# TWISTED CONJUGACY CLASSES, COADJOINT ORBITS OF LOOP GROUPS, AND D-BRANES IN THE WZW MODEL

STEPHAN MOHRDIECK AND ROBERT WENDT

February 8, 2020

ABSTRACT. We review the classification of (twisted) conjugacy classes of a simply connected compact Lie group G and give a description of their stabilizers in terms of the Dynkin diagram of the corresponding (twisted) affine Lie algebra. We then show that (twisted) conjugacy classes which satisfy a certain integrality condition correspond naturally to irreducible highest weight representations of the corresponding (twisted) affine Lie algebra.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Due to their connections to so called *D*-branes in the Wess-Zumino-Witten model, (twisted) conjugacy classes of compact Lie groups have recently attracted some attention in the physics literature [AS], [G], [FFFS]. It has been observed in [St] that (twisted) conjugacy classes in SU(3) which satisfy a certain integrality condition coming from string theory are parametrized by the same set as irreducible highest weight representations of the (twisted) affine Lie algebra corresponding to SU(3). The main goal of this note is to extend this observation to arbitrary compact and simply connected Lie groups and to give a conceptual reason for this correspondence. This is done by translating the integrality condition for (twisted) conjugacy classes in a compact Lie group G to an integrality condition for coadjoint orbits of the corresponding (twisted) loop group of G. The correspondence to irreducible highest weight representation then comes naturally from the ideas of geometric quantization (or, equivalently, Kirillov's method of orbits) which relates certain coadjoint orbits of a Lie group to its unitary representations. Along the way, we review some standard facts about conjugacy classes in compact Lie groups and extend them to the case of twisted conjugacy classes.

The contents of this note is as follows: In section 2, we review twisted conjugation. In section 3, we explicitly describe the set of twisted conjugacy classes of a compact simply connected Lie group G as a convex polytope in an Euclidean vector space whose faces of maximal dimension are in one to one correspondence with the vertices of a certain (twisted) affine Dynkin diagram. In fact, this polytope can naturally be identified with the fundamental domain of the twisted affine Weyl group corresponding to G and an automorphism  $\tau$  of G. This generalizes the classical situation of ordinary conjugacy classes which are parametrized by the fundamental alcove of the affine Weyl group corresponding to G. In section 4, we show how to calculate the stabilizers of the (twisted) conjugacy classes. Since we chose G to

The first author would like to thank the Swiss National Science Foundation for financial support.

be simply connected, the stabilizers of (twisted) conjugacy classes are connected subgroups of G. The Dynkin diagram of the Lie algebras of the stabilizers turn out to be exactly the sub-diagrams of the (twisted) affine Dynkin diagram described in section 3. Again, at least in the untwisted case this is a well known fact. The fundamental groups of the stabilizers can be easily calculated from the root data so that we have a complete description of the stabilizers.

Finally, section 5 contains the main results of this paper. We quote the integrality condition for (twisted) conjugacy classes. On a mathematical level, this integrality condition states that a certain relative deRham cohomology class  $\eta \in H^3(G, \mathcal{C})$ should be integral [St],[G]. Let  $\Omega G$  denote the space of based loops in G. Using a modified version of the transgression homomorphism  $\sigma : H^3(G) \to H^2(\Omega G)$  we pull back the relative 3-form  $\eta \in H^3(G, \mathcal{C})$  to a 2-form  $\omega$  on a coadjoint orbit of the twisted loop group. It turns out that the 2-form  $\omega$  is the standard symplectic structure on the coadjoint orbit. Furthermore, the integrality condition for the relative 3-form  $\eta$  translates to the condition that  $\omega$  is an integral 2-form. It is known [PS], [W], [F] that integral coadjoint orbits of a (twisted) loop group correspond naturally to integrable irreducible highest weight representations of the corresponding (twisted) affine Lie algebra. So we get a natural one-to-one correspondence between integral conjugacy classes and integrable irreducible highest weight representations. In the special case of twisted D-branes in SU(3), this correspondence has been observed in [St] by a direct calculation.

#### 2. Twisted conjugation

Let G be a Lie group and let  $\tau$  be an automorphism of G. The  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes of G are the orbits of the following action of the group G on itself:

$$G \times G \to G,$$
  
 $g, h) \mapsto gh\tau(g^{-1})$ 

Let  $\tau'$  be another automorphism of G which differs from  $\tau$  by an inner automorphism. That is,  $\tau'(g) = \tau(ugu^{-1})$  for some  $u \in G$ . Then the map  $h \mapsto uh$  maps  $\tau'$ -twisted conjugacy classes in G to  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes and induces an diffeomorphism between the corresponding twisted conjugacy classes. From this point of view, it is enough to consider automorphisms  $\tau$  up to inner automorphisms of G.

Now let us suppose that G is compact and semi-simple. Let Aut(G) denote the group of automorphisms of G, and let Int(G) denote the subgroup of inner automorphisms. Then Aut(G)/Int(G) is a finite group. After a maximal torus  $T \subset G$  and a basis  $\Pi$  of the root system  $\Delta$  of G with respect to G, the group Aut(G)/Int(G) can be identified with a subgroup of the group of graph-automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram of the Lie algebra of G. In fact, if we assume G to be simply connected, then the group Aut(G)/Int(G) is isomorphic to the group of graph-automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram. This observation allows us to find a nice representative in each connected component of Aut(G). Namely, after fixing a maximal torus  $T \subset G$  and a basis  $\Pi$  of the root system  $\Delta$  of G, any graph-automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram corresponds to a permutation of the set  $\Pi$ . Furthermore, it can be lifted to an automorphism of G leaving T invariant. From now on, we will only consider automorphisms  $\tau$  of G which come from this construction.

One can view twisted conjugacy classes as ordinary conjugacy classes in the non-connected Lie group  $G \rtimes Aut(G)/Int(G)$ . Indeed, let  $G\tau$  be the connected component of the group  $\tilde{G} = G \rtimes Aut(G)/Int(G)$  containing the outer automorphism  $\tau$ . Then G acts on  $G\tau$  by conjugation which is given by

$$(g,h\tau) \mapsto gh\tau g^{-1}$$

Right multiplication by  $\tau^{-1}$  induces a bijection between the *G*-orbits in  $G\tau$  and the  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in *G*. From this point of view, the twisted conjugacy classes have been studied in [dS] (see also [M] and [W]).

## 3. The space of conjugacy classes

Our first goal is to describe the set of  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in G. Choosing  $\tau$  to be the identity yields a description of the set of ordinary conjugacy classes in G. Since  $\tau$  leaves the maximal torus  $T \subset G$  invariant, we can consider the subgroup  $T^{\tau} \subset T$  of  $\tau$ -invariants. This group will in general not be connected. Let us denote by  $T_0^{\tau}$  the connected component of  $T^{\tau}$  containing the identity. It is a fact that every  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy class in G intersects  $T_0^{\tau}$  in at least one point.

So in order to describe the set of  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in G, it remains to check, which elements of  $T_0^{\tau}$  are twisted conjugate under G. To this end, let us introduce the twisted Weyl group

$$W(G, T, \tau) = N_G^{\tau}(T_0^{\tau})/T_0^{\tau}$$
.

Here,  $N_G^{\tau}(T_0^{\tau}) = \{g \in G \mid gT_0^{\tau}\tau(g)^{-1} = T_0^{\tau}\}$  denotes the twisted normalizer of  $T_0^{\tau}$ . It is a general fact that  $W(G, T, \tau)$  is a finite group (see e.g. [BtD] for more on this). The twisted Weyl group  $W(G, T, \tau)$  can be seen as a generalization of the ordinary Weyl group  $N(G, T) = N_G(T)/T$  of G with respect to the maximal torus T. One can show that two elements of  $T_0^{\tau}$  are twisted conjugate under G if and only if they are conjugate under  $W(G, T, \tau)$ . So the space of  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in G can be identified with the quotient  $T_0^{\tau}/W(G, T, \tau)$ . We shall now describe this quotient in more detail.

Let  $W = N_G(T)/T$  denote the usual Weyl group of G. The action of  $\tau$  on the torus T induces an action of  $\tau$  on the Weyl group W(G,T). Let  $W^{\tau}$  denote the subgroup of W(G,T) which consists of elements commuting with  $\tau$ . We also introduce the finite group  $(T/T_0^{\tau})^{\tau}$ . Then one can show the following isomorphism [M][W]:

$$W(G, T, \tau) \cong W(G, T)^{\tau} \ltimes (T/T_0^{\tau})^{\tau}$$
.

The group  $W^{\tau}$  is just the Weyl group of the identity component of the fixed point group  $G^{\tau}$ . Since  $T_0^{\tau}$  is a maximal torus of this group and every element of  $W^{\tau}$ commutes with  $\tau$ , the group  $W^{\tau}$  acts on  $T_0^{\tau}$  by its Weyl group action on  $T_0^{\tau}$ . Now let us study the action of  $(T/T_0^{\tau})^{\tau}$  on  $T_0^{\tau}$ . Take some element  $\bar{t} \in (T/T_0^{\tau})^{\tau}$  and fix a pre-image t of  $\bar{t}$  under the projection  $T \to T/T_0^{\tau}$ . The condition that  $\bar{t}$  is invariant under  $\tau$  translates to the equation  $\tau(t) = ts$  for some  $s \in T_0^{\tau}$ . Hence  $tt_0\tau(t^{-1}) = t_0s$ for all  $t_0 \in T^{\tau}$ . Thus,  $T/T_0^{\tau}$  acts on  $T_0^{\tau}$  by translations.

The group  $T/T_0^{\tau}$  and its action on  $T_0^{\tau}$  can be described more explicitly: Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  denote the Lie algebra of T. The Killing form on G induces a W(G, T)-invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{h}$  which is also invariant under the action of  $\tau$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  denote the  $\tau$ -invariant part of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , i.e. the Lie algebra of  $T_0^{\tau}$  and let  $\pi : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  denote the orthogonal projection with respect to the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Finally, let  $\exp : \mathfrak{h} \to T$ 

denote the exponential map. Its kernel is a lattice in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and we can identify T with  $\mathfrak{h}/ker(\exp)$ . Since  $\tau$  acts on T, its induced action on  $\mathfrak{h}$  leaves the lattice  $ker(\exp)$  invariant so that we have  $T_0^{\tau} = \mathfrak{h}^{\tau}/\ker(\exp)^{\tau}$ . Finally, one checks directly that  $(T/T_0^{\tau})^{\tau} \cong \pi(ker(\exp))/ker(\exp)^{\tau}$  and that the translation action of  $(T/T_0^{\tau})^{\tau}$  on  $T_0^{\tau}$  comes from the translation action of  $\pi(ker(\exp))$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ .

The observations above allow us to identify the space of twisted conjugacy classes with the set  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}/W^{\tau} \ltimes \pi(\ker(\exp))$ . This set can be identified with a polytope in  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  as follows. First, let  $K \subset \mathfrak{h}$  denote the fundamental Weyl chamber  $K = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha(h) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\}$ . Then  $K \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\tau} \neq \emptyset$  and we have  $K \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\tau} = \{h \in \mathfrak{h}^{\tau} \mid \alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}}(h) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\}$ . The closure  $\overline{K} = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha(h) \ge 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\}$  of K is a fundamental domain for the action of the Weyl group W of G on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Similarly, the closure  $\overline{K} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $W^{\tau}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ .

It remains to find a fundamental domain for the action of the group  $W^{\tau} \ltimes \pi(ker(\exp))$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ . It is well known that if the group G is simply connected and for  $\tau = id$ , the lattice  $ker(\exp)$  is the dual root lattice of G. Hence, the group  $W \ltimes ker(\exp)$  is the affine Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , or equivalently the Weyl group of the affine Kac-Moody algebra corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A fundamental domain for the action of  $W \ltimes ker(\exp)$  is given by the fundamental alcove, i.e. by the set

$$\mathfrak{a} = \left\{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha(h) \ge 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi \text{ and } \theta(h) \le 1 \right\}.$$

Here,  $\theta \in \Delta$  denotes the highest root of  $\Delta$  with respect to  $\Pi$ . If G is not simply connected, things become slightly more complicated.<sup>1</sup>

We now want a similar description for a fundamental domain for the action of  $W^{\tau} \ltimes \pi(\ker(\exp))$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ . First, we have to make a general observation. Denote by  $\Delta^{\tau}$  the set  $\Delta^{\tau} = \{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . This is a subset in  $(\mathfrak{h}^{\tau})^*$ , and if  $\Delta$  is not of type  $A_{2n}$ , the set  $\Delta^{\tau}$  is a root system. If  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_{2n}$  with n > 1, then  $\Delta^{\tau}$  is a non-reduced root system of type  $BC_n$ , i.e. it is built out of the root system  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  such that the long roots of  $B_n$  are the short roots of  $C_n$ . In the case  $\Delta = A_2$ , the set  $\Delta^{\tau}$  consists of the union of two root systems of type  $A_1$  such that each element in one copy of  $A_1$  is two times an element of the other copy of  $A_1$ .

We use the observation ([W]) that the group  $W^{\tau} \ltimes \pi(ker(\exp))$  is the Weyl group of the twisted affine Lie algebra corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the automorphism  $\tau$ . A fundamental domain for the action of this group on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  can be described as follows: If  $\Delta$  is not of type  $A_{2n}$ , let  $\theta_{\tau}$  denote the highest short root of  $\Delta^{\tau}$  with respect to the basis  $\{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}} \mid \alpha \in \Pi$ . If  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_{2n}$  with n > 1, let  $\theta_{\tau}$  denote the two times the highest short root of the subsystem  $B_n$  of  $BC_n$  (i.e.  $\theta_{\tau}$  is a long root of the system  $C_n$ ). If  $\Delta$  is of type  $A_2$ , let  $\theta_{\tau}$  denote the unique positive long root of  $\Delta^{\tau}$ .

Now, in all cases, a fundamental domain for the action of  $W^{\tau} \ltimes \pi(ker(\exp))$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  is given by the set

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\tau} = \{h \in \mathfrak{h}^{\tau} \mid \alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}}(h) \ge 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in \Pi \text{ and } \theta_{\tau}(h) \le \frac{1}{ord(\tau)} \}.$$

A proof of this fact can be found e.g. in [K], chapter 6.  $^2$  Again, if G is not simply connected, things become slightly more difficult.  $^3$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In this case, we have to divide  $\mathfrak{h}$  by  $W \ltimes \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{h}$  denotes the lattice of all smooth homomorphisms  $S^1 \to T$ .

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Note that we have used a different normalization of the invariant bilinear form on the twisted affine Lie algebra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We have to divide  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  by the action of  $W^{\tau} \ltimes \pi(\Lambda)$ 

*Example* 3.1. Let G = SU(n) be the special unitary group. A maximal torus  $T \subset SU(n)$  is given by the set of diagonal matrices. The exponential map

$$\exp: (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto diag(e^{2\pi i x_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i x_n})$$

identifies  $\mathfrak{h}$  with the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum x_j = 0\}$  and accordingly  $ker(\exp)$  is identified with the lattice  $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum x_j = 0\}$ . The root system  $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  is given by the maps  $e_i - e_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  with  $i \neq j$  where  $e_i(diag(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = x_i$ . The set  $\Delta$  is a root system of type  $A_{n-1}$ . As a basis of  $\Delta$  we can take the set  $\Pi = \{e_i - e_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ . The corresponding fundamental Weyl chamber is  $K = \{diag(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid x_1 > x_2 > \ldots > x_n\}$ . The Weyl group of SU(n) is the symmetric group  $S_n$  and it acts on T by permuting the entries.

As described above, ordinary conjugacy classes in SU(n) can be classified as follows. The highest root of  $\Delta$  is the element  $e_1 - e_n$ . So the set of conjugacy classes in SU(n) is parametrized by the set

$$\mathfrak{a} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 \ge \dots \ge x_n, \sum_i x_i = 0, \text{ and } x_1 - x_n \le 1\}.$$

Example 3.2. The Dynkin diagram of type  $A_n$  admits one non-trivial automorphism  $\tau$  which can be lifted to the group level as follows: The automorphism  $\tau$  sends a unitary matrix A to  $\bar{A}^+$ , where  $A^+$  denotes the matrix A reflected at the second diagonal. Its induced action on  $\mathfrak{h}$  is given by  $\tau : diag(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto diag(-x_n, \ldots, -x_1)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau} = \{ diag(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid x_i = -x_{n+1-i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \}$ . Obviously, the Weyl chamber K is invariant under this automorphism.

The  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in SU(n) are parametrized by the convex polytope  $\mathfrak{a}_{\tau} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ . If n = 2m is even we have  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau} \cong \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau} \cap \overline{K} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_1 \geq \ldots \geq x_m \geq 0\}$ . The root system  $\Delta^{\tau} = \{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is given by  $\{\pm(e_i - e_j) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m\} \cup \{\pm(e_i + e_j) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$ . A basis for this root system is given by  $\{e_i - e_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m-1\} \cup \{2e_m\}$ . It is a root system of type  $C_m$ . The highest short root of this system is given by  $\theta_{\tau} : (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \mapsto x_1 + x_m$ . Hence the polytope  $\mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$  which parametrizes the set of  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in SU(n) is given by

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\tau} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_1 \ge \dots \ge x_m \ge 0, \text{ and } x_1 + x_m \le \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

If n = 2m + 1 is odd and m > 1, we have  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau} = \{(x_1 \dots x_{2m+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \mid x_i = -x_{2m+1-i}\}$ . Hence in this case, we have necessarily  $x_{m+1} = 0$ , so  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau} \cong \mathbb{R}^m$ . Hence, we again have  $\bar{K} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\tau} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_m \geq 0\}$ . But now, the set  $\Delta^{\tau}$  is given by  $\Delta^{\tau} = \{\pm e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{\pm (e_i - e_j) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m\} \cup \{\pm (e_i + e_j) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m\}$ . The set  $\{\pm e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{\pm (e_i - e_j) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m\}$  is a root system of type  $B_n$ . Its highest short root is  $e_1$  and hence  $\theta_{\tau} = 2e_1$ . So the polytope  $\mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\tau} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_1 \ge \dots \ge x_m \ge 0, \text{ and } x_1 \le \frac{1}{4}\}.$$

Finally, in the case SU(3), we have  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau} = \{(x, 0, -x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , and the set  $\Delta^{\tau}$  is given by  $\Delta^{\tau} = \{\pm e_1, \pm 2e_1\}$ . This gives

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\tau} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{4} \} \,.$$

## 4. Stabilizers

Throughout this section, let G be simply connected. Given an element  $h \in G$ , the conjugacy class containing h is isomorphic to  $G/Stab_G(h)$ , where  $Stab_G(h) = \{g \in G \mid gh\tau(g^{-1}) = h\}$  denotes the stabilizer of h in G. The aim of this section is to give an explicit description of the stabilizers. We first describe the Lie algebras of the stabilizer. Using this description along with some general facts of the theory of compact Lie groups, we can calculate the fundamental groups of the stabilizer. The fact that G is simply connected implies that all stabilizers are connected, so we have a complete description of the (twisted) conjugacy classes in G.

As in section 2, let  $\tau$  denote an automorphism of G which leaves a maximal torus  $T \subset G$  invariant and induces an automorphism of the corresponding Dynkin diagram of G. Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  denote the polytope parametrizing the set of conjugacy classes in G, and let  $\mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$  denote the polytope in  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  parametrizing the set of  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in G.

Let us set  $\Pi = \Pi \cup \{-\theta\}$ , where, as before,  $\Pi$  denotes a basis of  $\Delta$ . Then  $\tilde{\Delta}$  are the vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram of  $\Delta$  or equivalently, the Dynkin diagram of the affine Lie algebra corresponding to G. Similarly, the set  $\tilde{\Pi}^{\tau} = \Pi^{\tau} \cup \{-\theta_{\tau}\}$  labels the vertices of the Dynkin diagram of the twisted affine Lie algebra corresponding to G and the automorphism  $\tau$  of G.

The following Proposition is the main result of this section.

#### Proposition 4.1.

(i) Let G act on itself by ordinary conjugation and let  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Then the Dynkin diagram of the Lie algebra of  $Stab(\exp(H))$  is the subdiagram of the affine Dynkin diagram corresponding to  $\Pi$  which is obtained by deleting all  $\alpha \in \Pi$  for which  $\alpha(H) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

The Lie algebra of  $Stab(\exp(H))$  is given by the sum of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and the sub algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to the diagram described above.

- (ii) Let G act on itself by  $\tau$ -twisted conjugation and let  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$ . Then the Dynkin Diagram of the Lie algebra of  $Stab(\exp(H))$  is the subdiagram of the twisted affine Dynkin diagram corresponding to  $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\tau}$  which is obtained by deleting all  $\alpha$  from the finite Dynkin diagram  $\Pi^{\tau}$  for which  $\alpha(H) \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and deleting the vertex corresponding to  $\theta_{\tau}$  whenever  $\theta_{\tau}(H) \notin \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(\tau)} + \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - The Lie algebra of Stab(exp(H)) is given by the sum of  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  and the sub algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to the diagram described above.

At least the first part of this proposition is well known.<sup>4</sup>

*Proof.* Part (i) follows from (ii) for  $\tau = id$ , so we directly prove (ii).

Let us start with the case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not of tape  $A_{2m}$ . The group  $Stab_G(exp(H))$ can be written as  $Stab_G(exp(H)) = \{g \in G \mid exp(H)\tau(g)exp(-H) = g\}$ . Therefore its Lie algebra is given by

 $Lie(Stab_G(\exp(H))) = \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid Ad(\exp(H)) \circ \tau(X) = X \}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In [IS], it has wrongly been stated that the Dynkin diagrams of the Lie algebras of the stabilizers can be obtained by deleting vertices of the unextended Dynkin diagram of G. But this excludes some cases. For example a group of type  $B_4$  appears as a stabilizer of some element in the simply connected group of type  $F_4$ .

We can decompose the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  into the eigenspaces of  $\tau$ :

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\operatorname{ord}(\tau)-1} g_k \,,$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  denotes the  $e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{\sigma r d(\tau)}}$ -eigenspace of the action of  $\tau$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . It is known that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a semi-simple Lie algebra with root system  $\Delta^{\tau}$  and that  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  with  $k \neq 0$ are representations of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  whose highest weight is given by  $\theta_{\tau}$ , the highest short root of  $\Delta^{\tau}$ . The Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is given by  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\tau}$ . Decomposing  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  into weight spaces with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ , we can write

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}^{ au} \oplus igoplus_{lpha \in \Delta^{ au}} \mathfrak{g}_{lpha} \oplus igoplus_{k=1}^{ord( au)-1} igoplus_{\lambda \in P_k} \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \,,$$

where  $P_k \subset (\mathfrak{h}^{\tau})^*$  denotes the set of weights of  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  as a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ 

Let us view X as an element of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and write

$$X = H_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{\tau}} X_{\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{ord(\tau)-1} \sum_{\lambda \in P_k} X_{\lambda} ,$$

we see that we get

$$Ad(H) \circ \tau(X) = H_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{\tau}} e^{2\pi i \alpha(H)} X_{\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{ord(\tau)-1} \sum_{\lambda \in P_k} e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{ord(\tau)}} e^{2\pi i \lambda(H)} X_{\lambda}.$$

This implies that the Lie algebra of  $Stab(\exp(H))$  is given as the sum of  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\tau}$  with those  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  such that  $\alpha(H) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and those  $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda \in P_k$  such that  $\lambda(H) \in \frac{1-k}{ord(\tau)} + \mathbb{Z}$ . Since we have chosen  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$ , and since  $\theta_{\tau}$  is the highest weight of the  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  we have  $0 \leq \alpha(H) \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \lambda(H) \leq \frac{1}{ord(\tau)}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta_{\tau}$  and  $\lambda \in P_k$ . Furthermore,  $|\lambda(H)| = \frac{1}{ord(\tau)}$  can only be obtained for  $\lambda = \pm \theta_{\tau}$  in which case H has to lie on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$ . If H lies in the interior of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$ , we have  $\alpha(H) \notin \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\tau}$ and  $\lambda(H) \notin \frac{1-k}{ord(\tau)} + \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\lambda \in P_k$ . If H lies on the boundary of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\tau}$ , the stabilizer of H is generated by the elements of  $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\tau} = \Pi^{\tau} \cup \{\theta_{\tau}\}$  for which either  $\alpha(H) \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $\alpha \in \Pi^{\tau}$  or  $\theta_{\tau}(H) \in \frac{1-k}{ord(\tau)} + \mathbb{Z}$ .

The case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_{2m}$  can be obtained by similar arguments, one just has to be more careful with the root system  $\Delta^{\tau}$  which is non-reduced.

Finally, we have to study the topology of the stabilizers. Since we assumed G to be simply connected, all stabilizers are connected. So in order to describe them explicitly, we only have to determine their fundamental groups. This can be done using some standard facts from the theory of compact Lie groups (see e.g. [BtD]): Let  $\Delta_H \subset (\mathfrak{h}^{\tau})^*$  denote the root system of the group  $Stab(\exp(H))$ , let  $Q_H \subset (\mathfrak{h}^{\tau})^*$  denote the lattice generated by  $\Delta_H$ .

We can use the normalized Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$  to identify  $(\mathfrak{h}^{\tau})^*$  with  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ . Let  $Q_H^{\vee}$  be the image of  $Q_h$  under this embedding. Then

$$\pi_1(Stab(\exp(H))) \cong ker(\exp)^{\tau}/Q_H^{\vee}.$$

Example 4.2. In figures 1 and 2, we indicate how the constructions described in this section apply to the spaces of conjugacy classes of the simply connected compact Lie groups of types  $C_2$  and  $G_2$  compared to the space of twisted conjugacy classes

of the simply connected Lie groups of types  $A_3$  and  $D_4$ . The pictures show the fundamental domains of the respective (twisted) affine Weyl groups. The faces of the fundamental domain are labeled with the stabilizers of the corresponding conjugacy classes.



FIGURE 1. Conjugacy classes of Sp(4) (left) versus twisted conjugacy classes of SU(4) (right)



FIGURE 2. Conjugacy classes of the simply connected Lie group of type  $G_2$  (left) versus  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes of Spin(8) (right) where  $\tau$  is an outer automorphism of Spin(8) with  $\tau^3 = id$ .

## 5. The integrality condition

Our motivation to study (twisted) conjugacy classes in compact and simply connected Lie groups comes from the fact that they appear as so called D-branes in the Wess-Zumino-Witten model. The point here is that not every conjugacy class can actually appear as a D-brane since a certain path integral has to be well defined. On a mathematical level, the requirement on the conjugacy classes boils down to the following condition in cohomology. Fix a (twisted) conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C} \subset G$ . Let  $\eta \in H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$  denote the generator of the third cohomology croup of G. Restricted to  $\mathcal{C}$ , the class  $\eta$  is trivial, i.e. there exists a 2-form  $\varpi$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $d\varpi = \eta|_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Let us fix some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the integrality condition states that the integral

(1) 
$$a\left(\int_{N}\eta-\int_{\partial N}\varpi\right)$$

should be an integer for every relative homology class  $N \in H_3(G, \mathcal{C})$ . The goal of this section is to interpret this integrality condition in terms of an integrality condition for a natural symplectic form  $\omega$  on another manifold  $\mathcal{O}$ . The new manifold turns out to be a coadjoint orbit of a centrally extended (twisted) loop group.

5.1. Coadjoint orbits of (twisted) loop groups. Let G be as before. We denote by  $L(G) = C^{\infty}(S^1, G)$  the loop group of G.<sup>5</sup> There is a universal central extension

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>For technical reasons it is often more convenient to consider the Banach Lie group of maps  $S^1 \to G$  of some fixed Sobolev class s > 1/2. We will ignore such subtleties throughout this note and stick with the more intuitive group of smooth loops

 $\widehat{L}(\widehat{G})$  of the group L(G), which, as a topological space, is a non-trivial  $S^1$ -bundle over L(G). The underlying vector space of the Lie algebra of  $\widehat{L(G)}$  is given by  $\widehat{L(\mathfrak{g})} = L(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , where  $L(\mathfrak{g}) = C^{\infty}(S^1, G)$  denotes the loop algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The smooth part of the dual of  $\widehat{L(\mathfrak{g})}$  can be identified with  $L(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  via the pairing

(2) 
$$\langle (X,a), (Y,b) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle X(\theta), Y(\theta) \rangle d\theta + ab.$$

Here, the bilinear form  $\langle , \rangle$  on the right hand denotes the Killing form on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  normalized so that the long roots of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  have square length 2.

The coadjoint action of the loop group L(G) on  $L(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is given by [PS],[F]

$$g: (X, a) \mapsto (gXg^{-1} - ag'g^{-1}, a),$$

where g is an element of L(G) and  $g' = \frac{d}{d\theta}g$  denotes the derivative of g with respect to  $\theta$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)} \subset L(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  the L(G)-orbit through (X, a).

For  $a \neq 0$ , we can solve the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}z=-\frac{1}{a}Xz$$

Let us denote by  $z_{(X,a)}$  the unique solution of this equation with initial condition  $z_{(X,a)}(0) = e$ , where e is the identity element in G. This is a path in the Lie group G starting at e. Now, since  $X \in L(\mathfrak{g})$  is periodic, we get  $z_{(X,a)}(\theta + 2\pi) = z_{(X,a)}(\theta)z_{(X,a)}(2\pi)$ . Taking another element (Y,a) in the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ , we have  $Y = gXg^{-1} - ag'g^{-1}$  for some  $g \in L(G)$ . An easy calculation [PS], [F] shows that  $z_{(Y,a)}(\theta) = g(\theta)z_{(X,a)}(\theta)g(0)^{-1}$ . Since g is periodic, we see that  $z_{(X,a)}(2\pi)$  and  $z_{(Y,a)}(2\pi)$  lie in the same conjugacy class in G. Furthermore, the stabilizer of (X, a) in L(G) is isomorphic to the stabilizer of  $z_{(X,a)}(2\pi)$  in G so that we get  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)} \cong L(G)/Stab_G(z_{(X,a)}(2\pi))$ . The stabilizers have been explicitly described in section 4.

Now, let  $\tau$  be an automorphism of G of finite order  $\operatorname{ord}(\tau) = r$ . The twisted loop group  $L(G, \tau)$  a subgroup of L(G) which is defined as follows:  $L(G, \tau) = \{g \in L(G) \mid g(\theta + 2\pi) = \tau(g(\theta))\}$ . As in the untwisted case, there is a universal central extension  $\widehat{L(G, \tau)}$  of  $L(G, \tau)$  by the circle group  $S^1$  which, as a topological space, is a non-trivial  $S^1$ -bundle over  $L(G, \tau)$ . The Lie algebra of  $\widehat{L(G, \tau)}$  is  $\widehat{L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)} =$  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , where  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau) = \{X \in L(\mathfrak{g}) \mid X(\theta + 2\pi) = \tau(X(\theta))\}$  denotes the twisted loop algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Again, the smooth part of the dual of  $\widehat{L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)}$  can be identified with  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  via a non-degenerate

$$\langle (X,a),(Y,b)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle X(\theta),Y(\theta)\rangle d\theta + ab$$

The coadjoint action of  $L(G,\tau)$  on  $L(\mathfrak{g},\tau) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is again given by  $g: (X,a) \mapsto (gXg^{-1} - ag'g^{-1}, a)$ . It has been observed in [W] that the correspondence between coadjoint orbits of L(G) and conjugacy classes in G extends to a correspondence between coadjoint orbits of  $L(G,\tau)$  and  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy classes in G as follows: Fix  $(X,a) \in L(\mathfrak{g},\tau) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  with  $a \neq 0$  and, as before, solve the differential equation  $z' = -\frac{1}{a}Xz$  with initial condition  $z_{(X,a)}(0) = e$ . If (Y,a) lies in the same coadjoint orbit as (X, a), a similar calculation as in the untwisted case shows that  $z_{(X,a)}(2\pi)$  and  $z_{(Y,a)}(2\pi)$  lie in the same  $\tau$ -twisted conjugacy class. Furthermore, the stabilizer of (X, a) in  $L(G, \tau)$  is isomorphic to the stabilizer of  $z_{(X,a)}(2\pi)$  in G (where we mean

the stabilizer with respect to  $\tau$ -twisted conjugation in G). So we have  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)} \cong L(G,\tau)/Stab(z_{(X,a)}(2\pi)).$ 

On every coadjoint orbit of a Lie group there exists a natural symplectic structure. In our situation, the corresponding 2-form  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  with  $a \neq 0$  is given as follows: Fix some  $(Y, a) \in \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  and let  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  be two tangent vectors at (Y, a). We can view  $A_i$  as elements of  $L(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then the 2-form  $\omega$  at (Y, a) evaluated at  $A_1$ and  $A_2$  is given by

$$\omega_{(Y,a)}(A_1,A_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle Y(\theta), [A_1(\theta), A_2(\theta)] \rangle d\theta + \frac{a}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle A_1'(\theta), A_2(\theta) \rangle d\theta \,.$$

For twisted loop groups the 2-form on the coadjoint orbits is given by the same formula.

5.2. Integral conjugacy classes. As in the last section, let us associate to each element  $(Y, a) \in \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  the unique solution of the differential equation  $z' = -\frac{1}{a}Yz$  with initial condition z(0) = e. This allows to define a map

$$f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)} \to G$$

via

$$f: (\theta, (Y, a)) \mapsto z_{(Y, a)}(\theta)$$
.

Let  $\eta$  denote a generator of  $H^3(G,\mathbb{Z})$ . This is a left-invariant 3-form on G whose value at the identity element of G evaluated on three tangent vectors  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ is given by  $\eta(X_1, X_2, X_3) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \langle X_1, [X_2, X_3] \rangle$ . Since  $\eta$  trivializes over any (twisted) conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can view  $\eta$  as an element of the relative deRham cohomology group  $H^3(G, \mathcal{C})$ 

Using the map f, we can pull back  $\eta$  to a 3-form  $f^*\eta$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ . Its value at  $(\theta, (Y, a)) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  evaluated on a triple  $(\delta\theta, \delta_1(Y, a), \delta_2(Y, a))$  of tangent vectors at  $(\theta, (Y, a))$  is

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \langle z'_{(Y,a)}(\theta) z_{(Y,a)}(\theta)^{-1}, [\xi_1(\theta), \xi_2(\theta)] \rangle,$$

where we have identified the tangent vectors  $\delta_i(Y, a)$  with vector fields  $\delta_i z_{(Y,a)}$  along  $z_{(Y,a)}$  and have set  $\xi_i(\theta) = \delta_i z_{(Y,a)}(\theta) z_{(Y,a)}(\theta)^{-1}$ . Now, since (Y, a) is an element of the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ , we can integrate the form  $f^*\eta$  over  $S^1$  to obtain a 2-form

$$\sigma(\eta) = \int_{S^1} f^* \eta(\theta) d\theta$$

on the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ . Our first aim is to compare the the 2-form  $\sigma(\eta)$  with the natural symplectic structure on the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $a \neq 0$ . Then the 2-form  $a\sigma(\eta)$  on the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  is co-homologous to a multiple  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\omega$  of the Kirillov-Kostant symplectic form on the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ .

*Proof.* We have to compare the two form  $2\pi a\sigma(\eta)$  with the the symplectic form  $\omega$ . To this end, let us introduce a 1-form  $\beta$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ , which, at a point  $(Y,a) \in \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  evaluated at a tangent vector  $\delta(Y,a)$  is given by

$$\beta_{(Y,a)}(\delta(Y,a)) = \frac{a}{4\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle z'_{(Y,a)}(\theta) z_{(Y,a)}(\theta)^{-1}, \xi(\theta) \rangle d\theta$$

10

with  $\xi$  as before. Since we have

$$\xi_i(\beta(\delta_j(Y,a))(Y,a)) = \frac{a}{4\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle \xi'_i(\theta), \xi_j(\theta) \rangle d\theta$$

and

$$z'_{(Y,a)}(\theta) z_{(Y,a)}(\theta)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a} Y(\theta) ,$$

we find

$$d\beta_{(Y,a)}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle Y(\theta), [\xi_1(\theta),\xi_2(\theta)] \rangle d\theta + \frac{a}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \langle \xi_1'(\theta),\xi_2(\theta) \rangle d\theta$$

and hence

$$2\pi a\sigma(\eta) + d\beta = \omega \,.$$

Our next goal is to translate the integrality condition for the relative cohomology class  $\eta \in H^3(G, \mathcal{C})$  to an integrality condition for the 2-form  $a\sigma(\eta)$ , and hence for the symplectic form  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\omega$ . Given any closed 2-cycle  $\widetilde{N} \in H_2(\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)})$ , we get a relative 3-cycle  $N \in H_3(G, \mathcal{C})$  by mapping  $(Y, a) \in \widetilde{N}$  to  $\{z_{(Y,a)}(\theta) \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ . By the results of the last section, we have  $\partial N \subset \mathcal{C}$  so that N is indeed a relative 3-cycle. Furthermore, by construction we have

$$\int_{\widetilde{N}} a\sigma(\eta) = a \int_{N} \eta$$

so that integrality for  $\omega$  is necessary for the integrality for  $a\eta$ .

In the other direction, we need the following proposition

**Proposition 5.2.** For any relative 3-cycle  $N \in H_3(G, \mathcal{C})$ , there exists a (not necessarily unique) 2-cycle  $\widetilde{N}^* \in H_2(\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)})$  such that  $\partial N^* = \partial N$ . Here, the relative 3-cycle  $N^* \in H_3(G, \mathcal{C})$  is obtained from  $\widetilde{N}^*$  by the construction described above.

In the untwisted case, this proposition is trivial. Indeed, in this case the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  contains as a subset an adjoint orbit of G. The map  $H \mapsto \exp(-\frac{2\pi}{a}H)$ induces an isomorphism between the adjoint orbit of G and a conjugacy class in Gcorresponding to the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  of L(G). So we can identify  $\partial N$  with a  $\widetilde{N}^* \subset \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ . It is easy to check that this set has the desired properties. We will postpone the proof of proposition 5.2 in the twisted case to section 5.3

Let us fix  $N \in H_2(G, \mathcal{C})$  and let  $\tilde{N}^* \in H_2(\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)})$  be the 2-cycle from proposition 5.2. As we have noted, the cycle  $\tilde{N}^*$  need not be unique, but we can do with the following. Since  $\partial(N - N^*) = 0$ , we have  $N - N^* \in H_3(G)$ . We can write

$$a\int_N \eta = a\int_{N-N^*} \eta + a\int_{N^*} \eta = a\int_{N-N^*} \eta + a\int_{\widetilde{N}^*} \sigma(\eta).$$

Now, let us take N to be a generator of  $H_3(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\partial N = \emptyset$ , we find  $N^* = 0$ . We have chosen  $\eta$  to be the generator of  $H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  so that the integrality condition (1) translates to

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}$$
.

But this implies  $a \int_{N-N^*} \eta \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all relative cohomology classes  $N \in H_3(G, \mathcal{C})$  so that integrality of  $a\sigma(\eta)$  implies integrality of  $a\eta$ . Putting everything together we get

**Theorem 5.3.** A (twisted) conjugacy class  $C \subset G$  satisfies the integrality condition (1) if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and the natural symplectic structure  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\omega$  on the corresponding coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  of the loop group L(G), respectively the twisted loop group  $L(G, \tau)$  is integral.

The integrality condition for the Kirillov-Kostant form  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\omega$  on the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  can be translated back to an explicit condition for the conjugacy class. Indeed, as we have seen in section 5.1, we can take  $\frac{1}{a}X$  to be a constant  $\frac{1}{a}X \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g})$  in the untwisted case and  $\frac{1}{a}X \in \mathfrak{a}_{\tau} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{\tau} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\tau} \subset L(\mathfrak{g},\tau)$  in the twisted case. Now, the condition that  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\omega$  is an integral 2-form translates to the condition that  $\alpha(X,a) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all roots  $\alpha$  of the (twisted) affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{L(\mathfrak{g},\tau)}$ . This gives again the condition that a must be an integer. Furthermore, let us identify  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{R}$  with its dual via the non-degenerate pairing from (2). Then, for fixed positive  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the (X, a) satisfying the integrality condition are exactly the highest weights of the irreducible highest weight representations of the (twisted) affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{L(\mathfrak{g},\tau)}$  at level a.

Remark 5.4. In fact, one can associate to each irreducible highest weight representation a coadjoint orbit of the corresponding (twisted) loop group [F],[W]. Under this correspondence, a highest weight representation with highest weight (X, a)does not correspond to the coadjoint orbit passing through (X, a) but rather to the orbit through  $(X + \rho_{\tau}, a + h_{\tau}^{\tau})$ , where  $\rho_{\tau} \in \mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$  denotes the projection of the half sum of all positive roots of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  to  $\mathfrak{h}^{\tau}$ , and  $h_{\tau}^{\vee}$  denotes the dual Coxeter number of the twisted affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)}$  (in the untwisted case, just take  $\tau = id$ . See e.g. [K] for more information on affine Lie algebras).

5.3. **Proof of Proposition 5.2.** Before we start with the proof of Proposition 5.2, we need some preparations.

**Lemma 5.5.** For any  $a \neq 0$ , the map  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)} \to \mathcal{C}$  given by  $(Y,a) \mapsto z_{(Y,a)}(2\pi)$  is surjective.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that the map  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau) \to G$  given by  $Y \mapsto z_{(Y,a)}(2\pi)$  is surjective. To this end, let us fix  $g \in G$  and choose some  $H \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\exp(-2\pi \frac{H}{a}) = g$ . We can decompose  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{ord(\tau)-1} \mathfrak{g}_k$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  denotes the  $e^{2\pi i \frac{K}{ord(\tau)}}$ -eigenspace of  $\tau$ . Viewing H as an element of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we can write  $H = \sum_{k=0}^{ord(\tau)-1} H_k$ . Now let us set

$$Y_{\mathbb{C}}(\theta) = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{c_k} H_k e^{\frac{ik}{2\pi ord(\tau)}\theta}$$

with  $c_k = \frac{2\pi}{i} \frac{ord(\tau)}{k} (e^{\frac{ik}{ord(\tau)}} - 1)$ . The real part Y of  $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$  is obviously an element of  $L(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ . We have

$$z_{(Y,a)}(\theta) = \exp(\int_0^{\theta} Y(s)ds),$$

which gives  $z_{(Y,a)}(2\pi) = g$ .

Proof of proposition 5.2. The problem is, that in the twisted case, we can not identify the 2-cycle  $\partial N \in H_2(\mathcal{C})$  with a closed 2-cycle in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . So we have to argue locally. Let us choose an open covering  $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$  of N such that the

exponential map is invertible on each  $U_j$ . Furthermore, let us choose a triangulation of N subordinate to this covering. Lifting the triangles to  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the inverse of the exponential map on each  $U_j$ , we get a union  $\mathcal{U}$  of triangles in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Using the construction of lemma 5.5, we can canonically associate to each element of  $H \in \mathfrak{g}$ an element  $(Y_H, a) \in \mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ . This associates to each face of the triangulation a 2-cycle in  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$ . The problem is that these cycles might not fit together to form a closed 2-cycle. Indeed, if an element  $g \in N$  lies on the boundary of a triangle, the construction from above might associate more than one element of  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  to g. But we know that all (Y, a) associated to such g satisfy  $z_{(Y,a)}(2\pi) = g$ . Set

$$\mathcal{F}_{g} = \{ (Y, a) \in \mathcal{O}_{(X, a)} \mid z_{(Y, a)}(2\pi) = g \},\$$

and let us assume for the moment that  $\pi_0(\mathcal{F}_g) = \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_g) = \{0\}$  for each  $g \in G$ . Then, if g lies on the boundary of a face and we have  $g = \exp(H_i) = \exp(H_j)$ with  $H_i \in \exp^{-1}(U_i)$  and  $H_j \in \exp^{-1}(U_j)$ , we can join  $(Y_{H_i}, a)$  and  $(Y_{H_j}, a)$  by a path inside  $\mathcal{F}_g$ . Doing this for all g in the one-skeleton of the triangulation, we can "fill in the edges". This procedure might leave "holes" at the vertices of the triangulation. Let g be a vertex of the triangulation. The boundary of a "hole at g" is homeomorphic to an image of  $S^1$  inside  $\mathcal{F}_g$ . But we have assumed  $\mathcal{F}_g$  to be simply connected so that we can contract the boundary and thereby "fill the hole". Repeating this process at each vertex of the triangulation, we obtain a closed 2-cycle in  $\mathcal{O}_{(X,a)}$  with the desired properties. So it remains to check that we indeed have  $\pi_0(\mathcal{F}_g) = \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_g) = \{0\}$  for each  $g \in G$ . This is the content of the following lemma 5.6.

**Lemma 5.6.** We have  $\pi_0(\mathcal{F}_g) = \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_g) = \{0\}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

*Proof.* Using the results of section 5.1 and denoting by  $Stab_G(g)$  the stabilizer of g with respect to  $\tau$ -twisted conjugation, we can write

$$\mathcal{F}_g = \{ (Y, a) \in \mathcal{O}_{(X, a)} \mid z_{(Y, a)}(2\pi) = g \}$$
$$\cong \{ \gamma \in L(G, \tau) \mid \gamma(0) \in Stab_G(g) \} / Stab_{L(G\tau)}(Y, a)$$

Again using the results described in section 5.1, we have  $Stab_{L(G,\tau)}(Y,a) \cong Stab_G(g)$ via the map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(0)$ . Let us write  $\mathfrak{G}_g = \{\gamma \in L(G,\tau) \mid \gamma(0) \in Stab_G(g)\}$ . Then we can use the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \pi_1(Stab_G(g)) \to \pi_1(\mathfrak{G}_g) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{F}_g) \to \pi_0(Stab_G(g)) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{G}_g) \to \pi_0(\mathcal{F}_g) \to 0$$

to compute the homotopy groups. Indeed, it is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{G}_g$  is connected so that  $\pi_0(\mathcal{F}_g) = \{0\}$ . Furthermore, since G is simply connected and since  $Stab_G(g)$  is the fixed point set of an automorphism of G, we know that  $Stab_G(g)$  is connected. So if we can show that the injection  $\iota : Stab_G(g) \to \mathfrak{G}_g$  induces a surjection of fundamental groups, we are done.

Let  $\varphi: S^1 \to \mathfrak{G}_g$ ,  $\theta \mapsto \varphi_\theta$  be a loop in  $\mathfrak{G}_g$ . The map  $\theta \mapsto \varphi_\theta(0)$  defines a loop in  $Stab_G(g)$ . Obviously, this map induces a surjection  $\pi_1(\mathfrak{G}_g) \to \pi_1(Stab_G(g))$ . It remains to show that the map is injective as well. So let  $\varphi$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be two loops in  $\mathfrak{G}_g$  which map to the same element in  $\pi_1(Stab_G(g))$ . We have to show that  $\varphi$ and  $\tilde{\varphi}$  are homotopic. Let f be the loop in  $Stab_G(g)$  defined via  $f(\theta) = \varphi_\theta(0)$  and accordingly  $\tilde{f}$ . Assume that  $\Phi: [0,1] \times [0,2\pi]$  defines a homotopy from f to  $\tilde{f}$ . Then

$$\Phi: (s,\theta) \mapsto \varphi_{\theta}\iota(\Phi(0,\theta))^{-1}\iota(\Phi(s,\theta))$$

defines a homotopy from  $\varphi$  to a loop  $\widehat{\varphi}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}_g$  whose residual image  $\widehat{f}: \theta \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_{\theta}(0)$ equals  $\widetilde{f}$ . So from now on, we can assume that  $f = \widetilde{f}$ . Finally, we can use the fact that the set  $\{\gamma \in L(G, \tau) \mid \gamma(0) = g_0\}$  is connected for each  $g_0 \in G$  to find a homotopy from  $\varphi$  to  $\widetilde{\varphi}$ . Indeed, we can view the loops  $\varphi$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  as sections in a fibration over  $S^1$ , whose fiber at a point  $\theta \in S^1$  is given by the set  $\{\gamma \in$  $L(G, \tau) \mid \gamma(0) = \varphi_{\theta}(0)\}$ . One easily checks that the fibers are connected. Therefore, since  $S^1$  is one-dimensional, the sections  $\varphi$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  are homotopic. This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### References

- [AS] A. Alekseev, V. Schomerus, D-branes in the WZW model, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999), 06191. arXiv:hep-th/9812193
- [B] R. Bott, An application of the Morse theory to the topology of Lie-groups Bull. Soc. math. France, 84 (1954), 251–281
- [BtD] T. Bröcker, T. tomDieck, Representations of Compact Lie Groups, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, 1985.
- [FFFS] G. Felder, J. Fröhlich, J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert, The Geometry of WZW-branes, J. Geom. Phys., 34 (2000), 162-190. arXiv:hep-th/9909030
- [F] I.B. Frenkel, Orbital theory for affine Lie algebras, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 301-352.
- [G] K. Gawedzki Conformal field theory: a case study, arXiv:hep-th/9904145
- [IS] T. Itoh, S.J. Sin Classification and Quantum Moduli Space of D-branes in Group Manifolds, arXiv:hep-th/0207077.
- [K] V.G. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [M] S. Mohrdieck, Conjugacy classes of non-connected semi-simple algebraic groups, PhD thesis, Univ. Hamburg, 2000.
- [PS] A. Pressley, G. Segal, Loop Groups, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [dS] J. deSiebenthal, Sur le groups de Lie compactes non connexes, Comm. Math. Helv. 31 (1956) 41-89.
- [St] S. Stanciu, An Illustrated guide to D-branes in SU<sub>3</sub>, arXiv:hep-th/0111221.
- [W] R. Wendt, Weyl's character formula for non-connected Lie groups and orbital theory for twisted affine Lie algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 180 (2001), 31-65. arXiv:math-rt/9909059.

UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, RHEINSPRUNG 21, CH-4051 BASLE, SWITZERLAND  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ mohrdis@math.unibas.ch}$ 

UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, STRUDLHOFGASSE 4, A-1040 VIENNA, AUSTRIA *E-mail address*: robert.wendt@univie.ac.at