylvester matrix and Bezout matrix. We formulate and prove analogues of the results of the classical elimination theory for the elimination theory along a plane algebraic curve. We describe an image of a plane algebraic curve given by a determinantal representation under a rational transformation via the notion of generalized Bezout matrix.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Classical elimination theory. Let us recall the main goal of elimination theory. Given $n + 1$ (nonhomogeneous) polynomials in n variables we want to find necessary and sufficient conditions (in terms of the coefficients) for these polynomials to have a common zero (and furthermore to determine the number of common zeroes, counting multiplicities, if they exist), see [\[9\]](#page-17-1).

In the classical case we consider two (nonhomogeneous) polynomials in one variable. For such a pair of polynomials one may construct so–called Sylvester matrix and Bezout matrix. Entries of these matrices depend only on coefficients of the polynomials. Determinants of these matrices equal to zero if and only if the polynomials have a common zero.

We will consider classical elimination theory in a framework of Vandermonde vectors. This approach allows us to give new proofs of classical results of elimination theory for two polynomials in one variable. The advantage of this approach is that these proofs can be generalized for elimination theory for two polynomials in two variables along a plane algebraic curve.

Let $V_n(x)$ be the Vandermonde vector of the length n:

$$
V_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ \dots \\ x^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = (x^i)_{i=0}^{n-1}
$$

Theorem 1.1. If x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n are pairwise distinct then vectors $V_n(x_1), V_n(x_2), \ldots, V_n(x_n)$ are linearly independent.

Proof Let us consider $n \times n$ matrix

$$
(V_n(x_1), V_n(x_2), \dots, V_n(x_n)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}
$$

Let us suppose that $p = (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1})$ is a row–vector from the left kernel of this matrix. Then the polynomial $p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + \cdots + p_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ has *n* zeroes. The degree of this polynomial is less than n . Hence, the kernel of this matrix is trivial and vectors $V_n(x_1), V_n(x_2), \ldots, V_n(x_n)$ are linearly independent. Theorem is proved.

We will call $V_n(x)$ the Vandermonde vector of order zero. It is natural to define the Vandermonde vector of higher orders. For higher orders the definition is: $V_n^k(x) = \left(\frac{d^k}{dx^k}x^i\right)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ We will call $V_n^k(x)$ the Vandermonde vector of order k. **Theorem 1.2.** *If* $i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m = n-1$ *and* x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m *are pairwise distinct*

then vectors $V_n(x_1), V_n^1(x_1), \ldots, V_n^{i_1}(x_1), V_n(x_2), V_n^1(x_2), \ldots, V_n^{i_2}(x_2),$ $\dots, V_n(x_m), V_n^1(x_m), \dots, V_n^{i_m}(x_m)$ are linearly independent.

The prove is the same as of the above theorem.

Let us consider a (nonhomogeneous) polynomial of degree n in one variable:

$$
p(x) = p_0 + p_1 x + p_2 x^2 \dots + p_n x^n = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i x^i = pV_{n+1}(x)
$$

It is clear that a point x is a zero of the polynomial $p(x)$ if and only if the row– vector of coefficients $p = (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ multiplied by Vandermonde vector at the point x is equal to zero: $pV_{n+1}(x) = 0$.

Let us consider the $n \times 2n$ matrix

The k -th string of this matrix is the string of coefficients of the polynomial $x^{k-1}p(x)$. We will call $T(p)$ the matrix of shifts of p.

Lemma 1.1. *The kernel of the matrix of shifts of a polynomial is generated by Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of this polynomial counting with multiplicities.*

That is if the polynomial $p(x)$ has n distinct zeroes x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n then $\ker T(p) = span(V_{2n}(x_1), \ldots, V_{2n}(x_n))$ and if the polynomial $p(x)$ has zeroes x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m with multiplicities $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_m, i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_m = n$ then $\ker T(p) = span(V_{2n}(x_1), \ldots, V_{2n}^{(i_1)}(x_1), V_{2n}(x_2), \ldots, V_{2n}^{(i_2)}(x_2), \ldots,$ $V_{2n}(x_m), \ldots, V_{2n}^{(i_m)}(x_m)).$

Let us consider two polynomials p and q of degree n and $2n \times 2n$ matrix

$$
S(p,q) = \left(\begin{array}{c} T(p) \\ T(q) \end{array}\right)
$$

This matrix is called Sylvester matrix of two polynomials. From the Theorem 1.2 we conclude the next classical theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The dimension of the kernel of Sylvester matrix of two polynomials is equal to the number of common zeroes of these polynomials.*

Corollary 1.1. *Two polynomials in one variable have common zero if and only if the determinant of their Sylvester matrix is equal to zero.*

The determinant of the Sylvester matrix is called a resultant.

Lemma 1.2. For every two polynomials in one variable $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ of degree n *there exists uniquely determined* $n \times n$ *symmetric matrix* $B(p,q) = (b_{ij})_{i,j=0}^n$ *such that* $p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y) = \sum b_{ij}x^{i}(x - y)y^{j}$.

This matrix is called Bezout matrix of two polynomials. Proof The statement of the lemma follows from the decompositions:

 $p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y) = \sum p_i q_j (x^i y^j - x^j y^i)$ \sum $) =$ $\sum_{i > j} p_i q_j x^j (x^{i-j} - y^{i-j}) y^j - \sum_{i < j} p_i q_j x^i (x^{j-i} - y^{j-i}) y^i =$ $\sum_{i>j} p_i q_j x^j \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-j} x^{k-1} (x-y) y^{i-j-k} \right) y^j \sum_{i < j} p_i q_j x^i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-i} x^{k-1} (x-y) y^{j-i-k} \right) y^i$

Corollary 1.2. $p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y) = V_n^T(x)(x - y)B(p,q)V_n(y)$.

The following theorem is due to N. Kravitsky [\[8\]](#page-17-4), p.135.

Theorem 1.4. *For any polynomials* p*,* q*,* f*and* g *of degree* n *their Sylvester matrix and Bezout matrix are connected by the next identity:*

$$
S^{T}(p,q)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&-B(f,g)\\B(f,g)&0\end{array}\right)S(p,q)=
$$

$$
S^{T}(f,g)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&B(p,q)\\-B(p,q)&0\end{array}\right)S(f,g)
$$

Proof It suffices to show that the equality is true if multiplied from the left side by $V_{2n}^T(x)$ and from the right by $V_{2n}(y)$. The left-hand side equals then

$$
V_{2n}^{T}(x)S^{T}(p,q)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B(f,g) \\ B(f,g) & 0 \end{pmatrix}S(p,q)V_{2n}(y) =
$$

\n
$$
(p(x)V_{n}^{T}(x), q(x)V_{n}^{T}(x))\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B(f,g) \\ B(f,g) & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} p(y)V_{n}(y) \\ q(y)V_{n}(y) \end{pmatrix} =
$$

\n
$$
-(p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y))V_{n}^{T}(x)B(f,g)V_{n}(y) =
$$

\n
$$
-(p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y)) (f(x)g(y) - f(x)g(y)) (x - y)^{-1}
$$

The expression obtained preserves its value if we interchange (p, q) with (f, g) . At the same time, interchanging (p, q) with (f, g) at the left–hand side of the expression in the theorem leads us to right–hand side. Hence, both sides are equal. Theorem is proved.

Setting $f(x) = 1, g(x) = x^n$ we get the following identity.

Corollary 1.3.
$$
S^T(p,q) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J_n \ J_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} S(p,q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B(p,q) \ -B(p,q) & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
,
where $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ 1 \ \cdots \end{pmatrix}$.

Corollary 1.4. *The dimension of the kernel of Bezout matrix of two polynomials* p *and* q *of degree* n *is equal to the number of common zeroes of these polynomials and* $|\det S(p,q)| = |\det B(p,q)|$ *.*

The determinant of the Bezout matrix is called a bezoutian.

1.2. Rational transformation and Bezout matrices. The notion of the Bezout matrix allows us to describe explicitly an image of the one–dimensional projective line \mathbb{CP} to two–dimensional projective plane \mathbb{CP}^2 under a rational transformation.

Let us consider three homogeneous polynomials in two variables $p_0(x_0, x_1)$, $p_1(x_0, x_1)$ and $p_2(x_0, x_1)$. These polynomials define a rational transformation $r: \mathbb{CP} \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ by

$$
r(x_0, x_1) = (p_0(x_0, x_1), p_1(x_0, x_1), p_2(x_0, x_1))
$$

Next theorem is due to Kravitsky, [\[7\]](#page-17-0).

Theorem 1.5. *The image of* CP *under the rational transformation* r *is a curve given by the next determinantal representation:*

$$
\det(x_0 B(p_0, p_1) + x_1 B(p_2, p_0) + x_2 B(p_1, p_2)) = 0
$$

1.3. Determinantal representations of algebraic curves. Let C be a real projective plane curve defined by a polynomial $\Delta(x, y)$ of degree m. We will say that $D = (D_0 + xD_1 + yD_2)$ is a determinantal representation of C if

$$
\Delta(x, y) = \det(D_0 + xD_1 + yD_2)
$$

Two determinantal representations D and D' are called Hermitian equivalent if there exists a complex $m \times m$ matrix P such that $D' = PDP^*$. We want to describe equivalence classes of determinantal representations of C.

Let D be a determinantal representation of C. For each point (x, y) on C consider $coker D(x, y) = \{v : vD(x, y) = 0\}$, where v is m-dimensional row-vector. It can be shown that if (x, y) is a regular point of C then dim $coker D(x, y) = 1$. Assume now C is a smooth curve. It follows that $coker D$ is a line bundle on C; more precisely, we define *cokerD* to be the subbundle of the trivial bundle of rank m over C, whose fiber at the point (x, y) is coker $D(x, y)$. Clearly, if two determinantal representations D and D' of C are equivalent, then the corresponding line bundles $cokerD$ and $cokerD'$ are isomorphic. Conversely it turned out that if the line bundles corresponding to two determinantal representations of C are isomorphic, then the determinantal representations are equivalent up to sign. The description of determinantal representations has been thus reduced to the description of certain line bundles on C.

C is a compact Riemann surface of genus g, where $g = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ $\frac{n-2}{2}$. Choosing a canonical integral homology basis on C and the corresponding normalized basis for holomorphic differentials, we obtain the period lattice Λ in \mathbb{C}^m . The Jacobian variety $J(C) = \mathbb{C}^m/\Lambda$; it is a g-dimensional complex torus. The Abel-Jacobi map μ associates to every line bundle L on C a point $\mu(L)$ in $J(C)$. Furthermore the isomorphism class of L is determined by two invariants: the degree deg L of L, an integer, and the point $\mu(L)$ in $J(C)$.

Some important geometrical properties of the line bundle can be expressed analytically in terms of the corresponding point in the Jacobian variety through the use of the Riemann's theta function $\theta(z)$. $\theta(z)$ is an entire function on \mathbb{C}^g determined by the period lattice Λ. $\theta(z)$ is quasiperiodic with respect to Λ: when z is translated by a vector in Λ , $\theta(z)$ is multiplied by a non–zero number, so that we can talk about the zeroes of $\theta(z)$ on $J(C)$.

It can be shown that if $L = \text{coker } D$, where D is a determinantal representation of C, then deg $L = -\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ $\frac{2^{L-1}}{2}$. One can determine necessary and sufficient conditions on a line bundle L of degree $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ to be the cokernel of a determinantal representation of C, and translating them into conditions on the corresponding point in the Jacobian variety yields.

Theorem 1.6. C *possesses determinantal representation. There is a one–to–one correspondence between equivalence classes, up to a sign, of determinantal representations* D *of* C *and* points λ *of* $J(C)$ *satisfying* $\lambda + \overline{\lambda} = e$ *and* $\theta(\lambda) \neq 0$ *. The correspondence is given by* $\lambda = \mu(coker D(m-2)) + k$.

The use of the twisted line bundle $cokerD(m-2)$ instead of $cokerD$ and the translation of the point in $J(C)$ by the so-called Riemann's constant k are technical details. $e \in \mathbb{C}^g$ is a half-period $(2e \in \Lambda)$ explicitly determined by the topology of the set of real points $C_{\mathbf{R}} \subset C$. Note that since C is a real curve, the period lattice Λ is invariant under complex conjugation, and the conjugation descends to $J(C) = \mathbb{C}^m/\Lambda$, so the equation $\overline{\lambda} + \overline{\lambda} = e$ makes sense there.

This theorem is due to Vinnikov, [\[10\]](#page-17-5).

2. Elimination theory on a curve

2.1. Vandermonde vectors on a curve. It is an unfortunate fact that the easy, useful and beautiful constructions of the single variable case do not generalize in any straightforward fashion to the case of two or more variables. Some generalizations of the classical results using much more sophisticated algebraic techniques have been obtained in recent years by Gelfand, Kapranov, Zelevinsky, see [\[5\]](#page-17-2), and Jouanolou, see [\[6\]](#page-17-3). We shall follow a different, somewhat "asymmetrical" approach. Namely, we shall choose one of our three polynomials and view it as defining a plane algebraic curve.

Our goal becomes to determine, in terms of coefficients of two polynomials in two variables, whether these two polynomials have a common zero on an algebraic curve defined by a third polynomial, and if they do to determine the number of common zeroes, counting multiplicities.

To solve this problem it turns out to be essential to consider a plane algebraic curve given by a determinantal representation

$$
\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \det(x_0 D_0 + x_1 D_1 + x_2 D_2)
$$

where D_0 , D_1 and D_2 are $m \times m$ constant matrices. In this thesis we shall assume that the (homogeneous) polynomial $\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ is irreducible, though many results can be generalized to the reducible case as well. We shall also assume that $\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ has real coefficients and D_0, D_1 and D_2 are complex hermitian matrices; it allows to dispose of certain considerations of duality which will be necessary to handle the case when the reality assumption is dropped.

In the classical case we have considered the Vandermonde vectors in one variable: $V_n(x) = (x^i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ or, in homogeneous coordinates:

$$
V_n(x_0, x_1) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^{n-1} \\ x_0^{n-2} x_1 \\ x_0^{n-3} x_1^2 \\ \cdots \\ x_1^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = (x_0^{i_0}, x_1^{i_1})_{i_0 + i_1 = n-1} = (x^i)_{|i| = n-1}
$$

The determinantal representation of a curve provides us with an additional structure. In the case of a plane irreducible curve for every point of the curve $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$ there is a nontrivial subspace ker $(x_0D_0 + x_1D_1 + x_2D_2)$ (onedimensional, because of the irreducibility of $\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2)$, except at the singular points). For given point (x_0, x_1, x_2) we chose an arbitrary (non–trivial) vector from the subspace $\ker(x_0D_0+x_1D_1+x_2D_2)$. Vandermonde vectors on a curve (equipped with a determinantal representation) is given by

$$
V_n(x, e) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0^{n-1} e \\ x_0^{n-2} x_1 e & x_0^{n-2} x_2 e \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_0 x_1^{n-2} e & \dots & \dots & \dots & x_0 x_2^{n-2} e \\ x_1^{n-1} e & x_1^{n-2} x_2 e & \dots & \dots & x_1 x_2^{n-2} e & x_2^{n-1} e \\ (x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} e)_{i_0+i_1+i_2=n-1} = (x^i e)_{|i|=n-1} \end{pmatrix} =
$$

To define Vandermonde vectors of higher order at the point (x_0, x_1, x_2) we fix a local coordinate t, fix a local lifting of homogeneous coordinates from \mathbb{CP}^2 to $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and consider $x_0(t)$, $x_1(t)$, $x_2(t)$ and $e(t) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $(x_0(t)D_0 +$ $x_1(t)D_1+x_2(t)D_2$) $e(t)=0$. In this notations $V_n(x) = (x_0^{i_0}(t)x_1^{i_1}(t)x_2^{i_2}(t)e(t))|_{t=0}$ $(x^{i}(t)e(t))|_{t=0}$

Now we may define Vandermonde vectors of higher order analogously to the classical case: $V_n^k(x, e) = \left(\frac{d^k}{dt^k}x(t)e(t)\right)|_{t=0}$. This definition is correct for regular points of a curve. For singular point of a curve we consider desingularizing Riemann surface with corresponding line bundle and for every preimage of a point we construct in the same manner Vandermonde vectors of corresponding multiplicities. We will call $V_n^k(x)$ the Vandermonde vector on a curve at the point x of order k. Vandermonde vector on a curve depend on the choice of a vector e and on the choice of a local coordinate.

Lemma 2.1. *For any natural number* k *and for any point on a curve* x *the subspace generated by Vandermonde vectors at the point* x of first $k + 1$ orders $V_n(x, e), V_n^1(x, e), \ldots, V_n^k(x, e)$ does not depend on the choice of a vector e and on *the choice of a local coordinate.*

The statement of the lemma follows from the definition of Vandermonde vector of higher order and the rule for differentiation of a product. When the choice of a vector e is not essential we shall use the notion $V_n(x)$ instead of $V_n(x, e)$.

In the classical elimination theory every Vandermonde vector $V_n(x_0, x_1)$ belongs to \mathbb{C}^n and n Vandermonde vectors generate the whole space \mathbb{C}^n .

It is clear that a Vandermonde vector $V_n(x, e)$ on a curve belongs to the space $C^{m\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$, where m is the degree of $\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2)$. We will denote this space by W_n and will call it the blown space. As we shall see later unlike the classical case all the Vandermonde vectors on a curve generate not the whole blown space W_n but only a certain subspace of W_n . Let us consider a subspace

$$
V_n = \{(w_{j_0,j_1,j_2}) \in W_n : D_0w_{j_0+1,j_1,j_2} + D_1w_{j_0,j_1+1,j_2} + D_2w_{j_0,j_1,j_2+1} = 0\}
$$

where $j_0 + j_1 + j_2 = n - 1$. We will call V_n the principal subspace. It is clear that all Vandermonde vectors belong to V_n , that is $V_n(x) \in V_n$ for every point $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2).$

Theorem 2.1. *The dimension of the principal subspace* V_n *equals to nm.*

Proof We will prove this theorem by induction. For $n = 1$ it is trivial: $V_1 =$ $\{w \in W_1\}$ and $W_1 = \mathbb{C}^m$.

Let us suppose now that the statement of the theorem is true for all $i < n$. Let us consider three subspaces of V_n :

 $U_1 = \{ (w_{j_0,j_1,j_2}) \in W_n : D_0w_{j_0+1,j_1,j_2} + D_1w_{j_0,j_1+1,j_2} + D_2w_{j_0,j_1,j_2+1} = 0$ for $j_1 > 0$,

and $w_{j_0,j_1,j_2} = 0$ for $j_1 = 0$, $U_2 = \{ (w_{j_0,j_1,j_2}) \in W_n : D_0w_{j_0+1,j_1,j_2} + D_1w_{j_0,j_1+1,j_2} + D_2w_{j_0,j_1,j_2+1} = 0$ for $j_2 > 0$, and $w_{j_0,j_1,j_2} = 0$ for $j_2 = 0$, $U_3 = \{ (w_{j_0,j_1,j_2}) \in W_n : D_0w_{j_0+1,j_1,j_2} + D_1w_{j_0,j_1+1,j_2} + D_2w_{j_0,j_1,j_2+1} = 0$ for $j_1 = j_2 = 0$, and $w_{j_0, j_1, j_2} = 0$ otherwise }.

It is clear that $U_1 \cong U_2 \cong V_{n-1}$ and $U_3 \cong V_2$. Let us notice that $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3 = \emptyset$ and $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 = V_n$. Therefore dim $V_n = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 + \dim U_3 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \dim(U_1 \cap U_3) - \dim(U_2 \cap U_3)$. It is clear that $(U_1 \cap U_2) \cong V_{n-2}$ and $(U_1 \cap U_3) \cong (U_2 \cap V_3)$ $U_3 \cong V_1$. Therefore $\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = (n-2)m$ and $\dim(U_2 \cap U_3) = \dim(U_1 \cap U_3) = m$. Hence, dim $V_n = (n-1)m + (n-1)m + 2m - (n-2)m - m - m = nm$. Theorem is proved.

Let us consider *n* pairwise distinct linear polynomials $L_i(x) = a_i x_0 + b_i x_1 + c_i x_2$ such that $L_i(x)$ and $L_i(x)$ do not have common zeroes on the curve for $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2.2. *Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of the polynomial* $\prod_{i=1}^{n} L_i(x)$ *on a curve are linearly independent and generate the principal subspace* V_n .

Proof We will prove this lemma by induction. For $n = 1$ there are m zeroes of $L_1(x)$ on the curve: $x_{11},...,x_{1m}$, where $x_{1j} = (x_{1j,0}, x_{1j,1}, x_{1j,2}) \in \mathbb{CP}^2$. For every x_{1j} there exists corresponding vector $e_{1j} \in \text{ker}(x_{1j,0}D_0 + x_{1j,1}D_1 + x_{1j,2}D_2)$. Let us note that vectors e_{11}, \ldots, e_{1m} are linearly independent as eigenvectors of a matrix pencil $(x_0D_0 + x_1D_1 + x_2D_2)|_{L_1(x)=0}$, see [\[3\]](#page-17-6), p14-16. Linearly independent vectors e_{11}, \ldots, e_{1m} generate $V_1 = \mathbb{C}^m$.

Let us suppose now that the statement of the lemma is true for every $i < n$. We will consider three subspaces of W_n :

 $U_1 = span(V_n(x_{11}), \ldots, V_n(x_{1m}),$

 $U_2 = span(V_n(x_{n1}), \ldots, V_n(x_{nm})$ and

 $U_3 = span(V_n(x_{21}), \ldots, V_n(x_{2m}), \ldots, V_n(x_{(n-1)1}), \ldots, V_n(x_{(n-1)m})).$

By our assumption vectors $V_{n-1}(x_{11}), \ldots, V_{n-1}(x_{(n-1)m})$ are linearly independent. Therefore $\dim(U_3 \cap U_1) = 0$ and, analogously, $\dim(U_3 \cap U_2) = 0$. Now we may compute dim $span(V_n(x_{11}),...,V_n(x_{nm}) = \dim(U_1 + U_2 + U_3) = \dim((U_1 + U_2) +$ U_3) = dim($U_1 + U_2$) + dim U_3 – dim($(U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3$) = dim($U_1 + U_2$) + dim U_3 – dim($(U_1 \cap U_3) + (U_2 \cap U_3) = 2m + (n-2)m = nm$. Hence, vectors $V_n(x_{11}), \ldots$, $V_n(x_{nm})$ are linearly independent. It is clear that $V_n(x_{ij}) \in V_n$ for every i and j. By previous theorem dim $V_n = nm$. Hence, vectors $V_n(x_{11}), \ldots, V_n(x_{nm})$ generate the principal subspace V_n . Lemma is proved.

The blown space and the principal subspace play a key role in the elimination theory on a plane algebraic curve. Namely, all the constructions of the classical elimination theory can be formally generalized in terms of the blown spaces. After this formal generalization we restrict the new "blown" constructions to the corresponding principal subspaces and obtain complete analogues of the classical results.

2.2. Generalized Sylvester and Bezout matrices. Our goal in this paragraph is to define properly Sylvester and Bezout matrices for a pair of homogeneous polynomials in three variables on a curve given by a determinantal representation.

As in the previous paragraph we consider the blown space W_n and the principal subspace V_n . For a pair of polynomials we will determine formal analogues of classical Sylvester and Bezout matrices in W_n and then will restrict these analogues on V_n .

Let $p(x) = p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ be a homogeneous polynomial in three variables of degree n: $p(x) = p(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{|i|=n} p_i x^i = \sum_{i_0+i_1+i_2=n} p_{i_0, i_1, i_2} x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2}.$

Let us consider a $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \times \frac{2n(2n+1)}{2}$ matrix $T(p)$, where (i_0, i_1, i_2) -th string is the string of coefficients of polynomial $x^i p(x) = x_0^{i_0} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$. We will denote the matrix $T(p) \otimes I$ by $T(p)$, where I is the unit $m \times m$ matrix. Multiplying $T(p)$ by Vandermonde vectors we obtain main property of $\bar{T}(p)$.

Lemma 2.3. $\bar{T}(p)V_{2n}(x, e) = p(x)V_n(x, e)$

Corollary 2.1. $\bar{T}(p)V_{2n} \subset V_n$

Let us define $T'(p) = \overline{T}(p)|_{V_{2n}}$ We will call the matrix $T'(p)$ the matrix of shifts of polynomial p. $T'(p)$ is $nm \times 2nm$ matrix. Next lemma follows from the definition of the matrix of shifts.

Lemma 2.4. *For every polynomial* p *of degree* n *the rank of the matrix of shifts of this polynomial equals to nm:* $rkT'(p) = nm$

Let us consider two homogeneous polynomials in three variables $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ and $q(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ of degree n and $2nm \times 2nm$ matrix

$$
S(p,q) = \left(\begin{array}{c} T'(p) \\ T'(q) \end{array}\right)
$$

We will call the matrix $S(p,q)$ the Sylvester matrix of polynomials p and q along the algebraic curve given by a determinantal representation Δ . Later we will prove that the determinant of $S(p,q)$ equals to zero if and only if p and q have a common zero on a curve.

Lemma 2.5. *For every two homogeneous polynomials in three variables* $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ and $q(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ of degree n there exist three $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \times \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ $\frac{a+1}{2}$ *symmetric matrices* $\beta^{10} = (b_{ij}^{10}), \beta^{20} = (b_{ij}^{20})$ *and* $\beta^{12} = (b_{ij}^{12})$ *such that* $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)q(y_0, y_1, y_2)$ – $q(x_0, x_1, x_2)p(y_0, y_1, y_2) =$

$$
\sum_{|i|,|j|=n} b_{ij}^{10} x^i (x_1 y_0 - x_0 y_1) y^j + b_{ij}^{20} x^i (x_2 y_0 - x_0 y_2) y^j + b_{ij}^{12} x^i (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) y^j
$$

To simplify notations we will prove nonhomogeneous analogue of this lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *For every two nonhomogeneous polynomials in two variables* $p(x_1, x_2), q(x_1, x_2)$ of degree *n* there exist three $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \times \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ 2 *symmetric matrices* $\beta^{10} = (b_{ij}^{10})$, $\beta^{20} = (b_{ij}^{20})$ *and* $\beta^{12} = (b_{ij}^{12})$ *such that* $p(x_1, x_2)q(y_1, y_2)$ – $q(x_1, x_2)p(y_1, y_2) =$

$$
\sum_{0 \leq i_1 + i_2, j_1 + j_2 \leq n} b^{10}_{ij} x^i (x_1 - y_1) y^j + b^{20}_{ij} x^i (x_2 - y_2) y^j + b^{12}_{ij} x^i (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) y^j
$$

Proof From the linearity of this decomposition it follows that it is sufficient to prove the lemma for monomials $p(y_1, y_2) = y_1^{k_1} y_2^{k_2}, q(y_1, y_2) = y_1^{l_1} y_2^{l_2}.$ Let us suppose that $k_1 \geq l_1$, $k_2 \leq l_2$. In this case

 $p(x_1, x_2)q(y_1, y_2) - q(x_1, x_2)p(y_1, y_2) = x_1^{k_1}x_2^{k_2}y_1^{l_1}y_2^{l_2} - x_1^{l_1}x_2^{l_2}y_1^{k_1}y_2^{k_2} =$ $x_1^{l_1}x_2^{k_2}(x_1^{k_1-l_1}y_2^{l_2-k_2}-x_2^{l_2-k_2}y_1^{k_1-l_1})y_1^{l_1}y_2^{k_2}.$ Let us denote $k_1 - l_1 = m_1$ and $l_2 - k_2 = m_2$. If $m_1 = m_2 = m$ then $x_1^m y_2^m - x_2^m y_1^m = x_1^{m-1} (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) y_2^{m-1} + x_1^{m-2} x_2 (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) y_1 y_2^{m-2} + \cdots$ $x_1x_2^{m-2}(x_1y_2-x_2y_1)y_1^{m-2}y_2+x_2^{m-1}(x_1y_2-x_2y_1)y_1^{m-1}$

If, for example, $m_1 > m_2$ then

 $x_1^{m_1}y_2^{m_2} - x_2^{m_2}y_1^{m_1} = x_1^{m_1-1}(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)y_2^{m_2-1} + x_1^{m_1-2}x_2(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)y_1y_2^{m_2-2} +$ $\cdots + x_1^{m_1-m_2} x_2^{m_2-1} (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) y_1^{m_2-1} +$ $x_2^{m_2-1}(x_1y_2-x_2y_1)y_1^{m_1-1}+x_1x_2^{m_2-2}(x_1y_2-x_2y_1)y_1^{m_1-2}y_2+\cdots+x_1^{m_2-1}(x_1y_2-x_2y_1)$ $x_2y_1)y_1^{m_1-m_2}y_2^{m_2-1} - (x_1^{m_1-m_2}x_2^{m_2}y_1^{m_2} - x_1^{m_2}y_1^{m_1-m_2}y_2^{m_2})$ In the expression $(x_1^{m_1-m_2}x_2^{m_2}y_1^{m_2}-x_1^{m_2}y_1^{m_1-m_2}y_2^{m_2})$ we take $x_1^{\max(m_1-m_2,m_2)}$ and $y_1^{\max(m_1-m_2,m_2)}$ out from parenthesis and repeat the procedure until we reduce the degree of the expression inside parenthesis to 1. The same procedure can be done for the case $k_1 \geq l_1, k_2 \geq l_2$ because $x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2} - y_1^{m_1}y_2^{m_2} = x_2^{m_2}(x_1^{m_1} - y_1^{m_1}) + (x_1^{m_1} - y_1^{m_1})y_2^{m_2} - (x_1^{m_1}y_2^{m_2} - x_2^{m_2}y_1^{m_1})$ and

 $x_1^{m_1} - y_1^{m_1} = x_1^{m_1-1}(x_1 - y_1) + x_1^{m_1-2}(x_1 - y_1)y_1 + \cdots + x_1(x_1 - y_1)y_1^{m_1-2} + (x_1 - y_1)y_1^{m_1-2}$ $(y_1)y_1^{m_1-1}$

The lemma is proved.

On the $m \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ ²/₂⁻¹</sub>) –dimensional blown space W_n let us define a $m \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \times m \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ On the m_{2} dimensional blown space w_n for as define a m_{2} $\sim m_{2}$
matrix $B(p,q)$:

$$
B(p,q) = \beta^{12} \otimes D_0 + \beta^{10} \otimes D_1 + \beta^{20} \otimes D_2
$$

The principal subspace V_n is generated by Vandermonde vectors on the curve. Let us consider the restriction of $B(p, q)$ on the principal subspace:

$$
B'(p,q) = \mathcal{P}_{V_n} B(p,q) \mathcal{P}_{V_n}
$$

We will call $B'(p, q)$ the Bezout matrix of polynomials p and q along the algebraic curve given by the determinantal representation Δ .

Later we will prove that the determinant of $B'(p,q)$ equals to zero if and only if p and q have a common zero on a curve.

2.3. Main results. In this paragraph we prove analogues of classical theorems for generalized Sylvester and Bezout matrices.

The following theorem is due to V. Vinnikov [\[8\]](#page-17-4), p 228.

Theorem 2.2. *If* $e \in \text{ker}(x_0D_0 + x_1D_1 - x_2D_2)$ *and* $h ∈ \text{ker}(y_0D_0 + y_1D_1 - y_2D_2)$ *then*

$$
e^T D_0 h(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)^{-1} = e^T D_1 h(x_1 y_0 - x_0 y_1)^{-1} = e^T D_2 h(x_2 y_0 - x_0 y_2)^{-1}
$$

We will use notation $e^T D_0 h (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)^{-1} = e^T D_1 h (x_1 y_0 - x_0 y_1)^{-1} =$ $e^T D_2 h(x_2y_0 - x_0y_2)^{-1} = [e, h]_{x,y}.$ As usually we denote indexes of summation (i_0, i_1, i_2) and (j_0, j_1, j_2) by i and j, monomials $x_0^{i_0}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}$ and $y_0^{j_0}y_1^{j_1}y_2^{j_2}$ by x^i and y^j and assume that $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = j_0 + j_1 + j_2 = n$. Next useful lemma follows from this theorem and from the definition of the generalized Bezout matrix.

Lemma 2.7. *If* $V(x, e)$ *and* $V(y, h)$ *are two Vandermonde vectors on a curve then*

$$
V^{T}(x, e)B'(p, q)V(y, h) = (p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y))[e, h]_{x, y}
$$

Proof Let us calculate $V^T(x, e)B'(p, q)V(y, h)$. $V^T(x, e)B'(p, q)V(y, h) =$ (By the definition of the Bezout matrix) = $V^T(x,e)$ $(\beta^{12} \otimes D_0 + \beta^{10} \otimes D_1 + \beta$ \sum $^{20} \otimes D_2$) $V(y,h) =$ $\sum_{i,j} \left(\beta_{i,j}^{12} x^i y^j e^T D_0 h + \beta_{i,j}^{10} x^i y^j e^T D_1 h + \beta_{i,j}^{20} x^i y^j e^T D_2 h \right) =$ $\sum_{i,j} (\beta_{i,j}^{12} x^i (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) y^j e^T D_0 h (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)^{-1} +$ $\beta_{i,j}^{10}x^{i}(x_1y_0-x_0y_1)y^{j}e^{T}D_1h(x_1y_0-x_0y_1)^{-1} +$ $\beta_{i,j}^{20} x^i (x_2 y_0 - x_0 y_2) y^j e^T D_2 h (x_2 y_0 - x_0 y_2)^{-1}) = (\text{By Theorem 2.2}) =$ RATIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC CURVES AND ELIMINATION THEORY13

$$
\sum_{i,j} \left(\beta_{i,j}^{12} x^i (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) y^j + \beta_{i,j}^{10} x^i (x_1 y_0 - x_0 y_1) y^j + \beta_{i,j}^{20} x^i (x_2 y_0 - x_0 y_2) y^j \right) \cdot [e, h]_{x,y} = (p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y))[e, h]_{x,y} \text{ Lemma is proved.}
$$

Theorem 2.3. *For every four polynomials in two variables* f*,* g*,* p *and* q *of degree* n *the following identity holds:*

$$
S'^T(p,q)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&-B'(f,g)\\B'(f,g)&0\end{array}\right)S'(p,q)=
$$

$$
S'^T(f,g)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&-B'(p,q)\\B'(p,q)&0\end{array}\right)S'(f,g)
$$

Proof It suffices to show that the equality is true if multiplied from the left side by $V_{2n}^T(x, e)$ and from the right side by $V_{2n}(y, h)$. The left-hand side equals then

$$
V_{2n}^{T}(x, e)S'^{T}(p, q) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B'(f, g) \\ B'(f, g) & 0 \end{pmatrix} S'(p, q) V_{2n}(y, h) = (\text{By Lemma 2.3})
$$

\n
$$
(p(x)V_{n}^{T}(x, e), q(x)V_{n}^{T}(x, e)) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B'(f, g) \\ B'(f, g) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p(y)V_{n}(y, h) \\ q(y)V_{n}(y, h) \end{pmatrix} =
$$

\n
$$
-(p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y))V_{n}^{T}(x, e)B'(f, g)V_{n}(y, h) = (\text{By Lemma 2.7}) =
$$

\n
$$
-(p(x)q(y) - q(x)p(y))(f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y))[e, h]_{x,y}
$$

The expression obtained preserves its value if we interchange (p, q) with (f, g) . At the same time, interchanging (p, q) with (f, g) at the left–hand side of the expression in the theorem leads us to right–hand side. Hence, both sides are equal. Theorem is proved.

Now we may formulate preliminary result.

Lemma 2.8. *Let us suppose that Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of polynomial* $q(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ *on a curve (counting with multiplicities) are linearly independent. Then*

1. The kernel of the matrix of shifts of this polynomial is generated by Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of this polynomial on a curve.

2. For every polynomial $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ the dimension of the kernel of Sylvester ma*trix of* p *and* q *along the curve is equal to the number of common zeroes of these polynomials on the curve.*

3. For every polynomial $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ the dimension of the kernel of Bezout ma*trix of* p *and* q *along the curve is equal to the number of common zeroes of these polynomials on the curve.*

Proof It follows from lemma 2.4 that the dimension of the kernel of matrix of shifts equals to nm . It follows from lemma 2.4 that all nm independent Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of polynomial $q(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ belong to this kernel. Hence, the first statement of this lemma is true. To obtain the second statement of this lemma we consider a vector U from the kernel of the Sylvester matrix of p and q . From the definition of the Sylvester matrix it follows that this vector belongs to the kernel of the matrix of shifts of polynomial q. Hence, $U = \sum a_i V_i(y_i)$, where y_i are zeroes of polynomial q and $V_i(y_i)$ are Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of polynomial q . Analogously, vector U belongs to the kernel of the matrix of shifts of polynomial p. That is $T'(p)U = 0$. But by lemma 2.3 $T'(p)U = \sum p(y_i)a_iV_i(y_i)$ and by assumption all $V_i(y_i)$ are linearly independent. Hence, $p(y_i) = 0$ and the second statement of this lemma is true. To prove third statement of this lemma let us assume that the curve does not contain points $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$. Let us consider polynomials x_1^n and x_2^n . From the definition of the generalized Bezout matrix it follows that $B'(x_1^n, x_2^n)$ is nondegenerate. Third statement follows now

from the second one and from theorem 2.3. If the curve contains points $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$ we make an invertible linear change of variables such that new curve does not contain points $(0,1,0)$ and $(0,0,1)$, take polynomials x_1^n and x_2^n on the new curve and then make an inverse change of variables. The generalized Bezout matrix of the new pair of polynomials will be nondegenerate and we again may apply the second statement of this theorem and theorem 2.3. Lemma is proved.

It is clear now that if we will manage to prove that Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of an arbitrary polynomial are linearly independent then we are done.

Lemma 2.9. For every k points from \mathbb{C} : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k there exist two numbers $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $c_1a_i + c_2a_j \neq 0$ *for every* $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ *.*

Proof All pairs c_1, c_2 that does not satisfy the statement of this lemma lies on the finite number of lines in \mathbb{C}^2 . We chose a pair that does not lie on these lines. Lemma is proved.

Theorem 2.4. *For every polynomial Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of this polynomial on a curve (counting with multiplicities) are linearly independent.*

That is if $x^0 = (x_0^0, x_1^0, x_2^0), x^1 = (x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1), \dots, x^k = (x_0^k, x_1^k, x_2^k)$ are zeroes of polynomial $p(x)$ on a curve with multiplicities $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k, i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_k = nm$ then $V_n(x^0), \ldots, V_n^{i_0}(x^0), V_n(x^1), \ldots, V_n^{i_1}(x^1), \ldots, V_n(x^k), \ldots, V_n^{i_k}(x^k)$ are linearly independent.

Proof Let us consider polynomial $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$. For simplicity we will suppose that $p(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ has no common zeroes on the curve with the polynomial x_0 and that values of x_0 and x_1 at zeroes of p on the curve are pairwise distinct (by previous lemma it may be done by linear change of variables). Let us consider $n-1$ linear polynomials $L_1(x), \ldots, L_{n-1}(x)$ such that $L_i(x)$ has no common zeroes on the curve with the polynomials $x_0, x_1, p(x)$ and $L_j(x)$ for every $i \neq j$. Let us denote $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} L_i(x)$ by $q(x)$. Let us consider a matrix $A = x_1 B'(x_0 q(x), p) +$ $x_0 B'(x_1 q(x), p)$. It follows from lemma 2.2 that Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of the polynomial $x_0q(x)$ on the curve are linearly independent. Hence, from lemma 2.8 we obtain that $\det B'(x_0q(x), p) \neq 0$ and therefore the determinant of A is not identically zero. Now, as in the definition of Vandermonde vectors of higher order, we fix a local coordinate t, fix a local lifting of homogeneous coordinates from \mathbb{CP}^2 to $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and consider $x_0(t)$, $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$. In this notations $A(t) = x_1(t)B'(x_0^n(t), p(x(t))) + x_0(t)B'(x_0^{n-1}(t)x_1(t), p(x(t)))$ From the definition of Bezout matrix it follows that Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of polynomial p on a curve are a set of null chains of $A(t)$ Hence, they are linearly independent. (For the definition and properties of null chains, see [\[3\]](#page-17-6), p.14–17). Theorem is proved.

Now, when we have proved that Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of every polynomial are linearly independent, we may deduce from lemma 2.8 main results.

Lemma 2.10. *For every polynomial the kernel of the matrix of shifts of this polynomial is generated by Vandermonde vectors in zeroes of this polynomial on a curve.* $\ker T'(p) = \langle V_n(x_0), \ldots, V_n^{i_0}(x_0), V_n(x_1), \ldots, V_n^{i_1}(x_1), \ldots,$

 $V_n(x_k), \ldots, V_n^{i_k}(x_k) >$, where x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k are zeroes of polynomial $p(x)$ with mul*tiplicities* $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k, i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_k = nm$.

RATIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS OF ALGEBRAIC CURVES AND ELIMINATION THEORY15

Theorem 2.5. *The dimension of the kernel of Sylvester matrix of two polynomials along the curve is equal to the number of common zeroes of these polynomials on the curve.*

Corollary 2.2. *Two polynomials have common zero on a curve if and only if the determinant of their Sylvester matrix along this curve is equal to zero.*

Theorem 2.6. *The dimension of the kernel of Bezout matrix of two polynomials along the curve is equal to the number of common zeroes of these polynomials along the curve.*

Corollary 2.3. *Two polynomials in two variables have common zero on a curve if and only if the determinant of their Bezout matrix along this curve is equal to zero.*

2.4. Rational transformation of a curve. As was already mentioned in the paragraph 1.2 of the chapter 1 an image of the strait line under a rational transformation can be described via the notion of the Bezout matrix. In this paragraph we consider a rational transformation of a curve given by a determinantal representation and describe an image of a curve via the notion of the generalized Bezout matrix.

As before, we start from a real plane algebraic curve C defined by a homogeneous polynomial in three variables $\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2)$:

$$
C = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{CP}^2 : \Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0\}
$$

and a determinantal representation of this curve:

$$
\Delta(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \det(x_0 D_0 + x_1 D_1 + x_2 D_2)
$$

Let us consider three homogeneous polynomials in three variables p_0 , p_1 , p_2 of degree n and an image $r(C)$ of the curve C under the rational transformation $r = (p_0, p_1, p_2)$:

$$
r(C) = \{ (p_0(x), p_1(x), p_2(x)) \in \mathbb{CP}^2 : x \in C \}
$$

To find a determinantal representation of the curve $r(C)$ we consider three generalized Bezout matrices: $B'(p_0, p_1)$, $B'(p_0, p_2)$ and $B'(p_1, p_2)$.

Lemma 2.11. *The curve given by determinantal representation* $\det(x_0 B'(p_1, p_2) + x_1 B'(p_2, p_0) + x_2 B'(p_0, p_1))$ *contains* $r(C)$ *.*

Proof Let $V(x, e)$ and $V(y, h)$ be two Vandermonde vectors on a curve. $V^T(x, e)(p_0(x)B'(p_1, p_2) + p_1(x)B'(p_0, p_2) + p_2(x)B'(p_0, p_1))V(y, h) =$ $p_0(x)V^T(x, e)B'(p_1, p_2)V(y, h) + p_1(x)V^T(x, e)B'(p_2, p_0)V(y, h) +$ $p_2(x)V^T(x, e)B'(p_0, p_1)V(y, h) = \text{By Lemma 2.7} =$ $p_0(x)(p_1(y)p_2(x) - p_2(y)p_1(x))[e,h]_{x,y} + p_1(x)(p_2(y)p_0(x) - p_0(y)p_2(x))[e,h]_{x,y} +$ $p_2(x)(p_1(y)p_0(x) - p_0(y)p_1(x))[e,h]_{x,y} = 0$ This identity is hold for arbitrary $y = (y_0, y_1, y_2) \in C$. Hence, by lemma 2.2 $(p_0(x)B'(p_1, p_2) + p_1(x)B'(p_2, p_0) + p_2(x)B'(p_0, p_1))V(x) = 0$ and therefore det $(p_0(x)B'(p_1, p_2) + p_1(x)B'(p_2, p_0) + p_2(x)B'(p_0, p_1)) = 0$. Lemma is proved.

But if a basepoint y of the rational transformation $r = (p_0, p_1, p_2)$ belongs to the curve C, that is if there exists a common zero of polynomials p_0 , p_1 and p_2 on the curve, then $\det(x_0 B'(p_1, p_2) + x_1 B'(p_2, p_0) + x_2 B'(p_0, p_1)) = 0$ because $B'(p_1, p_2)V(y) = B'(p_2, p_0) = B'(p_0, p_1) = 0.$

Therefore we consider a subspace \bar{V}_n of the principal subspace V_n which is perpendicular to the Vandermonde vectors in basepoints and restrict generalized Bezout matrices on this subspace:

 $\bar{V}_n = V_n(y) : p_0(y) = p_1(y) = p_2(y) = 0 >^{\perp}, \bar{B}(p_i, p_j) = \mathcal{P}_{\bar{V}_n} B'(p_i, p_j) \mathcal{P}_{\bar{V}_n}.$ From the corollary 2.3 we conclude next theorem

Theorem 2.7. *The curve* r(C) *has the determinantal representation* $\det(x_0\overline{B}(p_1, p_2) + x_1\overline{B}(p_2, p_0) + x_2\overline{B}(p_0, p_1)).$

Corollary 2.4. *If the curve* C *has two equivalent determinantal representations then two determinantal representations of the curve* $r(C)$ *will be equivalent.*

The proof follows from the previous theorem and from the definition of generalized Bezout matrix.

Let us consider now two rational transformations $r = (p_0, p_1, p_2)$ and $s =$ (q_0, q_1, q_2) . Here p_0, p_1, p_2 are homogeneous polynomials in three variables of degree n and q_0, q_1, q_2 are homogeneous polynomials in three variables of degree k. It is clear that previous theorem allows us to construct a determinantal representations of the curve $s(r(C))$ in two different ways:

– we may construct the determinantal representation of the curve C under the rational transformations $r \circ s$:

 $\det(x_0\overline{B}(q_1(p), q_2(p)) + x_1\overline{B}(q_2(p), q_0(p)) + x_2\overline{B}(q_0(p), q_1(p)))$

– we may construct the determinantal representation of the curve $r(C)$ under the rational transformations s :

 $\det(x_0\bar{B}(p_1, p_2) + x_1\bar{B}(p_2, p_0) + x_2\bar{B}(p_0, p_1))$

More precisely, we consider three homogeneous polynomials in three variables q_0, q_1, q_2 of degree k on the curve $r(C)$ given by the determinantal representations $\det(x_0\bar{B}(p_1, p_2) + x_1\bar{B}(p_2, p_0) + x_2\bar{B}(p_0, p_1)).$ For this case we denote a blown space $\overline{V}_n^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$ by $W_k^{r(C)}$ and a principal subspace $\{(w_{j_0,j_1,j_2}) \in W_k^{r(C)}:$ $\bar{B}(p_1, p_2)w_{j_0+1, j_1, j_2} + \bar{B}(p_2, p_0)w_{j_0, j_1+1, j_2} + \bar{B}(p_0, p_1)w_{j_0, j_1, j_2+1} = 0$ } by $V_k^{r(C)}$ (here $j_0 + j_1 + j_2 = k - 1$. The generalized Bezout matrices of the polynomials q_i and q_j along the curve $r(C)$ are denoted by $B'_{r(C)}(q_i, q_j)$ and after the restriction of these matrices on the subspace that perpendicular to Vandermonde vectors in common zeroes of q_0, q_1 and q_2 on the curve $r(C)$ we obtain the matrices $\bar{B}_{r(C)}(q_i, q_j)$ and the determinantal representation of the curve $s(r(C))$: $\det(x_0\overline{B}_{r(C)}(p_1, p_2)$ + $x_1\bar{B}_{r(C)}(p_2,p_0)+x_2\bar{B}_{r(C)}(p_0,p_1)).$

Theorem 2.8. *Two determinantal representations* $\det(x_0\bar{B}_C(q_1(p), q_2(p)) + x_1\bar{B}_C(q_2(p), q_0(p)) + x_2\bar{B}_C(q_0(p), q_1(p)))$ and $\det(x_0\bar{B}_{r(C)}(p_1,p_2)+x_1\bar{B}_{r(C)}(p_2,p_0)+x_2\bar{B}_{r(C)}(p_0,p_1))$ are equivalent.

Proof Let us consider a map $M_i: W_{nk} \to V_n$, $M_i(w_j) = (v_i)$ defined by a formula $v_{l_0,l_1,l_2} = w_{i_0+l_0,i_1+l_1,i_2+l_2}$. Here $i = (i_0, i_1, i_2), i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = nk - n$, $j = (j_0, j_1, j_2), j_0 + j_1 + j_2 = nk, l = (l_0, l_1, l_2), l_0 + l_1 + l_2 = n.$ For every polynomial $\alpha(x) = \sum \alpha_i x^i$ of degree $nk - n$ there exists a map $S(\alpha) : W_{nk} \to V_n$ defined by a formula $S(\alpha) = \sum \alpha_i M_i$. Let us note that if $\alpha(x) = p_0^{i_0} p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2}$ then $S(\alpha)$ maps W_{nk} in \bar{V}_n .

Let us consider now space $W_k^{r(C)} = \overline{V}_n^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} = \bigoplus \overline{V}_{n,i} = \bigoplus \overline{V}_{n;i_0,i_1,i_2}$ and a map $\tau: W_{nk} \to W_k^{r(C)}$ defined by formula $\tau = (\tau_i) = (\tau_{i_0,i_1,i_2})$, where $\tau_{i_0,i_1,i_2}: W_{nk} \to W_k^{r(C)}$ $\bar{V}_{n;i_0,i_1,i_2}$ and $\tau_{i_0,i_1,i_2} = S(p_0^{i_0} p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2}).$

From the definition of the generalized Bezout matrices it follows that $B(q_i(p), q_j(p)) = \tau B_{r(C)}(q_i, q_j) \tau^*$ for $i, j = 0, 1, 2$.

Let us consider an action of the projection $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{V}_{nk}}\tau$ on Vandermonde vectors that form a basis of \bar{V}_{nk} . It is clear that the images of these vectors form a basis of $\bar{V}_k^{r(C)}$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{V}_{nk}}\tau$ is an isomorphism between \bar{V}_{nk} and $\bar{V}_k^{r(C)}$. Theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay, V. Vinnikov, Analogues d'espaces de de Branges sur des surfaces de Riemann, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 318, Serie I, p. 1077-1082, 1994.
- [2] M. S. Brodskii, Triangular and Jordan representations of linear operators, Transl. Math. Monographs 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1970.
- [3] J. A. Ball, I. Gohberg and L. Rodman, Interpolation of Rational Matrix Functions, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [4] Joseph A. Ball, Victor Vinnikov, Zero-pole interpolation for matrix meromorphic functions on an algebraic curve and transfer functions of 2d systems, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae. To appear.

[5] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, A. V. Zelevnsky, Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants, Boston ,Birkhauser, 1994.

[6] J.–P. Jouanolou Le formalisme du résultant, Adv. Math. 90 (1991), p. 117-263

[7] N. Kravitsky On the discriminant function of two commuting non-selfadjoint operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 3/1, p. 97-124, 1980.

[8] M. S. Livsic, A. S. Markus, N. Kravitsky, V. Vinnikov, Theory of Commuting Nonselfadjoint Operators, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.

[9] F. S. Macaulay The algebraic theory of modular systems, New York, Stechert–Hafner Service Agency, 1964.

[10] V. Vinnikov, Self–adjoint determinantal representations of real plane curves, Math. Ann., 296 (1993), p. 453-473.

Alexander Shapiro, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel

E-mail address: sapial@math.biu.ac.il

Victor Vinnikov, Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

E-mail address: vinnikov@cs.bgu.ac.il