

# BRUNNIAN LOCAL MOVES OF KNOTS AND VASSILIEV INVARIANTS

AKIRA YASUHARA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Gakugei University  
 Nukuikita 4-1-1, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8501, Japan  
 e-mail: yasuhara@u-gakugei.ac.jp

## ABSTRACT

K. Habiro gave a necessary and sufficient condition for knots to have the same Vassiliev invariants in terms of  $C_k$ -move. In this paper we give another geometric condition in terms of Brunnian local move. The proof is simple and self-contained.

## 1. Introduction

A *tangle*  $T$  is a disjoint union of properly embedded arcs in the unit 3-ball  $B^3$ . Here  $T$  contains no closed arcs. A tangle  $T$  is *trivial* if there exists a properly embedded disk in  $B^3$  that contains  $T$ . A *local move* is a pair of trivial tangles  $(T_1, T_2)$  with  $\partial T_1 = \partial T_2$  such that for each component  $t$  of  $T_1$  there exists a component  $u$  of  $T_2$  with  $\partial t = \partial u$ . Two local moves  $(T_1, T_2)$  and  $(U_1, U_2)$  are *equivalent*, denoted by  $(T_1, T_2) \cong (U_1, U_2)$ , if there is an orientation preserving self-homeomorphism  $\psi : B^3 \rightarrow B^3$  such that  $\psi(T_i)$  and  $U_i$  are ambient isotopic in  $B^3$  relative to  $\partial B^3$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

A local move  $(T_1, T_2)$  is *trivial*, if  $(T_1, T_2)$  is equivalent to a local move  $(T_1, T_1)$ . Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a local move, and let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  and  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  be the components with  $\partial t_i = \partial u_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively. We call  $(T_1, T_2)$  a *k-component Brunnian local move* ( $k \geq 2$ ), or  *$B_k$ -move*, if each local move  $(T_1 - t_i, T_2 - u_i)$  is trivial ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) [9]. If  $(T_1, T_2)$  is Brunnian, then  $(T_2, T_1)$  is also Brunnian.

Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be oriented knots in the oriented three-sphere  $S^3$ . We say that  $K_2$  is *obtained from  $K_1$  by a local move  $(T_1, T_2)$*  if there is an orientation preserving embedding  $h : B^3 \rightarrow S^3$  such that  $(h^{-1}(K_1), h^{-1}(K_2)) \cong (T_1, T_2)$  and  $K_1 - h(B^3) = K_2 - h(B^3)$  together with orientations. Two oriented knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are  *$B_k$ -equivalent* if  $K_2$  is obtained from  $K_1$  by a finite sequence of  $B_k$ -moves and ambient isotopies. This relation is an equivalence relation on knots.

We have the following geometric condition for knots to have same Vassiliev invariant.

---

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 57M25

*Keywords and Phrases:* knot,  $C_n$ -move, Brunnian local move, Vassiliev invariant, finite type invariant, band description

**Theorem 1.** (cf. Goussarov-Habiro Theorem [4], [2]) *Two knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are  $B_{l+1}$ -equivalent if and only if their values of any Vassiliev invariant of order  $\leq l - 1$  are equal.*

**Remark.** In [5], [6], they independently show that the  $B_{l+1}$ -equivalence classes coincide with the  $C_l$ -equivalence classes. So the theorem above is same as Goussarov-Habiro Theorem. Although we can have Theorem 1 as a corollary of Goussarov-Habiro Theorem, we will give a self-contained proof of it. The author believes that it is worth to do so, because our proof is simple and short, and the known proofs of Goussarov-Habiro Theorem [4], [2], [11] are rather complicated.

Let  $l$  be a positive integer and  $k_1, \dots, k_l (\geq 2)$  integers. Suppose that for each  $P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$  an oriented knot  $K_P$  in  $S^3$  is assigned. Suppose that there are orientation preserving embeddings  $h_i : B^3 \rightarrow S^3$  ( $i = 1, \dots, l$ ) such that

- (1)  $h_i(B^3) \cap h_j(B^3) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ ,
- (2)  $K_P - \bigcup_{i=1}^l h_i(B^3) = K_{P'} - \bigcup_{i=1}^l h_i(B^3)$  together with orientation for any subsets  $P, P' \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$ ,
- (3)  $(h_i^{-1}(K_\emptyset), h_i^{-1}(K_{\{1, \dots, l\}}))$  is a  $B_{k_i}$ -move ( $i = 1, \dots, l$ ), and
- (4)  $K_P \cap h_i(B^3) = \begin{cases} K_{\{1, \dots, l\}} \cap h_i(B^3) & \text{if } i \in P, \\ K_\emptyset \cap h_i(B^3) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Then we call the set of oriented knots  $\{K_P | P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}\}$  a *singular knot of type  $B(k_1, \dots, k_l)$* . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of knots,  $A$  an abelian group, and  $\varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A$  an invariant. We say that  $\varphi$  is a *finite type invariant of type  $B(k_1, \dots, k_l)$*  if for a singular knot  $\{K_P | P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}\}$  of type  $B(k_1, \dots, k_l)$ ,

$$\sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} (-1)^{|P|} \varphi(K_P) = 0 \in A.$$

Since a  $B_2$ -move is realized by some crossing changes we have that an invariant  $\varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A$  is a finite type invariant of type  $B(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_l)$  if and only if it is a Vassiliev invariant of order  $\leq l - 1$ .

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.** (cf. [4, Theorem 5.4]) *The  $B_k$ -equivalence classes, denoted by  $\mathcal{K}/B_k$ , of oriented knots in  $S^3$  forms an abelian group under connected sum of oriented knots.*

**Theorem 3.** (cf. [11, Theorem 1.2]) *Let  $l (\geq 2)$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_l (\geq 2)$  be integers, and  $k - 1 = (k_1 - 1) + \dots + (k_l - 1)$ . Then the projection  $p_k : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}/B_k$  is a finite type invariant of type  $B(k_1, \dots, k_l)$ .*

**Remark.** Since a  $C_k$ -move is a  $B_{k+1}$ -move, Theorem 2 follows [4, Theorem 5.4]. Theorem 3 is similar to [11, Theorem 1.2]. In order to give a self-contained proof of Theorem 1, we will give self-contained proofs of Theorems 2 and 3. Although the outlines of the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 are same as those in [4] (or [10], [11]) and [11] respectively, our proofs are simpler than theirs.

## 2. Band description

Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be  $k$ -component Brunnian local move. Let  $T \subset B^3$  be the trivial  $k$ -string tangle illustrated in Fig 1, and  $D$  a disjoint union of  $k$  disks bounded by  $T$  and arcs in  $\partial B^3$  (see Fig 2). Note that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is equivalent to a local move  $(S, T)$ . Then the pair  $(S, \partial D - T)$  is said to be  $B_k$ -link model (see Fig 3).




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

Let  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  be  $B_{\rho(i)}$ -link models ( $i = 1, \dots, l$ ), and  $K$  an oriented knot (resp. a tangle). Let  $\psi_i : B^3 \rightarrow S^3$  (resp.  $\psi_i : B^3 \rightarrow \text{int} B^3$ ) be an orientation preserving embedding for  $i = 1, \dots, l$  and  $b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \dots, b_{1,\rho(1)}, b_{2,1}, b_{2,2}, \dots, b_{2,\rho(2)}, \dots, b_{l,1}, b_{l,2}, \dots, b_{l,\rho(l)}$  mutually disjoint disks embedded in  $S^3$  (resp.  $B^3$ ). Suppose that they satisfy the following conditions;

- (1)  $\psi_i(B^3) \cap \psi_j(B^3) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ ,
- (2)  $\psi_i(B^3) \cap K = \emptyset$  for each  $i$ ,
- (3)  $b_{i,k} \cap K = \partial b_{i,k} \cap K$  is an arc for each  $i, k$ ,
- (4)  $b_{i,k} \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^l \psi_j(B^3)) = \partial b_{i,k} \cap \psi_i(B^3)$  is a component of  $\psi_i(\beta_i)$  for each  $i, k$ .

Let  $J$  be an oriented knot (resp. a tangle) defined by

$$J = K \cup \left( \bigcup_{i,k} \partial b_{i,k} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^l \psi_i(\alpha_i) \right) - \bigcup_{i,k} \text{int}(\partial b_{i,k} \cap K) - \bigcup_{i=1}^l \psi_i(\text{int} \beta_i),$$

where the orientation of  $J$  coincides that of  $K$  on  $K - \bigcup_{i,k} b_{i,k}$  if  $K$  is oriented. We call each  $b_{i,k}$  a *band*. Each image  $\psi_i(B^3)$  is called a *link ball*. We set  $\mathcal{B}_i = ((\alpha_i, \beta_i), \psi_i, \{b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,\rho(i)}\})$

and call  $\mathcal{B}_i$  a  $B_{\rho(i)}$ -chord. We denote  $J$  by  $J = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\})$ , and say that  $J$  is a *band sum* of  $K$  and chords  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  or a *band sum* of  $K$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\}$ .

From now on we consider knots up to ambient isotopy of  $S^3$  and tangles up to ambient isotopy of  $B^3$  relative to  $\partial B^3$  without explicit mention.

By the definitions of  $B_k$ -move and  $B_k$ -link model, we have

**Sublemma 4.** (cf. [11, Sublemmas 3.3 and 3.5]) (1) *A local move  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a  $B_k$ -move if and only if  $T_1$  is a band sum of  $T_2$  and a  $B_k$ -link model.*

(2) *A knot  $J$  is obtained from a knot  $K$  by a single  $B_k$ -move if and only if  $K$  is a band sum of  $J$  and a  $B_k$ -link model.  $\square$*

Note that, by Sublemma 4 (1), a set of knots  $\mathbf{K}$  is a singular knot of type  $B(k_1, \dots, k_l)$  if and only if there is a knot  $K$  and a band sum  $J = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\})$  of  $K$  and  $B_{k_i}$ -chords  $\mathcal{B}_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, l$ ) such that

$$\mathbf{K} = \left\{ \Omega \left( K; \bigcup_{i \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_i\} \right) \middle| P \subset \{1, \dots, l\} \right\}.$$

**Sublemma 5.** (cf. [11, Sublemma 3.5]) *Let  $K$ ,  $J$  and  $I$  be oriented knots (or tangles). Suppose that  $J = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l\})$  for some chords  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  and  $I = \Omega(J; \{\mathcal{B}\})$  for some  $B_k$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then there is a  $B_k$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}'$  such that  $I = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l, \mathcal{B}'\})$ . Moreover, if a subset  $P$  of  $\{1, \dots, l\}$  satisfies that the link ball or the bands of  $\mathcal{B}$  intersect either the link ball or the bands of  $\mathcal{B}_j$  only if  $j \in P$ , then  $\Omega(\Omega(K; \bigcup_{i \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_i\}); \{\mathcal{B}\}) = \Omega(K; (\bigcup_{i \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_i\}) \cup \{\mathcal{B}'\})$ .*

**Proof.** If the bands and the link ball of  $\mathcal{B}$  are disjoint from those of  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  then we have that  $I = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l, \mathcal{B}\})$ . If not then we deform  $I$  up to ambient isotopy as follows. By thinning and shrinking the bands and the link ball of  $\mathcal{B}$  respectively, we may assume that the link ball of  $\mathcal{B}$  intersects neither the bands nor the link balls of  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$ . And by sliding the bands of  $\mathcal{B}$  along  $J$ , we may also assume that the intersection of the bands with  $J$  is disjoint from the bands and the link balls of  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$ . Then we sweep the bands of  $\mathcal{B}$  out of the link balls of  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$ . Note that this is always possible since the tangles of a local move are trivial. Finally we sweep the intersection of the bands of  $\mathcal{B}$  and the bands of  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  out of the intersection of the bands of  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  and  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be the result of the deformation of  $\mathcal{B}$  described above. Then it is not hard to see that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is a desired chord.  $\square$

By repeated applications of Sublemmas 4 and 5 we immediately have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** (cf. [11, Lemma 3.6]) *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $K$  and  $J$  be oriented knots (or tangles). Then  $K$  and  $J$  are  $B_k$ -equivalent if and only if  $J$  is a band sum of  $K$  and some  $B_k$ -link models.  $\square$*

Since the local moves illustrated in Figs 4 and 5 are  $B_{k+1}$ -move and  $B_{j+k-1}$ -move respectively, the following two lemmas follow Sublemma 5.




Fig. 4




Fig. 5

**Lemma 7.** (cf. [11, Lemma 3.8]) *Let  $K$ ,  $J = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l, \mathcal{B}_0\})$  and  $I = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l, \mathcal{B}'_0\})$  be oriented knots, where  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  are chords and  $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}'_0$  are  $B_k$ -chords. Suppose that  $J$  and  $I$  differ locally as illustrated in Fig 4, i.e.,  $I$  is obtained from  $J$  by a crossing change between  $K$  and a band of  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Then  $I$  is obtained from  $J$  by a  $B_{k+1}$ -move. Moreover, there is a  $B_{k+1}$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\Omega(K; (\bigcup_{i \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_i\}) \cup \{\mathcal{B}_0\}) = \Omega(K; (\bigcup_{i \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_i\}) \cup \{\mathcal{B}'_0, \mathcal{B}\})$  for any subset  $P$  of  $\{1, \dots, l\}$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 8.** (cf. [11, Lemma 3.9]) *Let  $K$ ,  $J = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l, \mathcal{B}_{0j}, \mathcal{B}_{0k}\})$  and  $I = \Omega(K; \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l, \mathcal{B}'_{0j}, \mathcal{B}'_{0k}\})$  be oriented knots, where  $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_l$  are chords and  $\mathcal{B}_{0j}, \mathcal{B}'_{0j}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_{0k}, \mathcal{B}'_{0k}$ ) are  $B_j$ -chords (resp.  $B_k$ -chords). Suppose that  $J$  and  $I$  differ locally as illustrated in Fig 5. Then  $I$  is obtained from  $J$  by a  $B_{j+k-1}$ -move. Moreover, there is a  $B_{j+k-1}$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\Omega(K; (\bigcup_{i \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_i\}) \cup \{\mathcal{B}_{0j}, \mathcal{B}_{0k}\}) = \Omega(K; (\bigcup_{i \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_i\}) \cup \{\mathcal{B}'_{0j}, \mathcal{B}'_{0k}, \mathcal{B}\})$  for any subset  $P$  of  $\{1, \dots, l\}$ .  $\square$*

We call the change from  $J$  to  $I$  in Lemma 8 a *band exchange*.

For  $C_k$ -move, ‘band description’ is also defined, and Sublemmas 4, 5, Lemmas 6, 7 and 8 hold [11]. But the proofs are not obvious as ours. In fact, little complicated arguments are needed. In contrast, we need some arguments to prove the following lemma, which is trivial for  $C_k$ -move.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a  $B_k$ -move. For any integer  $l (\leq k)$ ,  $T_2$  is obtained from  $T_1$  by  $B_l$ -moves. In particular,  $B_k$ -equivalence knots are  $B_l$ -equivalent.*

**Proof.** Let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  be the components with  $\partial t_i = \partial u_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively. We may assume that  $(T_1, T_2)$  has a diagram in the unit disk such that both  $T_1 - t_1$  and  $T_2$  has no crossings.

Since  $(T_1 - t_2, T_2 - u_2)$  is a trivial local move,  $T_2$  is obtained from  $T_1$  by  $B_2$ -moves that correspond to crossing changes between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . By Lemma 6,  $T_1$  is a band sum  $\Omega(T_2; \mathbf{B}_2)$  of  $T_2$  and a set  $\mathbf{B}_2$  of  $B_2$ -chords. Note that any bands of the  $B_2$ -chords does not intersect to  $T_2 - (u_1 \cup u_2)$ .

Since  $(\Omega(T_2; \mathbf{B}_2) - t_3, T_2 - u_3) = (T_1 - t_3, T_2 - u_3)$  is a trivial local move,  $T_2$  is obtained from  $T_1$  by  $B_3$ -moves that correspond to crossing changes between  $t_3$  and some bands of the  $B_2$ -chords. By Lemma 6,  $T_1$  is a band sum  $\Omega(T_2; \mathbf{B}_3)$  of  $T_2$  and a set  $\mathbf{B}_3$  of  $B_3$ -chords. Note that any bands of the  $B_3$ -chords does not intersect to  $T_2 - (u_1 \cup u_2 \cup u_3)$ .

Repeat these processes, then we have the conclusion.  $\square$

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $k_1, \dots, k_l (\geq 2)$  be positive integer and  $k-1 = (k_1-1) + \dots + (k_l-1)$ . Let  $K_0$  be a knot and  $K_1$  a band sum of  $K_0$  and  $B_{k_j}$ -chords  $\mathcal{B}_{k_j,j}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, l$ ). It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} (-1)^{|P|} \left[ \Omega \left( K_0; \bigcup_{j \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_{k_j,j}\} \right) \right] = 0 \in \mathcal{K}/B_k,$$

where  $[K]$  is the  $B_k$ -equivalent class which contains a knot  $K$ .

Set

$$K_P = \Omega \left( K_0; \bigcup_{j \in P} \{\mathcal{B}_{k_j,j}\} \right).$$

**Claim.** The knot  $K_1 (= K_{\{1, \dots, l\}})$  is  $B_k$ -equivalent to a band sum of  $K_0 (= K_\emptyset)$  and a set of *local chords*  $\bigcup_{i,j} \{\mathcal{B}_{i,j}\}$  such that (1)  $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$  is a  $B_i$ -chord ( $i < k$ ) and it has an associated subset  $\omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$  with  $\sum_{t \in \omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j})} (k_t - 1) \leq i - 1$ , and (2) for each  $P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$

$$K_P = \Omega \left( K_0; \bigcup_{\omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} \{\mathcal{B}_{i,j}\} \right).$$

Here a chord  $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$  is called a *local chord* if there is a 3-ball  $B$  such that  $B$  contains all of the bands and the link ball of  $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$ ,  $B$  does not intersect any other bands and link balls, and that  $(B, B \cap K_0)$  is a trivial ball-arc pair.

Before proving Claim, we will finish the proof of Theorem 3. Suppose  $K_1$  is  $B_k$ -equivalent to a band sum of  $K_0$  and some local chords  $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$ 's. Such a local chord  $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$  represents a knot  $K_{i,j}$  connected summed to  $K_0$ . So the band sum is a connected sum of  $K_0$  and  $K_{i,j}$ 's. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} (-1)^{|P|} \left[ \Omega \left( K_0; \bigcup_{\omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} \{\mathcal{B}_{i,j}\} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} (-1)^{|P|} \left( [K_0] + \sum_{\omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} [K_{i,j}] \right) \\ &= \sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} (-1)^{|P|} [K_0] + \sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}} (-1)^{|P|} \left( \sum_{\omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} [K_{i,j}] \right) \\ &= 0 + \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} (-1)^{|P|} \right) [K_{i,j}]. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the coefficient of  $[K_{i,j}]$ . Since  $\sum_{t \in \omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j})} (k_t - 1) < k - 1$ ,  $\omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j})$  is a proper subset of  $\{1, \dots, l\}$ . We may assume that  $\omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j})$  does not contain  $a \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . Then we

have

$$\sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} (-1)^{|P|} = \sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\} \setminus \{a\}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} (-1)^{|P|} + \sum_{P \subset \{1, \dots, l\} \setminus \{a\}, \omega(\mathcal{B}_{i,j}) \subset P} (-1)^{|P \cup \{a\}|} = 0.$$

Thus, we have the conclusion.  $\square$

Now we will show Claim.

**Proof of Claim.** We first set  $\omega(\mathcal{B}_{k_j,j}) = \{j\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ . Then we have  $\sum_{t \in \omega(\mathcal{B}_{k_j,j})} (k_t - 1) = k_j - 1 < k - 1$  and

$$K_P = \Omega \left( K_0; \bigcup_{\omega(\mathcal{B}_{k_j,j}) \subset P} \{\mathcal{B}_{k_j,j}\} \right).$$

Note that a crossing change between bands can be realized by crossing changes between  $K_0$  and a band as illustrated in Fig. 6. Therefore we can deform each chord into a local chord by (i) crossing changes between  $K_0$  and bands, and (ii) band exchanges.

(i) When we perform a crossing change between  $K_0$  and a  $B_p$ -band of a  $B_p$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}$  with  $p \leq k - 2$ , by using Lemma 7, we introduce a new  $B_{p+1}$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}_{p+1,r}$  and we set  $\omega(\mathcal{B}_{p+1,r}) = \omega(\mathcal{B}_{p,q})$  so that the conditions (1) and (2) still holds. By Lemma 7, a crossing change between  $K_0$  and a  $B_{k-1}$ -band is realized by a  $B_k$ -move and therefore does not change the  $B_k$ -equivalence class.

(ii) When we perform a band exchange between a  $B_p$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}$  and a  $B_r$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}_{r,s}$  with  $p + r \leq k$ , then, by using Lemma 8, we introduce a new  $B_{p+r-1}$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}_{p+r-1,n}$  and set  $\omega(\mathcal{B}_{p+r-1,n}) = \omega(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}) \cup \omega(\mathcal{B}_{r,s})$  so that the conditions (1) and (2) still holds. By Lemmas 8 and 9, a band exchange between a  $B_p$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}$  and a  $B_r$ -chord  $\mathcal{B}_{r,s}$  with  $p + r \geq k + 1$  does not change the  $B_k$ -equivalence class.  $\square$




Fig. 6

**Proof of Theorem 2.** It is sufficient to show that the existence of the inverse element. Let  $K$  be a knot. Suppose that there is a knot  $J$  such that  $K \# J$  is  $B_k$ -equivalent to a trivial knot  $O$ . Then, by Lemma 6, we have that  $O$  is a band sum of  $K \# J$  and some  $B_k$ -chords. By using Lemma 7, we deform  $O$  up to  $B_{k+1}$ -equivalence so that the  $B_k$ -chords are local chords. Then we have that the result is a connected sum of  $K \# J$  and

some knots  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  that correspond to the local chords. Namely  $K \# J \# K_1 \# \dots \# K_n$  is  $B_{k+1}$ -equivalent to  $O$ . Thus  $J \# K_1 \# \dots \# K_n$  is the desired knot.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** It is not hard to see that a  $B_{l+1}$ -equivalence knots are  $l$ -similar [8] ( $(l-1)$ -equivalent [1]).

By Theorem 3, the projection  $p_{l+1} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}/B_{l+1}$  is a Vassiliev invariant of order  $\leq l-1$ . If two knots have same values of any Vassiliev invariant of order  $\leq l-1$ , then they are  $B_{l+1}$ -equivalent.  $\square$

## References

1. M. Gusarov, On  $n$ -equivalence of knots and invariants of finite degree. In: Viro, O. (ed.) *Topology of Manifolds and Varieties*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, pp. 173-192. 1994.
2. M. N. Gusarov, Variations of knotted graphs. The geometric technique of  $n$ -equivalence. (Russian) *Algebra i Analiz* **12** (2000), 79-125; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **12** (2001), 569-604
3. K. Habiro, Aru musubime no kyokusyo sousa no zoku ni tuite (in Japanese), Master thesis in Tokyo University, 1994.
4. K. Habiro, Claspers and finite type invariants of links, *Geom. Topol.*, **4** (2000), 1-83.
5. K. Habiro, Brunnian links, claspers and Goussarov-Vassiliev finite type invariants, preprint.
6. H. A. Miyazawa and A. Yasuhara, Classification of  $n$ -component Brunnian links up to  $C_n$ -move, preprint.
7. S. Suzuki, Local knots of 2-spheres in 4-manifolds, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **45** (1969), 34-38.
8. K. Taniyama, On similarity of links, *Gakujutsu Kenkyu*, School of Education, Waseda University, Series of Mathematics, **41** (1993), 33-36.
9. K. Taniyama and A. Yasuhara, Realization of knots and links in a spatial graph, *Topology Appl.*, **112** (2001), 87-109
10. K. Taniyama and A. Yasuhara, Local moves on spatial graphs and finite type invariants, *Pacific J. Math.*, **211** (2003), 183-200.
11. K. Taniyama and A. Yasuhara, Band description of knots and Vassiliev invariants, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **133** (2002), 325-343.
12. M. Yamamoto, Knots in spatial embeddings of the complete graph on four vertices, *Topology Appl.*, **36** (1990), 291-298.
13. A. Yasuhara, Delta-unlinking operation and adaptability of certain graphs, Proceedings of Knots 96, (S. Suzuki ed.), World Sci. Publ. Co., 115-121, 1997.