ON SKOROHOD SPACES AS UNIVERSAL SAMPLE PATH SPACES

OLIVER DELZEITH

ABSTRACT. The paper presents a factorization theorem for a certain class of stochastic processes. Skorohod spaces carry the rich structure of standard Borel spaces and appear to be suitable universal sample path spaces. We show that, if ξ is a RCLL stochastic process with values in a complete separable metric space E, any other RCLL stochastic process X adapted to the filtration induced by ξ factors through the Skorohod space $D_E[0,\infty)$. This can be understood as an extension of a stochastic process to a standard Borel space enjoying nice properties. Moreover, the trajectories of the factorized stochastic process defined on $D_E[0,\infty)$ inherit the properties of being continuous, non-decreasing, and of bounded variation, resp., from those of X.

Considering situations which are invariant under the factorization procedure, the main theorem is a reduction tool to assume the underlying measurable space be a standard Borel space. In an example, we pick the existence theorem of regular conditional probabilities on standard Borel spaces to simplify a conditional expectation appearing in stochastic control problems.

1. INTRODUCTION

We present a factorization theorem for stochastic processes applying the theory of standard Borel spaces on Skorohod spaces.

Let E be a complete separable metric space E. The Skorohod space $D_E[0,\infty)$ is defined to be the set of all right continuous paths $x: [0,\infty) \to E$ having limits on the left (RCLL). $D_E[0,\infty)$ is a metrizable space inheriting the property to be a complete separable space from E [EK86, Thm. 3.5.6, Prop. 3.7.1]. Thus, $D_E[0,\infty)$ fulfills the defining properties of a standard Borel space (cf. def. 2.5). The Wiener space $C_E[0,\infty)$, consisting of continuous paths on $[0,\infty)$ in E, with the metric of uniform convergence is contained in $D_E[0,\infty)$ as a closed metric subspace, such that the notion of the Skorohod space generalizes that of the Wiener space. Just as the Wiener space is the basis of the stochastic calculus of Itô diffusions and the Brownian motion (cf., e.g., [KS97], [Øks00], [IW89], [YZ99]), the present paper shows that the Skorohod space is a suitable candidate to serve as the fundamental sample path space for models in financial mathematics and actuarial sciences. Since stochastic RCLL processes enjoy appropriate properties to model both payout streams of financial instruments and the environmental information (e.g., of capital markets and biometric developments in life insurance), they have become of more importance in recent years. The reader is referred to [Nor90], [Nor01], [Nor03], [Ste01] and [MH99]. The selected references are not meant to be an exhaustive list.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 60G07 (primary) 60A10 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Standard Borel space, Skorohod space; projective limit of measurable spaces, universal property; filtrated Borel spaces, Doob-Dynkin extension lemma, factorization theorem; regular conditional probability.

The paper presents the Skorohod space $D_E[0,\infty)$ with its structure of a complete separable metric space as a universal sample path space. It will be shown that the measurable space $(D_E[0,\infty), \mathcal{B}(D_E[0,\infty)))$ carries a natural filtration $\mathbb{F}^{(E)} = \{\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}\}_{t\geq 0}$ together with a universal stochastic process ξ^* with values in the complete separable metric space $[0,\infty) \times D_E[0,\infty)$ (cf. prop. 4.7). If we interpret the filtration as information getting more precise in the course of time t, then the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$ carries the information to exactly know the development of the path $x \in D_E[0,\infty)$ up to time t. The universal character of the filtrated measurable space

$$(D_E[0,\infty),\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,\infty)),\mathbb{F}^{(E)})$$

is reflected in the situation of the factorization theorem 4.9 which works as follows:

The environmental development of the mathematical model is driven by a stochastic process $\xi : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E$ on a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) with RCLL sample paths in a complete separable metric space E. It induces the natural map

$$\xi: \Omega \to D_E[0,\infty), \omega \mapsto \xi(.,\omega)$$

The filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}_{t\geq 0}$ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) induced by ξ is recovered by $\mathbb{F}^{(E)}$ according to the relation

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi} = \widetilde{\xi}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}),$$

for any $t \geq 0$. Any other observable of the model is represented by an $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}_{t\geq 0}$ adapted stochastic process $X: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E'$ with RCLL sample paths in some separable metric space E'. Note that, because of the assumption on the sample paths, the notions of adaptedness and progressive measurability with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}$ coincide [KS97, Prop. 1.1.13]. Now, the main result of this paper states that X factors through the universal sample path space $D_E[0,\infty)$ via the universal map $\tilde{\xi}$; more precisely, the factorization theorem provides an $\mathbb{F}^{(E)}$ adapted stochastic process $X^*: [0,\infty) \times D_E[0,\infty) \to E'$ such that

$$X(t,\omega) = X^*(t,\xi(\omega)), \qquad (1.1)$$

for all $(t, \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$ (cf. the commutative diagram (1.2)).

$$[0,\infty) \times \Omega$$

$$id_{[0,\infty)} \times \tilde{\xi} \qquad (1.2)$$

$$[0,\infty) \times D_E[0,\infty) \xrightarrow{\exists X^*} E'$$

Rewriting (1.1) as

$$X_t = X_t^* \circ \xi \,,$$

for all $t \geq 0$, shows that the stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is extendable to the Skorohod space $D_E[0,\infty)$ via the universal map $\tilde{\xi}$, basically. We emphasize that the extension to $D_E[0,\infty)$ is not unique, in general, while we can always extend to the same space $D_E[0,\infty)$, irrespective of the stochastic process ξ .

Moreover, it will be shown for special function classes C that, if the sample paths of X belong to C, then the sample paths of the extension X^* can be assumed to be in class C, too. If C denotes the class of continuous maps, then the result is already known [YZ99, Thm. 1.2.10]. We present a proof for the classes C of continuous functions, non-decreasing functions and functions of bounded variation, resp. (cf. cor. 4.16).

The result of the factorization theorem can easily be verified if E is a countable discrete space. The present paper points out that the assertion still holds if the model states live in, e.g., a separable Banach space or a differentiable manifold.

In an application, we show how the factorization theorem is the starting point of a proof technique reducing to the case that the underlying measurable space is a standard Borel space. After this reduction step is performed, the rich topological structure of standard Borel spaces implies nice properties reflected in the measurable structure, e.g. the existence of regular conditional probabilities with respect to a given sub- σ -algebra. The given example appears in the analysis of optimal stochastic control problems.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we gather preliminary results on topological spaces and we recall and derive some properties of standard Borel spaces. In section 3, the notion of filtrated Borel spaces is introduced, and we generalize the Doob-Dynkin lemma to filtrated Borel spaces. In section 4, we introduce a natural filtration $\mathbb{F}^{(E)} = \{\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}\}_{t\geq 0}$ on the Skorohod space $D_E[0,\infty)$. In the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$, the information is coded to know the course of the mappings in $D_E[0,\infty)$ up to time t. $\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$ emerges as the generic prototype of the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}_{t\geq 0}$ induced by any stochastic RCLL process ξ with values in E (prop. 4.3). The proof of the main result of this paper uses the well known Doob-Dynkin extension lemma and its generalization to filtrated Borel spaces developed in section 3. In section 5, we show how to use the factorization theorem to reduce to the case that the underlying measurable space is a standard Borel space. The existence theorem of regular conditional probabilities on standard Borel spaces yields the simplification of a conditional expectation which reminds of the strong Markov property of Itô diffusions (cf., e.g., [Øks00, Thm. 7.1.2]).

1.1. Notation. We fix the following notational conventions used throughout this article. For a topological space E, the Borel algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing the open sets of E. A measurable map between two measurable spaces is called a Borel isomorphism iff it is bijective and its inverse is measurable. If $f: \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a map from a set Ω to a measurable space (Ω', \mathcal{F}') , then $\sigma(f)$ denotes the smallest σ -algebra on Ω such that f is $\sigma(f)/\mathcal{F}'$ -measurable. For a map $X: [0,\infty) \times \Omega \to \Omega', \mathcal{F}_t^X$ is the σ -algebra on Ω generated by $\sigma(X_s), s \leq t$. If $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, {\mathcal{F}_t}_{t>0})$ is a filtrated measurable space, i.e. (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a measurable space together with a family of sub- σ -algebras \mathcal{F}_t of \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{F}_s \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t$, s < t, then a stochastic process $X: [0,\infty) \times \Omega \to E$ with values in a metric space E is called $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ adapted resp. $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -progressively measurable iff, for every $t \geq 0, X_t$ is $\mathcal{F}_t/\mathcal{B}(E)$ measurable resp. the induced map $[0,t] \times \Omega \to E$ is $\mathcal{B}([0,t]) \otimes \mathcal{F}_t/\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable. For a measurable map $f: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (\Omega', \mathcal{F}')$, the push forward measure f_*P on (Ω', \mathcal{F}') of a measure P on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is defined by $P \circ f^{-1}$. A map x from $[0, \infty)$ to a metric space E is called RCLL iff x is right continuous and has left limits. For a set A, the identity map on A is denoted by id_A and the indicator function of A by 1_A . The notions of trajectories and sample paths of a stochastic process are used interchangeably.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we present results which are applied in the sequel.

2.1. Results on topological spaces.

Lemma 2.1. Let E be a topological Hausdorff space equipped with the Borel algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $f: E \to E$ a measurable map with $f \circ f = f$. Then the set of fixpoints of f

$$\operatorname{Fix}(f) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ e \in E \,|\, f(e) = e \}$$

is measurable.

Proof. Since E is Hausdorff, the diagonal $\Delta_E = \{(e, e) \in E^2 | e \in E\}$ is a closed, hence a measurable, subset of E^2 . The equation $\operatorname{Fix}(f) = (f, \operatorname{id}_E)^{-1}(\Delta_E)$ together with the measurable map $(f, \operatorname{id}_E) : E \to E^2$ yields the claim. \Box

Lemma 2.2. Let $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$, i = 1, 2, be measurable spaces, E a topological Hausdorff space and $f_i : \Omega_i \to E$, i = 1, 2, measurable maps. Then

$$\Omega_1 \times_E \Omega_2 = \{ (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 | f_1(\omega_1) = f_2(\omega_2) \}$$
(2.1)

is a measurable subset of $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$.

Proof. Since E is Hausdorff, the diagonal $\Delta_E = \{(e, e) \in E^2 | e \in E\}$ is a closed, hence Borel measurable, subset of E^2 . The map $f_1 \times f_2 : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \to E^2$ is measurable. Then the equation $\Omega_1 \times_E \Omega_2 = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1} (\Delta_E)$ yields the claim. \Box

Lemma 2.3. Let E be a topological space, equipped with the Borel algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$, and A a non-void subset of E equipped with the relative topology of E. Define the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}^{(A)} = \sigma(\mathcal{B}(A))$ on E. Then:

(i) The Borel algebra B(A) of A, equipped with the relative topology of E, coincides with the trace-σ-algebra of B(E) on A:

$$\mathcal{B}(A) = A \cap \mathcal{B}(E) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{A \cap B | B \in \mathcal{B}(E)\}$$

- (ii) If (Ω, F) is a measurable space and f : Ω → E a map with f(Ω) ⊂ A, then f is F/B(E)-measurable iff the induced map f̃ : Ω → A is F/B(A)measurable iff f is F/F^(A)-measurable.
- (iii) The inclusion $i_A : (A, \mathcal{B}(A)) \hookrightarrow (E, \mathcal{F}^{(A)})$ is measurable.
- Remark 2.4. Note that $\mathcal{B}(A)$ is a σ -algebra on E iff A = E.

2.2. **Standard Borel spaces.** We recall the definition of a standard Borel space. [Par67] represents a general account on this topic providing the properties of standard Borel spaces we will apply in this paper.

Definition 2.5. A measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is called *standard Borel space* iff (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is Borel isomorphic to a complete separable metric space $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$.

Lemma 2.6. Let E be a standard Borel space and A a measurable subset of E. Then the topological subspace A of E is a standard Borel space.

Proof. [Par67, Thm V.2.2].

Lemma 2.7. Let $\{E_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a family of standard Borel spaces with a family of surjective measurable maps $\{\theta_{st} : E_t \to E_s\}_{0\leq s< t}$ with $\theta_{t_1t_3} = \theta_{t_2t_3} \circ \theta_{t_1t_2}$ for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3$. Then the projective limit $\lim_{\leftarrow} E_t$ of $(\{E_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \{\theta_{st}\}_{s< t})$ exists in the category of measurable spaces (together with measurable maps as morphisms).

Proof. If we restrict the index set to \mathbb{N} , the projective limit $\lim E_n$ exists in the category of measurable spaces [Par67, Thm. V.2.5], which we denote by E'. Then it is easy to show that E' fulfills the universal property of $\lim E_t$ which completes the proof.

3. FILTRATED BOREL SPACES

3.1. Definition of filtrated Borel spaces.

Definition 3.1. A triple $(E, \mathcal{F}, \{\theta_t\}_{t>0})$ is said to be a *filtrated Borel space* iff (E,\mathcal{F}) is a standard Borel space and $\{\theta_t: E \to E\}_{t>0}$ is a family of measurable maps satisfying the condition

$$\theta_t \circ \theta_s = \theta_{s \wedge t} \,, \quad s, t \ge 0 \,. \tag{3.1}$$

An example of filtrated Borel spaces is given by $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ with $\theta_t(s) = s \wedge t$ (t > 0). This paper strives for an analysis of Skorohod spaces as filtrated Borel spaces. We come back to this problem in section 4.


Let $(E, \mathcal{F}, \{\theta_t\}_{t>0})$ be a filtrated Borel space. The fixpoint set

$$E_t = \operatorname{Fix}(\theta_t) = \{ e \in E | \theta_t(e) = e \}, \quad t \ge 0$$

is measurable in E (lemma 2.1). We equip E_t with the relative topology of E, such that it becomes a standard Borel space (lemma 2.6). The family $\{\theta_t\}_{t>0}$ induces surjective measurable maps $\tilde{\theta}_t : E \to E_t, t \ge 0$, with $\tilde{\theta}_s = \theta_{st} \circ \tilde{\theta}_t, s < t$, where the measurable maps $\theta_{st} : E_t \to E_s, s < t$, induced by $\tilde{\theta}_s$ are surjective (lemma 2.3). Using $\{\theta_t\}_{t\ge 0}$, we define a family $\mathbb{F}^{(E)} = \{\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}\}_{t\ge 0}$ of σ -sub-algebras of \mathcal{F} by

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)} = \mathcal{F}^{(E_t)} = \sigma(\mathcal{B}(E_t)), \quad t \ge 0$$

(cf. lemma 2.3.iii). We emphasize that $\mathcal{B}(E_t)$ is not a σ -algebra on E, unless $E_t = E$ which is equivalent to $\theta_t = \mathrm{id}_E$. Since (3.1) implies that E_s is a subset of E_t , for s < t, we have $\mathcal{B}(E_s) = E_s \cap \mathcal{B}(E_t)$ and, consequently, $\mathcal{F}_s^{(E)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$. So $\mathbb{F}^{(E)}$ is a filtration on E. This fact motivates the notion fixed in definition 3.1. The projective limit $\lim E_t$ of $(\{E_t\}_{t>0}, \{\theta_{st}\}_{s< t})$ exists (lemma 2.7), and there is a unique measurable map θ_E : $E \rightarrow \lim E_t$ such that the following diagram is commutative, for any s < t:



Definition 3.2. A filtrated Borel space $(E, \mathcal{F}, \{\theta_t\}_{t\geq 0})$ is said to be *complete* iff the natural measurable map θ_E is bijective.

If a filtrated Borel space $(E, \mathcal{F}, \{\theta_t\}_{t\geq 0})$ is complete, θ_E is a Borel isomorphism, by Kuratowski's theorem [Par67, Cor. I.3.3]. Note that, in general, the inclusion $\cup_{t\geq 0} E_t \subseteq E$ is strict, even if $(E, \mathcal{F}, \{\theta_t\}_{t\geq 0})$ is supposed to be complete.

3.2. The Doob-Dynkin lemma on filtrated Borel spaces.

Lemma 3.3 (Doob-Dynkin: version I). Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , (Ω', \mathcal{F}') be measurable spaces and E a standard Borel space. Given any measurable maps $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ and $g : \Omega \to E$, there exists a measurable map $h : \Omega' \to E$ with $h \circ f = g$ iff the following condition is satisfied:


$$\sigma(g) \subseteq \sigma(f) \,.$$

Proof. [YZ99, Thm. 1.1.7].

Lemma 3.4 (Doob-Dynkin: version II). Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and $E, E_i, i = 1, 2, standard Borel spaces. Given measurable maps <math>f_i : \Omega \to E_i$ and $g_i : E_i \to E, i = 1, 2, with g_1 \circ f_1 = g_2 \circ f_2$, there exists a measurable map $h: E_1 \to E_2$ with $h \circ f_1 = f_2$ and $g_2 \circ h = g_1$ iff the following condition is satisfied:

$$\sigma(f_2) \subseteq \sigma(f_1) \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 3.5. We depict the situation of lemma 3.4 in diagram (3.3) which can be completed by an arrow h representing a measurable map such that the resulting triangles become commutative.



Proof. The existence of h clearly implies condition (3.2). We show that it is sufficient, too. The subspace $E_1 \times_E E_2$ of $E_1 \times E_2$ defined in (2.1) is measurable (lemma 2.2), hence a standard Borel space with the relative topology of $E_1 \times E_2$ (lemma 2.6). The induced map $(f_1, f_2) : \Omega \to E_1 \times_E E_2$, $\omega \mapsto (f_1(\omega), f_2(\omega))$ is measurable (lemma 2.3.ii). We claim that it is sufficient to construct a measurable map $\tilde{h} : E_1 \to E_1 \times_E E_2$ with $\tilde{h} \circ f_1 = (f_1, f_2)$. Indeed, in this case $h = \operatorname{pr}_{E_2} \circ \tilde{h}$ solves our problem, where $\operatorname{pr}_{E_2} : E_1 \times_E E_2 \to E_2$ denotes the natural measurable projection.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Omega \\
f_1 \\
F_1 \\
E_1 \\
E_1 \\
E_1 \\
E_1 \\
E_1 \\
E_2 \\
F_{E_2} \\
E_2
\end{array}$$
(3.4)

So, we can assume to be in the situation depicted in diagram (3.4). Recalling that $E_1 \times_E E_2$ is a standard Borel space, version I of the Doob-Dynkin lemma (lemma 3.3) provides the existence of a measurable map \tilde{h} such that the left triangle in diagram (3.4) commutes if $\sigma((f_1, f_2)) \subseteq \sigma(f_1)$. The latter condition is implied by the assumed relation $\sigma(f_2) \subseteq \sigma(f_1)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.6 (Doob-Dynkin: version on filtrated Borel spaces). Let (Ω, \mathcal{G}) be a measurable space and $(E, \mathcal{F}, \{\theta_t\}_{t\geq 0})$, $(E', \mathcal{F}', \{\theta'_t\}_{t\geq 0})$ filtrated Borel spaces with the induced filtrations $\mathbb{F}^{(E)}$ resp. $\mathbb{F}^{(E')}$. Moreover, assume that

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)} = \widetilde{\theta_t}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(E_t)) \quad and \quad \widetilde{\theta_t'}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(E_t')) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t^{(E')}, \tag{3.5}$$

for any $t \ge 0$, and that $(E', \mathcal{F}', \{\theta'_t\}_{t\ge 0})$ is complete.

Then for any given measurable maps $f : \Omega \to E, f' : \Omega \to E'$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $g^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E')}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}), \text{ for each } t \ge 0;$
- (ii) there exists a map $h: E \to E'$ with $h \circ f = g$ such that $h^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E')}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$, for each $t \ge 0$.

Remark 3.7. The latter condition of (ii) is equivalent to the measurability of h: $(E, \mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}) \to (E', \mathcal{F}_t^{(E')})$, for each $t \ge 0$.

Proof. Since it is clear that (ii) is sufficient for (i), we assume that condition (i) holds and perform the proof of (ii) in three steps. In the first step we fix $t \ge 0$. By assumption (3.5), the composed maps $f_t : (\Omega, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{f} (E, \mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_t} (E_t, \mathcal{B}(E_t))$ and $g_t : (\Omega, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{g} (E', \mathcal{F}_t^{(E')}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}'_t} (E'_t, \mathcal{B}(E'_t))$ are measurable. Now we want to construct a measurable map $h_t : (E_t, \mathcal{B}(E_t)) \to (E'_t, \mathcal{B}(E'_t))$ with $h_t \circ f_t = g_t$ (cf. diagram 3.7). Noting that E'_t is a standard Borel space, we need only to verify the condition

$$g_t^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(E_t')) \subseteq f_t^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(E_t)), \qquad (3.6)$$

by version I of the Doob-Dynkin lemma (lemma 3.3). But this condition is clearly implied by the assumptions (3.5) and (i).

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$$

$$\downarrow f_t$$

$$(E_t, \mathcal{B}(E_t)) \xrightarrow{\exists h_t} (E'_t, \mathcal{B}(E'_t))$$

$$(3.7)$$

In the second step, we fix $s, t \ge 0$ with s < t. We assume that there exist measurable maps represented by solid arrows in the following commutative diagram.

We want to construct an arrow h_t (represented by the dotted arrow) such that the resulting triangle and square become commutative. Again, condition (3.6) holds, such that version II of the Doob-Dynkin lemma 3.4 provides us with a measurable map $h_t : (E_t, \mathcal{B}(E_t)) \to (E'_t, \mathcal{B}(E'_t))$ with the desired properties.

In the third and last step, we build up a measurable map $h : E \to E'$ with the required properties using the first two steps and the universal property of the

inverse limit. Since $(E', \mathcal{F}', \{\theta'_t\})$ is assumed to be complete, E' and $\lim_{\leftarrow} E'_t$ are Borel isomorphic. The latter is naturally Borel isomorphic to $\lim_{\leftarrow} E'_n$ (cf. proof of lemma 2.7), such that we can consider the following diagram (3.8) with, at first, solid arrows only. Going from the right to the left in diagram (3.8), we find completing dotted arrows representing measurable maps to get a fully commutative diagram as follows.

We build a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ of measurable maps $h_n: E_n \to E'_n$ with $(a)_n h_{n-1} \circ \theta_{n-1,n} = \theta'_{n-1,n} \circ h_n$, for $n \geq 2$, and $(b)_n h_n \circ \tilde{\theta}_n \circ f = \tilde{\theta}'_n \circ g$, for $n \geq 1$. To see this, we apply the first step of the proof to establish $(b)_1$ and define $h_n, n \geq 1$, inductively with the second step for $(a)_n$ and $(b)_n, n \geq 2$. Then by the universal property of the inverse limit of $\{E'_n\}$, the sequence $\{h_n \circ \tilde{\theta}_n : E \to E'_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ induces a unique measurable map $h: E \to \lim_{\leftarrow} E'_n \simeq E'$ with the desired properties. Thus, the proof is completed.

4. The factorization theorem of Skorohod spaces

4.1. The Skorohod space $D_E[0,\infty)$. Let E be a separable complete metric space. We denote the Skorohod space of E by $D_E[0,\infty)$ which is defined as the set of all maps $x: [0,\infty) \to E$ which are continuous on the right and have limits on the left (RCLL).

 $D_E[0,\infty)$ becomes a complete separable metrizable space such that the Borel algebra $\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,\infty))$ is generated by all evaluating projections $\pi_t : D_E[0,\infty) \to E, x \mapsto x(t) \ (t \ge 0)$ [EK86, Thm. 3.5.6, Prop. 3.7.1]. This implies a useful criterion of measurability.

Lemma 4.1. If (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a measurable space, a map $f : \Omega \to D_E[0, \infty)$ is measurable iff the composition $\pi_t \circ f : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ is measurable, for any $t \ge 0$.

For $t \geq 0$, we consider the map

ſ

$$\theta_t: D_E[0,\infty) \to D_E[0,\infty), x \mapsto (x(.\wedge t): s \mapsto x(s \wedge t))$$

which truncates a map x at t and extends it constantly on $[t, \infty)$. It holds $\theta_t \circ \theta_s = \theta_{s \wedge t}$ $(s, t \geq 0)$. θ_t is measurable, applying lemma 4.1 and the fact that $\pi_s \circ \theta_t = \pi_{t \wedge s}$ is measurable, for all $s, t \geq 0$. Then

$$(D_E[0,\infty), \mathcal{B}(D_E[0,\infty)), \{\theta_t\}_{t>0})$$

is a filtrated Borel space in the sense of section 3. Let $D_E[0, t]$ denote the fixpoint set $Fix(\theta_t)$ of θ_t . $D_E[0, t]$ is a measurable subset of $D_E[0, \infty)$ (lemma 2.1). We have

$$D_E[0,t] = \{ x \in D_E[0,\infty) | x(s) = x(s \wedge t), \forall s \ge 0 \}.$$

A map $x : [0, \infty) \to E$ is contained in $D_E[0, t]$ iff x is RCLL and constant on $[t, \infty)$. In other words, we can naturally identify $D_E[0, t]$ with RCLL functions x on [0, t] with values in E. As defined in section 3, the family $\{\theta_t\}$ induces a filtration $\mathbb{F}^{(E)} = \{\mathcal{F}^{(E)}_t\}$ on $D_E[0,\infty)$ with $\mathcal{F}^{(E)}_t = \sigma(\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,t])).$

Lemma 4.2. Let E be a complete measurable space. Then it holds, for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,t]) = \sigma(\{\pi_s|_{D_E[0,t]} | s \le t\})$$
(4.1)

and

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)} = \widetilde{\theta_t}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,t])) = \sigma(\{\pi_s | s \le t\}).$$
(4.2)

In particular, the map

$$\widetilde{\theta_t}: (D_E[0,\infty), \mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}) \to (D_E[0,t], \mathcal{B}(D_E[0,t]))$$
(4.3)

is measurable.

Proof. Since (4.2) follows from (4.1), by lemma 4.1, and (4.3) from (4.2), we are reduced to show (4.1) which follows from the fact that $\{\pi_t | t \geq 0\}$ generates $\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,\infty))$ and $\pi_s^{-1}(B) \cap D_E[0,t] = \pi_t^{-1}(B) \cap D_E[0,t]$ for $s \geq t, B \subseteq E$. \Box

4.2. On stochastic processes with RCLL trajectories. The filtrated measurable space

$$(D_E[0,\infty),\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,\infty)),\mathbb{F}^{(E)})$$

features universal properties which we approach in the remaining parts of this section.

First, we fix some notation. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and E a metric space. Given any stochastic process $\xi : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E$ with RCLL trajectories in E, we define the associated map

$$\widetilde{\xi}:\Omega\to D_E[0,\infty)\,,\quad\omega\mapsto\xi(.,\omega)\,.$$

In the sequel, we will use the representations ξ , $\tilde{\xi}$ and $\{\xi_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ for a stochastic process interchangeably and analyze their common properties.

Proposition 4.3 (Generating property of $\mathbb{F}^{(E)}$). Let E be a complete separable metric space, (Ω, \mathcal{F}) a measurable space and $\xi : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E$ a stochastic process with RCLL trajectories. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi} = \widetilde{\xi}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}) \quad (t \ge 0).$$

$$(4.4)$$

Proof. Due to $\xi_s = \pi_s \circ \widetilde{\xi}$, it holds $\xi_s^{-1}(B) = \widetilde{\xi}^{-1}(\pi_s^{-1}(B))$ for any subset $B \subset E$, $s \geq 0$. By (4.1), the generating system $\{\xi_s^{-1}(B) | s \leq t, B \in \mathcal{B}(E)\}$ of \mathcal{F}_t^{ξ} generates $\widetilde{\xi}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)})$, too, which implies the claim.

Lemma 4.4. Let T > 0, (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and E be a complete separable metric space. For any stochastic process $\xi : [0,T] \times \Omega \rightarrow E$ with RCLL trajectories, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) ξ is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,T])$ -measurable;
- (ii) ξ_t is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable, for each $t \in [0, T]$;
- (iii) ξ is $\mathcal{B}[0,T] \otimes \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable.

Proof. The two facts that we have $\xi_t = \pi_t \circ \tilde{\xi}$ and that the Borel algebra of $D_E[0,T]$ is generated by $\{\pi_t|_{D_E[0,T]} | t \in [0,T]\}$ (lemma 4.2) imply the equivalence of (i) and (ii). The assumption that ξ has right continuous trajectories yields the implication from (ii) to (iii), by [KS97, Prop. 1.13]. Note that the reference provides the proof for this standard result if $E = \mathbb{R}^n$. The argument given therein can be immediately

generalized to any complete metric space E as it is. The reverse implication is trivial. So, we have shown the equivalence of all stated conditions.

Lemma 4.5. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0})$ be a filtrated measurable space, E a complete separable metric space and $\xi : [0,\infty) \times \Omega \to E$ a stochastic process with RCLL trajectories. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) ξ is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ -progressively measurable; (ii) for any $t \geq 0$, $[0,t] \times \Omega \to E$, $(s,\omega) \mapsto \xi(s,\omega)$ is $\mathcal{B}([0,t]) \otimes \mathcal{F}_t/\mathcal{B}(E)$ measurable;
- (iii) for any $t \ge 0$, $\Omega \to D_E[0,t]$, $\omega \mapsto \xi(.,\omega)|[0,t]$ is $\mathcal{F}_t/\mathcal{B}(D_E[0,t])$ -measurable; (iv) for any $t \ge 0$, $\Omega \to D_E[0,\infty)$, $\omega \mapsto \xi(.,\omega)|[0,t]$ is $\mathcal{F}_t/\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$ -measurable.

Remark 4.6. Note that the set-theoretic maps in (iii) and (iv) coincide with $\tilde{\theta}_t \circ \tilde{\xi}$ and $\theta_t \circ \xi$, respectively.

Proof. The stated equivalence follows from lemma 4.4 and the fact that the measurable map $\widetilde{\xi}: \Omega \to D_E[0,\infty)$ having values in $D_E[0,t]$ factorizes through the $\mathcal{F}_t/\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$ -measurable inclusion $i_t: D_E[0,t] \hookrightarrow D_E[0,\infty)$ (cf. lemma 2.3).

Proposition 4.7. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and E a complete separable metric space. Then

$$\Omega_E^* \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} D_E[0,\infty) \quad and \quad E^* \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [0,\infty) \times D_E[0,\infty).$$

are complete separable metric spaces and the stochastic process

$$\xi^*: [0,\infty) \times \Omega^*_E \to E^*, (t,x) \mapsto (t,\theta_t(x))$$

has RCLL trajectories. It holds, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\widetilde{\xi^*}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E^*)}) = \mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}.$$
(4.5)

Remark 4.8. Note that $[0,\infty)$ is equipped with the family $\theta_t(s) = s \wedge t \ (s,t > 0)$ to establish a filtrated Borel space structure on the product space E^* .

Proof. Since $D_E[0,\infty)$ is a complete separable metric space, so are Ω_E^* and E^* . Let denote d^* a complete metric on $\Omega_E^* = D_E[0,\infty)$ (cf. [EK86, Chap. 3.5] for details on d^*). Now, we show that ξ^* has RCLL trajectories in E^* . For this purpose, it suffices to prove that $t \mapsto \theta_t(x)$ is right-continuous and has left limits, for any fixed $x \in D_E[0,\infty)$. By right-continuity of x, $\theta_{t_n} x$ converges uniformly to $\theta_t(x)$, as $t_n \downarrow t$, which implies that $\theta_{t_n}(x)$ converges to $\theta_t(x)$ under the metric d^* . Similarly, it can be shown that $\theta_{t_n} x$ converges uniformly to $x^{(t)} = x \mathbf{1}_{[0,t)} + x(t-) \mathbf{1}_{[t,\infty)}$ with $x(t-) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x(s)$ in $D_E[0,\infty)$, as $t_n \uparrow t$, hence $\theta_{t_n} x \to \theta_t x$ under d^* . This implies that $t \mapsto \theta_t x$ is RCLL. Next, we prove relation (4.5). By prop. 4.3, we have $\widetilde{\xi^*}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E^*)}) = \mathcal{F}_t^{\xi^*}$, such that we are reduced to show

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi^*} = \mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$$

for all $t \ge 0$. To see this, we present a common generating system of the two given σ -algebras by

$$\{\xi_s^* | s \le t\} = \{\theta_s | s \le t\} \stackrel{\text{lem.4.1}}{=} \{\pi_{s'} \circ \theta_s | s' \le s \le t\} = \{\pi_s | s \le t\},\$$

where we used $\xi_s^*(x) = (s, \theta_s(x))$ and $\pi_{s'} \circ \theta_s = \pi_{s'}$, for $s' \leq s$. This completes the proof. 4.3. The factorization theorem. After the preparation work, we are ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.9. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space, E a complete separable metric space. Define the standard Borel space $\Omega_E^* = D_E[0, \infty)$. Then the filtrated measurable space

$$(\Omega_E^*, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_E^*), \{\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}\}_{t\geq 0})$$

is universal in the following sense:

Let $\xi : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E$ be a stochastic process with RCLL trajectories inducing the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}_{t\geq 0}$ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and the map $\tilde{\xi} : \Omega \to \Omega_E^*$. Then for any separable metric space E' and any $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}_{t\geq 0}$ -progressively measurable stochastic process X : $[0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E'$ with RCLL trajectories, there exists an $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}\}_{t\geq 0}$ -progressively measurable stochastic process $X^* : [0, \infty) \times \Omega_E^* \to E'$ with RCLL trajectories such that the following diagram

$$[0,\infty) \times \Omega$$

$$[0,\infty) \times \tilde{\xi} \bigvee X$$

$$[0,\infty) \times \Omega_E^* \cdots \to E'$$


$$(4.6)$$

is commutative, i.e. it holds, for $(t,\omega) \in [0,\infty) \times \Omega$,

$$X(t, \omega) = X^{*}(t, \xi(\omega)) = X^{*}(t, \xi(., \omega)).$$

Remark 4.10. Note that thm. 4.9 does not claim that X^* in diagram (4.6) is uniquely determined. But the theorem guarantees that the Skorohod space $\Omega_E^* = D_E[0,\infty)$ provides enough information about any stochastic process with values in E such that any process X with values in any other separable metric space E' can be extended from Ω to Ω_E^* .

Proof. To start with, we note that we can assume E' to be complete, without loss of generality, by taking the completion of E' if necessary. We will apply the version of the Doob-Dynkin lemma for filtrated Borel spaces (prop. 3.6). By prop. 4.7, ξ^* has RCLL trajectories and induces the well-defined map $\tilde{\xi}^* : \Omega_E^* \to D_{E^*}[0,\infty)$. Define $\xi^{\#} = \tilde{\xi}^* \circ \tilde{\xi} : \Omega \to \Omega_E^* \to D_{E^*}[0,\infty)$. We consider the following diagram (4.7) with, at first, solid arrows only.



By assumption and lemma 4.5, it holds

$$\widetilde{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E')}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}$$

and

$$\xi^{\#^{-1}}(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(E^{*})}) = \tilde{\xi}^{-1}(\tilde{\xi^{*}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(E^{*})})) \stackrel{(4.5)}{=} \tilde{\xi}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{(E)}) \stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \mathcal{F}_{t}^{\xi}$$

for all $t \ge 0$, implying the Doob-Dynkin condition

$$\widetilde{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E')}) \subseteq \xi^{\#^{-1}}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E^*)}),$$

for any $t \ge 0$. Since the additional condition (3.5) of thm. 3.6 is given by lemma 4.2, we have verified all assumptions of thm. 3.6 such that there exists a map $Y: D_{E^*}[0,\infty) \to D_{E'}[0,\infty)$ with $Y \circ \xi^{\#} = X$ and

$$Y^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t^{(E')}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t^{(E^*)}, \qquad (4.8)$$

for all $t \ge 0$. Then

$$X^*: [0,\infty) \times \Omega^*_E \to E', (t,x) \mapsto (Y \circ \overline{\xi})(x)(t)$$

defines a stochastic process with $X(t, \omega) = X^*(t, \tilde{\xi}(\omega))$ which is $\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}$ -progressively measurable, by lemma 4.5, (4.5) and (4.8). This completes the proof of the theorem.

We want to clarify the importance of thm. 4.9 in terms of universal mappings.

Definition 4.11. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\})$ be a filtrated measurable space and E' a metric space. Then

$$D(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}; E')$$

denotes the set of all $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -progressively measurable stochastic processes $X : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E'$ with RCLL trajectories.

Remark 4.12. Note that a stochastic process $X[0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E'$ with RCLL trajectories is in $D(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}; E')$ iff X is $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted, by [KS97, Prop. 1.1.13].

Corollary 4.13. In the situation of thm. 4.9, the map

$$\begin{cases} D(\Omega_E^*, \{\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}\}; E') & \longrightarrow & D(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}; E') \\ X^* & \longmapsto & X^* \circ (\operatorname{id}_{[0,\infty)} \times \widetilde{\xi}) \end{cases}$$

induced by the universal stochastic process

$$\operatorname{id}_{[0,\infty)} \times \overline{\xi} : [0,\infty) \times \Omega \to [0,\infty) \times D_E[0,\infty)$$

with RCLL trajectories associated with ξ is a surjection.

Proposition 4.14. Let $M[0,\infty)$ resp. $BV[0,\infty)$ denote the set of functions in $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$ which are non-decreasing resp. of bounded variation. Then $M[0,\infty)$ and $BV[0,\infty)$ are measurable in $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$. Moreover, under the filtration structure $\{\theta_t^{(E)}\}_{t\geq 0}$ inherited from $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$, $M[0,\infty)$ and $BV[0,\infty)$ become complete filtrated Borel spaces.

Proof. Firstly, we proof that $M[0,\infty)$ is measurable in $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$. Define $I = \{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} | s < t\}$. The set

$$A(s,t) = \{ x \in D_E[0,\infty) | x(s) \le x(t) \} \quad ((s,t) \in I)$$

is measurable. Indeed, note that the set $B = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | a \leq b\}$ is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , the product map $\pi_s \times \pi_t : D_{\mathbb{R}}[0, \infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ resp. the diagonal map $\Delta : D_{\mathbb{R}}[0, \infty) \to D_{\mathbb{R}}[0, \infty)^2$, $x \mapsto (x, x)$ are measurable and it holds

$$A(s,t) = \left((\pi_s \times \pi_t) \circ \Delta \right)^{-1}(B) \,.$$

We have

$$M[0,\infty) = \bigcap_{(s,t)\in I} A(s,t) = \bigcap_{(p,q)\in I\cap \mathbb{Q}^2} A(p,q) \,,$$

where the second equality is implied by the right continuity of any function x in $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$, such that we can represent $M[0,\infty)$ as a countable intersection of measurable sets, hence $M[0,\infty)$ is measurable in $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$.

Secondly, we show the measurability assertion on $BV[0,\infty)$. Define $M[0,\infty)_0$ to be the set of functions $x \in M[0,\infty)$ with x(0) = 0. $M[0,\infty)_0$ is measurable, since $M[0,\infty)_0 = M[0,\infty) \cap \pi_0^{-1}(\{0\})$, hence a standard Borel space, by the first step and lemma 2.6. Now, we apply the well known fact that any function $x \in BV[0,\infty)$ can be uniquely decomposed in $x = a + x_1 - x_2$ with $x_1, x_2 \in M[0,\infty)_0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$\Phi: M[0,\infty)_0^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to BV[0,\infty), (x_1,x_2,a) \mapsto a + x_1 - x_2$$

is a bijection (see, e.g., [MS04, Satz 14.4]). Φ is a measurable map considered as a map to $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$, by lemma 4.1. By [Par67, Thm. I.3.9], the image of Φ is measurable in $D_{\mathbb{R}}[0,\infty)$.

As $\theta_t^{(E)}$ leaves both $M[0,\infty)$ and $BV[0,\infty)$ invariant, the additional claim on the completeness as standard Borel spaces is easily verified, since, for any function x, the defining properties of $M[0,\infty)$ and $BV[0,\infty)$ can be checked on the compact intervals $[0,t], t \geq 0$.

Lemma 4.15. Let E be a complete separable metric space. Then the space $C_E[0,\infty)$ of continuous maps $x : [0,\infty) \to E$ with the metric of uniform convergence is a closed subspace of $D_E[0,\infty)$ with the relative topology. Moreover, together with the filtration structure $\{\theta_t^{(E)}\}_{t\geq 0}$ inherited from $D_E[0,\infty)$, $C_E[0,\infty)$ becomes a complete filtrated Borel space.

Proof. [Par67, Lemma VII.6.8].

Corollary 4.16. Suppose that the situation of thm. 4.9 holds and let E be a complete separable metric space. Then, if the trajectories of X belong to $M[0,\infty)$, $BV[0,\infty)$ and $C_E[0,\infty)$, resp., the trajectories of X^* can be assumed to have the same property.

The proof follows immediately with thm. 4.9, prop. 4.14 and lemma 4.15. \Box

5. An application of the factorization theorem

We want to show an application of thm. 4.9 resp. cor. 4.16 providing the comfortable situation to assume that the underlying probability space is a standard Borel space. This justifies the technical work we have gone through in the previous sections.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\xi : \Omega \to \Omega'$ be a measurable map from the measure space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) to the measurable space (Ω', \mathcal{F}') and \mathcal{G}' a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{F}' . Define $\mathcal{G} = \xi^{-1}(\mathcal{G}')$. If $f : \Omega' \to \mathbb{R}$ is an $\mathcal{F}'/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable map, then it holds

$$\mathbb{E}^{\xi_* P}[f|\mathcal{G}'] \circ \xi = \mathbb{E}^P[f \circ \xi|\mathcal{G}] \quad P|_{\mathcal{G}} - a.s.$$
(5.1)

Proof. Checking that $\mathbb{E}^{\xi_* P}[f|\mathcal{G}'] \circ \xi$ satisfies the defining Radon-Nikodým equation of the conditional expectation of $\mathbb{E}^P[f \circ \xi|\mathcal{G}]$ gives the proof. \Box

As an example to show how the factorization theorem 4.9 works as a reduction tool, we consider a situation appearing in stochastic control problems.

Theorem 5.2. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a measure space, $\xi : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to E$ a stochastic process with RCLL trajectories in a complete separable metric space E and X:

 $[0,\infty) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ an $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}$ -progressively measurable process with RCLL trajectories of bounded variation. For the initial value problem

(S)
$$\begin{cases} dY_t = e^{-\alpha t} dX_t & ; \quad t \in [t_0, \infty) \\ Y_{t_0} = Z \end{cases}$$

with an $\mathcal{F}_{t_0}^{\xi}$ -measurable random variable $Z: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $\alpha > 0$, denote the (pathwise uniquely determined) solution by $Y_t^{(Z)}$. Then for $t \ge t_0$ and any bounded measurable map $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}^{P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{(Z)} | \mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{\xi}] = \mathbb{E}^{P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{(Z)} | \mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{\xi}]|_{z=Z}.$$
(5.2)

Remark 5.3. The solution of (S) can be represented as a pathwise Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. Then the stochastic process $Y_t^{(Z)} : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}$ -progressively measurable; this is because it has right continuous trajectories and is $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{\xi}\}$ -adapted, such that the claim follows with remark 4.12. In particular, the conditional expectations in (5.2) are well-defined.

Proof. In the first step of the proof, we show that we can confine ourselves to the case that Ω can be assumed to be a standard Borel space. To see this, we apply the basic version of the Doob-Dynkin lemma (lemma 3.3) and cor. 4.16 to extend Z and X to the standard Borel space Ω_E^* , i.e. there are an $\mathcal{F}_{t_0}^{(E)}$ -measurable random variable $Z^*: \Omega_E^* \to \mathbb{R}$ and an $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{(E)}\}$ -progressively measurable process $X^*: [0, \infty) \times \Omega_E^* \to \mathbb{R}$ with RCLL trajectories of bounded variation such that

$$Z = Z^* \circ \widetilde{\xi} \quad \text{and} \quad X_t = X_t^* \circ \widetilde{\xi},$$

for all $t \ge 0$. The induced initial value problem

$$(S^*) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{d} Y^*_t = \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha t} \mathrm{d} X^*_t & ; \quad t \in [t_0,\infty) \\ Y^*_{t_0} = Z^* \end{array} \right.$$

has a (pathwise unique) solution which is denoted by $Y_t^{*(Z^*)}$. The pathwise uniqueness of the solutions of (S) implies, for any $t \ge 0$,

$$Y_t^{(Z)} = Y_t^{*(Z^*)} \circ \widetilde{\xi} \,.$$

Thus, we get

$$\mathbb{E}^{P}[f(Y_{t}^{(Z)}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{\xi}] = \mathbb{E}^{P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{*(Z^{*})} \circ \widetilde{\xi}|\widetilde{\xi}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{(E)})] \\ \stackrel{(5.1)}{=} \mathbb{E}^{\widetilde{\xi}_{*}P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{*(Z^{*})}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{(E)}] \circ \widetilde{\xi}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}^{P}[f(Y_{t}^{(z)}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{\xi}] = \mathbb{E}^{P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{*(z)} \circ \widetilde{\xi}|\widetilde{\xi}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{(E)})] \\ \stackrel{(5.1)}{=} \mathbb{E}^{\widetilde{\xi}_{*}P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{*(z)}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{(E)}] \circ \widetilde{\xi},$$

such that, for proving (5.2), it suffices to show

$$\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\xi}_{*}P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{*(Z^{*})} | \mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{(E)}] = \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\xi}_{*}P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{*(z)} | \mathcal{F}_{t_{0}}^{(E)}] \big|_{z=Z^{*}}$$

To begin with the second step, we note that the result of the first step allows us to assume Ω to be a standard Borel space, without loss of generality. Now, we apply the fact that, on standard Borel spaces, regular conditional probabilities with respect to any sub- σ -algebra exist (cf. [KS97, Chap. 5.3.C] and the references therein, or [LFR04, Thm. 3.1], in terms of Radon spaces). Set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}_{t_0}^{\xi}$. Denote by $P(\cdot|\mathcal{G})$ the regular conditional probability on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) given \mathcal{G} . We prove (5.2) by evaluating the equation pointwise at an arbitrary argument $\omega_0 \in \Omega$. By [KS97, Thm. 5.3.18], Z is constant almost surely under the measure $P(\cdot|\mathcal{G})(\omega_0)$; more precisely we have

$$Z(\omega) = Z(\omega_0)$$
 $P(\cdot|\mathcal{G})(\omega_0)$ -almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

This yields, for any $\omega_0 \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{split} E^{P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{(Z)} | \mathcal{G}](\omega_{0}) &= E^{P(\cdot | \mathcal{G})(\omega_{0})}[f \circ Y_{t}^{(Z)}] \\ &= E^{P(\cdot | \mathcal{G})(\omega_{0})}[f \circ Y_{t}^{(Z(\omega_{0}))}] \\ &= E^{P(\cdot | \mathcal{G})(\omega_{0})}[f \circ Y_{t}^{(z)}] \big|_{z=Z(\omega_{0})} \\ &= E^{P}[f \circ Y_{t}^{(z)} | \mathcal{G}] \big|_{z=Z(\omega_{0})}(\omega_{0}) \,. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of (5.2).

References

- [EK86] Stewart N. Ethier and Thomas G. Kurtz, Markov processes: Characterization and convergence, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [IW89] Nobuyuki Ikeda and Shinzo Watanabe, Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [KS97] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven E. Shreve, Brownian motion and stochastic calculus, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1997.
- [LFR04] D. jr. Leão, M.D. Fragoso, and P.R.C. Ruffino, Regular conditional probability, disintegration of probability and Radon spaces, Proyecciones 23 (2004), no. 1, 15–29.
- [MH99] Hartmut Milbrodt and Manfred Helbig, Mathematische Methoden der Personenversicherung, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999 (German).
- [MS04] David Meintrup and Stefan Schäffler, *Stochastik, Theorie und Anwendungen*, Statistik und ihre Anwendungen, Springer, 2004 (German).
- [Nor90] Ragnar Norberg, Payment measures, interest and discounting. An axiomatic approach with applications to insurance, Scand. Actuar. J. (1990), 14-33.
- [Nor01] _____, On bonus and bonus prognoses in life insurance, Scand. Actuar. J. (2001), no. 2, 126-147.
- [Nor03] _____, The Markov chain market, Astin Bull. 33 (2003), no. 2, 265-287.
- [Øks00] Bernt Øksendal, Stochastic differential equations, 5th ed., Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2000.
- [Par67] Kalyanapuram R. Parthasarathy, Probability measures on metric spaces, Academic Press, 1967.
- [Ste01] Mogens Steffensen, On valuation and control in life and pension insurance, Ph.D. thesis, Laboratory of Actuarial Mathematics, University of Copenhagen, 2001.
- [YZ99] Jiongmin Yong and Xun Yu Zhou, Stochastic controls, Applications of mathematics, vol. 43, Springer, New York, 1999.

Department of Mathematics, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 280, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

E-mail address: Delzeith@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de