TOWARD A CANONICAL QKDV EQUATION

ROBERT CARROLL UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2, 3] we gave a number of formulas for qKdV equations derived in a q-Virasoro context. The constructions were meaningful even though the cocycle terms did not visibly satisfy a Jacobi identity. In the present note we modify the framework slightly and produce a cocycle term (morally equivalent to one of those used in [2, 3]) and thereby exhibit a more convincing candidate for a canonical qKdV equation. It remains to see how this is connected to the qKdV equation from the hierarchy picture. For background on q-analysis etc. we refer to [4].

2. BACKGROUND

We recall first from [2, 3, 5, 6] the following information regarding q-Virasoro constructions. Thus work on S^1 with $(q \neq 0, \pm 1)$

(2.1)
$$\partial_q z = \frac{q^m z^m - q^{-m} z^m}{(q - q^{-1} z)} = z^{m-1}[m]; \ [m] = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

We adapt the formalism of [5] as follows. Let $D_n = -z^{n+1}\partial$ with $\partial : z^m \to q^m [m] z^{m-1}$ so $\partial \sim \partial_q \tau$ where $\tau f(z) = f(qz)$. Generally we will think of $z = e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ so $(1/2\pi i) \int_{S^1} z^n dz = (1/2\pi) \int z^{n+1} d\theta = \delta_{(-1,0)}$ which will be written as (A1) $\int z^n = \delta_{(-1,0)}$. Write also (A2) $\ell_n \sim D_n = -z^{n+1}\partial_q \tau$ (we used $D_n \sim -\ell_n$ in [2, 3] - this produces a few sign changes there but does not affect any basic conclusions). It is known that q-brackets are needed now where

(2.2)
$$[\ell_m, \ell_n]_q = q^{m-n} \ell_m \ell_n - q^{n-m} \ell_n \ell_m = [m-n] \ell_{m+n}$$

For a central term in a putative Vir_q one wants (cf. [2, 3, 5, 6]) a formula (A3) $c[m + 1][m][m-1]\delta_{m+m,0}$ (see below for an optimal term). First we want to formulate the q-bracket in terms of vector fields as follows (the central term will be added later in a somewhat ad hoc manner). This can be done as a direct calculation using the basic definition of ∂ above (cf. also (3.1) below). Thus

(2.3)
$$[z^n\partial, z^m\partial]_q \sim q^{n-m}z^n\partial(z^m\partial) - q^{m-n}z^m\partial(z^n\partial) =$$

$$= (q^{n}[m] - q^{m}[n])z^{m+n-1}\partial = [m-n]z^{m+n-1}\partial = [n-m](-z^{m+n-1}\partial)$$

Let now $v \sim \sum a_n z^n$ and $w \sim \sum b_m z^m$; then we define a bracket in $Vec(S^1)$ via

(2.4)
$$[v\partial, w\partial]_q = -\sum a_n b_m [n-m] z^{m+n-1} \partial$$

Date: March, 2003.

email: rcarroll@math.uiuc.edu.

We defined a bracket of vector fields in [2, 3] so that from (2.4) there resulted a correspondence

(2.5)
$$v'w - vw' \sim -[v\partial_x, w\partial_x] \sim -[v\partial, w\partial]_q = -\{(\tau v)(\partial_q w) - (\tau w)(\partial_q v)\}\tau$$

This dangling τ created some complications in further calculation however and is removed below in the new formulation.

REMARK 2.1. In [5] one defines the q-analogue of the enveloping algebra of the Witt algebra \mathfrak{W} as the associative algebra $\mathfrak{U}_q(\mathfrak{W})$ having generators ℓ_m $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ and relations (2.2). The q-deformed Virasoro algebra is defined as the associative algebra $\mathfrak{U}_q(Vir)$ having generators ℓ_m $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ and relations $(q \neq \text{root of unity})$

(2.6)
$$q^{m-n}\ell_m\ell_n - q^{n-m}\ell_n\ell_m = [m-n]\ell_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[m+1][m][m-1]}{[2][3] < m >} \hat{c}$$

where $\langle m \rangle = q^m + q^{-m}$ and $\hat{c}\ell_m = q^{2m}\ell_m\hat{c}$ (thus \hat{c} is an operator which we examine below and we refer to [2, 3, 5, 6] for the central term). Then $\mathfrak{U}_q(Vir) \sim Vir_q$ is a \mathbb{Z} graded algebra with $deg(\ell_m) = m$ and $deg(\hat{c}) = 0$. One also introduces in [5] a larger algebra $\mathfrak{U}(V_q) =$ associative algebra generated by $J^{\pm 1}$, \hat{c} , d_m $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ with relations

(2.7)
$$JJ^{-1} = J^{-1}J = 1; \ Jd_mJ^{-1} = q^m d_m; \ \hat{c}J = J\hat{c}; \ \hat{c}d_m = q^m d_m\hat{c};$$
$$q^m d_m d_n J - q^n d_n d_m J = [m-n]d_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[m+1][m][m-1]}{[2][3] < m >}\hat{c}$$

The subalgebra of $\mathfrak{U}(V_q)$ generated by $\ell'_m = d_m J$ and $\hat{c}' = \hat{c}J$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ is the same as $\mathfrak{U}_q(Vir)$. It is stated in [6] that V_q is the universal quantum central extension of \mathfrak{W}_q and thus (2.7) is better adapted for optimal algebraic and geometric meaning; it is this aspect which we emphasize in this paper.

In [2, 3] we constructed various forms of qKdV based on ℓ_m and (2.6) but will now redo some constructions in terms of d_m , \hat{c} , and $J^{\pm 1}$ in hopes of producing a genuine cocycle. Recall we had constructed various pseudo-cocycles in the form (omitting multiplicative factors of q)

(2.8)
$$\psi(f\partial, g\partial) = \int (\tau\partial^3 f)(\tau g); \ \psi' = \int (\tau g)\tau(\partial^2 \tau^2 (\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1}\partial \tau^{-5} f;$$
$$\psi''(f\partial, g\partial) = \int (\tau g)(\tau^{-4}\partial^2 (\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1}\partial (\tau^2 f); \ \psi'''(f\partial, g\partial) = \int (\tau g)(\tau (\tau^{-5}\partial^3 \tilde{\Gamma}^{-1} f))$$
where $\tilde{\Gamma} = (q^{-1}\tau + q\tau^{-1}).$ We recall also from [6]

(2.9)
$$[d_m, d_n] = J d_m J^{-1} d_n J - J d_n J^{-1} d_m J =$$
$$= q^m d_m d_n J - q^n d_n d_m J = q^{-n} J d_m d_n - q^{-m} J d_n d_m$$

Further $\hat{c}d_m = q^m d_m \hat{c}$ suggests $\hat{c} = \tau$ here. Indeed we first correct the definition of d_n from [6] since d_m is being used as $\ell_m \tau^{-1}$. Dropping the minus sign momentarily, from $\ell_m = z^{m+1} \partial_q \tau$ we get then (A4) $d_m = z^{m+1} \partial_q$. Then, using (A5) $\partial_q \tau = q \tau \partial_q$, one obtains $\tau d_m = \tau (z^{m+1} \partial_q) = q^m z^{m+1} \partial_q \tau$ and $\tau^{-1} d_m = q^{-m} d_m \tau^{-1}$. In addition $J d_m J^{-1} = q^m d_m$ corresponds to $J d_m = q^m d_m J$ so we identify $J = \tau$. Writing $\ell_m = d_m J = d_m \tau$ we can also easily see that the brackets $[d_m, d_n]$ above are exactly the q-brackets (A6) $[\ell_m, \ell_n]_q =$

 $q^m\ell_m\ell_n - q^n\ell_n\ell_m.$

Now in [6] a Jacobi type identity is used involving an operator $\sigma(x) = (1/2)(\tau + \tau^{-1})(x)$ for $x \in \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$. This seems to be better phrased in terms of an operator (A7) $\Gamma(d_p) = \langle p \rangle d_p$ which avoids the need to carry τ around otherwise. Then we can check that the rule in (2.7), rewritten as (A8) $[d_m, d_n] = [m - n]d_{m+n} + \gamma_m \delta_{m+n,0}\hat{c}$ will yield

(2.10)
$$[[d_m, d_n], \Gamma(d_p)] + [[d_n, d_p], \Gamma(d_m)] + [[d_p, d_m], \Gamma(d_n)] = \Xi_{m,n,p} = 0$$

This is based on two identities; one, stated in [2], is

$$(2.11) \quad [m-n][m+n-p] +[n-p][n+p-m] < m > +[p-m][p+m-n] < n > = 0$$

The second is

(2.12)
$$[p+1][p][p-1][m-n] + [m+1][m][m-1][n-p] + + [n+1][n][n-1][p-m] = 0; \ (m+n+p=0)$$

The first proof is straightforward and for the second we note that one can write it in the form

(2.13)
$$[m+1][m][m-1][2n+m] - [m+n-1][m+n][m+n+1][m-n] - [n+1][n][n-1][n+2m] = 0$$

we recall
$$\langle p \rangle = q^p + q^{-p}$$
 and the trick is to rewrite (2.13) in the form

$$(2.14) \qquad [(< 2m + 1 > - < 1 >)(< 2n + 2m - 1 > - < 2n + 1 >)] - -[(< 2m + 2n - 1 > - < 1 >)(< 2m + 1 > - < 2n + 1 >)] - -[(< 2n + 1 > - < 1 >)(< 2n + 2m - 1 > - < 2m + 1 >)] = 0$$

(the 0 following by a simple calculation). Now to prove (2.10) we write e.g.

(2.15)
$$[[d_m, d_n], d_p] = [[m - n]d_{m+n} + \gamma_m \delta_{m+n,0} \hat{c}, d_p]$$

and note that $\hat{c} = \tau \sim d_0$ so from (2.9) $[\hat{c}, d_p] = q^0 \tau d_p \tau - q^p d_p \tau^2 = q^p (1-1)(d_p \tau^2) = 0.$ Hence we get

 d_n]

(2.16)
$$\Xi_{m,n,p} = [[m-n]d_{m+n}, d_p] + \\ + [[n-p]d_{n+p}, < m > d_m] + [[p-m]d_{p+m}, < n > \\$$

Reversing the bracket order, there will be terms

(2.17)
$$([m-n] [p-m-n] + [n-p] < m > [m-n-p] + + [p-m] < n > [n-p-m]) d_{p+m+n}$$

which vanishes by (2.11), and

(2.18)
$$([m-n] \gamma_p + [n-p] < m > \gamma_m + [p-m] < n > \gamma_n) \,\delta_{p+m+n,0}\hat{c}$$

which is zero by (2.12). This shows that (2.10) will hold and V_q will be a genuine central extension of \mathfrak{W}_q , with a reasonable Jacobi identity (2.10).

3. COCYCLES

The cocycle search involved finding $\psi(f\partial, g\partial)$ where $\partial \sim \partial_q \tau$ and we gave some pseudoexamples ψ', ψ'', ψ''' in (2.8). Now in (2.3) - (2.5) we recall $\ell_m \sim -z^{m+1}\partial = -z^{m+1}\partial_q \tau$ and a d_m formulation would drop the τ . Thus work with ∂_q instead of $\partial = \partial_q \tau$ with (A9) $\partial_q z^{p+1} = q^{p+1} z^{p+1} \partial_q + [p+1] z^p \tau^{-1}$ based on $\partial_q f = (\tau f) \partial_q + (\partial_q f) \tau^{-1}$. Then

(3.1)
$$[d_m, d_n] = [z^{m+1}\partial_q, z^{n+1}\partial_q] = q^m d_m d_n \tau - q^n d_n d_m \tau = q^m z^{m+1} \partial_q z^{n+1} \partial_q \tau - q^n z^{n+1} \partial_q z^{m+1} \partial_q \tau = [n-m] z^{n+m+1} \partial_q = [m-n] d_{m+n}$$

In this context the $\delta_{m+n,0}$ term does not arise. Note here $\tau^{-1}\partial_q = q\partial_q\tau^{-1}$ and (A10) $q^{m+1}[n+1] = q^{n+1}[m+1] = [n-m]$. Let now $v \sim \sum v_{n+1}z^{n+1}$ and $w = \sum w_{m+1}z^{m+1}$; then

(3.2)
$$[v\partial_q, w\partial_q] = [\sum v_{n+1}d_n, \sum w_{m+1}d_m] = \sum v_{n+1}w_{m+1}[d_n, d_m] = \sum v_{n+1}w_{m+1}[n-m]z^{n+m+1}\partial_q$$

Going back to (3.1) this corresponds then to

(3.3)
$$[v\partial_q, w\partial_q] = [(\tau v)(\partial_q w) - (\tau w)(\partial_q v)]\partial_q$$

and this is exactly (2.5) but with the offending τ removed.

Now try to build in a cocycle term automatically by using (2.7) in (3.1) so that a term arises of the form

(3.4)
$$[z^{m+1}\partial_q, z^{n+1}\partial_q] = [d_m, d_n] = [m-n]d_{m+n} + \gamma_m \delta_{m+n,0} \tau$$

For $[v\partial_q, w\partial_q]$ we get then an additional term

(3.5)
$$\sum v_{n+1}w_{m+1}\gamma_n\delta_{m+n,0}\tau = \sum v_{n+1}w_{-n+1}\frac{[n+1][n][n-1]\tau}{\langle n \rangle [2][3]}$$

Now consider integrals involving w and

(3.6)
$$(\partial_q^3 v) = \sum v_{n+1}[n+1][n][n-1]z^{n-2}$$

over S^1 . If we write $(\tau + \tau^{-1})z^n = (q^n + q^{-n})z^n = \langle n \rangle z^n$ then look at

(3.7)
$$(\partial_q^2(\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1}(\partial_q v)) = \sum v_{n+1} \frac{[n+1][n][n-1]}{\langle n \rangle} z^{n-2} = \sum v_{n+1} \tilde{\gamma} z^{n-2}$$

This starts to resemble ψ'' in (2.9). Then for a = 1/[2][3]

(3.8)
$$a\int w(\partial_q^2(\tau+\tau^{-1})^{-1}(\partial_q v)) = \int \sum w_{m+1}v_{n+1}z^{m+n-1}\gamma_n =$$
$$= \sum v_{n+1}w_{-n+1}\gamma_n = \phi(v\partial_q, w\partial_q)$$

which agrees with (2.8). Consider $\phi(w\partial_q, v\partial_q)$ with, for $n \to -n$, (A11) $\sum w_{n+1}v_{-n+1}\gamma_n\tau = -\sum v_{n+1}w_{-n+1}\gamma_n\tau$ since $\gamma_{-n} = -\gamma_n$. Hence ϕ is antisymmetric. For a Jacobi condition we go to (2.10) and consider (cf. [2, 3])

$$(3.9) \quad \phi([v\partial_q, w\partial_q], \Gamma(u\partial_q)) + \phi([w\partial_q, u\partial_q], \Gamma(v\partial_q)) + \phi([u\partial_q, v\partial_q], \Gamma(w\partial_q)) = \Upsilon(u, v, w)$$

Since $\phi(v\partial_q, w\partial_q) = -\phi(w\partial_q, v\partial_q)$ we can reverse all brackets and consider

(3.10)
$$\Gamma(u\partial_q) \sim \sum u_{p+1} z^{p+1}\partial_q; \quad [v\partial_q, w\partial_q] \sim \sum v_{n+1}w_{m+1}[n-m]z^{n+m+1}\partial_q;$$
$$a\partial_q^2(\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1}\partial_q u = \sum u_{p+1}\gamma_p z^{p-2}$$

Then (3.8) gives

(3.11)
$$\phi([v\partial_q, w\partial_q], \Gamma(u\partial_q)) = -\phi(\Gamma(u\partial_q), [v\partial_q, w\partial_q]) =$$
$$= -\int \sum \langle p \rangle u_{p+1}\gamma_p z^{p-2} \sum v_{n+1}w_{m+1}[n-m]z^{n+m+1} =$$
$$= -\sum \langle p \rangle \gamma_p[n-m]u_{p+1}v_{n+1}w_{m+1}\delta_{m+n+p,0}$$

Similarly for each $u_{p+1}v_{n+1}w_{m+1}$ we will have contributions with coefficients $[n-p] < m > \gamma_m$ and $[p-m] < n > \gamma_n$ (cf. (2.18)), the sum of which is zero by (2.12). Consequently

THEOREM 3.1. The term $\phi(v\partial_a, w\partial_q)$ in (3.8) is a cocycle and following the constructions in [2, 3] one has a possibly canonical qKdV equation in the form (a = 1/[2][3])

(3.12)
$$u_t + c' \partial_q^2 (\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1} \partial_q u + \partial_q (u\tau u) + \tau^{-1} u \partial_q \tau^{-1} u$$

Proof. We modify slightly the constructions in [2, 3] and take a duality expression

(3.13)
$$\langle (v\partial_q, a), (u, c) \rangle = \int vu + ac$$

(cf. [1]). Then write

$$(3.14) \qquad q < [f\partial_q, a), (g\partial_q, b)], (u, c) > = -q \int [f\partial_q, g\partial_q] u + cq\phi(f\partial_q, g\partial_q) = = -q \int [(\tau f)(\partial_q g) - (\tau f)(\partial_q f)] u + caq \int g\partial_q^2 (\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1} \partial_q^2 f$$

We note from [2] that (A12) $q \int fg = \int \tau^{-1} f\tau^{-1}g$ and $\int \partial_q f = 0$ while from (A13) $\partial_q(gfu) = \partial_q f\tau^{-1}(fu) + (\tau g)\partial_q(fu)$ (via $\partial_q(ab) = (\tau a)\partial_q b + (\partial_a)\tau^{-1}b$). The first term in (3.14) becomes then

(3.15)
$$-q \int u(\tau f)\partial_q g = -q \int \partial_q g \tau^{-1}(\tau u \tau^2 f) =$$
$$= q \int \tau g \partial_q (\tau u \tau^2 f) = \int g \tau^{-1} \partial_q (\tau u \tau^2 f)$$

Consequently (3.14) becomes

(3.16)
$$\int g[\tau^{-1}\partial_q(\tau u\tau^2 f) + \tau^{-1}(u\partial_q f) + aqc\partial_q^2(\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1}\partial_q f]$$

Putting f = u we obtain the Euler equation as in [2, 3], namely

(3.17)
$$qu_t = -qca\partial_q^2(\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1}\partial_q u - \tau^{-1}\partial_q(\tau u\tau^2 u) - \tau^{-1}(u\partial_q u)$$

Using also $\tau^{-1}\partial_q = q\partial_q\tau^{-1}$ we obtain (3.12) with c' = ac. **QED**

REMARK 3.1. One notes that (3.12) is morally equivalent to (4.19) in Theorem 4.3 of [2] since $\psi'' \sim \phi$ in the more tightly constrained framework of [2]. Further in view of the expression (A14) $(\tau + \tau^{-1})^{-1} \sim \tau \sum (-1)^n \tau^{2n}$ the equation (3.12) involves an infinite number of terms (much as are indicated for qKdV in the hierarchy picture in [2, 3]. Since we now have a derivation with all of the classical algebraic and geometrical structure duplicated it seems that (3.12) could be a good candidate for a canonical form. Since the hierarchy qKdV is surely equally canonical one could anticipate an equivalence. However the KdV equation arises in many different ways in mathematics and physics and some caution should be employed in asserting that any particular form is canonical.

References

- [1] V. Arnold and B. Khesin, Topological methods in hydrodynamics, Springer, 1998
- [2] R. Carroll, math.QA 0301361
- [3] R. Carroll, Versions of quantum KdV, Inter. Jour. Pure Appl. Math., to appear
- [4] R. Carroll, Calculus revisited, Kluwer, 2002
- [5] K. Liu, Jour. Algebra, 171 (1995), 606-630
- [6] K. Liu, Comptes Rendus Math. Repts. Acad. Sci. Canada, 13 (1991), 135-140