

A continuous stochastic maturation model

Djalil Chafa and Didier Concordet

Preprint { April 2006

Abstract

We present a continuous time model of maturation and survival, obtained as the limit of a compartmental evolution model when the number of compartments tends to infinity. We establish in particular an explicit formula for the law of the system output under inhomogeneous killing and when the input follows a time-inhomogeneous Poisson process. This approach allows the discussion of identifiability issues which are of difficult access for finite compartmental models. The article ends up with an example of application for anticancer drug pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics.

Keywords: Compartmental systems; time lags; maturation models; stochastic modelling; Markov processes; inhomogeneous Markov processes; birth and death processes; queueing systems; point processes; Feynman-Kac formula, jump processes, delayed equations, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, modelling of toxicity of anticancer drugs.

MSC-2000 : 92B05 ; 60G07 ; 60K25 ; 60K20 ; 60J80 ; 60J85 ; 47D08 ; 60J25 ; 60J75 .

Contents

Introduction	1
1 Finite compartmental systems with time-dependent linear rates	3
1.1 Deterministic systems and linear ordinary differential equations	3
1.2 Stochastic interpretation	4
1.2.1 Interpretation as interacting M/M/1 queues	6
1.2.2 Interpretation as independent particles on the graph of compartments	7
2 A continuous stochastic maturation model	8
2.1 Counting mature particles under killing and Poisson production	8
2.2 Modelling the maturation process itself for one particle	10
2.2.1 Finite state model	10
2.2.2 Continuous state limit model	12
2.3 The resulting counting process	13
2.3.1 Case without killing after maturation	15
2.3.2 Case without killing during maturation	15
2.3.3 Partial killing during maturation and constant killing after maturation	15
2.3.4 Identifiability and invariance by some transformations	16
3 A pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics example in cancerology	17

Introduction

Compartmental models are widely used in biology and medicine for the modelling of evolution phenomena. In particular, these models are very usual in the pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis of toxic effects of anticancer drugs on white blood cells. Several mathematical and computational aspects of such models remain untouched. For instance, at least for catenary chain

models, there is no rigorous rule for the choice of the number of compartments, and many identifiability issues are not elucidated. In the present study, we consider the limit of a discrete family of compartmental models when the number of compartments tends to infinity. The obtained limit looks simpler. It provides explicit formulas for the mean occupation of the compartment of interest, and allows the discussion of important identifiability issues. Roughly speaking, we replace a finite catenary chain of compartments with time-inhomogeneous rates by a two compartments time-inhomogeneous model with lag. Much of the article is devoted to the mathematical derivation and analysis of these models. We hope that beyond the mathematical aspects, the main results { illustrated on a simple example at the end of the article } may serve the quantitative biologists.

This study is in the spirit of previous works of Jacquez & Simon and Schuhmacher & Thieme. Mathematically speaking, the mean occupation of the compartment of interest appears as the expectation of an underlying stochastic process. This process is a time-inhomogeneous $M/M/1$ queue, with an explicit biological interpretation of its input and output rates. This leads to a nice explicit Binomial-Poisson formula for the instantaneous law. In a way, our approach can be seen as a complement and extension of the boxcartrain models considered for example in [13] and [27], see also [14] and [18] and references therein. It is also closely linked with "binomial catastrophe models", cf. for instance [7] and references therein. The novelty is mainly the space-time inhomogeneous killing, the stochastic interpretation in terms of Feynman-Kac's formula, and the computation of the output occupation law when the input follows a time-inhomogeneous Poisson process. The formulas that we derive provides a good compromise between computer time and numerical errors, without loss in interpretation, as suggested by the example presented at the end of the article. However, pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics populational aspects are outside the scope of this work, and will make hopefully the matter of a forthcoming article.

Outline of the rest of the article. The rest of the article is organised as follows.

In Section 1, we briefly introduce the time-inhomogeneous deterministic compartmental systems with linear rates. In particular, we present two stochastic interpretations in terms of particles. The first one is based on interacting time-inhomogeneous $M/M/1$ queueing systems, whereas the second is based on the occupation of independent random walks on the graph of compartments, subject to birth and death. These interpretations are at the heart of the results of Section 2 regarding limits of catenary chains of compartments.

In section 2, we present a time-inhomogeneous maturation and survival model, and the related counting processes. We show how a finite catenary chain of compartments leads, when the number of compartments tends to infinity, to a simple time-inhomogeneous two compartments model with lag. The main result is given by Theorem 2.4. We show how Feynman-Kac's formula leads to simple explicit integral formulas for the compartment of interest, and allows the discussion of identifiability issues in Section 2.3.4.

In Section 3, the results of Sections 1 and 2 are illustrated on a simple example related to anticancer drug toxicity pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics context. Namely, we provide a comparison between classical finite catenary chains of compartments in one hand, and our two compartmental limit with lag in the other hand.

Notations and conventions. In the sequel, we denote by R_+ the non negative half line $[0; +\infty)$. For a set A , we denote by I_A the indicator function defined by $I_A(x) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and $I_A(x) = 0$ otherwise. We denote by $L(X)$ the law of the random variable X , by $L(X|Y)$ the conditional law of X given Y , and by $E(X|Y)$ the conditional expectation of X given Y . We use in addition the classical associated maps $L(X|Y=y)$ and $E(X|Y=y)$. We denote by $P(\cdot)$, the Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda \in R_+$, by $E(\cdot)$ the exponential distribution of mean $\lambda = 1$, and by $B(n;p)$ the binomial distribution of size $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and parameter $p \in [0;1]$. In particular, $B(1;p)$ is the Bernoulli distribution $p \delta_1 + (1-p)\delta_0$ and $B(n;p) = B(1;p)^{\otimes n}$ where \otimes denotes the

convolution.

1 Finite compartmental system with time-dependent linear rates

Consider a system of compartments indexed by the finite set I . Each compartment contains a quantity of matter. As we will see in the sequel, the amount of matter can be represented by a discrete number, or by a real number, depending on the interpretation chosen. The matter is the subject of transfers between compartments. It can also be created (external inflow) or destroyed (outflow) in each compartment.

The reader may find an accessible introduction to simple compartmental systems with several examples in the recent book [22] by Matias and Kie, see also [17] and references therein. The study of the total amount of matter in the system is for instance addressed in [16], see also [21]. The reader may find a study of time-delayed compartmental systems in [23] and [18] and references therein. The literature regarding the stochastic interpretations of time-inhomogeneous compartmental systems is less rich. Let us recall the essential aspects of finite compartmental systems with linear rates.

1.1 Deterministic systems and linear ordinary differential equations

We consider here that the amount of matter is represented by a non-negative real number. Let $Q_i(t)$ be the amount of matter in compartment i at time $t > t_0$, where t_0 is the initial time. We denote by $Q(t)$ the vector $(Q_i(t))_{i \in I}$, and we identify the set \mathbb{R}^I with \mathbb{R}^n where $n = \text{card}(I)$. Let us consider the dynamics of $(Q(t))_{t > t_0}$ described by the system of linear ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_i(t) = \lambda_i(t) Q_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I} \mu_{ji}(t) Q_j(t) - \sum_{j \in I} \mu_{ij}(t) Q_i(t); \quad (1)$$

with initial condition $Q(t_0)$. Such a dynamics is described by figure 1. Here $\lambda_i(t)$ is a creation rate for compartment i (inflow), $-\mu_{ij}(t)$ is the destruction rate for compartment j (outflow), and $\mu_{ij}(t)$ with $i \neq j$ is the transfer rate from compartment i to compartment j . These rates are non-negative. Apart from the λ_i rates, the rates μ_{ij} act proportionally to the content of the compartment that gives matter. Notice also that a λ_i with negative values might mimic a proportional auto-outflow. In vector/matrix language, the dynamics of $Q(t)$ is of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q(t) = M(t)Q(t) + \lambda(t); \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda(t)$ denote the vector $(\lambda_i(t))_{i \in I}$, and where $M(t)$ is the matrix defined by

$$M_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} \mu_{ji}(t) & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \lambda_i(t) - \sum_{k \in I} \mu_{ik}(t) & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

for any $i, j \in I$. The traditional theory of linear ordinary differential equations gives

$$Q(t) = R(t; t_0)Q(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t R(t; u)\lambda(u) du$$

for any $t > t_0$, where the resolvent R is the solution of the matrix linear differential equation $R(t_0; t_0) = I$ and $\frac{d}{dt} R(t; t_0) = M(t)R(t; t_0)$ for any $t > t_0$. In the literature related to compartmental systems, M is sometimes referred as the "transfer matrix". When M does not depend

on time, $R(v;u) = \exp((v-u)M)$. This matrix exponential can be explicitly computed in very special situations where for example card(I) is small or where M has a nice structure. Usually, the method consists to diagonalise M, which leads to an expression of the solution as a linear combination of log-linear functions related to the spectrum of M. There is no simple closed formula for Q(t) when the rates depend on time.




Figure 1: The left hand side diagram shows the flows in a compartmental system. Here, the transfer rates and the outflow depends linearly on the content of the compartment that gives matter. In contrast, the inflow does not depend on the content of the compartments. It is customary to make the $Q_i(t)$ and $Q_j(t)$ parts of the rates implicit, as show in the right hand side diagram.

Example 1.1 (Finite catenary chain of compartments). It corresponds to a finite system of n compartments, labelled by $I = \{1; \dots; n\}$, for which $i_i = 0$ if $i \notin I$, and $i_{ij} = 0$ if $j \notin i+1$. It is customary to abridge i_1 by i and $i_{i,i+1}$ by i_i . In such a compartmental system, there is only one external inflow with rate i at the left extremity of the chain. Moreover, the interaction of a compartment is limited to its right neighbour. The topology of this compartmental system is depicted in figure 2. The matrix M is band diagonal, which makes possible yet tedious the explicit computation of its exponential, when the rates do not depend on time.




Figure 2: Finite catenary chain of n compartments with single inflow at the chain head.

1.2 Stochastic interpretation

Let us consider a finite system of n compartments, labelled by I , and with rates i , i_i , and i_n . In the stochastic interpretation, each compartment contains particles, and we denote by N_t^i the number of particles in compartment $i \in I$ at time $t > t_0$. The particles are indistinguishable,

and can be created, destroyed, or can move from one compartment to another, according to a Markovian dynamics of the vector $N_t = (N_t^i; i \in I)$. For a good choice of Markovian dynamics, the average number $E(N_t | N_{t_0} = x)$ of particles per compartment is the solution of a system of linear differential equations with initial condition x . The reader may find an excellent reference for Markov processes and related topics in the book [8] by N. Ethier and G. Kurtz.

Theorem 1.2. Let I be a finite set. Let $(N_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ be a time-inhomogeneous Markov process with state space $E = N^I$, and generators $(L_t)_{t \geq t_0}$. Assume in addition that for any $t > t_0$, the function $x \in E \mapsto A_t(x) = \sum_{y \in E} L_{t,x,y} y$ is a line. For any $x \in E$, and any $t > s > t_0$, let $Q(s; t; x) = E(N_t | N_s = x)$. Then Q is the solution of the linear differential equation

$$\partial_t Q(s; t; x) = A_t(Q(s; t; x));$$

for any $t > s > t_0$, with initial condition $Q(s; s; x) = x$.

Proof. For any $t > s > t_0$ and any function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $P_{s,t}(f)$ be the function $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $P_{s,t}(f)(x) = E(f(N_t) | N_s = x)$. In particular, $P_{s,s}(f) = f$. The Markovianity of the process is captured by the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation which writes $P_{s,u}(P_{u,t}(f)) = P_{s,t}(f)$ for any $t > u > s > t_0$. Recall that L_t acts linearly on f as a matrix. We denote by $L_t f$ the function $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(L_t f)(x) = \sum_{y \in E} L_{t,x,y} f(y)$. The definition of L gives

$$(L_t f)(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_{t,t+h}(f)(x) - P_{t,t}(f)(x)}{h}.$$

This yields, by using the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation, to the "forward equation"

$$\partial_t P_{s,t}(f)(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_{s,t+h}(f)(x) - P_{s,t}(f)(x)}{h} = P_{s,t}(L_t f)(x).$$

The desired results follows immediately by considering the ad-hoc f function. Namely, for any $i \in I$, consider the function defined by $f(x) = x_i$ for any $x \in E$. One has $(L_t f)(x) = A_t(x)_i$. Since A_t is a line and $P_{s,t}$ is Markov, they commute and we get $P_t(L_t f)(x) = A_t(P_{s,t}(f)(x))_i$. \square

Notice that the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation which appears in the proof of theorem 1.2 gives also the "backward" equation $\partial_s P_{s,t}(f)(x) = L_s(P_{s,t}(f))(x)$, which yields the system of linear differential equations

$$\partial_s Q(s; t; x) = \sum_{y \in E} L_{s,x,y} Q(s; t; y)$$

with initial condition $Q(s; s; x) = x$. When the process is time-homogeneous, L_t do not depend on time anymore and $P_{s,t} = P_{t_0, t_0+t_s}$. Thus, in that case, $P_u(L(f)) = L(P_u(f))$ for any $u > t_0$, which makes the forward and backward equations identical up to a sign.

Let us give now an L_t matrix on E such that A_t is exactly the affine function of the deterministic compartmental system (2) constructed from the triplet of rates (λ) , (μ) and (δ) . We identify the countable space $E = N^I$ with N^n where $n = \text{card}(I)$. We denote by $e_1; \dots; e_n$ the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n , embedded in E . For any $x; y \in E$ with $x \neq y$, we set

$$L_{t,x,y} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i(t) & \text{if } y = x + e_i \text{ for } i \in I \text{ (birth of a particle)} \\ \mu_{i,j}(t) & \text{if } y = x - e_i + e_j \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ in } I \text{ (transfert of a particle)} \\ \delta_i(t) & \text{if } y = x - e_i \text{ for } i \in I \text{ (death of a particle)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} : \quad (3)$$

The diagonal terms are such that the sum over each line is zero. The transition related to can equivalently be expressed as $x_j e_j + e_i$ for $j \notin i$. Recall that N_t is an n -component vector which represents the amount of particles in each of the n compartments at time t . A simple computation shows that for any $x \in E$ and any $i \in I$,

$$A_t(x)_i = \sum_{y \in E} L_{t,x,y} Y_i = x_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I; j \neq i} x_j e_j(t) x_i^4 e_i(t) + \sum_{j \in I; j \neq i} x_{ij}(t)^5 : \quad (4)$$

We recognise immediately the equation (2) of the deterministic compartmental system.

1.2.1 Interpretation as interacting M/M/1 queues

The generator (3) suggests an interpretation of the process $(N_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ as an n -dimensional M/M/1 queueing system with interactions. In such a picture, each particle is a client, and each compartment is an M/M/1 queue. The quantity N_t^i is thus the number of customers in the i^{th} queue at time t . For each compartment $i \in I$, and in absence of interaction (0), the arrival rate is λ_i , and the service rate is μ_i . Since the queue is M/M/1, each new client gets immediately its own dedicated server, which explains the coefficient $x_i e_i$ in L . The interaction allows the clients to move from queue j to queue i with rate x_{ij} . Thus, in presence of interactions, the arrival rate in queue i is $\lambda_i + \sum_{j \neq i} x_{ji} \lambda_j$ and the service rate is $\mu_i + \sum_{j \neq i} x_{ij} \mu_j$. The clients in the queues are not ordered since these queues are of M/M/1 type. The random vector N_t gives the number of clients in each queue at time t . The dynamics of $(N_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ can be thus interpreted as n interacting M/M/1 queues, e.g. as n interacting birth and death processes on N . These interacting queues can be seen as special Markovian Petri networks, e.g. interacting particle systems, cf. [6] and [20]. For the reader familiar with queueing systems, we emphasise that these interacting queues are not in tandem and that they do not constitute Jackson networks. However, in statistical mechanics, they constitute a zero-range dynamics on the graph of compartments.

In particular, with such an interpretation in mind, a one compartment system is equivalent to a single M/M/1 queue. More generally, a catenary chain of n compartments is equivalent to a system of n interacting M/M/1 queues, in which only the first one has an external inflow, the others being inflowed by the clients that leave the preceding queue due to the interaction.




Figure 3: The two stochastic interpretations of finite compartmental systems with linear rates. Interacting M/M/1 queues versus independent random walks on the graph of compartments subject to birth and death.

1.2.2 Interpretation as independent particles on the graph of compartments

We remove now the indistinguishability of the particles assumed in the interpretation of the process $(N_t)_{t \geq t_0}$ generated by (3). We claim then that N_t is the occupation vector of the compartments at time t for a superposition of independent particles moving in I . These particles are subject to birth (rate λ_i) and death (rate μ_i), in addition to their motion in the system of compartments viewed as an oriented graph with n vertices. This graph is a subset of $I \times I$, and $i; j = 0$ means that the edge $(i; j)$ does not exist in the graph.

For simplicity, let us consider for the moment a finite catenary chain of n compartments as in example 1.1, and let us assume in addition that the rates do not depend on time. In such a compartmental system, there is only one external inflow with rate λ_1 at the left extremity of the chain. Moreover, the interaction of a compartment is limited to its right neighbour. This orientation suggests the consideration of a Markovian dynamics $(X_t)_{t \geq T}$ of one particle which starts at compartment 0 at time T , and moves from left to right, with rate λ_i . The elimination rate μ_i can be incorporated by the addition of an external cemetery state $c \notin I$ to the system of compartments. The generator of this single particle dynamics is given by

$$L_{i;j} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ \mu_i & \text{if } j = c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $i \neq j$ in $I \cup \{c\}$. The diagonal terms of the matrix L are such that the sum over each line is zero. Assume now that independent copies of such particles start their motion according to a Poisson point process on \mathbb{R} of intensity λ_1 . In other words, the initial times T are distributed according to the Poisson point process, and the particles are independent and independent of the point process. Let Z_t^i be the number of these independent particles that are in compartment i at time t . Let us denote $Z_t = (Z_t^1; \dots; Z_t^n)$, which is a random vector belonging to \mathbb{N}^n . The absence of memory of exponential laws and the interpretation of the generator as exponential clocks in competition leads to the following expression for the generator of (Z_t) : for any $x \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$L_{x;y} = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 & \text{if } y = x + e_1 \\ \mu_i x_i & \text{if } y = x - e_i + e_{i+1} \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \\ \mu_i x_i & \text{if } y = x - e_i \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This is exactly the generator (3) of (N_t) corresponding to our assumptions on the rates and on I . Notice that when $n = 1$ (trivial graph), we just have constructed the $M/M/1$ queue from independent particles with survival rate λ_1 , arriving according to an independent Poisson point process of intensity λ_1 . When $n > 1$ and $\mu_n = 0$, the process consists in n independent $M/M/1$ queues.

Such an interpretation is actually not specific to catenary chains of compartments. It can be extended to any oriented graph (compartments topology), by considering n independent Poisson point processes, one for each external inflow. The independence of the particles moving on the graph is a consequence of the linearity in x of the coefficients related to the rates in $L_{x;y}$. The single particle dynamics involves the rates for its motions. The rates are incorporated by the addition of an external cemetery state to the system, whereas the rates are incorporated by using an independent Poisson point process and independent copies of the particle. It is known that adding an external cemetery state leads to Feynman-Kac type formulas related to a very simple potential, cf. [5] for instance.

We have thus two stochastic interpretations of the dynamics (3) of (N_t) , as presented by figure 3. The first one is "vertical" and corresponds to interacting birth and death processes in N ($M/M/1$ queues subject to interactions), whereas the second one is "horizontal" and corresponds to independent particles moving on the graph of compartments (random walks on I subject to birth and death). Both hold for time-dependent rates.

2 A continuous stochastic maturation model

In the sequel, we consider a time-inhomogeneous stochastic maturation model with killing, which provides a Poisson-Binomial formula for the occupation law of the maturation system. The probability of survival in such a model can be for instance the probability of traversal of a finite catenary chain of compartments. We show that this probability has a nice explicit formula when the length of the chain tends to infinity. Such a result is in accordance with the stochastic interpretation of finite compartmental systems presented in Section 1, in terms of time-inhomogeneous $M/M/1$ queues.

2.1 Counting mature particles under killing and Poisson production

Consider a maturation system in which the maturation takes a deterministic duration $\tau > 0$. A particle which begins its maturation at time $T \in \mathbb{R}$ achieves its maturation at time $T + \tau$. We say that $T + \tau$ is the maturation time of the particle. Assume in addition that the particle can die during the maturation process, with probability $1 - p(T)$, where $p(T) \in [0;1]$ is a deterministic real number which may depend on T . In such a maturation with killing scheme, a particle which begins its maturation at time $T \in \mathbb{R}$ can either die with probability $1 - p(T)$, or survive with probability $p(T)$ and achieve its maturation at time $T + \tau$. Now, consider independent particles which begin their maturation at times $T_0; T_1; \dots$. These particles survive with probabilities $p(T_0); p(T_1); \dots$, and achieve their maturation at times $M_0 = T_0 + \tau; M_1 = T_1 + \tau; \dots$

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that $T_0; T_1; \dots$ are random and distributed according to a Poisson process with intensity $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Assume that this random process is independent from the maturation process of the particles. The maturation times $M_0; M_1; \dots$ follow a Poisson point process on \mathbb{R} with intensity $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$(t) = (\lambda(t) - \lambda(t - \tau))p(t - \tau):$$

In other words, the number of mature particles produced during a bounded time interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ follows a Poisson distribution with mean

$$\int_I \lambda(u) du = \int_I (\lambda(u) - \lambda(u - \tau))p(u - \tau) du:$$

Moreover, for any disjoint and bounded time intervals I and J , the number N_I and N_J of mature particles produced during these time intervals are independent Poisson random variables. The reader familiar with points processes may notice that the resulting point process is thus an inhomogeneous marked Poisson point process, with Bernoulli marks.

Proof. Consider a time interval $I =]s; t]$. Let A_I be the number of particles with maturation times in the time interval I . They have started their maturation on the time interval $I' =]s - \tau; t - \tau]$. Let $N_{I'}$ be the number of particles that have started their maturation on the time

interval I . On the event $\{N_I = m\}$, let $T_1, \dots, T_m \in I$ be the corresponding initial times. By independence of the maturation of the particles, for any $t_1 < \dots < t_m \in I$,

$$L(A_I \mid N_I = m; T_1 = t_1; \dots; T_m = t_m) = B(1; p(t_1)) \dots B(1; p(t_m))$$

Let us compute the Laplace transform of A_I . For any $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$E(e^{-s A_I} \mid N_I = m; T_1; \dots; T_m) = \prod_{k=1}^m (T_k);$$

where $(t) := 1 + (e^{-1})p(t)$. On the event $\{N_I = m\}$, the random vector $(T_1; \dots; T_m)$ follows a Dirichlet law on $[0; (t)]^m$, where $(w) := \int_s^w (u) du$. Few lines of calculus give

$$E(e^{-s A_I} \mid N_I = m) = \frac{1}{(t)} \int_0^t (t-v)^{m-1} p(v) dv;$$

Now, since $L(N_I) = P((t))$, we obtain

$$E(e^{-s A_I}) = E(E(e^{-s A_I} \mid N_I)) = \exp(-s) \int_0^t (t-v)^{m-1} p(v) dv;$$

Finally, the identity $\int_0^t (t-v)^{m-1} p(v) dv = \int_s^t (u) p(u) du$ yields $L(A_I) = P(\int_s^t (u) du)$. The independence of A_I and A_J when I and J are disjoint time intervals follows from the same property for N_I and N_J together with the independence of maturation of the particles. \square

Let us assume now in addition that independently, each mature particle survives after maturation during a random duration with intensity $\lambda: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. In other words, given that a mature particle is still alive at time s , and if S is its remaining survival duration, then $P(S > t) = \exp(-\int_s^t \lambda(w) dw)$ for any $t > s$. The following Theorem expresses the law of the number N_t of mature particles still alive at time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Figure 4 gives a schematic view of the system.

Theorem 2.2. For any times $0 \leq s \leq t$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L(N_t \mid N_s = m) = B(m; (s;t)) P((s;t))$$

where

$$(s;t) := \exp\left(-\int_s^t \lambda(w) dw\right) \quad \text{and} \quad (s;t) := \int_s^t (u) p(u) (u;t) du;$$

In particular, $E(N_t \mid N_s = m) = m (s;t) + (s;t)$ for any $0 \leq s \leq t$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notice that there are three sources of randomness here, which are supposed independent: the initial time of maturation, the survival during the maturation, and the survival duration after maturation. The resulting counting process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a time-inhomogeneous M/M/1 queue.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > s$. Consider the event $\{N_s = m\}$. Let B_t be the number of these m mature particles still alive at time t (recall that they were mature at time s). Let A_t be the number of mature particles still alive at time t and which have achieved their maturation on the time interval $[s;t]$. Since the particles are independent, the random variables A_t and B_t are independent, and $L(N_t \mid N_s = m) = L(B_t) L(A_t)$. The m initial mature particles are

independent, and each of them are still alive at time t with probability $(s;t)$. Consequently, the random variable B_t follows the binomial law $B(m; (s;t)) = B(1; (s;t))^m$ of size m and parameter $(s;t)$.

It remains to show that $L(A_t) = P((s;t))$. Consider a particle which achieved its maturation at time $T \in [s;t]$. It is still alive at time t with probability $(T;t)$. Let M_t be the number of particles which have achieved their maturation on the time interval $[s;t]$. On the event $M_t = k$, let T_1, \dots, T_k be the corresponding maturation times. For any t_1, \dots, t_k in $[s;t]$,

$$L(A_t | M_t = k; T_1 = t_1; \dots; T_k = t_k) = B(1; (t_1;t)) \dots B(1; (t_k;t))$$

Let us compute the Laplace transform of A_t . For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$E(e^{-\lambda t} | M_t = k; T_1 = t_1; \dots; T_k = t_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k (t_i;t)$$

where $(t_i;t) = 1 - (e^{-\lambda t_i} - e^{-\lambda t})$ is the Laplace transform of $B(1; (t_i;t))$. Now, we write

$$E(e^{-\lambda t} | M_t = k) = E(E(e^{-\lambda t} | M_t = k; T_1; \dots; T_k))$$

For any v in $[s;t]$, let $F_v(u) = \int_s^u p(u) du$. According to the preceding Proposition, $L(M_t) = P((t))$ and the random vector $(T_1; \dots; T_k)$ follows a Dirichlet law on $[0; (t)]^k$. After few lines of calculus, this yields

$$E(e^{-\lambda t} | M_t = k) = \frac{1}{(t)^k} \int_0^t (t-u)^{k-1} e^{-\lambda u} du$$

and

$$E(e^{-\lambda t}) = E(E(e^{-\lambda t} | M_t)) = \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^t (t-u)^{k-1} e^{-\lambda u} du$$

Consequently, $L(A_t) = P((s;t))$, since

$$\int_0^t (t-u)^{k-1} e^{-\lambda u} du = \int_s^t (u-s)^{k-1} p(u) (u;t) du = (s;t)$$

□

2.2 Modelling the maturation process itself for one particle

Let us consider a single particle which begins its maturation at time $T \in \mathbb{R}$. This section is devoted to the modelling of the maturation and survival process itself, by means of a Markovian dynamics on the time interval $[T; +\infty)$. In particular, it provides an explicit formula of the probability of survival during maturation $p(T)$.

2.2.1 Finite state model

We begin by considering a maturation model which consists in $n+1$ maturation steps, with possible killing. More precisely, define the finite set

$$S^{(n)} = \{0; 1; \dots; n\} \cup \{c\}$$




Figure 4: Schematic view of a maturation system with killing. Recall that τ is the maturation duration. The quantity $p(T)$ is the survival probability of a particle which has begun its maturation at time T . The quantity λ is the survival rate of a mature particle. The left hand side compartment (LC) corresponds to a Poisson deformation box with lag, whereas the right hand side compartment (RC) corresponds to an $M/M/1$ like counting process. The output of the LC is a point process which serves as an input for the RC.

where $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ will serve as a cemetery state. The maturation of the particle is modelled by a motion from state 0 to state n . State n corresponds to "maturity". The killing rate is modelled by a function

$$\lambda^{(n)} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

which is smooth in the first variable (time). This killing rate may thus vary in time and in space. The maturation rate is modelled by a constant positive real number $\mu^{(n)}$. Consider now the continuous time Markov process $(X_t^{(n)})_{t \geq T}$ with state space $S^{(n)}$, and generator given for any $i \neq j$ in $S^{(n)}$ and any $t > T$ by

$$(L_t^{(n)})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \mu^{(n)} & \text{if } i \neq c \text{ and } j = i + 1 \\ \lambda^{(n)}(t; i) & \text{if } i \neq c \text{ and } j = c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The diagonal terms of the matrix $L^{(n)}$ are such that the sum over each line is 0. The dynamics of the maturation process $(X_t^{(n)})_{t \geq T}$ can be read directly on the expression of the generator above. Namely, at time t and from state i , the process can only move to state $i + 1$ with rate $\mu^{(n)}$, or die (being killed) with rate $\lambda^{(n)}(t; i)$ and placed in the cemetery state c from which it cannot escape. The maturation steps are depicted by figure 5. In absence of killing, i.e. when $\lambda^{(n)} = 0$, the process $(X_t^{(n)})_{t \geq T}$ is a simple Poisson process of intensity $\mu^{(n)}$, stopped when it reaches state n .

In such a maturation with killing model, we are interested in the mean occupation of the state n for a Poissonian number of such processes evolving independently. One can define $p(T)$ as the probability of hitting state n starting from state 0:

$$p(T) = P(\exists t > T; X_t^{(n)} = n | X_T^{(n)} = 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{(n)}(t) = \lambda^{(n)}(t; n):$$

However, the corresponding maturation time is random, depends on T , and is given by

$$\tau = \inf\{t > T; X_t^{(n)} = n\}$$

on the event $\{X_T^{(n)} = 0; \exists t > T; X_t^{(n)} = n\}$. We will see in the sequel that letting n tends to 1 provides a very simple explicit formula for $p(T)$ and makes τ deterministic, without any loss in interpretation.




Figure 5: A catenary chain of compartments with one-way flow and possible killing, used as a maturation model. maturation (or evolution) rate $\lambda^{(n)}$, and killing rate $\mu^{(n)}$. The down arrows lead to the cemetery state, which is not represented here.

2.2.2 Continuous state limit model

It is possible to express the law of $(X^{(n)})_{t \geq T}$ by means of a Feynman-Kac formula. Rather than using this approach, we prefer to consider now the limit process obtained by letting the number of compartments n tends to ∞ . We will use a scaling which corresponds to the convergence

$$\frac{0}{n}; \frac{1}{n}; \dots; \frac{n}{n} \quad \text{!} \quad [0; 1]:$$

Namely, assume that $\lambda^{(n)} = n \lambda$ for some positive constant λ . Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}; t \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda^{(n)}(t; i) - \lambda(t; i) = 0$$

for some bounded piecewise continuous function

$$\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0; 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+ :$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $x \in [0; 1]$. On the event $\{X_T^{(n)} = nx\}$, and with the convention $c/n = c$, the rescaled process $(n^{-1} X_t^{(n)})_{t \geq T}$ converges in law, when n goes to ∞ , toward the Markov process $(X_t)_{t \geq T}$ with state space $S = [0; 1]$ [defined for any $T \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$L_{X_t}(X_s = x) = \begin{cases} p(s; t; x) x(t-s) + (1 - p(s; t; x)) e^{-\lambda(t-s)} & \text{if } x \neq c; \\ 0 & \text{if } x = c; \end{cases}$$

where $x(u) = \min(1, x + \lambda(u - T))$, $p(u; v; x) = \exp\left(-\int_u^v \lambda(w; x(w)) dw\right)$, and $p(u; v; c) = 0$ for any $x \neq c$.

Proof. Consider the natural inclusion $\iota_n: S^{(n)} \rightarrow S$ defined by $\iota_n(i) = i/n$ for any $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $\iota_n(c) = c$. By this way, any function $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induces naturally a function $f^{(n)}$ from $S^{(n)}$ to \mathbb{R} , and one has

$$L_t^{(n)}(f^{(n)})(i) = n \left(f\left(\frac{i+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) + \lambda\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) f(c) - f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \right) :$$

By using suitable continuity arguments based on Taylor's formulas, one can show that the Markov process $(n^{-1} X_t^{(n)})_{t \geq T}$ converges in law, when n goes to ∞ , toward the Markov process $(X_t)_{t \geq T}$ with state space $S = [0; 1]$ [and infinitesimal generators

$$L_t(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f'(x) + \lambda(t; x)(f(c) - f(x)) & \text{if } x \neq c \\ 0 & \text{if } x = c \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

defined for continuous functions $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are smooth on $[0;1]$ and vanish at the boundaries. One can find detailed techniques of such limiting procedures in [8] for example. The obtained generator L_t above is the addition of a deterministic constant drift term $f^0(x)$ together with a random space-time inhomogeneous killing term $(t;x)(f(c) - f(x))$. A Feynman-Kac formula or a direct check gives the desired expression of the law. Actually, the addition of a cemetery state corresponding to a killing term to a Markov process always gives rise to a Feynman-Kac formulae, cf. [5] and [19]. In some ways, our case (6) is the simplest one since we add a killing term to a deterministic generator (constant drift). \square

The interpretation in terms of the position X_t of the particle is quite clear: the particle is moving from left to right on the interval of positions $[0;1]$ at constant speed v . The particle stops its motion when (and if) it reaches the right extremity of $[0;1]$. At any time $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and any position $x \in [0;1]$, it can be killed (and thus placed in the cemetery state c) with rate $(t;x)$. A particle is mature when it reaches the right extremity 1 of $[0;1]$. The positions $[0;1]$ correspond to the steps of maturation. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $x \in [0;1]$, let us define $g(t;x) := (t;x)I_{[0;1]}(x)$ and $(t) := (t;1)$ in such a way that

$$(t;x) = g(t;x)I_{[0;1]}(x) + (t)I_{f1g}(x); \quad (7)$$

Function g gathers the killing rate during maturation, whereas function (t) captures the killing rate after maturation. In the settings of Section 2.1, the process $(X_t)_{t \geq T}$ corresponds to a maturation model for which

$$(t) = (t;1) \quad \text{and} \quad p(T) = p(T;T + \cdot;0) = \exp \int_0^Z g(T+w;w)dw; \quad (8)$$

where the "maturation time" Z is deterministic, independent of t , and given by

$$Z := \frac{1}{v}; \quad (9)$$

When the particle is not killed, i.e. on the event $\{X_T = 0; \exists s > 0; X_s = 1\}$, Z is exactly the deterministic duration taken by the particle to reach state 1 from state 0 (at constant speed v).

2.3 The resulting counting process

Consider the maturation system with killing modelled by the (X_t) process introduced above, with maturation speed v and killing rate $(t;x) : \mathbb{R} \times [0;1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as in (7). The maturation takes a deterministic time Z given by (9). A particle which begins its maturation at time T can either die with probability $1 - p(T)$ where $p(T)$ is as in (8), or survive with probability $p(T)$ and achieve its maturation at time $T + Z$. Now, consider independent particles which begin their maturation at times $T_0; T_1; \dots$. These particles survive with probabilities $p(T_0); p(T_1); \dots$, and achieve their maturation at times $M_0 = T_0 + Z; M_1 = T_1 + Z; \dots$ provided that the corresponding $p(T_i)$ are non null. The survival durations after maturation of the mature particles are i.i.d. random variables with common rate $(t) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ where $(t) := (t;1)$. Suppose that the initial times $T_0; T_1; \dots$ are random and distributed according to an independent Poisson point process on \mathbb{R} with intensity $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. For any $t > 0$, let N_t be the number of mature particles still alive at time t . Theorem 2.2, together with (8) and (9), yields the following result.

Theorem 2.4. For any $0 \leq s \leq t$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $L(N_t | N_s = m) = B(m; (s;t)) P((s;t))$, where

$$(s;t) := \exp \int_s^t (w)dw$$

and

$$(s;t) = \int_s^t \int_0^Z (u) \exp \int_0^Z g(u+w; w) dw (u+;t) du :$$

In particular, for any $0 \leq s \leq t$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E(N_t | N_s = m) = m (s;t) + (s;t) : \tag{10}$$

The process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a time inhomogeneous M/M/1 queue, which is a particular birth and death process, cf. [26, 1, 29]. In an M/M/1 queue, each client is immediately served by an independent dedicated server. When $g = 0$ and λ is constant, one has $p = 1$, and $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is in that case an M/M/1 queue with input intensity λ and constant output intensity μ . When in addition μ is constant, the symmetric invariant measure of this queue is the Poisson law $P(\cdot) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^\cdot / \cdot!$. In a way, the M/M/1 queue with constant intensities is for the Poisson process what the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is for Brownian motion, cf. [26, Theorem 6.14].

The role played by the M/M/1 queueing processes in our model is due to the independency of the particles in the definition of $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$. One can alternatively consider a non independent killing after maturation, which can lead for example to an M/M/1 type queueing process. Unfortunately, the law at fixed time of such a process, even for the time-homogeneous case, is far more complex than the simple formula obtained in the M/M/1 case, cf. [28] and [26].

Remark 2.5 (Negative values of λ and input rate amplification heuristics). The expression of $(s;t)$ above still makes sense even when λ takes negative values on $[0;1)$. In that case, the quantity $p(u) = \exp \int_0^Z g(u+w; w) dw$ may exceed 1, and thus cannot be interpreted as a "survival probability". Such negative values can be seen in a way as a sort of "amplification" of the input rate instead of a killing, and can be incorporated into λ . Beware that it does not correspond to an immigration of particles in the counting process. It appears as a distortion of the input rate of the counting process.




Figure 6: Catenary chain of compartments with Poisson input, one-way flow (\cdot) , and space-time-inhomogeneous killing (\cdot) . Its limit when n tends to infinity is given by figure 4.

2.3.1 Case without killing after maturation

Assume that $\lambda = 0$ (no killing after maturation). In that case, $(s;t) = 0$ for any $0 \leq s \leq t$, and the formula for $(s;t)$ boils down to

$$(s;t) = \int_s^Z (u) \exp \int_0^Z g(u+w; w) dw \, du:$$

In that case, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ $(s;t)$ is non decreasing since the main integrand does not depend on t . This is not surprising since the particles are never killed after maturation. Hence, on $\mathbb{N}_s = 0g$, the process $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ M_t is non decreasing, and in particular its expected value $(s;t)$ is non decreasing too. When λ is constant and $g = 0$, we recover a simple Poisson process of intensity λ .

2.3.2 Case without killing during maturation

Assume that $\lambda = 0$ (no killing during maturation). In that case, the formula for $(s;t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$ boils down to

$$(s;t) = \int_s^Z (u) (u + \lambda; t) du:$$

When in addition both λ and g are constant, we recover the standard time-homogeneous M/M/1 queue with input rate λ and service rate μ , for which

$$(s;t) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \quad \text{and} \quad (s;t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \quad \text{---:} \quad (11)$$

The Poisson measure $P(\cdot)$ is a stationary distribution of $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in that case.

2.3.3 Partial killing during maturation and constant killing after maturation

Let us consider now the particular case where λ is constant, and g is of the form

$$k(t;x) = g(t)I_{[0, \tau)}(x) + I_{f1g}(x); \quad (12)$$

where $\tau \in [0;1]$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and where $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a smooth function. It corresponds to a time dependent killing before the maturation stage τ , and to a constant killing after maturation. No killing is made between maturation stage τ and full maturation. The formula for $(s;t)$ when $0 \leq s \leq t$ boils down to

$$(s;t) = \int_s^Z \exp \int_0^Z g(u+w) dw \, du \quad (13)$$

When $g = 0$, this formula reduces to the classical M/M/1 average queue length (11). Assume instead that function g in (12) only vanishes at infinity. Then the two formulas (13) and (11) for $(s;t)$ are equivalent when t goes to $+\infty$. In particular, the Poisson measure $P(\cdot)$ is a stationary distribution of $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in that case. One can see on figure 7 that this Poisson equilibrium is quickly reached. It is possible to quantify the speed of convergence in total variation norm or in entropy, cf. [26] and [2].




Figure 7: The plots represent the average number $E(N_t | N_0 = 0)$ of Theorem 2.4, and the killing function g . This example corresponds to the case (12) with $\lambda(t) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(t)$, $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = 1$, and $g(t - 10) = (e^{-t+10} - e^{-t+2}) \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(t)$. One can observe on the plot of the average number of particles three main time phases. The first phase corresponds to an ascendancy to a Poisson equilibrium before the action of the drug via g . The second phase corresponds to a decrease due to the action of the killing via function g (delayed by the time lag $\beta = 1 = 1$). In the third phase, the killing action decreases and the Poisson equilibrium is reached again.

2.3.4 Identifiability and invariance by some transformations

The dynamics of $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is fully described by the quadruple $(\lambda; \mu; g; \beta)$, where $\beta = 1 = \beta$. It is quite natural to ask about the injectivity of the map

$$(\lambda; \mu; g; \beta) \mapsto L(N | N_0):$$

According to Theorem 2.4, the law $L(N | N_0)$ is completely prescribed by the triple $(\lambda; \mu; g)$, where $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$g(t) = \lambda(t)p(t) = \lambda(t) \exp \int_0^t g(t+w; w) dw :$$

Thus, the couple $(\lambda; g)$ cannot be identified, since it acts on the dynamics via the compound $\lambda \circ g$. The action of g can be compensated by λ and vice versa. Namely, suppose that g can be written $g = g_1 + g_2$, where g_1 and g_2 are non-negative functions. Then, the two models corresponding respectively to $(\lambda; \mu; g; \beta)$ and $(\lambda; \mu; g_2; g_1; \beta)$ are indistinguishable. The extreme case corresponds to $(\lambda; \mu; 0; g)$, for which the entire killing function g is merged into the input rate function λ . Let us analyse some special cases. For any $\beta > 1$, let us consider the transformation which

replaces $(\lambda; g)$ by $(\lambda; g)$ defined by

$$\lambda = \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad g = g + \log(\lambda):$$

Function λ and parameter λ are left unchanged, and one can check that $\lambda_g = \lambda_g$. Therefore, the dynamics is invariant by this transformation: the models corresponding to $(\lambda; \lambda; g; \lambda)$ and $(\lambda; \lambda; g; \lambda)$ are indistinguishable, despite their distinct physiological meanings. A multiplicative perturbation of the input intensity corresponds to an additive perturbation of the killing function g during maturation. Hence, one can decide to normalise the parametrisation by taking for example $\lambda = 1$.

3 A pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics example in cancerology

Catenary chains of compartments, as depicted in figure 5, are widely used in the literature by kineticists for the modelling of anticancer drug toxicity, see for instance [9, 10, 11], [4, 12], [3] and references therein. Most anticancer drugs have toxic effects on white blood cells (myelosuppression). Neutrophils are particular white blood cells used as toxicity markers. The catenary chain of compartments models the maturation process of neutrophils in the bone marrow. Each maturation stage corresponds to a specific position in the chain. The killing rate during maturation corresponds to the drug toxicity on neutrophils. The last compartment of the chain is the only observed (blood), and the others correspond to hidden positions (bone marrow). In practice, the input and transit rates in the catenary chain of compartments are unknown parameters. The goal of the kineticist is thus to control the content of the last compartment by his action on the anticancer drug dosage regimen. Consider for simplicity that both the production rate λ , the transit rate λ , and the elimination rate in blood λ are constant. The killing rate depends typically on the time profile $q: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the anticancer drug concentration in blood (i.e. kinetics). The first step of the Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics study is to find, for a given kinetics, the best values of the parameters in view of the data (in blood). This first step can be addressed in several ways.

Approach (I). This traditional approach involves a deterministic finite catenary chain of n compartments, as presented in example 1.1 page 4. It is customary to model the toxic effect of the anticancer drug on neutrophils via a killing rate $\lambda(i) = q(t)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\lambda(n) = \lambda$, and 0 elsewhere. The vector $Q(t) = (Q_1(t); \dots; Q_n(t))$, which represents the number of neutrophils in each compartment of the chain, solves a system of n ordinary differential equations (ODE). The available data concerns only the last compartment (blood), labelled n . The function $t \mapsto Q_n(t)$ depends on the modelling parameters $\lambda, \lambda, \lambda, \dots, n_0$. The main drawback of this approach is that the number of compartments n is unknown, and that the system of equation is heavy.

Approach (II). In this approach, we circumvent the problem of the choice of n by considering the continuous limit in n , as presented in Section 1.2. This limit procedure leads to killing rates as in equation (7). More precisely, we mimic the cut-off used in the approach (I) by considering equation (12) with $g(t; x) = q(t)I_{[0; 1]}(x)$ where $\lambda > 0$ and where $\lambda \in [0; 1]$ are constants. The continuous limit in n is constructed at the level of the stochastic interpretation. As a consequence, the relevant quantity is the mean of the counting process of Theorem 2.4, given by equation (10). The initial value m in (10) must be averaged with respect to the equilibrium without drug, i.e. the Poisson measure $P(\lambda)$. The quantity $Q_n(t)$ of the approach (I) is thus replaced now by the following explicit alternative quantity:

$$Q_1(t) = Q_0(t) + Q_0(t) - \lambda Q_1(t) \quad (14)$$

quadrature method. The parameters $(\beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta)$ were estimated with ordinary least-squares. Notice that the continuous parameter $\beta \in [0;1)$ plays here the role of the discrete parameter $n_0 < n$ of the approach (I).

The curves obtained with the different models are represented in figure 8. On the whole, the different models approximately give the same curves. Despite its appearance, $t \mathbb{1}_{Q_1}(t)$ is smooth. The curves provided by the approach (I) with 100 compartments in one hand and the approach (II) in the other hand are nearly the same. The obtained residuals sum of squares are given in table 1. The curves are given by figure 8. The best fit was obtained with the 10-compartments model. Models with more compartments, including the 100 compartments model of approach (II) give approximately the same quality of fit. The number of parameter to estimate is the same for both approach (I) and (II). However, the estimation of n_0 in approach (I) leads to a large amount of extra ODE integration. The amounts of time needed for the ODE integration are at least twice as long for models with more than 10 compartments than for the integral evaluation of approach (II). Keeping in mind that such studies usually include several tens of patients, the approach (II) that we proposed offers a reasonable alternative to compartmental models.

	5 CP	10 CP	30 CP	100 CP	Continuous
Computation time	0.39	0.99	11.803	235.12	0.51
Sum of Squares	0.99	0.25	0.32	0.32	0.33

Table 1: The duration of the ODE/integral evaluation. For compartmental models, these durations have been obtained as $(n-1)$ duration for a single evaluation of the ODE to take into account the screening needed to estimate n_0 . The obtained sum-of-squares are given in the second row.

Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank Prof. Laurent Miclo for stimulating and helpful discussions on inhomogeneous jump processes and related topics at the early development of this work.

References

- [1] K. Borovkov, Elements of stochastic modelling, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [2] D. Chafaï, Binomial-poisson entropic inequalities and the M/M/1 queue, to appear in ESAIM/PS, [arXiv.org/math/0510488](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0510488) and [CNRS-HAL/ccsd-00012429](https://hal.cnr.fr/00012429), march 2006.
- [3] D. Concordet, F. Leger, and C. Ane, Population PK/PD Analysis, Encyclopedia of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, Dekker, 2004.
- [4] N.L. Dayneka, V. Garg, and W.J. Jusko, Comparison of four basic models of indirect pharmacodynamic responses, J. Pharm. Biopharm. (1993), no. 21, 457-478.
- [5] P. Del Moral, Feynman-Kac formulae, Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004, Genealogical and interacting particle systems with applications.




Figure 8: The curve with five peaks is the drug concentration curve $c(t) = q(t)$ (kinetics). The neutrophils counts observed in a patient are represented by squares. The mean neutrophils counts predicted with models with 5, 10, 30, 100, 1 compartment are represented with different lines. On the whole, models with at least ten compartments give a reasonable description of observed points.

- [6] R. Durrett, Ten lectures on particle systems, Lectures on probability theory (Saint-Flour, 1993), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1608, Springer, Berlin, 1995, pp. 97{201. MR MR1383122 (97c:60236)
- [7] A. Economou, The compound Poisson in migration process subject to binomial catastrophes, J. Appl. Probab. 41 (2004), no. 2, 508{523. MR MR2052588 (2005b:60188)
- [8] S.N. Ethier and T.G. Kurtz, Markov processes, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986, Characterization and convergence.
- [9] L.E. Friberg, C.J. Brindley, M.O. Karlsson, and A.J. Devlin, Models of schedule dependent haematological toxicity of 2'-deoxy-2'-methylidene cytidine (DDC), Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 56 (2000), no. 8, 567{74.
- [10] L.E. Friberg, A. Freijs, M. Sandstrom, and M.O. Karlsson, Semiphysiological model for the time course of leukocytes after varying schedules of 5-fluorouracil in rats, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therap. 2 (2000), no. 295, 734{740.
- [11] L.E. Friberg and M.O. Karlsson, Mechanistic models for myelosuppression, Invest. New Drug (2003), no. 21, 183{194.
- [12] J.V.S. Gobburu and W.J. Jusko, Role of dosage regimen in controlling indirect pharmacodynamic responses, Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews (2001), no. 46, 45{57.
- [13] J. Goudriaan, Boxcartrain methods for modelling of ageing, development, delays and dispersion, The dynamics of physiologically structured populations (Amsterdam, 1983), Lecture Notes in Biomath., vol. 68, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 453{473.

- [14] I. Györfi, Interconnection between ordinary and delay differential equations, *Modern optimal control*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 119, Dekker, New York, 1989, pp. 131{141.
- [15] A. C. Hindmarsh, ODEPACK, a systematized collection of ODE solvers, *Scientific computing (Montreal, Que., 1982)*, IMACS Trans. Sci. Comput., I, IMACS, New Brunswick, NJ, 1983, pp. 55{64. MR MR 751604
- [16] J. A. Jacquez, Density functions of residence times for deterministic and stochastic compartmental systems, *Math. Biosci.* 180 (2002), 127{139, John A. Jacquez memorial volume.
- [17] J. A. Jacquez and C. P. Simon, Qualitative theory of compartmental systems, *SIAM Rev.* 35 (1993), no. 1, 43{79.
- [18] ———, Qualitative theory of compartmental systems with lags, *Math. Biosci.* 180 (2002), 329{362, John A. Jacquez memorial volume.
- [19] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 113, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [20] T. M. Liggett, *Interacting particle systems*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, Reprint of the 1985 original. MR MR 2108619 (2006b:60003)
- [21] N. Linic, Relationship between compartmental masses and transition rates in stochastic compartmental models, *Math. Biosci.* 114 (1993), no. 1, 59{79.
- [22] J. H. Matis and T. R. Kie, *Stochastic population models*, Lecture Notes in Statistics, vol. 145, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000, A compartmental perspective.
- [23] S.-I. Niculescu and K. Gu (eds.), *Advances in time-delay systems*, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol. 38, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [24] L. Petzold, Automatic selection of methods for solving stiff and nonstiff systems of ordinary differential equations, *SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput.* 4 (1983), no. 1, 137{148. MR MR 689694 (84k:65075)
- [25] K. Radhakrishnan and A. C. Hindmarsh, Description and use of LSODE, the Livermore Solver for Ordinary Differential Equations, LLNL report UCRL-ID-113855, <http://www.llnl.gov/CASC/nsde/pubs/u113855.pdf>, december 1993.
- [26] Ph. Robert, *Stochastic networks and queues*, french ed., Applications of Mathematics (New York), vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability.
- [27] K. Schumacher and H. Thieme, Some theoretical and numerical aspects of modelling dispersion in the development of ectotherms, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 15 (1988), no. 6-8, 565{594, Hyperbolic partial differential equations. V.
- [28] A. M. K. Tarabia, A new formula for the transient behaviour of a non-empty $M=M=1$ queue, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 132 (2002), no. 1, 1{10.
- [29] F. J. Zhang and Y. Y. Chen, A nonhomogeneous Markov queuing process, *J. Xijiang Univ. Nat. Sci.* (1985), no. 1, 1{11.

Djalil Chafaï and Didier Concordet

Address: UMR 181 INRA/ENVT Physiopathologie et Toxicologie Expérimentales,

Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire de Toulouse,

23 Chemin des Capelles, F-31076, Toulouse Cedex 3, France.

E-mail: <mailto:d.chafai@envt.fr.nospam>

Address: UMR 5583 CNRS/UPS Laboratoire de Statistique et Probabilités,

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier,

118 route de Narbonne, F-31062, Toulouse, Cedex 4, France.

E-mail: <mailto:chafai@math.ups-tlse.fr.nospam>

Web: <http://www.lsp.ups-tlse.fr/Chafai/>