

FLABBY STRICT DEFORMATION QUANTIZATIONS AND K-GROUPS

HANFENG LI

ABSTRACT. We construct examples of flabby strict deformation quantizations not preserving K -groups. This answers a question of Rieffel negatively.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the passage from classical mechanics to quantum mechanics, one replaces smooth functions on symplectic manifolds (more generally, Poisson manifolds) by operators on Hilbert spaces, and replaces the Poisson bracket of smooth functions by commutators of operators. Thinking of classical mechanics as limits of quantum mechanics, one requires that the Poisson brackets becomes limits of commutators.

There is an algebraic way of studying such process using formal power series, called *deformation quantization* [1, 11]. In order to study it in a stricter way, Rieffel introduced [5] *strict deformation quantization* of Poisson manifolds, within the framework of C^* -algebras. He showed that noncommutative tori arise naturally as strict deformation quantizations of the ordinary torus in the direction of certain Poisson bracket. After that, a lot of interesting examples of strict deformation quantizations have been constructed. See [7, 8] and the references therein.

Recall the definition of strict deformation quantization [5, 8]:

Definition 1.1. *Let M be a Poisson manifold, and let $C_\infty(M)$ be the algebra of continuous functions on M vanishing at ∞ . By a strict deformation quantization of M we mean a dense $*$ -subalgebra A of $C_\infty(M)$ closed under the Poisson bracket, together with a continuous field of C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_\hbar over a closed subset I of the real line containing 0 as a non-isolated point, and linear maps $\pi_\hbar : A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\hbar$ for each $\hbar \in I$, such that*

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_0 = C_\infty(M)$ and π_0 is the canonical inclusion of A into $C_\infty(M)$,
- (2) the section $(\pi_\hbar(f))$ is continuous for every $f \in A$,
- (3) for all $f, g \in A$ we have

$$\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \| [\pi_\hbar(f), \pi_\hbar(g)] / (i\hbar) - \pi_\hbar(\{f, g\}) \| = 0,$$

- (4) π_\hbar is injective and $\pi_\hbar(A)$ is a dense $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_\hbar for every $\hbar \in I$.

If $A \supseteq C_c^\infty(M)$, the space of compactly supported smooth functions on M , we say that the strict deformation quantization is flabby.

Condition (4) above enables us to define a new $*$ -algebra structure and a new C^* -norm on A at each \hbar by pulling back the $*$ -algebra structure and norm of $\pi_\hbar(A) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\hbar$ to A via π_\hbar . Condition (2) means that this deformation of the $*$ -algebra structure and norm on A is continuous.

Date: March 12, 2003.

Given a strict deformation quantization, a natural question is whether the deformed C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_\hbar have the same "algebraic topology", in particular, whether they have isomorphic K -groups. Rieffel's quantization of Poisson manifolds induced from actions of \mathbb{R}^d [6] and many other examples [4] are known to preserve K -groups. Rieffel showed examples of non-flabby strict deformation quantizations not preserving K -groups, and asked [8, Question 18]: *Are the K -groups of the deformed C^* -algebras of any flabby strict deformation quantization all isomorphic?*

Shim [9] showed that above question has a native answer if one allows orbifolds. But it is not clear whether one can adapt the method there to get smooth examples.

Rieffel also pointed out that in any strict deformation quantization of a non-zero Poisson bracket if one reparametrizes by replacing \hbar by \hbar^2 one obtains a strict deformation quantization of the 0 Poisson bracket. Thus to answer Rieffel's question it suffices to consider strict deformation quantizations of the 0 Poisson bracket.

The main purpose of this paper is to answer above question. In Section 2 we give a general method of constructing flabby strict deformation quantization for the 0 Poisson bracket. In particular, we prove

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a manifold with $\dim M \geq 2$, equipped with the 0 Poisson bracket. If $\dim M$ is even (odd reps.), then for any integers $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq 0$ ($n_1 \geq n_0 \geq 0$ resp.) there is a flabby strict deformation quantization $\{\mathcal{A}_\hbar, \pi_\hbar\}_{\hbar \in I}$ of M over $I = [0, 1]$ with $A = C_c^\infty(M)$ such that $K_i(\mathcal{A}_\hbar) \cong K_i(C_\infty(M)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n_i}$ for all $0 < \hbar \leq 1$ and $i = 0, 1$.*

Theorem 1.2 is far from being the most general result one can obtain using our construction in Section 2. However, it illustrates clearly that a lot of manifolds equipped with the 0 Poisson bracket have flabby strict deformation quantizations not preserving K -groups.

In order to accommodate some other interesting examples such as Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds, Landsman [2] introduced a weaker notion *strict quantization*. This is defined similarly as strict deformation quantizations, but without requiring the condition (4) in Definition 1.1. If π_\hbar is injective for each $\hbar \in I$ we say that the strict quantization is *faithful*. It is natural to ask for the precise relation between strict quantizations and strict deformation quantizations. Rieffel also raised the question [8, Question 25]: *Is there an example of a faithful strict quantization such that it is impossible to restrict π_\hbar to a dense $*$ -subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ to get a strict deformation quantization of M ?* Adapting our method in Section 2 we also give such an example for every manifold M equipped with the 0 Poisson manifold. In [3] strict quantizations are constructed for every Poisson manifold, and it is impossible to restrict them to dense $*$ -subalgebras to get strict deformation quantization unless the Poisson bracket is 0 [3, Corollary 5.6]. Thus we get a complete answer to Rieffel's question.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Marc Rieffel for many helpful discussions and suggestions.

2. STRICT DEFORMATION QUANTIZATIONS FOR THE 0 POISSON BRACKET

We start with a general method of deforming a C^* -algebra. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and $A \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ a dense $*$ -subalgebra. Let $I(A) = \{b \in \mathcal{M}(A) : bA, Ab \subseteq A\}$

be the idealizer of A in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} . Then $I(A)$ is a $*$ -algebra containing A as an ideal, and for every $b \in (I(A))_{sa}$ clearly bAb is a $*$ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . If furthermore the multiplication by b is injective on A , that is, $b \notin \text{Ann} := \{b' \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) : b'a = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \neq a \in A\}$, then we may pull back the algebra structure and norm on bAb to define a new multiplication \times_b and a new norm $\|\cdot\|_b$ on A via the bijection $A \rightarrow bAb$. Explicitly, $a \times_b a' = ab^2a'$ and $\|a\|_b = \|bab\|$. The completion of $(A, \times_b, \|\cdot\|_b)$ is isomorphic to \overline{bAb} naturally.

Let X be a topological space, and consider a bounded map $x \mapsto b_x$ from X to $(I(A))_{sa} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ continuous with respect to the strict topology [10] on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e. $x \mapsto \bar{a} \cdot b_t$ and $x \mapsto b_x \cdot \bar{a}$ are norm-continuous for every $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then it follows easily that $x \mapsto b_x ab_x$ is norm-continuous for every $a \in A$. Thus we get a continuous field of C^* -algebras $\{\overline{b_x Ab_x}\}_{x \in X}$ over X as a subfield of the trivial continuous field of C^* -algebras $X \times \mathcal{A}$, and it contains $(b_x ab_x)$ as a continuous section for every $a \in A$.

Now we specialize to the commutative case. Let M be a manifold, and let $\mathcal{A} = C_\infty(M)$, $A = C_c^\infty(M)$. Then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is the space $C_b(M)$ consisting of all bounded continuous functions on M , and $I(A)$ is the space $C_b^\infty(M)$ consisting of all bounded smooth functions on M . Given $b \in I(A)$, it is not in Ann exactly if the zero set Z_b of b is nowhere dense. Clearly $C_c^\infty(M \setminus Z_b) \subseteq bAb \subseteq C_\infty(M \setminus Z_b)$, and hence $\overline{bAb} = C_\infty(M \setminus Z_b)$. Let $X = I = [0, 1]$. If $\hbar \mapsto b_\hbar$ is a bounded map from I to $C_b^\infty(M)$ continuous with respect to the strict topology, then we get a continuous field of C^* -algebras over I with fibre $C_\infty(M \setminus Z_b)$ at \hbar and $(\pi_\hbar(a))$ is a continuous section for each $a \in A$, where $\pi_\hbar(a) = b_\hbar ab_\hbar$. If furthermore $b_0 = 1$ then the condition (1) of Definition 1.1 is satisfied. Notice that the condition (3) of Definition 1.1 holds trivially in our construction for the 0 Poisson bracket. Summarizing above discussion we have reached:

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a manifold equipped with the 0 Poisson bracket. For any bounded map $\hbar \mapsto b_\hbar$ from $I = [0, 1]$ to $(C_b^\infty(M))_{sa}$ continuous respect to the strict topology, if $b_0 = 1$ and the zero set Z_{b_\hbar} of b_\hbar is nowhere dense for every $\hbar \in I$, then there is a flabby strict deformation quantization $\{\mathcal{A}_\hbar, \pi_\hbar\}_{\hbar \in I}$ of M over I with $A = C_c^\infty(M)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\hbar = C_\infty(M \setminus Z_{b_\hbar})$ for every $\hbar \in I$.*

Example 2.2. *Let $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. Take a bounded smooth real-valued function F on \mathbb{R}^n such that $F = 1$ in a neighborhood of the origin and F vanishes exactly at one point P . Set $b_0 = 1$ and $b_\hbar(x) = F(\hbar x)$ for all $0 < \hbar \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for each $0 < \hbar \leq 1$ the space $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z_{b_\hbar} = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{P/\hbar\}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times S^{n-1}$. Now by Proposition 2.1 there is a flabby strict deformation quantization $\{\mathcal{A}_\hbar, \pi_\hbar\}_{\hbar \in I}$ of \mathbb{R}^n over $I = [0, 1]$ with $A = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\hbar = C_\infty(M \setminus Z_{b_\hbar}) \cong C_\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^{n-1})$ for every $0 < \hbar \leq 1$. Then $K_i(\mathcal{A}_\hbar) \cong K_{i+1}(S^{n-1})$ [10] for every $0 < \hbar \leq 1$. Thus when n is odd $K_i(\mathcal{A}_\hbar) \not\cong K_i(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all $0 < \hbar \leq 1$ and $i = 0, 1$. When n is even, $K_1(\mathcal{A}_\hbar) \not\cong K_1(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all $0 < \hbar \leq 1$.*

When M is compact, in Proposition 2.1 b_\hbar has to be invertible for small \hbar and consequently $\mathcal{A}_\hbar = C_\infty(M)$. Thus in order to construct strict deformation quantizations for compact M such that the K -groups of \mathcal{A}_\hbar are not isomorphic to those of $C_\infty(M)$ for any $\hbar \neq 0$, we have to modify the construction in Proposition 2.1. Notice that if we set $\pi'_\hbar(a + \lambda) = b_\hbar ab_\hbar + \lambda$ for $a \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ in Example 2.2, then we get a strict deformation quantization of S^n equipped with the 0 Poisson bracket. This leads to Proposition 2.4 below.

Notation 2.3. We denote by \mathcal{F}_m the space of smooth real-valued functions F on \mathbb{R}^m such that F is equal to 1 outside a compact set and the zero set Z_F of F is nowhere dense.

Proposition 2.4. Let M be a manifold equipped with the 0 Poisson bracket. Let U be an open subset of M with a diffeomorphism $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}_m$ there is a flabby strict deformation quantization $\{A_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}\}_{\hbar \in I}$ of M over $I = [0, 1]$ with $A = C_c^\infty(M)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} \cong C_\infty(M/Y)$ for every $0 < \hbar \leq 1$, where $Y = \varphi^{-1}(Z_F \cup \{0\})$.

Proof. Shrinking U if necessary, we pick an $H \in (C_c^\infty(M))_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that H is equal to 1 on U . Let A' be the space of functions in $C_c^\infty(M)$ vanishing at $\varphi^{-1}(0)$. Then $C_c^\infty(M) = A' \oplus \mathbb{C}H$ as complex vector spaces.

Set $F_0 = 1$ and $F_{\hbar}(x) = F(x/\hbar)$ for all $0 < \hbar \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $F_{\hbar} \in \mathcal{F}_m$ and we can extend the pull-back $F_{\hbar} \circ \varphi \in C^\infty(U)$ to a smooth function b_{\hbar} on M by setting it to be 1 outside U . Clearly $b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar}$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of A' , and $H^2 - H = b_{\hbar}(H^2 - H)b_{\hbar} \in b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar}$. Then it is easy to see that $b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar} + \mathbb{C}H$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $C_c^\infty(M)$ and the linear map $\pi_{\hbar} : C_c^\infty(M) \rightarrow b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar} + \mathbb{C}H$ defined by $\pi_{\hbar}(a' + \lambda H) = b_{\hbar}a'b_{\hbar} + \lambda H$ is bijective. For each $a' \in A'$ clearly the map $\hbar \mapsto b_{\hbar}a'b_{\hbar} \in C_\infty(M)$ is continuous on $I = [0, 1]$. Thus for each $a \in A = C_c^\infty(M)$, $(\pi_{\hbar}(a))$ is a continuous section in the continuous subfield $\{\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} = \overline{b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar} + \mathbb{C}H}\}_{\hbar \in I}$ of the trivial field $I \times C_\infty(M)$ over I . Therefore $\{\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}\}_{\hbar \in I}$ is a flabby strict deformation quantization of M .

Set $Y_{\hbar} = \varphi^{-1}((\hbar Z_F) \cup \{0\})$. Clearly $C_c^\infty(M \setminus Y_{\hbar}) \subseteq b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar} \subseteq C_\infty(M \setminus Y_{\hbar})$. Thus $\overline{b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar} + \mathbb{C}H}$ is exactly the space of functions in $C_\infty(M)$ taking the same value on Y_{\hbar} , which is just $C_\infty(M/Y_{\hbar})$. When $0 < \hbar \leq 1$, the space M/Y_{\hbar} is homeomorphic to M/Y , and hence $\overline{b_{\hbar}A'b_{\hbar} + \mathbb{C}H} \cong C_\infty(M/Y)$ as desired. \square

Next we describe a case in which we can relate the K -groups of $C_\infty(M/Y)$ to those of $C_\infty(M)$ easily:

Lemma 2.5. Let D be the subset of \mathbb{R}^m consisting of points (x_1, \dots, x_m) with $0 < x_1, \dots, x_m < 1$. Let M, φ, F and Y be as in Proposition 2.4. Suppose that $\partial D \subseteq Z_F \subseteq \bar{D}$. Then

$$K_i(C_\infty(M \setminus Y)) \cong K_i(C_\infty(M)) \oplus K_i(C_\infty(D \setminus Z_F))$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

Proof. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow M/Y$ be the quotient map, and let $W = \phi(M \setminus \varphi^{-1}(D))$. Then W is a closed subset of M/Y , and the complement is homeomorphic to $D \setminus Z_F$. Clearly there is a proper retraction $\psi : M/Y \rightarrow W$, i.e. $\psi|_W = \text{identity}$. Thus the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_\infty(D \setminus Z_F) \rightarrow C_\infty(M/Y) \rightarrow C_\infty(W) \rightarrow 0$$

splits. Therefore $K_i(C_\infty(M \setminus Y)) \cong K_i(C_\infty(W)) \oplus K_i(C_\infty(D \setminus Z_F))$ for $i = 0, 1$. Now Lemma 2.5 follows from the fact that W is homeomorphic to M . \square

Notice that if a compact set $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is the zero set of some non-negative $f \in C^\infty(M)$, then it is also the zero set of some $F \in \mathcal{F}_m$ (for instance, take a non-negative $g \in C_c^\infty(M)$ with $g|_Z = 1$ and set $F(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f(x)+g(x)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$). Also notice that if closed subsets Z_1 and Z_2 of \mathbb{R}^m are the zero sets of non-negative

smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^m , then so are $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ and $Z_1 \cup Z_2$. From these observation we get easily

Lemma 2.6. *Let $m \geq 2$, and let D be as in Lemma 2.5. For any $k, j \geq 0$ and $1 \leq s \leq 2$ there exists an $F \in \mathcal{F}_m$ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 2.5 such that $D \setminus Z_F$ is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of k many \mathbb{R}^m and j many $\mathbb{R}^s \times S^{m-s}$.*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The case in which $\dim M$ is even follows from Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 by taking $k = n_0 - n_1, j = n_1, s = 1$ in Lemma 2.6. Similarly the case in which $\dim M$ is odd follows by taking $k = n_1 - n_0, j = n_0, s = 2$. \square

Finally we discuss how to adapt our method to construct strict quantizations which can't be restricted to dense $*$ -subalgebras to yield strict deformation quantizations. Notice that if we relax the condition $b_{\hbar} \in (C_b^\infty(M))_{sa}$ in Proposition 2.1 to $b_{\hbar} \in (C_b(M))_{sa}$ and set $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} = C^*(b_{\hbar}Ab_{\hbar})$ we get a faithful strict quantization of M over $I = [0, 1]$ with $A = C_c^\infty(M)$ and $\pi_{\hbar}(a) = b_{\hbar}ab_{\hbar}$. Take a nonnegative $F \in C_b(M)$ such that F is not smooth at some point P . Set $b_{\hbar} = ((1 - \hbar) + \hbar F)^{1/2}$ for $\hbar \in I$. Let $B \subseteq A$ be a dense $*$ -subalgebra. Then we can find $f \in B$ such that $f(P) \neq 0$. Clearly $f^2 b_{\hbar}^2$ is not smooth at P for $0 < \hbar \leq 1$. It follows that $(\pi_{\hbar}(f))^2$ is not in $\pi_{\hbar}(B)$ for $0 < \hbar \leq 1$. Thus we get:

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be a manifold equipped with the 0 Poisson bracket. Then there is a faithful strict quantization $\{\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}\}_{\hbar \in I}$ of M over $I = [0, 1]$ with $A = C_c^\infty(M)$ such that it is impossible to restrict π_{\hbar} to a dense $*$ -subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ to get a strict deformation quantization of M .*

REFERENCES

- [1] BAYEN, F., FLATO, M., FRONSDAL, C., LICHNEROWICZ, A., STERNHEIMER, D.: *Deformation theory and quantization, I, II*. Ann. Phys. **111.1** (1978), 61–110, 111–151.
- [2] LANDSMAN, N. P.: *Mathematical topics between classical and quantum mechanics*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [3] LI, H.: *Strict quantizations of almost Poisson manifolds* (2003). arXiv:math.QA/0303078.
- [4] NAGY, G.: *Deformation quantization and K-theory*. In: *Perspectives on Quantization*, COBURN, L., RIEFFEL, M. A., EDS., Contemp. Math **214**, 1998, 111–134.
- [5] RIEFFEL, M. A.: *Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds*. Comm. Math. Phys. **122.4** (1989), 531–562.
- [6] RIEFFEL, M. A.: *K-groups of C^* -algebras deformed by actions of \mathbb{R}^d* . J. Funct. Anal. **116** (1993), 199–214.
- [7] RIEFFEL, M. A.: *Quantization of C^* -algebras*. In: *C^* -algebras: 1943-1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993)*, 66–97. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [8] RIEFFEL, M. A.: *Questions on quantization*. In: *Operator algebras and operator theory (Shanghai, 1997)*, 315–326. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. arXiv:quant-ph/9712009.
- [9] SHIM, J.-K.: *A negative answer to a question by Rieffel* (2001). arXiv:math.QA/0108061.
- [10] WEGGE-OLSEN, N. E.: *K-theory and C^* -algebras. A friendly approach*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [11] WEINSTEIN, A.: *Deformation Quantization*. Astérisque **227** (1995), 389–409, Séminaire Bourbaki 1993-4, No. 789.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO ON M5S 3G3, CANADA
E-mail address: hli@fields.toronto.edu