

VIRTUAL STRINGS FOR CLOSED CURVES WITH MULTIPLE COMPONENTS

WILLIAM J. SCHELLHORN

ABSTRACT. A Gauss paragraph is a combinatorial formulation of a generic closed curve with multiple components on some surface. A virtual string is a collection of circles with arrows that represent the crossings of such a curve. Every closed curve has an underlying virtual string and every virtual string has an underlying Gauss paragraph. A word-wise partition is a partition of the alphabet set of a Gauss paragraph that satisfies certain conditions with respect to the Gauss paragraph. In this paper we use the theory of virtual strings to obtain a combinatorial description of closed curves in the 2-sphere (and therefore \mathbb{R}^2) in terms of Gauss paragraphs and word-wise partitions.

CONTENTS

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Gauss Paragraphs | 2 |
| 3. Maps Related to Gauss Paragraphs | 4 |
| 4. Virtual Strings | 6 |
| 5. Closed Curves on Surfaces | 7 |
| 6. Observations and Restrictions | 9 |
| 7. Homological Intersection Form | 10 |
| 8. Statement of the Main Theorem | 20 |
| 9. Proof of the Main Theorem | 24 |
| 10. Additional Results | 27 |
| 11. Acknowledgements | 28 |
| References | 28 |

1. INTRODUCTION

A *word* in a finite alphabet set, i.e. a finite set with elements referred to as letters, is a sequence of letters up to circular permutation and choice of letters. A *Gauss paragraph* in a finite alphabet is a finite set of words in the alphabet such that each letter occurs exactly twice in the words of the set. A Gauss paragraph with only one word is called a *Gauss word* or *Gauss code*. Such words were introduced by C.F. Gauss as a combinatorial formulation of closed curves on \mathbb{R}^2 .

The concept of a virtual string was first introduced by Vladimir Turaev in [7] to describe a single copy of S^1 with distinguished ordered pairs of points, which can be represented as a set of arrows attached to the circle. We extend this definition to allow multiple copies of S^1 . Every virtual string has an underlying Gauss paragraph,

Date: March 8, 2005.

with the circles and arrows of the virtual string corresponding to the words and letters of the Gauss paragraph, respectively.

A *closed curve* with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ components on a surface Σ is a generic smooth immersion of N oriented circles into the surface Σ . Every closed curve ρ has an underlying virtual string, with the components and crossings of the closed curve corresponding to the circles and arrows of the virtual string, respectively. The Gauss paragraph of a closed curve is the Gauss paragraph of its underlying virtual string.

Let ρ be a closed curve on a surface, with crossings labeled by the elements of some finite set E . Pick a base point on each component of ρ that is not a crossing of ρ . Having selected these base points, it is relatively easy to determine the Gauss paragraph p of ρ in the alphabet E . The word of p corresponding to a given component is the sequence of letters formed in the following way: start at the base point of the component and record, in order, the labels of the crossings encountered while traversing the component according to its orientation. A Gauss paragraph p is said to be *realizable* by a closed curve on a surface Σ if there exists some closed curve ρ on the surface Σ whose Gauss paragraph is p .

Many mathematicians have questioned when a given Gauss word is realizable by a closed curve on \mathbb{R}^2 , or equivalently on the 2-sphere S^2 . Four of the most notable studies include [3], [4], [6], and [2]. In this paper we address when a Gauss paragraph is realizable by a closed curve on S^2 . We use the theory of virtual strings to obtain a combinatorial description of closed curves on the 2-sphere in terms of Gauss paragraphs and “word-wise partitions”.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss Gauss paragraphs and define the concept of a word-wise partition. Section 3 contains the definitions for several maps that are related to Gauss paragraphs. We define virtual strings on multiple copies of S^1 in Section 4, and explain how virtual strings have underlying Gauss paragraphs. In Section 5, we discuss closed curves on surfaces, explain how closed curves have underlying virtual strings, and describe how to construct a surface containing a closed curve realizing a given virtual string. In Section 6, we observe that a given virtual string may or may not give rise to a word-wise partition, and explain why it is acceptable to restrict our attention to those virtual strings that do give rise to word-wise partitions. We discuss a homological intersection pairing in Section 7 and relate the pairing to the maps defined in Section 3. Section 8 contains a statement of the main theorem and the proofs of several lemmas. These lemmas are used to prove the main theorem in Section 9. Section 10 contains some additional results about Gauss paragraphs.

2. GAUSS PARAGRAPHS

Let us impose the condition that it is not possible to partition the words of a Gauss paragraph into two sets such that the words in one set have no letters in common with the words of the other. This condition assures that a Gauss paragraph is not a “disjoint union” of other paragraphs. A letter in a word w of a Gauss paragraph is called a *single letter* of w if it occurs once in w , and a *double letter* of w if it occurs twice in w . Given a set A , denote its cardinality by $\#(A)$. Then the *length* of a word w of a Gauss paragraph is defined as

$$2(\#\{\text{double letters in } w\}) + \#\{\text{single letters in } w\}.$$

Although a Gauss paragraph is by definition a finite set of words, we will write a Gauss paragraph as a finite sequence of words when it is necessary to reference the words individually. This convention is reasonable because we will not discuss any notion of equivalence amongst Gauss paragraphs.

Throughout the remainder of this section, let p be a Gauss paragraph in an alphabet E .

Definition 2.1. A *word-wise partition* P of E with respect to p is a partition of the letters of E that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) P associates two disjoint, possibly empty subsets A and A' to every word w in p ;
- (ii) for each word w in p , the union

$$(A \cap \{\text{double letters of } w\}) \cup (A' \cap \{\text{double letters of } w\})$$

gives a bipartition of the set of double letters of w ; and

- (iii) if a word w of p has $2n$ letters in common with another word w' of p , then n of these letters appear in the two sets in P associated to w and n of them appear in the two sets in P associated to w' .

In practice, if p is written as a sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) of words, then we will express a word-wise partition P using the notation $(A_1 \cup A'_1, A_2 \cup A'_2, \dots, A_N \cup A'_N)$, where the sets A_n and A'_n are associated to the word v_n . Notice that a word-wise partition is a partition of E such that its sets satisfy the above conditions with respect to the words of p . However, it does not partition the letters in each word of p unless p has only one word, and in this case it is a bipartition of the letters in the word (it is the bipartition Turaev defined in [7]).

When we use the terms “sequence” and “subsequence”, we mean finite sequences of letters that are not considered up to circular permutation. Recall, however, that words are considered up to circular permutation. Given a word w , if we write $w = i \cdots j$ for some sequence of letters $i \cdots j$, we mean that w can be written in the form $i \cdots j$ up to circular permutation. A finite sequence x_1 of letters is called a *subsequence* of a word w if $w = x_1 x_2$ for some sequence x_2 of letters. Consequently, x_2 is also a subsequence of w . The *length* of a sequence is the number of entries in the sequence, and the length of a sequence s will be denoted by $\ell(s)$. We will use the symbol \emptyset to denote an empty sequence and define $\ell(\emptyset) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let $i \in E$ be a double letter of a word w of p . The two *p -sets* of i , denoted p_i and p'_i , are the two sets of letters in w defined as follows. Since w is considered up to circular permutation, assume it has the form $i x_1 i x_2$, with x_1 and x_2 being subsequences (possibly empty) of the word w . The two p -sets are the set of letters occurring exactly once in x_1 and the set of letters occurring exactly once in x_2 .

Note that $p_i = p'_i$ if p has only one word. Turaev denotes this set by w_i in [7], and uses the concept of interlacing to define w_i . In general, two distinct letters $i, j \in E$ in a word w of a Gauss paragraph are called *w -interlaced* if w has the form $i \cdots j \cdots i \cdots j \cdots$ up to circular permutation. Then w_i is the set of letters w -interlaced with i .

Definition 2.3. A word-wise partition P of E (with respect to p) is *compatible* with p if the following two conditions are satisfied. Suppose $i, j \in E$ are w -interlaced

in a word w of p . Then $w = ix_1jx_2ix_3jx_4$ for some (possibly empty) subsequences x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 of w . The first condition is that for any two such letters i and j ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_1\} \\ & \equiv \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_3\} \pmod{2} \\ & \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2} & \text{if } i, j \text{ appear in different subsets of } P; \\ 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } i, j \text{ appear in the same subset of } P. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $i, j \in E$ are single letters in a word w of p that appear in the union of the two subsets associated to w in P . Then $w = ix_1jx_2$ for some (possibly empty) subsequences x_1, x_2 of w . The second condition is that for any two such letters i and j ,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(x_1) & \equiv \ell(x_2) \pmod{2} \\ & \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2} & \text{if } i, j \text{ appear in different subsets of } P; \\ 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } i, j \text{ appear in the same subset of } P. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

3. MAPS RELATED TO GAUSS PARAGRAPHS

Throughout this section, let $p = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)$ be a Gauss paragraph in an alphabet set E with word-wise partition $P = (A_1 \cup A'_1, A_2 \cup A'_2, \dots, A_N \cup A'_N)$.

Notation. Given a sequence of letters $i \cdots j$, let $o(i \cdots j)$ denote the letters that occur exactly once between i and j . Given a word w of a Gauss paragraph, let $o(w)$ denote the single letters in w .

Definition 3.1. Let $a \cdots b$ and $y \cdots z$ be subsequences of a word v_n of p , where y and z are distinct single letters of v_n . Set

$$\delta_n(a \cdots b, \emptyset) = \delta_n(\emptyset, y \cdots z) = 0.$$

Define $\delta_n(a \cdots b, y \cdots z)$ as follows:

(i) if $v_n = a \cdots y \cdots b \cdots z \cdots$, set it equal to

$$\#(o(a \cdots y) \cap o(y \cdots b)) + \#(o(a \cdots y) \cap o(b \cdots z)) + \#(o(y \cdots b) \cap o(b \cdots z))$$

(ii) if $v_n = a \cdots z \cdots b \cdots y \cdots$, set it equal to

$$\#(o(a \cdots z) \cap o(y \cdots a)) + \#(o(z \cdots b) \cap o(y \cdots a)) + \#(o(z \cdots b) \cap o(a \cdots z))$$

(iii) if $v_n = a \cdots y \cdots z \cdots b \cdots$, set it equal to

$$\#(o(a \cdots y) \cap o(y \cdots z)) + \#(o(z \cdots b) \cap o(y \cdots z))$$

(iv) if $v_n = a \cdots z \cdots y \cdots b \cdots$, set it equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \#(o(a \cdots z) \cap o(b \cdots a)) + \#(o(z \cdots y) \cap o(y \cdots b)) + \#(o(z \cdots y) \cap o(b \cdots a)) \\ & + \#(o(z \cdots y) \cap o(a \cdots z)) + \#(o(y \cdots b) \cap o(b \cdots a)) \end{aligned}$$

(v) if $v_n = a \cdots b \cdots y \cdots z \cdots$, set it equal to

$$\#(o(a \cdots b) \cap o(y \cdots z))$$

(vi) if $v_n = a \cdots b \cdots z \cdots y \cdots$, set it equal to

$$\#(o(a \cdots b) \cap o(y \cdots a)) + \#(o(a \cdots b) \cap o(b \cdots z))$$

Although $y \neq z$ by hypothesis, no other pairwise distinct requirements are enforced in this definition. For example, if $a = y$ and $b = z$, then the first, second, third, and sixth cases are the same. The definition of δ_n is consistent under all such circumstances.

Definition 3.2. Let ax_1b and yx_2z be two subsequences of v_n , where y and z are distinct single letters of v_n and x_1, x_2 are subsequences of v_n . Set

$$\epsilon_n^P(ax_1b, \emptyset) = \epsilon_n^P(\emptyset, yx_2z) = 0.$$

If $a = b$ is a double letter of v_n , define $\epsilon_n^P(ax_1b, yx_2z)$ to be 1 if an odd number of the following statements is true and 0 otherwise:

- (i) $a = b$ occurs exactly once in x_2
- (ii) y occurs in x_1 and $y \notin A_n \cup A'_n$
- (iii) z occurs in x_1 and $z \in A_n \cup A'_n$

If a and b are distinct single letters of v_n , define $\epsilon_n^P(ax_1b, yx_2z)$ to be 1 if an odd number of the following statements is true and 0 otherwise:

- (i) a occurs in x_2 and $a \in A_n \cup A'_n$
- (ii) b occurs in x_2 and $b \notin A_n \cup A'_n$
- (iii) y occurs in x_1 and $y \notin A_n \cup A'_n$
- (iv) z occurs in x_1 and $z \in A_n \cup A'_n$

Although $y \neq z$ by hypothesis, no other pairwise distinct requirements are enforced in this definition.

Definition 3.3. Let $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ be a sequence consisting of subsequences of the words in p , where x_n is a subsequence of the word v_n and more than one x_n is nonempty. Let X' be the set containing the entries of X that are nonempty subsequences, and define $M = \#(X') \geq 2$. The set X is called a *cyclic sequence* associated to p if a sequence $(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_M)$ including all elements in X' can be constructed such that the first letter of x'_1 is the last letter of x'_M and the first letter of x'_m is the last letter of x'_{m-1} for $2 \leq m \leq M$. Note that the first and last letters of each subsequence in a cyclic sequence are single letters of the same word. Let \mathcal{D}_p denote the collection of all cyclic sequences associated to p .

Suppose $d = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathcal{D}_p$. Denote the sequence $x_n \in d$ by $d(n)$. Then $o(d(n))$ is the set of letters in $d(n) = x_n$ that occur exactly once between the first and last letters if it is a nonempty sequence, and the empty set otherwise. We will now define a collection of maps that involve \mathcal{D}_p . These maps will eventually be related to a homological intersection form.

Definition 3.4. Suppose i, j are distinct single letters in a word v_n of p . Define $\gamma_n^P(i, j)$ to be 0 if exactly one of the letters i, j appears in $A_n \cup A'_n$, and 1 if both or neither of these letters appears in $A_n \cup A'_n$.

Definition 3.5. The map $W : p \times \mathcal{D}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is defined by

$$W(v_n, d) = \sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(v_n) \cap o(d(k)))$$

if $d(n)$ is an empty sequence, and

$$W(v_n, d) = \#(o(ix_1j) \cap o(jx_2i)) + \gamma_n^P(i, j) + \sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(v_n) \cap o(d(k)))$$

if $d(n)$ is subsequence ix_1j of $v_n = ix_1jx_2$ where i, j are distinct single letters of v_n and x_1, x_2 are subsequences of v_n .

Definition 3.6. Suppose $i \in E$ is a double letter in word v_n of p . Then v_n can be written as ix_1ix_2 and ix_2ix_1 for some subsequences x_1 and x_2 , but we may assume the p -sets p_i and p'_i contain the letters that occur exactly once in x_1 and x_2 , respectively. Then for $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$, set

$$Q_n(p_i, d) = \delta_n(ix_1i, d(n)) + \epsilon_n^P(ix_1i, d(n)) + \sum_{k \neq n} \#(p_i \cap o(d(k)))$$

and

$$Q_n(p'_i, d) = \delta_n(ix_2i, d(n)) + \epsilon_n^P(ix_2i, d(n)) + \sum_{k \neq n} \#(p'_i \cap o(d(k))).$$

Definition 3.7. Define a map $D_n : \mathcal{D}_p \times \mathcal{D}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as follows. For $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}_p$, define

$$D_n(d_1, d_2) = \delta_n(d_1(n), d_2(n)) + \epsilon_n^P(d_1(n), d_2(n)) + \sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(d_1(n)) \cap o(d_2(k))).$$

Definition 3.8. The word-wise partition P is *compatible* with \mathcal{D}_p if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) for every word v_n of p , $W(v_n, d) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$;
- (ii) if $i \in E$ is a double letter of word v_n of p , then $Q_n(p_i, d) \equiv Q_n(p'_i, d) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$; and
- (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^N D_n(d_1, d_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}_p$.

4. VIRTUAL STRINGS

Turaev introduced the term “virtual string” in [7] to describe a single copy of S^1 with distinguished ordered pairs of points, which can be represented as a set of arrows attached to the circle. We extend this definition to allow multiple copies of S^1 . Consider a collection of N copies of S^1 with a distinguished set of points on each copy, such that the total number of distinguished points amongst all N copies is even. A *virtual string* α with N components is such a collection with the distinguished points partitioned into ordered pairs. The copies of S^1 in α are called the *core circles* of α and the ordered pairs are called the *arrows* of α . For an arrow (a, b) of α , the endpoints a and b are called its *tail* and *head*, respectively. We will impose the condition that it is not possible to partition the core circles of a virtual string into two sets such that the circles in one set have no arrows in common with the circles of the other. This condition assures that a virtual string is not a “disjoint union” of other virtual strings. Figure 1 depicts a virtual string with three core circles and twelve arrows.

Let S be a core circle of a virtual string α . Two distinguished points a, b on S separate S into two arcs, namely ab and ba . Suppose $e = (a, b)$ is an arrow of α . A different arrow $f = (c, d)$ on S is said to *link* e if one endpoint of f lies on the interior $(ab)^\circ$ of the arc ab and the other lies on the interior $(ba)^\circ$ of the arc ba . The arrow f links e *positively* if the arrow endpoints lie in the cyclic order a, d, b, c around S , and the arrow f links e *negatively* if the arrow endpoints lie in the cyclic order a, c, b, d around S . Note that if f links e positively then e links f negatively.

Two virtual strings are *homeomorphic* if there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of their core circles such that the arrows of the first string are mapped




FIGURE 1. A virtual string with three core circles and twelve arrows.

onto the arrows of the second string. The homeomorphism classes of virtual strings will also be called virtual strings.

Every virtual string α has an underlying Gauss paragraph $p = p_\alpha$. Pick any alphabet set E with $\#(E)$ equal to the number of arrows in α , and label each arrow with a different letter in E . Select a base point on each core circle of α that is not an endpoint of any arrow. The word of p associated to the core circle S of α is obtained as follows: starting at the base point of S , traverse S in the positive direction and record the label of an arrow each time one of its endpoints is encountered. The resulting word will be well-defined up to circular permutations (and of course the choice of arrow labels). The Gauss paragraph underlying α is the set of words obtained in this way, with one word associated to every core circle.

5. CLOSED CURVES ON SURFACES

Recall that a *surface* is a smooth oriented 2-dimensional manifold. Also recall that a smooth map from a collection of oriented circles $\amalg S^1$ into a surface Σ is called an *immersion* if its differential is nonzero at all points of the circle. For an immersion $\rho : \amalg S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma$, a point $x \in \Sigma$ with $\#(\rho^{-1}(x)) = 2$ is called a *double point* or *crossing* of ρ . The immersion ρ is called *generic* if $\#(\rho^{-1}(x)) \leq 2$ for all $x \in \Sigma$, it has a finite set of double points, and all its double points are transverse intersections of two branches. A generic smooth immersion of N oriented circles into a surface Σ is called a *closed curve* with N components on the surface Σ .

Every closed curve $\rho : \amalg S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma$ has an underlying virtual string $\alpha = \alpha_\rho$. The core circles of α are the copies of S^1 in the domain of ρ . The arrows of α are all ordered pairs (a, b) of distinguished points such that $\rho(a) = \rho(b)$ and the pair (a, b) is a positive tangent vector of ρ at a , a positive tangent vector of ρ at b is a positive basis in the tangent space of $\rho(a)$. A virtual string is said to be *realized* by a closed curve $\rho : \amalg S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma$ if it is homeomorphic to α_ρ . The virtual string in Figure 1 is realized by the closed curve in Figure 2, which can be considered as a closed curve in either \mathbb{R}^2 or S^2 . The Gauss paragraph of a closed curve is the Gauss paragraph of its underlying virtual string.

Every virtual string admits a canonical realization by a closed curve on a surface. Turaev showed this result for virtual strings with one core circle in [7]. He used a well-known construction of surfaces from four-valent graphs, see for example [1]. We will describe the same construction for virtual strings with multiple core circles.




FIGURE 2. A closed curve with three components and twelve crossings that realizes the virtual string in Figure 1.

Let α be a virtual string with more than one core circle. Transform α into a 1-dimensional CW-complex $\Gamma = \Gamma_\alpha$ by identifying the head and tail of each arrow in α . A thickening of Γ gives a surface Σ_α in the following manner. The 0-cells of Γ are 4-valent vertices. A vertex $v \in \Gamma$ results from an arrow (a, b) , with points a and b on some core circles of α . Call these core circles S_a and S_b , and note that possibly $S_a = S_b$. A neighborhood of a point x in a core circle is an oriented arc, which x splits into one incoming arc and one outgoing arc with respect to x . The incoming and outgoing arcs in neighborhoods of a in S_a and b in S_b can be identified with the four arcs in a neighborhood of v in Γ . Therefore this neighborhood of v can be embedded in the unit 2-disc $D^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ so that the image of v is the origin, the images of the incoming arcs at a and b are the intervals $0 \times [-1, 0]$ and $[-1, 0] \times 0$ respectively, and the images of the outgoing arcs at a and b are the intervals $0 \times [0, 1]$ and $[0, 1] \times 0$ respectively. By repeating this procedure for all vertices of Γ , the vertices can be thickened to 2-discs endowed with counterclockwise orientation. A 1-cell of Γ either connects two different vertices or forms a loop at a single vertex. The 1-cells of Γ can be thickened to ribbons, with the thickening uniquely determined by the condition that the orientations of the 2-discs extend to their unions with the ribbons. Thickening Γ in the way just described gives an embedding of Γ onto a surface Σ_α . Notice that Σ_α is a compact, connected, oriented surface with boundary. A closed curve $\rho_\alpha : \mathbb{I}S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma_\alpha$ realizing α is obtained by composing the natural projection $\mathbb{I}S^1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ with the inclusion $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Sigma_\alpha$.

The surface Σ_α constructed above is the surface of minimal genus containing a closed curve realizing α . Suppose $\rho : \mathbb{I}S^1 \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ is a generic closed curve realizing α on some surface Σ . Then a regular neighborhood of $\rho(\mathbb{I}S^1)$ in Σ is homeomorphic to Σ_α . This homeomorphism can be chosen to transform ρ into ρ_α , that is composing ρ_α with an orientation-preserving embedding of Σ_α into Σ results in the curve ρ . Gluing 2-discs to all the components of the boundary of Σ_α gives a closed surface of minimal genus that contains a curve realizing α .

Notation. Let $\rho : \coprod_{n=1}^N S'_n \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a closed curve with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ components on some surface Σ , where each S'_n is a copy of S^1 . Then ρ has an underlying virtual string α with core circles S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N , where the core circle S_n corresponds to the circle S'_n in the domain of ρ . Let $p = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)$ be the underlying Gauss paragraph of α , with word v_n corresponding to core circle S_n . A cyclic sequence

TABLE 1. Summary of notational conventions

| | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Gauss paragraph p | Virtual string α | Closed curve ρ |
| word v_n | core circle S_n | component $\rho(S'_n)$ |
| letter i in v_n | arrow $e_i = (a_i, b_i)$ | crossing i at $\rho(a_i) = \rho(b_i)$ |
| p -sets p_i and p'_i | arcs $a_i b_i$ and $b_i a_i$ | two loops in $\rho(S'_n)$ based at $\rho(a_i) = \rho(b_i)$ |
| sequence $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$ | sequence of arcs C_d | loop $\rho(C'_d)$ with segments $\rho(C'_d(n))$ |

$d = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathcal{D}_p$ corresponds to a sequence $C_d = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N)$ of arcs in α such that the arc $c_n \subset S_n$ corresponds to the sequence x_n in d . Moreover, C_d corresponds to a sequence $C'_d = (c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_N)$ of arcs on the components S'_n in the domain of ρ such that the arc $c'_n \subset S'_n$ corresponds to the arc $c_n \subset S_n$. Let $C_d(n)$ denote the arc c_n of C_d and $C'_d(n)$ denote the arc c'_n of C'_d . In addition, let $\rho(C'_d)$ denote the loop on Σ that is the union $\bigcup_{n=1}^N \rho(C'_d(n))$. Table 1 displays the correspondences and notation discussed thus far.

6. OBSERVATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

Every virtual string with an even number of arrow endpoints on each of its core circles naturally gives rise to a word-wise partition. Let α be such a virtual string, and suppose S is a core circle of α . Denote the set of arrows of α with tails on S by $arr_t(S)$. For $e = (a, b)$ and $f = (c, d)$ in $arr_t(S)$, define $q(e, f) \in \mathbb{Z}$ to be the number of arrowheads lying on the semi-open arc $ac - \{a\} \subset S$ minus the number of arrowtails lying on $ac - \{a\}$. Set $q(e, f) = 0$ when $e = f$. Use q to define an equivalence relation on $arr_t(S)$ by defining two arrows $e = (a, b)$ and $f = (c, d)$ to be *equivalent* if $q(e, f) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then the arrows e and f are equivalent if either $e = f$ or the number of arrow endpoints lying on the interior of the arc $ac \subset S$ is odd. Notice that there are at most two equivalence classes on $arr_t(S)$ that result from this relation, and these classes partition $arr_t(S)$ into two subsets. Pick any alphabet set E with $\#(E)$ equal to the number of arrows in α , and label each arrow with a different letter in E . Suppose $E' \subset E$ contains the letters that label the arrows in $arr_t(S)$. Then the bipartition of $arr_t(S)$ induces a bipartition of the set E' . Applying the same procedure on all core circles of α creates a word-wise partition of E . Thus α naturally gives rise to a pair (the underlying Gauss word p of α , a word-wise partition of E with respect to p).

If α is the underlying virtual string of a closed curve ρ on some surface, then the above construction gives a partition of the set of labels (namely E) on the double points of ρ . Thus ρ gives rise to the pair (the underlying Gauss word p of α , a word-wise partition of E with respect to p) as well. Note that each component of ρ has an even number of intersections between it and the other components because we assumed each core circle of α had an even number of arrow endpoints.

An important observation should be made here. Let e be an arrow of α with tail on one core circle, say S_1 , and head on a different core circle, say S_2 . Then e corresponds to a letter $i \in E$ that appears in one of the sets associated to S_1 in the word-wise partition, and in neither of the sets associated to S_2 . Moreover, the sign of crossing i of ρ is $+1$ with respect to the component of ρ corresponding to S_2 and -1 with respect to the component of ρ corresponding to S_1 .

A pair (a Gauss paragraph p in an alphabet E , a word-wise partition P of E) is said to be *realizable* by a closed curve on a surface if there exists a closed curve on the surface that gives rise to the pair. The surface of particular interest to us is S^2 , but observe that a pair (a Gauss paragraph p in an alphabet E , a word-wise partition P of E) is realizable by a closed curve on S^2 if and only if the pair is realizable by a closed curve on \mathbb{R}^2 .

If a virtual string has a core circle with an odd number of arrow endpoints on it, then the pairing $q(e, f)$ above is not well-defined on that core circle. Therefore such virtual strings do not give rise to word-wise partitions in any sense that we will discuss in this paper. It is well known that a closed curve on S^2 cannot have an odd number of intersections between any two distinct components, and therefore each component of a closed curve on S^2 cannot have an odd number of intersections between it and all the other components. Consequently, the underlying virtual string of a closed curve on S^2 cannot have a core circle with an odd number of arrow endpoints on it.

Since Gauss paragraphs that can be realized by closed curves on S^2 are our primary interest, it is reasonable to now restrict our attention to virtual strings with an even number of arrow endpoints on each core circle and closed curves for which each component has an even number of crossings between it and all the other components.

7. HOMOLOGICAL INTERSECTION FORM

In this section, we relate a homological intersection pairing to the maps described in Section 3. We develop formulas that help prove the main theorem.

Let Σ be an oriented surface, and let $H_1(\Sigma)$ denote its first integral homology group $H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\rho : \coprod_{n=1}^N S'_n \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a closed curve with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ components, where each S'_n is a copy of S^1 . Then ρ has an underlying virtual string α , so suppose the Gauss paragraph underlying α is $p = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)$. Label the N core circles of α by S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N , with core circle S_n corresponding to circle S'_n and word v_n . A distinguished point z on S_n corresponds to a point on the circle S'_n , and for convenience this point on S'_n will also be called z .

If $e = (a, b)$ is an arrow on core circle S_n of α , then $\rho(a) = \rho(b)$. Therefore the images $\rho(ab)$ and $\rho(ba)$ of the arcs ab and ba of S'_n , respectively, are loops in Σ . To stay consistent with Turaev's convention in [7], we will use the notation $[e]$ and $[e]^*$ to denote the homology classes $[\rho(ab)]$ and $[\rho(ba)]$ of $H_1(\Sigma)$, respectively. The image under ρ of each circle S'_n is also a loop in Σ , so let $[S_n]$ denote the homology class $[\rho(S'_n)] \in H_1(\Sigma)$. Let $(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_M)$ with $M \geq 2$ be a sequence of arcs on the circles S'_n that satisfies the following properties: at most one arc exists on each S'_n , the initial point of x'_1 and the terminal point of x'_M have the same image under ρ , and the initial point of x'_m and the terminal point of x'_{m-1} have the same image under ρ for $2 \leq m \leq M$. Then the union $\bigcup_{m=1}^M \rho(x'_m)$ constitutes a loop in Σ . The sequence of arcs $(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_M)$ corresponds to sequence of arcs on the core circles S_n , which in turn corresponds to a sequence $d = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ with x_n being a subsequence (possibly empty) of the word v_n of p . Since more than one x_n is nonempty, it follows that $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$. Therefore let $[C_d]$ denote the homology class of the union $\bigcup_{m=1}^M \rho(x'_m) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \rho(C'_d(n)) = \rho(C'_d)$ in $H_1(\Sigma)$. Notice that if a loop in ρ has lone segments on multiple components and the orientations of these

segments agree, then the loop can be expressed as such a union for some cyclic sequence $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$.

In accordance with the restrictions discussed at the end of Section 6, assume that each component of ρ has an even number of crossings between it and all the other components. Then α has an even number of arrow endpoints on each core circle. To this point, we have not specified an alphabet set for p . Suppose p is a paragraph in the alphabet set E , and suppose α gives rise to the word-wise partition $P = (A_1 \cup A'_1, A_2 \cup A'_2, \dots, A_N \cup A'_N)$ of E . Let $e_i = (a_i, b_i)$ denote the arrow in virtual string α that corresponds to the letter $i \in E$. Label a crossing of the closed curve ρ with the letter i if the crossing corresponds to the arrow e_i . Under these notational conventions, we may assume that p_i and p'_i contain the letters corresponding to the arrows in α with exactly one endpoint on $(a_i b_i)^\circ$ and $(b_i a_i)^\circ$, respectively.

The orientation of the surface Σ determines a homological intersection form $B : H_1(\Sigma) \times H_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. In the propositions and theorems that follow, we develop formulas that will help determine the parities of the intersection numbers for the homology classes discussed above.

Notation. The set of arrows $arr_{i,j}(\alpha)$ consists of the arrows with tail on S_i and head on S_j . For $e = (a, b) \in arr_{i,i}(\alpha)$, let $n(e) \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote

$$\#\{f \in arr_{i,i}(\alpha) \mid f \text{ links } e \text{ positively}\} - \#\{f \in arr_{i,i}(\alpha) \mid f \text{ links } e \text{ negatively}\}.$$

Let $n_{i,j}(e)$ denote

$$\#\{f \in arr_{j,i}(\alpha) \mid \text{head of } f \text{ in } (ab)^\circ\} - \#\{f \in arr_{i,j}(\alpha) \mid \text{tail of } f \text{ in } (ab)^\circ\},$$

and let $n_{i,j}^*(e)$ denote

$$\#\{f \in arr_{j,i}(\alpha) \mid \text{head of } f \text{ in } (ba)^\circ\} - \#\{f \in arr_{i,j}(\alpha) \mid \text{tail of } f \text{ in } (ba)^\circ\}.$$

Notice that $n_{i,i}(e) = n(e)$ and $n_{i,i}^*(e) = -n(e)$.

Proposition 7.1. *If $e = (a, b) \in arr_{i,i}(\alpha)$ and $i \neq j$, then*

$$B([e], [S_i]) = B([e], [e]^*) = n(e)$$

$$B([e], [S_j]) = n_{i,j}(e)$$

$$B([e]^*, [S_j]) = n_{i,j}^*(e)$$

and

$$B([e], [S_j]) \equiv \#(p_i \cap o(v_j)) \pmod{2}$$

$$B([e]^*, [S_j]) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap o(v_j)) \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. The proof of the first claim appears in [7], but we include it here for completeness. The loops $\rho(ab)$ and $\rho(ba)$ intersect transversely, except at their common origin $\rho(a) = \rho(b)$. However, a small deformation makes these loops disjoint in a neighborhood of $\rho(a) = \rho(b)$. Notice that there is a bijective correspondence between the transversal intersections and the arrows of $arr_{i,i}(\alpha)$ linked with e . The sign of a transversal intersection with respect to $\rho(ab)$ is +1 when its corresponding arrow links e positively, and -1 when its corresponding arrow links e negatively. Hence $B([e], [e]^*) = n(e)$. Since $[S_i] = [e] + [e]^*$ in $H_1(\Sigma)$, it follows that

$$B([e], [S_i]) = B([e], [S_i]) - B([e], [e]) = B([e], [e]^*) = n(e).$$

Any intersections of the loops $\rho(ab)$ and $\rho(S'_j)$ are transversal intersections. There is a bijective correspondence between these intersections and the union of

the sets $\{f \in \text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha) \mid \text{head of } f \text{ in } (ab)^\circ\}$ and $\{f \in \text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha) \mid \text{tail of } f \text{ in } (ab)^\circ\}$. The sign of an intersection with respect to $\rho(ab)$ is +1 when its corresponding arrow is in the first set, and -1 when its corresponding arrow is in the second set. Hence $B([e], [S_j]) = n_{i,j}(e)$. Moreover, the arrows in the union of these two sets correspond to the letters that the sets p_i and $o(v_j)$ have in common. Hence $B([e], [S_j]) \equiv \#(p_i \cap o(v_j)) \pmod{2}$. The claims about $[e]^*$ follow from similar arguments. \square

Proposition 7.2. *If $i \in E$ is a double letter of the word v_j in p , then $\#(p_i) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N n_{j,k}(e_i) \pmod{2}$ and $\#(p'_i) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N n_{j,k}^*(e_i) \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. We have assumed that the letters in p_i correspond to the arrows in α with exactly one endpoint on $(a_i b_i)^\circ$. The other endpoint of such an arrow is either on $(b_i a_i)^\circ$ or on another component of α . For $k \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} n_{j,k}(e_i) &= \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{k,j}(\alpha) \mid \text{head of } f \text{ in } (a_i b_i)^\circ\} \\ &\quad - \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{j,k}(\alpha) \mid \text{tail of } f \text{ in } (a_i b_i)^\circ\} \\ &\equiv \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{k,j}(\alpha) \mid \text{head of } f \text{ in } (a_i b_i)^\circ\} \\ &\quad + \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{j,k}(\alpha) \mid \text{tail of } f \text{ in } (a_i b_i)^\circ\} \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

but this sum is equal to the number of arrows between S_j and S_k with one endpoint on $(a_i b_i)^\circ$. Notice

$$\begin{aligned} n_{j,j}(e_i) &= n(e_i) = \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{j,j}(\alpha) \mid f \text{ links } e \text{ positively}\} \\ &\quad - \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{j,j}(\alpha) \mid f \text{ links } e \text{ negatively}\} \\ &\equiv \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{j,j}(\alpha) \mid f \text{ links } e \text{ positively}\} \\ &\quad + \#\{f \in \text{arr}_{j,j}(\alpha) \mid f \text{ links } e \text{ negatively}\} \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

with this sum equal to the number of arrows in $\text{arr}_{j,j}(\alpha)$ with one endpoint on $(a_i b_i)^\circ$ and the other on $(b_i a_i)^\circ$. Hence $\#(p_i) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N n_{j,k}(e_i)$. The claim about $\#(p'_i)$ can be proved in a similar manner. \square

Proposition 7.3. *For distinct core circles S_i and S_j of α ,*

$$B([S_i], [S_j]) = \#(\text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha)) - \#(\text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha)).$$

The number of letters that the words v_i and v_j of p have in common is equal to $\#(\text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha)) + \#(\text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha))$. Therefore $B([S_i], [S_j])$ is equivalent modulo two to the number of letters that the words v_i and v_j of p have in common.

Proof. Any intersections of the loops $\rho(S'_i)$ and $\rho(S'_j)$ are transverse intersections. There is a bijective correspondence between these transverse intersections and the set of arrows between S_i and S_j in α , that is the disjoint union $\text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha) \cup \text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha)$. The sign of an intersection with respect to $\rho(S'_i)$ is +1 when its corresponding arrow is in $\text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha)$, and -1 when its corresponding arrow is in $\text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha)$. Hence $B([S_i], [S_j]) = \#(\text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha)) - \#(\text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha))$. The arrows in the disjoint union $\text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha) \cup \text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha)$ correspond to the letters that v_i and v_j have in common, so the total number of such letters is $\#(\text{arr}_{j,i}(\alpha)) + \#(\text{arr}_{i,j}(\alpha))$. \square

Proposition 7.4. *If $i, j \in E$ are double letters of a word w of p that are not w -interlaced and $\#(w_i) \equiv \#(w_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $\#(w_i \cap w_j) \equiv B([e_i], [e_j]) \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. The proof of this result is included as part the proof of Theorem 5.3.1 in [7]. The same arguments apply because the intersections that contribute to $B([e_i], [e_j])$ are self-intersections of a single component of ρ . \square

Proposition 7.5. *Suppose $i \in E$ is a double letter of the word v_m of p and $j \in E$ is a double letter of word the v_n of p , where $m \neq n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \#(p_i \cap p_j) &\equiv B([e_i], [e_j]) \pmod{2} \\ \#(p_i \cap p'_j) &\equiv B([e_i], [e_j]^*) \pmod{2} \\ \#(p'_i \cap p_j) &\equiv B([e_i]^*, [e_j]) \pmod{2} \\ \#(p'_i \cap p'_j) &\equiv B([e_i]^*, [e_j]^*) \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Any intersections of the loops $\rho(a_i b_i)$ and $\rho(a_j b_j)$ are transverse intersections. There is a bijective correspondence between these transverse intersections and the set of arrows between S_m and S_n in α with one endpoint on $(a_i b_i)^\circ$ and the other on $(a_j b_j)^\circ$. Such arrows correspond to the letters of p appearing in $p_i \cap p_j$. Hence $\#(p_i \cap p_j) \equiv B([e_i], [e_j]) \pmod{2}$. The other three claims follow from similar arguments. \square

Theorem 7.6. *For all $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$,*

$$B([S_n], [C_d]) \equiv W(v_n, d) \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. If $d(n)$ is an empty sequence, then the intersections of $\rho(S'_n)$ and $\rho(C'_d)$ are all transversal intersections. These intersections are in bijective correspondence with the arrows of α with one endpoint on S_n and the other on any arc $C_d(k)$, with $k \neq n$ since $d(n) = \emptyset$. If an arrow of α has one endpoint on S_n and the other on $C_d(k)$, then it corresponds to a letter of p that v_n and $d(k)$ have in common. Hence $B([S_n], [C_d])$ is equivalent to $\sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(v_n) \cap o(d(k))) = W(v_n, d)$ modulo two in this case.


Now assume $d(n)$ is a nonempty sequence with first letter i and last letter j . Then $d(n)$ corresponds to an arc $C'_d(n)$ on S'_n . A neighborhood of the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$ in Σ is depicted in Figure 3, where the thickened horizontal line segment represents the segment of $\rho(S'_n)$ between crossings i and j . The vertical lines depict neighborhoods of crossings i and j in some components $\rho(S'_t)$ and $\rho(S'_u)$, respectively, and their ends are labeled with the letters i_1, i_2 and j_1, j_2 to represent the letters flanking i and j in the words v_t and v_u of p , respectively.

An intersection of the loops $\rho(S'_n)$ and $\rho(C'_d)$ is either a transversal intersection involving $\rho(S'_n)$ and a segment of $\rho(C'_d)$ not on $\rho(S'_n)$ or an intersection resulting from the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$ on $\rho(S'_n)$. As in the above discussion, the transversal intersections correspond to letters of p that v_n and any $d(k)$ for $k \neq n$ have in common, so there are a total of $\sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(v_n) \cap o(d(k)))$ such intersections. The other intersections require further consideration.


If the points i, i_1, i_2 lie in the cyclic order $i_1 i i_2$ (respectively $i_2 i i_1$) on S'_t , then the sign of the crossing i with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is -1 (respectively $+1$) and $i \in A_n \cup A'_n$ (respectively $i \in A_t \cup A'_t$). Similarly, if the points j, j_1, j_2 lie in the cyclic order $j_1 j j_2$ (respectively $j_2 j j_1$) on S'_u , then the sign of the crossing j with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is -1 (respectively $+1$) and $j \in A_n \cup A'_n$ (respectively $j \in A_u \cup A'_u$). The possible cyclic orders of the points i, i_1, i_2 and j, j_1, j_2 on S'_t and S'_u , respectively, yield the following four cases:

- (1) $i_1 i i_2$ on S'_t and $j_1 j j_2$ on S'_u ;


Case (1)




Case (2)



Case (3)



Case (4)

FIGURE 3. Neighborhood of the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$.

- (2) $i_1 i i_2$ on S'_t and $j_2 j j_1$ on S'_u ;
- (3) $i_2 i i_1$ on S'_t and $j_1 j j_2$ on S'_u ;
- (4) $i_2 i i_1$ on S'_t and $j_2 j j_1$ on S'_u .

Consider the loop σ in Σ that is the “push off” of $\rho(S'_n)$ to the right in a neighborhood of $\rho(S'_n)$. In particular, for the above four cases, consider a neighborhood of the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$, as depicted in Figure 3. The dashed lines in the figure represent σ and the thickened lines represent a portion of the loop $\rho(C'_d)$. The intersections resulting from segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$ on $\rho(S'_n)$ are transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d)$ in this neighborhood. In every case, the number of intersections on the interior of the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$ is the same. In a neighborhood of a self-intersection of $\rho(S'_n) \cap \rho(C'_d(n))$, there are two crossings involving σ and the interior of $\rho(C'_d(n))$. These two crossings have opposite signs, so they do not contribute to $B([S_n], [C_d])$. Therefore we only consider those intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d(n))$ that do not result from self-intersections of $\rho(S'_n) \cap \rho(C'_d(n))$. Such intersections bijectively correspond to letters that the subsequences x_1 and x_2 of $v_n = i x_1 j x_2$ have in common, and there are $\#(o(i x_1 j) \cap o(j x_2 i))$ such letters. Notice that there may be additional transverse intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d)$ in each of the four cases, resulting from the portions of the remaining segments of $\rho(C'_d)$ depicted in Figure 3. Specifically, there are 1, 0, 2, and 1 additional intersections in cases (1), (2), (3), and (4), respectively, and these totals are equivalent to $\gamma_n^P(i, j)$ modulo two in each case. Hence $B([S_n], [C_d])$ is equivalent to

$$\#(o(i x_1 j) \cap o(j x_2 i)) + \gamma_n^P(i, j) + \sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(v_n) \cap o(d(k))) = W(v_n, d)$$

modulo two. □

Theorem 7.7. *If a word v_n of p has a double letter $i \in E$, then*

$$B([e_i], [C_d]) \equiv Q_n(p_i, d) \pmod{2}$$

and

$$B([e_i]^*, [C_d]) \equiv Q_n(p'_i, d) \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. Since i is a double letter of the word v_n , it follows that $v_n = ix_1ix_2$ for some subsequences x_1, x_2 . Recall that we have assumed p_i and p'_i contain the letters corresponding to the arrows in α with exactly one endpoint on $(a_ib_i)^\circ$ and $(b_ia_i)^\circ$, respectively. The letters in p_i then correspond to the letters that occur exactly once in either x_1 or x_2 , but we may assume it is x_1 . Then the letters in p'_i correspond to the letters that occur exactly once in x_2 .

If $d(n)$ is an empty sequence, then the intersections of the loops $\rho(a_ib_i)$ and $\rho(C'_d)$ are all transversal intersections. These intersections are in bijective correspondence with the arrows of α with one endpoint on $(a_ib_i)^\circ$ and the other on any arc $C_d(k)$, with $k \neq n$ since $d(n) = \emptyset$. If an arrow of α has one endpoint on $(a_ib_i)^\circ$ and the other on $C_d(k)$, then it corresponds to a letter of p that p_i and $o(d(k))$ have in common. Therefore $B([e_i], [C_d]) \equiv \sum_{k \neq n} \#(p_i \cap o(d(k))) \pmod{2}$. Hence $B([e_i], [C_d]) \equiv Q_n(p_i, d) \pmod{2}$ in this case since $\delta_n(ix_1i, d(n)) = \delta_n(ix_1i, \emptyset) = 0$ and $\epsilon_n^P(ix_1i, d(n)) = \epsilon_n^P(ix_1i, \emptyset) = 0$.

Now suppose $d(n) = yxz$ for some single letters y, z of v_n and subsequence x of v_n . The subsequence $d(n)$ of v_n corresponds to an arc $C'_d(n) \subset S'_n$ with initial point y and terminal point z . The subsequence ix_1i of v_n corresponds to the arc $a_ib_i \subset S'_n$ with initial point a_i and terminal point b_i . Notice that the points a_i, b_i, y, z must be pairwise distinct since they are the four endpoints of two different arrows in α .


An intersection of $\rho(a_ib_i)$ and $\rho(C'_d)$ is either a transversal intersection involving $\rho(a_ib_i)$ and a segment of $\rho(C'_d)$ not on $\rho(S'_n)$ or an intersection resulting from the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$ on $\rho(S'_n)$. As in the above discussion, the transversal intersections correspond to letters of p that p_i and $o(d(k))$ for $k \neq n$ have in common, so there are a total of $\sum_{k \neq n} \#(p_i \cap o(d(k)))$ such intersections.

Now consider the intersections resulting from the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$ on $\rho(S'_n)$. The six possible cyclic orders of the points a_i, b_i, y, z on S'_n are:

- (1) a_i, y, b_i, z ;
- (2) a_i, z, b_i, y ;
- (3) a_i, y, z, b_i ;
- (4) a_i, z, y, b_i ;
- (5) a_i, b_i, y, z ;
- (6) a_i, b_i, z, y .

Consider the loop σ in Σ that is the “push off” of $\rho(a_ib_i)$ to the right in a neighborhood of $\rho(S'_n)$. The intersections resulting from the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$ are transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d)$ in this neighborhood. In particular, for the above six cases, consider a neighborhood of $\rho(S'_n)$ in Σ as depicted in Figure 4. The dashed loops in the figure represent σ and the thickened lines represent the segment $\rho(C'_d(n))$. The segments of $\rho(C'_d)$ immediately before and after $\rho(C'_d(n))$ are not indicated because they depend on the signs of crossings y and z with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$. If the sign of crossing y is -1 (respectively $+1$), then $y \in A_n \cup A'_n$ (respectively $y \notin A_n \cup A'_n$). If the sign of crossing z is -1 (respectively $+1$), then $z \in A_n \cup A'_n$ (respectively $z \notin A_n \cup A'_n$).

In each of the six cases, there may be transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d)$ in neighborhoods of crossings i, y , and z . In a neighborhood of crossing i , there are two intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d(n))$ in Cases (4) and (6), and there is one intersection in Cases (1) and (2). In a neighborhood of crossing y , there is an

FIGURE 4. Neighborhood of the loop $\rho(S'_n)$.

intersection of σ and the segment of $\rho(C'_d)$ immediately before $\rho(C'_d(n))$ in Cases (1), (3), and (4) if and only if the sign of crossing y with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is $+1$. In a neighborhood of crossing z , there is an intersection of σ and the segment of $\rho(C'_d)$ immediately after $\rho(C'_d(n))$ in Cases (2), (3), and (4) if and only if the sign of crossing z with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is -1 . Observe that there are no intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d)$ in these three neighborhoods otherwise. Since p_i contains the letters that occur exactly once in the subsequence x_1 of v_n , it follows that the total number of transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d)$ in these neighborhoods of crossings i , y , and z is given by $\epsilon_n^P(ix_1i, d(n))$.

The only remaining transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d)$ left to consider are intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d(n))$ that do not occur in the three crossing neighborhoods discussed above. In a neighborhood of a self-intersection of $\rho(a_i b_i) \cap \rho(C'_d(n))$, there are two crossings involving σ and the interior of $\rho(C'_d(n))$. These two crossings have opposite signs, so they do not contribute to $B([e_i], [C_d])$. Therefore we only consider those intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d(n))$ that do not result from self-intersections of $\rho(a_i b_i) \cap \rho(C'_d(n))$. Such intersections bijectively correspond to arrows in $arr_{n,n}(\alpha)$ with one endpoint on arc $a_i b_i$ and the other on $C_d(n)$, provided both endpoints are not on $a_i b_i \cap C_d(n)$. In the following arguments, let the labels s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 denote subsequences of the word v_n and assume the endpoints a_i and b_i correspond to the first and second instances of i , respectively. In Case (1), if $v_n = i s_1 y s_2 i s_3 z s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_2 have in common, s_1 and s_3 have in common, or s_2 and s_3 have in common. In Case (2), if $v_n = i s_1 z s_2 i s_3 y s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_4 have in common, s_2 and s_4 have in common, or s_2 and s_1 have in common. In Case (3), if $v_n = i s_1 y s_2 z s_3 i s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that subsequences s_1 and s_2 have in common or letters that s_3 and s_2 have in common. In Case (4), if $v_n = i s_1 z s_2 y s_3 i s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_4 have in common, s_2 and s_3 have in common, s_2 and s_4 have in common, s_2 and s_1 have in common, or s_3 and s_4 have in common. In Case (5), if $v_n = i s_1 i s_2 y s_3 z s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that subsequences s_1 and s_3 have in common. In Case (6), if $v_n = i s_1 i s_2 z s_3 y s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_4 have in common or s_1 and s_2 have in common. Hence the total number of such arrows is $\delta_n(ix_1 i, d(n))$ in each of the six cases.

Having considered all possible intersections that contribute to $B([e_i], [C_d])$, we conclude that $B([e_i], [C_d])$ is equivalent to

$$\delta_n(ix_1 i, d(n)) + \epsilon_n^P(ix_1 i, d(n)) + \sum_{k \neq n} \#(p_i \cap o(d(k))) = Q_n(p_i, d)$$

modulo two. The claim $B([e_i]^*, [C_d]) \equiv Q_n(p'_i, d) \pmod{2}$ can be proven with similar arguments. \square

Theorem 7.8. *If $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, then*

$$B([C_{d_1}], [C_{d_2}]) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^N D_n(d_1, d_2) \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. If at least one of $d_1(n)$ and $d_2(n)$ is empty for every $1 \leq n \leq N$, then all intersections of $\rho(C'_{d_1})$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ are transversal intersections since these loops have segments on distinct components of ρ . Suppose $d_1(n) = acb$ for some single letters a, b of v_n and subsequence c of v_n . The subsequence $d_1(n)$ of v_n corresponds to an arc $C'_{d_1}(n)$ in S'_n with initial point a and terminal point b . The transversal intersections of the arc $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n))$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ are in bijective correspondence with the arrows of α with one endpoint on arc $C_{d_1}(n)$ and the other on any arc $C_{d_2}(k)$, with $k \neq n$ since $d_2(n) = \emptyset$. If an arrow of α has one endpoint on $C_{d_1}(n)$ and the other on $C_{d_2}(k)$, then it corresponds to a letter of p that $d_1(n)$ and $d_2(k)$ have in common. Therefore the total number of intersections of $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n))$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ is $\sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(d_1(n)) \cap o(d_2(k)))$, with $\#(o(d_1(n)) \cap o(d_2(k))) = 0$ for

any k with $d_2(k) = \emptyset$. Hence $B([C_{d_1}], [C_{d_2}]) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^N D_n(d_1, d_2) \pmod{2}$ since $\delta_n(d_1(n), d_2(n)) = \epsilon_n^P(d_1(n), d_2(n)) = \sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(d_1(n)) \cap o(d_2(k))) = 0$ when $d_1(n)$ is an empty sequence and $\delta_n(d_1(n), d_2(n)) = \epsilon_n^P(d_1(n), d_2(n)) = 0$ when $d_2(n)$ is an empty sequence.

Now remove the hypothesis that at least one of $d_1(n)$ and $d_2(n)$ is empty for every $1 \leq n \leq N$. Again suppose $d_1(n) = acb$ for some single letters a, b of v_n and subsequence c of v_n . If $d_2(n) = \emptyset$, then the total number of intersections of $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n))$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2}(k))$ is $\sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(d_1(n)) \cap o(d_2(k))) = D_n(d_1, d_2)$ as shown above since $\delta_n(d_1(n), \emptyset) = \epsilon_n^P(d_1(n), \emptyset) = 0$.

Now suppose $d_2(n) = yxz$ for some single letters y, z of v_n and subsequence x of v_n . For any $k \neq n$, the intersections of the segments $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n))$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2}(k))$ are transversal intersections. These intersections are in bijective correspondence with the arrows of α with one endpoint on arc $C_{d_1}(n)$ and the other on arc $C_{d_2}(k)$. Such an arrow corresponds to a letter of p that $d_1(n)$ and $d_2(k)$ have in common. Therefore the total number of intersections of $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n))$ and the segments of $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ not on $\rho(S'_n)$ is $\sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(d_1(n)) \cap o(d_2(k)))$.

The intersections of $\rho(C'_{d_1})$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ on $\rho(S'_n)$ may also contribute to $B([C_{d_1}], [C_{d_2}])$. Consider the loop σ in Σ that is the “push off” of $\rho(C'_{d_1})$ to the right in a neighborhood of ρ . The intersections of $\rho(C'_{d_1})$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ on $\rho(S'_n)$ are transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of $\rho(S'_n)$.

The six possible cyclic orders of the points a, b, y, z on S'_n are:

- (1) a, y, b, z ;
- (2) a, z, b, y ;
- (3) a, y, z, b ;
- (4) a, z, y, b ;
- (5) a, b, y, z ;
- (6) a, b, z, y ,

with the possibility that a, y, z and b, y, z are not pairwise distinct where appropriate (but a, b and y, z are distinct). For these six cases, consider a neighborhood of the loop $\rho(S'_n)$ in Σ as depicted in Figure 5. The dashed segments in the figure represent segments of σ and the thickened lines represent the segment $\rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$. The segments of σ immediately before and after $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n))$ are not indicated because they depend on the signs of crossings a and b with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$. The segments of $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ immediately before and after $\rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$ are also not indicated because they depend on the signs of crossings y and z with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$. If the sign of one of the crossings is -1 (respectively $+1$), then the letter it is labeled by appears in $A_n \cup A'_n$ (respectively does not appear in $A_n \cup A'_n$).

In each of the six cases, there may be transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in neighborhoods of crossings a, b, y , and z . If the sign of crossing a with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is -1 and a, y, z are pairwise distinct, then there is one intersection of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$ in a neighborhood of crossing a in cases (2), (4), and (6). It is possible for $a = y$ in Cases (1), (2), (3), and (6), and if so there are no intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing $a = y$. It is possible for $a = z$ in Cases (1), (2), (4), and (5), and if so there are two intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing $a = z$ when the sign of crossing $a = z$ is -1 and none when the sign of crossing $a = z$ is $+1$. Observe that there are no intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing a otherwise.




FIGURE 5. Neighborhood of the loop $\rho(S'_n)$.

If the sign of crossing b with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is $+1$ and b, y, z are pairwise distinct, then there is one intersection of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$ in a neighborhood of crossing b in cases (1), (4), and (6). It is possible for $b = y$ in Cases (1), (2), (4), and (5), and if so there are two intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing $b = y$ when the sign of crossing $b = y$ is $+1$ and none when the sign of crossing $b = y$ is -1 . It is possible for $b = z$ in Cases (1), (2), (3), and (6), and if so there are no intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing $b = z$. Observe that there are no intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing b otherwise.

Assume a, b, y, z are pairwise distinct. If the sign of crossing y with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is $+1$, then there is one intersection of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing y in cases (1), (3), and (4). If the sign of crossing z with respect to $\rho(S'_n)$ is -1 , then there is one intersection of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of crossing z in cases (2), (3), and (4). Observe that there are no intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$

in these neighborhoods of crossings y and z otherwise when a, b, y, z are pairwise distinct.

Combining the intersection information in the previous three paragraphs, notice that the total number of transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in neighborhoods of crossings $a, b, y,$ and z is given by $\epsilon_n^P(d_1(n), d_2(n))$.

The only remaining transversal intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$ in a neighborhood of $\rho(S'_n)$ in Σ to consider are intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_d(n))$ that do not occur in the four crossing neighborhoods discussed above. In a neighborhood of a self-intersection of $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n)) \cap \rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$, there are two crossings involving σ and the interior of $\rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$. These two crossings have opposite signs, so they do not contribute to $B([C_{d_1}], [C_{d_2}])$. Therefore we only consider those intersections of σ and $\rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$ that do not result from self-intersections of $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n)) \cap \rho(C'_{d_2}(n))$. Such intersections bijectively correspond to arrows in $arr_{n,n}(\alpha)$ with one endpoint on arc $C_{d_1}(n)$ and the other on $C_{d_2}(n)$, provided both endpoints are not on the arc $C_{d_1}(n) \cap C_{d_2}(n)$. In the following arguments, let the labels s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 denote subsequences of the word v_n . In Case (1), if $v_n = a s_1 y s_2 b s_3 z s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_2 have in common, s_1 and s_3 have in common, or s_2 and s_3 have in common. In Case (2), if $v_n = a s_1 z s_2 b s_3 y s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_4 have in common, s_2 and s_4 have in common, or s_2 and s_1 have in common. In Case (3), if $v_n = a s_1 y s_2 z s_3 b s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that subsequences s_1 and s_2 have in common or letters that s_3 and s_2 have in common. In Case (4), if $v_n = a s_1 z s_2 y s_3 b s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_4 have in common, s_2 and s_3 have in common, s_2 and s_4 have in common, s_2 and s_1 have in common, or s_3 and s_4 have in common. In Case (5), if $v_n = a s_1 b s_2 y s_3 z s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that subsequences s_1 and s_3 have in common. In Case (6), if $v_n = a s_1 b s_2 z s_3 y s_4$, then these arrows correspond to letters that s_1 and s_4 have in common or s_1 and s_2 have in common. Hence the total number of such arrows is $\delta_n(d_1(n), d_2(n))$ in each of the six cases.

Having considered all possible intersections of segment $\rho(C'_{d_1}(n))$ and $\rho(C'_{d_2})$, we conclude that there are a total of

$$\delta_n(d_1(n), d_2(n)) + \epsilon_n^P(d_1(n), d_2(n)) + \sum_{k \neq n} \#(o(d_1(n)) \cap o(d_2(k)))$$

such intersections. Thus $B([C_{d_1}], [C_{d_2}]) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^N D_n(d_1, d_2) \pmod{2}$. \square

8. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN THEOREM

The Main Theorem. *A pair (a Gauss paragraph p in an alphabet E , a word-wise partition P of E) is realizable by a closed curve on S^2 if and only if the following seven conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *if $i \in E$ is a double letter of a word w of p , then*

$$\#(w_i) \equiv \#(p_i) \equiv \#(p'_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2};$$

- (ii) *if $i \in E$ is a double letter of a word of p that is not the word w , then*

$$\#(p_i \cap o(w)) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap o(w)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2};$$

- (iii) *any two words in p have an even number of letters in common;*

- (iv) *if $i, j \in E$ are both double letters of the word w of p and are not w -interlaced, then $\#(w_i \cap w_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$;*

- (v) P is compatible with p ;
- (vi) if $i \in E$ is a double letter of a word of p and $j \in E$ is a double letter of a different word of p , then

$$\#(p_i \cap p_j) \equiv \#(p_i \cap p'_j) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap p_j) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap p'_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2};$$
 and
- (vii) P is compatible with \mathcal{D}_p .

Two corollaries of this theorem are Theorem 5.3.1 of Turaev's paper [7] and the central theorem of Rosenstiehl's paper [6]. Condition (i) is analogous to Gauss' famous necessary condition for closed curves with a single component in [3], and Condition (iv) is analogous to the second condition of Rosenstiehl's central theorem in [6]. The theorem above will be proved in the next section. The following lemmas will be used in the proof.

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose any two words of a Gauss paragraph p have an even number of letters in common. Then every word of p has even length.*

Proof. Recall that the length of a word w of p is

$$2(\#\{\text{double letters in } w\}) + \#\{\text{single letters in } w\}.$$

Therefore the length of w is equivalent modulo two to the number of single letters of w . But the number of single letters in w is the sum of the numbers of letters that w has in common with each other word of p . Since each term of this sum is even, it follows that w has even length. \square

Lemma 8.2. *If a pair (a Gauss paragraph p in an alphabet E , a word-wise partition P of E) satisfies conditions (i), (iii), and (v) of the main theorem, then a virtual string α can be constructed such that α has underlying Gauss word p and induced word-wise partition P .*

Proof. The three conditions allow us to construct a virtual string α from (p, P) in the following manner. Suppose $p = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)$ and $P = (A_1 \cup A'_1, A_2 \cup A'_2, \dots, A_N \cup A'_N)$. Each word v_n of p can be written in the form $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{2M_n}$ for some $M_n \in \mathbb{N}$ since each word of p has even length by Condition (iii) and Lemma 8.1. Consider a copy R_n of \mathbb{R} with distinguished points $\{1, 2, \dots, 2M_n\}$. The core circle S_n of α corresponding to v_n will be the union of R_n and a point at infinity with orientation extending the right-handed orientation on R_n . A distinguished point a of this core circle will correspond to the endpoint of an arrow in α for the letter $z_a \in E$. If word v_n has a double letter, then $z_a = z_b$ for some indices a and b . Note $a - b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ by Condition (i), so one of the indices a, b is odd and the other is even. A consequence of Condition (v) is that for single letters z_x, z_y of v_n in $A_n \cup A'_n$, we have $x - y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ if z_x, z_y appear in the same set of this union and $x - y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ if z_x, z_y appear in different sets of this union. Therefore the single letters of A_n are all in either even or odd positions in $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{2M_n}$, and the single letters of A'_n all have the other position parity.

Any two distinct words v_j, v_k of p have an even number $2m$ (with $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) of letters of common by Condition (iii), m of which appear in $A_j \cup A'_j$ and m of which appear in $A_k \cup A'_k$ by the definition of word-wise partition. If a single letter of both v_j and v_k is an element of $A_j \cup A'_j$, then attach an arrow to the points in R_j and R_k corresponding to the letter, with the arrow's tail on R_j and its head on R_k . If a single letter of both v_j and v_k is not an element of $A_j \cup A'_j$, then attach an arrow to the points in R_j and R_k corresponding the letter, but with the arrow's head on

R_j and its tail on R_k . Note these arrow assignments are consistent since if a single letter appears in $A_j \cup A'_j$ then it does not appear in $A_k \cup A'_k$ by the definition of word-wise partition. Using the method just described, all arrows between distinct copies of \mathbb{R} can be attached.

If a word $v_n = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{2M_n}$ of p has a double letter, then attach arrows on R_n using the following method. As noted above, the single letters of v_n in A_n all have the same position parity in $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{2M_n}$ and the single letters of v_n in A'_n all have the other position parity by Condition (v). Since the words of p are considered up to circular permutation, assume for convenience that the single letters in A_n are all in odd positions and the single letters in A'_n are all in even positions. Let $i \in E$ be a double letter of v_n , and suppose z_a, z_b are the two occurrences of i in v_n . Recall that one of the indices a, b is odd and the other is even by Condition (i), and that i is an element of either A_n or A'_n by the definition of word-wise partition. Without loss of generality, we may assume a is odd and b is even. If $i \in A_n$, then attach an arrow to R_n with its tail on point a and its head on point b . If $i \in A'_n$, then attach an arrow to R_n with its head on point a and its tail on point b . Note that when a letter in E appears in A_n , the tail of its corresponding arrow is on an odd point of R_n , and when a letter in E appears in A'_n , the tail of its corresponding arrow is on an even point of R_n . Using the method just described, all arrows with tail and head on the same copy of \mathbb{R} can be attached.

Now to construct the virtual string α . The core circle of α corresponding to v_n is the union of R_n and a point at infinity with orientation extending the right-handed orientation on R_n . It follows from the above methods of assigning arrows that the underlying Gauss paragraph of α is p and the induced word-wise partition is P . \square

Lemma 8.3. *Suppose a pair (a Gauss paragraph p in an alphabet E , a word-wise partition P of E) satisfies conditions (i), (iii), and (v) of the main theorem. Let α be a virtual string constructed from (p, P) as in Lemma 8.2, so that the Gauss paragraph of α is p and the induced word-wise partition is P . Let ρ_α be the closed curve that is the canonical realization of α on the surface Σ_α as described in Section 5. Then $H_1(\Sigma_\alpha; \mathbb{Z})$ is a nontrivial free abelian group with a system of free generators consisting of three types of generators: $[S_n]$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, $[e_i]$ for all letters $i \in E$ that are double letters of the words in p , and $[C_d]$ for the cyclic sequences $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$ associated to a subset of the single letters of the words in p that will be described below.*

Proof. A graph G_p can be associated to the Gauss paragraph p in the following way: the words of p correspond to the vertices of G_p , the letters in E correspond to the edges of G_p , and the endpoints of the edge of G_p corresponding to a given letter are the vertices corresponding to the words in which the letter occurs. The graph G_p is connected since by definition p is not a disjoint union of other paragraphs. Label each edge of G_p with the letter in E to which it corresponds. Let \widehat{T} be the set of letters that label the edges of some maximal spanning tree of G_p . Notice that no double letters of the words of p appear in \widehat{T} since the edges labeled by double letters are loops on the vertices of G_p .

Although the virtual string α is an abstract combinatorial object, we can easily associate a trivalent directed graph G_α to α . Notice that the endpoints of the arrows of α divide the core circles of α into oriented arcs. The edges of G_α correspond to these arcs and the arrows of α , with the edges directed in ways that agree with the

orientation of the arcs and a “tail to head” orientation on the arrows. The vertices of G_α correspond to the arrow endpoints of α . If an edge of G_α corresponds to an arrow of α , label it with the letter of E associated to the arrow. The subgraph of G_α that consists of the edges and vertices corresponding to the core circle S_n of α will also be referred to as S_n . Note that G_α is not a tree since each S_n is a cycle in G_α . Observe that the graph G_p is isomorphic to the graph that results from G_α after contracting all S_n to points and stripping directions from the remaining edges.

Pick an edge in each S_n and let \bar{S}_n be the collection S_n excluding the selected edge. Let T be the maximal spanning tree of G_α that is the union of all the \bar{S}_n for $1 \leq n \leq N$ and the set of edges in G_α labeled with the letters in \hat{T} . The labeled edges of G_α not in T correspond to either arrows in α with both endpoints on the same core circle or arrows between different core circles whose labels do not appear in \hat{T} . Therefore the labeled edges of G_α not in T correspond to the letters in E that are either double letters of some word of p or single letters of words that do not appear in \hat{T} , in other words the letters in $E \setminus \hat{T}$. There are N remaining unlabeled edges of G_α not in T , namely one edge in each set $S_n \setminus \bar{S}_n$.

In general, if X is a connected graph that is not a tree, then the first integral homology group of X is a nontrivial free abelian group. Given a maximal spanning tree of X , the first integral homology group of X has a system of free generators that corresponds bijectively to the collection of edges of X not in the tree. Moreover, these generators can be calculated explicitly in a manner that we will utilize later. These results are well known, see for example [5]. Recall G_α is a connected graph that is not a tree and T is a maximal spanning tree of G_α , so $H_1(G_\alpha) = H_1(G_\alpha; \mathbb{Z})$ has a system of free generators in bijective correspondence with the collection of $\#(E \setminus \hat{T}) + N$ edges of G_α not in T . Since ρ is a deformation retract of both G_α and $\Sigma = \Sigma_\alpha$, it follows that $H_1(\Sigma) = H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_1(G_\alpha)$. Therefore we can describe a system of free generators for $H_1(\Sigma)$ with $\#(E \setminus \hat{T}) + N$ generators by investigating $H_1(G_\alpha)$.

As discussed above, there are three types of edges in G_α that are not in T . The first type consists of unlabeled edges, with one in each set $S_n \setminus \bar{S}_n$. Add the edge in $S_n \setminus \bar{S}_n$ to T and “prune” the resulting graph to make a cycle graph, i.e. repeatedly remove all pendant vertices until only a cycle remains. The resulting cycle graph is S_n itself. The generator of $H_1(G_\alpha)$ represented by S_n corresponds to $[S_n] \in H_1(\Sigma)$, so $[S_n]$ is in the system of free generators for $H_1(\Sigma)$. The second type consists of edges labeled with a double letter $i \in E$ of a word v_n in p . Such an edge e has both endpoints in S_n . Add edge e to T and prune the resulting graph to a cycle graph. The resulting cycle graph corresponds to the arrow e_i of α with endpoints a_i, b_i on S_n and either arc $a_i b_i$ or arc $b_i a_i$ on S_n . Therefore the generator of $H_1(G_\alpha)$ represented by the cycle graph corresponds to either $[e_i]$ or $[e_i]^*$ in $H_1(\Sigma)$. We may assume the corresponding generator is $[e_i]$ since $[S_n]$ is also in the system of generators and $[e_i] + [e_i]^* = [S_n]$ in $H_1(\Sigma)$.

The third type of edges to consider are edges labeled with a single letter of two words of p . Such an edge e has endpoints in distinct sets S_m and S_n . Add edge e to T and prune the resulting graph to a cycle graph C , with orientation induced by the edge e . For any of the subgraphs S_k in G_α , the intersection $C \cap S_k$ is either empty or a connected subgraph of S_k . At least two such intersections must be subgraphs because e has an endpoint in S_m and an endpoint in S_n , so suppose there are

$M \geq 2$ total. Then C consists of one connected subgraph in M of the S_k and M edges that connect these subgraphs to make a cycle. Therefore C corresponds to a set of M arrows between M core circles of α and a single arc on each of these M core circles. Let $C(k)$ denote the arc of core circle S_k associated to C if such an arc exists, and let $C'(k)$ denote the arc in the domain of $\rho = \rho_\alpha$ corresponding to $C(k)$. This collection of M arcs is mapped to a loop c on Σ that has segments on M different components of ρ . The generator of $H_1(G_\alpha)$ represented by C then corresponds to the equivalence class $[c] \in H_1(\Sigma)$. The orientation of cycle graph C induces an orientation on c . However, on a given segment $\rho(C'(k))$ of c , the induced orientation may not agree with the orientation of the component $\rho(S'_k)$. Let \bar{c} be the loop on Σ that results from removing the segment $\rho(C'(k))$ from c and replacing it with the segment $\rho(S'_k \setminus (C'(k))^\circ)$. Then $[S_k] + [c] = [\bar{c}]$ in $H_1(\Sigma)$, so we may assume the generator corresponding to C is $[\bar{c}]$. Repeating this procedure for each segment $\rho(C'(k))$ of $\bigcup_{C'(k) \text{ exists}} \rho(C'(k))$ whose induced orientation does not agree with $\rho(S'_k)$, we may conclude that the generator corresponding to C is $[C_d]$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$.

We have now displayed a system of free generators for $H_1(\Sigma)$ with $\#(E \setminus \widehat{T}) + N$ generators, consisting of three kinds of generators: $[S_n]$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, $[e_i]$ for all letters $i \in E$ that are double letters of words in p , and $[C_d]$ for sequences $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$ associated to the letters $i \in E \setminus \widehat{T}$ that are single letters of words in p . \square

9. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Now to finally prove the main theorem.

Proof of the main theorem. Suppose the pair (p, P) is realizable by a closed curve $\rho : \prod_{n=1}^N S'_n \rightarrow S^2$, where each S'_n is a copy of S^1 . Let α be the virtual string underlying ρ , and let S_n denote the core circle of α corresponding to S'_n . Write p as a sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) , where word v_n corresponds to the core circle S_n . Let $e_i = (a_i, b_i)$ for $i \in E$ be a labeling of the arrows of α . If a word w of p has a double letter i , then assume the p -sets p_i and p'_i contain the letters that occur exactly once in the subsequences of w corresponding to the interiors of arcs $a_i b_i$ and $b_i a_i$, respectively, of the core circle of α associated to w . Any two cycles in S^2 have intersection number zero, so the homological intersection form B takes only the value zero in this case. We need to show the seven conditions are satisfied.

For Condition (i), notice that two letters $i, j \in E$ are w -interlaced in word $w = v_m$ of p if and only if the arrows e_i, e_j are linked on S_m . So $\#(w_i)$ is equal to the number of arrows in $\text{arr}_{m,m}(\alpha)$ that are linked with e_i . Therefore $\#(w_i) \equiv n(e_i) \pmod{2}$. Proposition 7.1 implies $n(e_i) = B([e_i], [S_m]) = 0$, so $\#(w_i) \equiv n(e_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $\#(p_i) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N n_{m,k}(e_i) \equiv \sum_{k \neq m} n_{m,k}(e_i) \pmod{2}$ and $\#(p'_i) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N n_{m,k}^*(e_i) \equiv \sum_{k \neq m} n_{m,k}^*(e_i) \pmod{2}$ by Proposition 7.2. But $n_{m,k}(e_i) = B([e_i], [S_k]) = 0$ and $n_{m,k}^*(e_i) = B([e_i]^*, [S_k]) = 0$ when $k \neq m$ by Proposition 7.1. Hence $\#(p_i) \equiv \#(p'_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

For Condition (ii), suppose $i \in E$ is a double letter of a word of p and let v_n be a different word of p . Then $\#(p_i \cap o(v_n)) \equiv B([e_i], [S_n]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\#(p'_i \cap o(v_n)) \equiv B([e_i]^*, [S_n]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by Proposition 7.1.

For Condition (iii), suppose p has at least two words. If v_m and v_n are distinct words of p , then $B([S_m], [S_n])$ and the number of letters that these two words have in common are equivalent modulo two by Proposition 7.3. Since $B([S_m], [S_n]) = 0$,

it follows that the number of letters that v_m and v_n have in common is an even number.

For Condition (iv), if $i, j \in E$ are both double letters of the word w of p and are not w -interlaced, then Proposition 7.4 implies $\#(w_i \cap w_j) \equiv B([e_i], [e_j]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ since we have already shown that Condition (i) is satisfied.

For Condition (v), we must show that P satisfies the two conditions in the definition of compatibility. If $i, j \in E$ are w -interlaced in a word w of p , then $w = ix_1jx_2ix_3jx_4$ for some subsequences x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 of w . By permuting i and j if necessary, we may assume the arrowtails a_i and a_j correspond to the first occurrences of i and j , respectively, and the arrowheads b_i and b_j correspond to the second occurrences of i and j , respectively. If a letter $k \in p_i$, then k either appears in p'_i or occurs in another word of p . The letter k appears in p'_i if and only if $k \in w_i$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \#(p_i) &= \#(w_i) + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_1jx_2\} \\ &= \#(w_i) + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_1\} + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the number of single letters in x_1 and the number of single letters in x_2 have the same parity since we have already shown that Condition (i) is satisfied. Similar arguments for p'_i, p_j , and p'_j give that the numbers of single letters in x_1, x_2, x_3 , and x_4 all have the same parity. Therefore $\#(w_i \cap w_j) + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_1\} \equiv \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_3\} \pmod{2}$. To justify Formula 5.3.3 in the proof of Theorem 5.3.1 in [7], Turaev showed that

$$(1) \quad B([e_i], [e_j]) \equiv q(e_i, e_j) + \#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_1\} + \#(w_i \cap w_j) + 1 \pmod{2}$$

when $\#(w_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, where q is the pairing defined in Section 6. Since $B([e_i], [e_j]) = 0$ and Condition (i) is satisfied, it follows that

$$\#\{\text{single letters of } w \text{ in } x_1\} + \#(w_i \cap w_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

if and only if $q(e_i, e_j) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, i.e. i and j belong to different subsets of P . Hence P satisfies the first condition in the definition of compatibility.

To prove that P satisfies the second condition in the definition of compatibility, suppose $i, j \in E$ are single letters in a word w of p that appear in the union of the two subsets associated to w in P . Then $w = ix_1jx_2$ for some subsequences x_1, x_2 of w . The arrowtails of e_i and e_j are both on the same core circle of the virtual string, specifically the core circle corresponding to word w . We have already shown that Condition (iii) is satisfied, so the length of w is even by Lemma 8.1. Consequently $\ell(x_1) \equiv \ell(x_2) \pmod{2}$. If i and j are in the same subset of P , then $q(e_i, e_j) \equiv \ell(x_1) + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $q(e_j, e_i) \equiv \ell(x_2) + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, so $\ell(x_1) \equiv \ell(x_2) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. If i and j are in different subsets of P , then $q(e_i, e_j) \equiv \ell(x_1) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $q(e_j, e_i) \equiv \ell(x_2) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, so $\ell(x_1) \equiv \ell(x_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Thus P is compatible with p .

Condition (vi) is satisfied because otherwise B takes non-zero values by Proposition 7.5. Theorems 7.6, 7.7, and 7.8 imply Condition (vii) since B takes only the value zero.

Now suppose the pair (p, P) satisfies all seven conditions. Let α be a virtual string constructed from (p, P) as in Lemma 8.2, so that the Gauss word of α is p and the induced word-wise partition is P . Write p as a sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) of words for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_N denote the core circles of α , where word v_n corresponds to the core circle S_n . Let $e_i = (a_i, b_i)$ for $i \in E$ be a labeling of the

arrows of α . If a word w of p has a double letter i , then assume the p -sets p_i and p'_i contain the letters that occur exactly once in the subsequences of w corresponding to the interiors of arcs $a_i b_i$ and $b_i a_i$, respectively, of the core circle of α associated to w . Using the virtual string α , we will show that the pair (p, P) is realizable by a closed curve on S^2 .

If the surface $\Sigma = \Sigma_\alpha$ constructed in Section 5 is a 2-disc with holes, then Σ embeds in S^2 , so the canonical realization of α in Σ gives a realization of α in S^2 . If the intersection form $B : H_1(\Sigma) \times H_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ takes only even values, then Σ is a disc with holes by the classification of compact surfaces. Lemma 8.3 implies that $H_1(\Sigma)$ is a nontrivial free abelian group with a system of free generators consisting of three types of generators: $[S_n]$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, $[e_i]$ for all letters $i \in E$ that are double letters of words of p , and $[C_d]$ for the cyclic sequences $d \in \mathcal{D}_p$ associated to a subset of the single letters of the words in p as described in the proof of the lemma. To show that B takes only even values on $H_1(\Sigma)$, it suffices to show B takes only even values on these generators.

For three cases, Turaev's arguments in the proof of Theorem 5.3.1 in [7] can be generalized as follows. If $i \in E$ is a double letter of the word $w = v_m$ of p , then $B([e_i], [S_m]) = n(e_i)$ by Proposition 7.1. But $n(e_i) \equiv \#(w_i) \pmod{2}$, so $B([e_i], [S_m])$ is even by Condition (i). Suppose $j \in E$ is another double letter of word w . If the arrows e_i and e_j are not linked, then $B([e_i], [e_j]) \equiv \#(w_i \cap w_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by Proposition 7.4 and conditions (i) and (iv). If e_i and e_j are linked, then first assume that their endpoints lie in the cyclic order a_i, a_j, b_i, b_j around S_m , with the subsequence x of w corresponding to the interior of the arc $a_i a_j$ on S_m . If i, j appear in the same subset of P , then $q(e_i, e_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by the construction of α and $\#(w_i \cap w_j) + \#\{\text{single letters in } x\} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ by Condition (v). Therefore $B([e_i], [e_j])$ is even by Formula (1) and Condition (i). If i, j appear in different subsets of P , then $q(e_i, e_j) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ by the construction of α and $\#(w_i \cap w_j) + \#\{\text{single letters in } x\} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by Condition (v). Therefore $B([e_i], [e_j])$ is even by Formula (1) and Condition (i). The case where the arrows e_i and e_j are linked and their endpoints are assumed to lie in the cyclic order a_i, b_j, b_i, a_j around S_m follows from the previous case and the skew-symmetry of B .

We will now consider all other cases. If $i \in E$ is a double letter of the word v_m of p and $j \in E$ is a double letter of a different word v_n of p , then $B([e_i], [e_j]) \equiv \#(p_i \cap p_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by Proposition 7.5 and Condition (vi). Moreover, $B([e_i], [S_n]) \equiv \#(p_i \cap o(v_n)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by Proposition 7.1 and Condition (ii). Proposition 7.3 implies $B([S_m], [S_n])$ is equivalent modulo two to the number of letters that the words v_m and v_n of p have in common, so $B([S_m], [S_n]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by Condition (iii). Since P is compatible with \mathcal{D}_p by Condition (vii), it follows from theorems 7.6, 7.7, and 7.8 that

$$B([S_n], [C_{d_1}]) \equiv B([e_i], [C_{d_1}]) \equiv B([C_{d_1}], [C_{d_2}]) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

for all $1 \leq n \leq N$, double letters $i \in E$ of the words in p , and $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}_p$.

We have proved that B takes only even values on $H_1(\Sigma)$, which implies Σ is a disc with holes. Then Σ embeds in S^2 , giving a realization of α in S^2 induced by the canonical realization of α in Σ . Thus the pair (p, P) is realizable by a closed curve on S^2 . \square

Remark. It may be interesting to write a computer program that implements an algorithm for checking the seven conditions of the main theorem. We already have

some specific ideas about such an algorithm, but these ideas will be postponed until a future paper.

10. ADDITIONAL RESULTS

Throughout this section, let p be a Gauss paragraph in an alphabet E .

Lemma 10.1. *Suppose $i, j \in E$ are w -interlaced in a word w of p . Write w in the form $i x_1 j x_2 i x_3 j x_4$ for some subsequences x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 of w , and assume $p_i = o(i x_1 j x_2 i)$, $p'_i = o(i x_3 j x_4 i)$, $p_j = o(j x_2 i x_3 j)$, and $p'_j = o(j x_4 i x_1 j)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \#(p_i \cap p_j) &= \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \text{out}(x_2) \\ \#(p_i \cap p'_j) &= \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \text{out}(x_1) \\ \#(p'_i \cap p_j) &= \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \text{out}(x_3) \\ \#(p'_i \cap p'_j) &= \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \text{out}(x_4), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{out}(x_k) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ denotes the number of letters that the subsequence x_k has in common with the other words of p .

Proof. Note $\#(p_i \cap p_j)$ is the number of letters that occur exactly once in both p_i and p_j . There are three types of such letters: letters in both x_1 and x_3 , letters in both x_2 and x_4 , and letters in $\text{out}(x_2)$. A letter appears in both x_1 and x_3 or both x_2 and x_4 if and only if it appears in $\#(w_i \cap w_j)$. Hence $\#(p_i \cap p_j) = \#(w_i \cap w_j) + \text{out}(x_2)$. The remaining three claims can be proved similarly. \square

Note that when p is a one-word Gauss paragraph, the above lemma implies $\#(w_i \cap w_j) = \#(p_i \cap p_j) = \#(p_i \cap p'_j) = \#(p'_i \cap p_j) = \#(p'_i \cap p'_j)$ since $\text{out}(x_2) = \text{out}(x_1) = \text{out}(x_3) = \text{out}(x_4) = 0$.

Lemma 10.2. *Suppose $i \in E$ occurs twice in a word w of p . Write w in the form $i x_1 i x_2$ for some subsequences x_1, x_2 of w , and assume $p_i = o(i x_1 i)$ and $p'_i = o(i x_2 i)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \#(p_i) &= \#(w_i) + \text{out}(x_1) \\ \#(p'_i) &= \#(w_i) + \text{out}(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $j \in p_i$, then j either appears in p'_i or occurs in another word of p . The letter j appears in p'_i if and only if $j \in w_i$. The letter j occurs in another word of p if and only if $j \in \text{out}(x_1)$. The equation involving p'_i follows from similar arguments. \square

Theorem 10.3. *Suppose $i, j \in E$ are w -interlaced in a word w of p . Write w in the form $i x_1 j x_2 i x_3 j x_4$ for some subsequences x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 of w , and assume $p_i = o(i x_1 j x_2 i)$ and $p'_i = o(i x_3 j x_4 i)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\#(p_i \cap p_j) \equiv \#(p_i \cap p'_j) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap p_j) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap p'_j) \pmod{2}$;
- (ii) $\text{out}(x_1) \equiv \text{out}(x_2) \equiv \text{out}(x_3) \equiv \text{out}(x_4) \pmod{2}$;
- (iii) $\text{out}(x_1 j x_2) \equiv \text{out}(x_2 i x_3) \equiv \text{out}(x_3 j x_4) \equiv \text{out}(x_4 i x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$;
- (iv) $\#(p_i) \equiv \#(p'_i) \equiv \#(w_i) \pmod{2}$ and $\#(p_j) \equiv \#(p'_j) \equiv \#(w_j) \pmod{2}$.

Proof. Statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent via Lemma 10.1. Statements (ii) and (iii) are equivalent since i and j are double letters of the word w . Statements (iii) and (iv) are equivalent via Lemma 10.2. \square

Proposition 10.4. *Suppose $i \in E$ is a double letter of a word w in p and $j \in E$ is a double letter of a different word w' in p . If*

$$\#(p_i \cap p_j) \equiv \#(p_i \cap p'_j) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap p_j) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap p'_j) \pmod{2},$$

then p_i and p'_i each have an even number of letters in common with word w' , and hence the words w and w' have an even number of letters in common.

Proof. Note $\#(p_i \cap p_j) + \#(p_i \cap p'_j) \equiv \#(p'_i \cap p_j) + \#(p'_i \cap p'_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ by hypothesis. The first and second sums are equal to the number of letters that p_i and p'_i , respectively, have in common with word w' . If both p_i and p'_i have an even number of letters in common with w' , then w must have an even number of letters in common with w' . \square

11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my advisor R.A. Litherland for the many valuable conversations and suggestions, and especially for his patience.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. S. Carter. Classifying immersed curves. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 111(1):281–287, 1991.
- [2] C. H. Dowker and M. B. Thistlethwaite. Classification of knot projections. *Topology Appl.*, 16(1):19–31, 1983.
- [3] C. F. Gauss. *Werke*, pages 272 and 282–286. Teubner, Leipzig, 1900.
- [4] L. Lovász and M. L. Marx. A forbidden substructure characterization of Gauss codes. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 38(1–2):115–119, 1976.
- [5] J. R. Munkres. *Topology: a first course*. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., second edition, 2000.
- [6] P. Rosenstiehl. Solution algébrique du problème de Gauss sur la permutation des points d'intersection d'une ou plusieurs courbes fermées du plan. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 283(8):Ai, A551–A553, 1976.
- [7] V. Turaev. Virtual strings. *ArXiv:math.GT/0310218*, 2003. preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803-4918

E-mail address: `schellho@math.lsu.edu`