

MAKING NON-TRIVIALY ASSOCIATED MODULAR CATEGORIES FROM FINITE GROUPS

M. M. Al-Shomrani & E. J. Beggs

Department of Mathematics
University of Wales, Swansea
Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK

February 2003

ABSTRACT : We show that the double \mathcal{D} of the non-trivially associated tensor category constructed from left coset representatives of a subgroup of a finite group X is a modular category. Also we give a definition of the character of an object in this category as an element of a braided Hopf algebra in the category. This definition is shown to be adjoint invariant and multiplicative on tensor products. A detailed example is given. Finally we show an equivalence of categories between the non-trivially associated double \mathcal{D} and the trivially associated category of representations of the Drinfeld double of the group $D(X)$.

1 Introduction

This paper will make continual use of formulae and ideas from [2], and these definitions and formulae will not be repeated, as they would add very considerably to the length of the paper. The paper [2] is itself based on the papers [3, 4], but is mostly self contained

in terms of notation and definitions. The book [9] has been used as a standard reference for Hopf algebras, and [13, 1] as references for modular categories.

In [2] there is a construction of a non-trivially associated tensor category \mathcal{C} from data which is a choice of left coset representatives M for a subgroup G of a finite group X . This introduces a binary operation \cdot and a G -valued ‘cocycle’ τ on M . There is also a double construction where X is viewed as a subgroup of a larger group. This gives rise to a braided category \mathcal{D} , which is the category of reps of an algebra D , which is itself in the category, and it is the category that we concentrate on in this paper.

It is our aim to show that the non-trivially associated algebra D has reps which have characters in the same way that the reps of a finite group have characters, and also that the category of its representations has a modular structure in the same way that the category of reps of the double of a group has a modular structure.

We begin by describing the indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} , in a similar manner to that used in [4]. A detailed example is given using the group D_6 . Then we show how to find the dual objects in the category, and again illustrate this with the example.

Next we show that the rigid braided category \mathcal{D} is a ribbon category. The ribbon maps are calculated for the indecomposable objects in our example category.

In the next section we explicitly evaluate in \mathcal{D} the standard diagram for trace in a ribbon category [9]. Then we define the character of an object in \mathcal{D} as an element of the dual of the braided Hopf algebra D . This element is shown to be right adjoint invariant. Also we show that the character is multiplicative for the tensor product of objects. A formula is found for the character in \mathcal{D} in terms of characters of group representations.

The last ingredient needed for a modular category is the trace of the double braiding,

and this is calculated in \mathcal{D} in terms of group characters. Then the matrices S , T and C implementing the modular representation are calculated explicitly in our example.

Finally we show an equivalence of categories between the non-trivially associated double \mathcal{D} and the category of representations of the Drinfeld double of the group $D(X)$.

Throughout the paper we assume that all groups mentioned are finite, and that all vector spaces are finite dimensional. We take the base field to be the complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

2 Indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C}

The objects of \mathcal{C} are the right representations of the algebra A described in [2]. We now look at the indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} , or the irreducible representations of A , in a manner similar to that used in [4].

Theorem 2.1 *The indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} are of the form*

$$V = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{O}} V_s$$

where \mathcal{O} is an orbit in M under the G action \triangleleft , and each V_s is an irreducible right representation of the stabilizer of s , $\text{stab}(s)$. Every object T in \mathcal{C} can be written as a direct sum of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. For an object T in \mathcal{C} we can use the M -grading to write

$$T = \bigoplus_{s \in M} T_s, \tag{1}$$

but as M is a disjoint union of orbits $\mathcal{O}_s = \{s \triangleleft u : u \in G\}$ for $s \in M$, T can be rewritten as a disjoint sum over orbits,

$$T = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}} T_{\mathcal{O}}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$T_{\mathcal{O}} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{O}} T_s. \quad (3)$$

Now we will define the stabilizer of $s \in \mathcal{O}$, which is a subgroup of G , as

$$\text{stab}(s) = \{u \in G : s \triangleleft u = s\}.$$

As $\langle \eta \triangleleft u \rangle = \langle \eta \rangle \triangleleft u$ for all $\eta \in T$, T_s is a representation of the group $\text{stab}(s)$. Now fix a base point $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Because $\text{stab}(t)$ is a finite group, T_t is a direct sum of irreducible group representations W_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$, i.e.,

$$T_t = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m W_i. \quad (4)$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{O} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ where $t_1 = t$, and take $u_i \in G$ so that $t_i = t \triangleleft u_i$. Define

$$U_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_i \triangleleft u_j \subset \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{O}} T_s. \quad (5)$$

We claim that each U_i is an indecomposable object in \mathcal{C} . For any $v \in G$ and $\xi \triangleleft u_k \in W_i \triangleleft u_k$,

$$(\xi \triangleleft u_k) \triangleleft v = (\xi \triangleleft (u_k v u_j^{-1})) \triangleleft u_j,$$

where $u_k v u_j^{-1} \in \text{stab}(t)$ for some $u_j \in G$. This shows that U_i is a representation of G .

By the definition of U_i , any subrepresentation of U_i which contains W_i must be all of U_i .

Thus U_i is an indecomposable object in \mathcal{C} , and

$$T_{\mathcal{O}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i. \quad \square \quad (6)$$

Theorem 2.2 {Schur's lemma} *Let V and W be two indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C} , and let $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$ be a morphism. Then α is zero or a scalar multiple of the identity.*

Proof. V and W are associated to orbits \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' so that $V = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{O}} V_s$ and $W = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{O}'} W_s$. As morphisms preserve grade, if $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$. Now if we take $s \in \mathcal{O}$, we find that $\alpha : V_s \rightarrow W_s$ is a map of irreps of $\text{stab}(s)$, so by Schur's lemma for groups, any non-zero map is a scalar multiple of the identity, and we have $V_s = W_s$ as representations of $\text{stab}(s)$. Now we need to check that the multiple of the identity is the same for each $s \in \mathcal{O}$. Suppose α is a multiplication by λ on V_s . Given $t \in \mathcal{O}$, there is a $u \in G$ so that $t \triangleleft u = s$. Then for $\eta \in V_t$,

$$\alpha(\eta) = \alpha(\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u) \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1} = \lambda(\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u) \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1} = \lambda \eta. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.3 *Let V be an indecomposable object in \mathcal{C} associated to the orbit \mathcal{O} . Choose $s, t \in \mathcal{O}$ and $u \in G$ so that $s \triangleleft u = t$. Then V_s and V_t are irreps of $\text{stab}(s)$ and $\text{stab}(t)$ respectively, and the group characters obey $\chi_{V_t}(v) = \chi_{V_s}(u v u^{-1})$.*

Proof. Note that $\bar{\triangleleft} u$ is an invertible map from V_s to V_t . Then we have the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_s & \xrightarrow{\bar{\triangleleft} u v u^{-1}} & V_s \\ \downarrow \bar{\triangleleft} u & & \downarrow \bar{\triangleleft} u \\ V_t & \xrightarrow{\bar{\triangleleft} v} & V_t \end{array}$$

which implies that $\text{trace}(\bar{\triangleleft} u v u^{-1} : V_s \rightarrow V_s) = \text{trace}(\bar{\triangleleft} v : V_t \rightarrow V_t)$. \square

3 An example of indecomposable objects

We give an example of indecomposable objects in the categories discussed in the last section. As we will later want to have a category with braiding, we use the double construction in [2]. We also use lemma 2.3 to list the group characters [5] for every point in the orbit in terms of the given base points.

Take X to be the dihedral group $D_6 = \langle a, b : a^6 = b^2 = e, ab = ba^5 \rangle$, whose elements we list as $\{e, a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^5, b, ba, ba^2, ba^3, ba^4, ba^5\}$, and G to be the non-abelian normal subgroup of order 6 generated by a^2 and b , i.e. $G = \{e, a^2, a^4, b, ba^2, ba^4\}$. We choose $M = \{e, a\}$. The center of D_6 is the subgroup $\{e, a^3\}$, and it has the following conjugacy classes: $\{e\}$, $\{a^3\}$, $\{a^2, a^4\}$, $\{a, a^5\}$, $\{b, ba^2, ba^4\}$ and $\{ba, ba^3, ba^5\}$.

The category \mathcal{D} consists of right representations of the group $X = D_6$ which are graded by $Y = D_6$ (as a set), using the actions $\tilde{\alpha} : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$ and $\tilde{\beta} : Y \times X \rightarrow X$ which are defined as follows:

$$y\tilde{\alpha}x = x^{-1}yx, \quad \text{and} \quad vt\tilde{\beta}x = v^{-1}xv' = txt'^{-1},$$

for $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $v, v' \in G$ and $t, t' \in M$ where $vt\tilde{\alpha}x = v't'$.

Now let V be an indecomposable object in \mathcal{D} . We get the following cases:

Case (1): Take the orbit $\{e\}$ with base point e , whose stabilizer is the whole of D_6 . There are six possible irreducible group representations of the stabilizer, with their characters given by table(1) [12]:

irreps		$\{e\}$	$\{a^3\}$	$\{b, ba^2, ba^4\}$	$\{ba, ba^3, ba^5\}$	$\{a^2, a^4\}$	$\{a, a^5\}$
1_1	2_1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1_2	2_2	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
1_3	2_3	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
1_4	2_4	1	1	-1	-1	1	1
1_5	2_5	2	-2	0	0	-1	1
1_6	2_6	2	2	0	0	-1	-1

table (1)

Case (2): Take the orbit $\{a^3\}$ with base point a^3 , whose stabilizer is the whole of D_6 . There are six possible irreps $\{2_1, 2_2, 2_3, 2_4, 2_5, 2_6\}$, with characters given by table(1).

Case (3): Take the orbit $\{a^2, a^4\}$ with base point a^2 , whose stabilizer is $\{e, a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^5\}$. There are six irreps $\{3_0, 3_1, 3_2, 3_3, 3_4, 3_5\}$, with characters given by table(2), where

$\omega = e^{i\pi/3}$. Applying lemma 2.3 gives $\chi_{V_{a^4}}(v) = \chi_{V_{a^2}}(bvb)$.

irreps		e	a	a^2	a^3	a^4	a^5
3_0	4_0	1	1	1	1	1	1
3_1	4_1	1	ω^1	ω^2	ω^3	ω^4	ω^5
3_2	4_2	1	ω^2	ω^4	1	ω^2	ω^4
3_3	4_3	1	ω^3	1	ω^3	1	ω^3
3_4	4_4	1	ω^4	ω^2	1	ω^4	ω^2
3_5	4_5	1	ω^5	ω^4	ω^3	ω^2	ω^1

table (2)

Case (4): Take the orbit $\{a, a^5\}$ with base point a , whose stabilizer is $\{e, a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^5\}$.

There are six irreps $\{4_0, 4_1, 4_2, 4_3, 4_4, 4_5\}$ with characters given in table(2). Applying lemma 2.3 gives $\chi_{V_{a^5}}(v) = \chi_{V_a}(ba^2vba^2)$.

Case (5): Take the orbit $\{b, ba^2, ba^4\}$ with base point b , whose stabilizer is $\{e, a^3, b, ba^3\}$.

There are four irreps with characters given by table(3). Applying lemma 2.3 gives $\chi_{V_{ba^2}}(v) = \chi_{V_b}(a^4va^2)$ and $\chi_{V_{ba^4}}(v) = \chi_{V_b}(a^2va^4)$.

	e	a^3	b	ba^3
5_{++}	1	1	1	1
5_{+-}	1	1	-1	-1
5_{-+}	1	-1	1	-1
5_{--}	1	-1	-1	1

table (3)

Case (6): Take the orbit $\{ba, ba^3, ba^5\}$ with base point ba , whose stabilizer is $\{e, a^3, ba, ba^4\}$.

There are four irreps with character given by table(4). Applying lemma 2.3 gives $\chi_{V_{ba^3}}(v) = \chi_{V_{ba}}(a^4va^2)$ and $\chi_{V_{ba^5}}(v) = \chi_{V_{ba}}(a^2va^4)$.

	e	a^3	ba	ba^4
6_{++}	1	1	1	1
6_{-+}	1	-1	1	-1
6_{+-}	1	1	-1	-1
6_{--}	1	-1	-1	1

table (4)

4 Duals of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{C}

Given an irreducible object V with associated orbit \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C} , how do we find its dual V^* ? The dual would be described as in section 2, by an orbit, a base point in the orbit and a right group representation of the stabilizer of the base point. Using the formula $(s^L \cdot s) \triangleleft u = (s^L \triangleleft (s \triangleright u)) \cdot (s \triangleleft u) = e$, we see that the left inverse of a point in the orbit containing s is in the orbit containing s^L . By using the evaluation map from $V^* \otimes V$ to the field we can take $(V^*)_{s^L} = (V_s)^*$ as vector spaces. We use $\check{\triangleright}$ as the action of $\text{stab}(s)$ on $(V_s)^*$, i.e. $(\alpha \check{\triangleright} z)(\xi \bar{\triangleright} z) = \alpha(\xi)$ for $\alpha \in (V_s)^*$ and $\xi \in V_s$. The action $\bar{\triangleright}$ of $\text{stab}(s^L)$ on $(V^*)_{s^L}$ is given by $\alpha \bar{\triangleright} (s \triangleright z) = \alpha \check{\triangleright} z$ for $z \in \text{stab}(s)$. In terms of group characters this gives

$$\chi_{(V^*)_{s^L}}(s \triangleright z) = \chi_{(V_s)^*}(z), \quad z \in \text{stab}(s).$$

If we take $\mathcal{O}^L = \{s^L : s \in \mathcal{O}\}$ to have base point p , and choose $u \in G$ so that $p \triangleleft u = s^L$, then using lemma 2.3 gives

$$\chi_{(V^*)_{s^L}}(s \triangleright z) = \chi_{(V_s)^*}(z) = \chi_{(V^*)_p}(u(s \triangleright z)u^{-1}), \quad z \in \text{stab}(s). \quad (7)$$

This formula allows us to find the character of V^* at its base point p as a representation of $\text{stab}(p)$ in terms of the character of the dual of V_s as a representation of $\text{stab}(s)$.

Lemma 4.1 *In \mathcal{C} we can regard the dual $(V \otimes W)^*$ as $W^* \otimes V^*$ with the evaluation*

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta)(\xi \otimes \eta) = (\alpha \bar{\triangleright} \tau(\langle \beta \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \langle \eta \rangle))(\eta) (\beta \bar{\triangleright} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{-1})(\xi).$$

Given a basis $\{\xi\}$ of V and a basis $\{\eta\}$ of W , the dual basis $\{\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta}\}$ of $W^ \otimes V^*$ can be written in terms of the dual basis of V^* and W^* as*

$$\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta} = \hat{\eta} \bar{\triangleright} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle), \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \langle \eta \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi} \bar{\triangleright} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle).$$

Proof. Applying the associator to $(\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes (\xi \otimes \eta)$ gives

$$\alpha \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \beta \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \langle \eta \rangle) \otimes (\beta \otimes (\xi \otimes \eta)) ,$$

and then applying the inverse associator gives

$$\alpha \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \beta \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \langle \eta \rangle) \otimes \left((\beta \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \xi) \otimes \eta \right) .$$

Applying the evaluation map first to $\beta \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \xi$, then to $\alpha \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \beta \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle \cdot \langle \eta \rangle) \otimes \eta$ gives the first equation. For the evaluation to be non-zero, we need $(\langle \beta \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{-1}) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle = e$ which implies $\langle \beta \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{-1} = \langle \xi \rangle^L$, or equivalently $\langle \beta \rangle = \langle \xi \rangle^L \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)$. This gives the second equation. \square

Example 4.2 Using (7) we calculate the duals of the objects given in the last section.

Case (1): The orbit $\{e\}$ has left inverse $\{e\}$, so $\chi_{(V^*)_e} = \chi_{(V_e)^*}$. By a calculation with group characters, all the listed irreps of $\text{stab}(e)$ are self-dual, so $1_r^* = 1_r$ for $r \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$.

Case (2): The orbit $\{a^3\}$ has left inverse $\{a^3\}$, so $\chi_{(V^*)_{a^3}} = \chi_{(V_{a^3})^*}$. As in the last case the group representations are self-dual, so $2_r^* = 2_r$ for $r \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$.

Case (3): The left inverse of the base point a^2 is a^4 , which is still in the orbit. As group representations, the dual of 3_r is $3_{6-r} \pmod{6}$. Applying lemma 2.3 to move the base point, we see that the dual of 3_r in the category is 3_r .

Case (4): The left inverse of the base point a is a^5 , which is still in the orbit. As in the last case, the dual of 4_r in the category is 4_r .

Case (5): The left inverse of the base point is itself, and as group representations, all case 5 irreps are self dual. We deduce that in the category the objects are self dual.

Case (6): Self dual, as in case 5.

5 The ribbon map on the category \mathcal{D}

Theorem 5.1 *The ribbon transformation $\theta_V : V \rightarrow V$ for any object V in \mathcal{D} can be defined by $\theta_V(\xi) = \xi \hat{\curvearrowright} \|\xi\|$.*

Proof. In the following lemmas we show that the required properties hold. \square

Lemma 5.2 *θ_V is a morphism in the category.*

Proof. Begin by checking the X -grade, for $\xi \in V$

$$\|\theta_V(\xi)\| = \|\xi \hat{\curvearrowright} \|\xi\|\| = \|\xi\| \tilde{\curvearrowright} \|\xi\| = \|\xi\|.$$

Now we check the X -action, i.e. that $\theta_V(\xi \hat{\curvearrowright} x) = \theta_V(\xi) \hat{\curvearrowright} x$.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_V(\xi \hat{\curvearrowright} x) &= (\xi \hat{\curvearrowright} x) \hat{\curvearrowright} \|\xi \hat{\curvearrowright} x\| = (\xi \hat{\curvearrowright} x) \hat{\curvearrowright} (\|\xi\| \tilde{\curvearrowright} x) \\ &= \xi \hat{\curvearrowright} x x^{-1} \|\xi\| x = (\xi \hat{\curvearrowright} \|\xi\|) \hat{\curvearrowright} x = \theta_V(\xi) \hat{\curvearrowright} x. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.3 *For any two objects V and W in \mathcal{D} ,*

$$\theta_{V \otimes W} = \Psi_{V \otimes W}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{W \otimes V}^{-1} \circ (\theta_V \otimes \theta_W) = (\theta_V \otimes \theta_W) \circ \Psi_{V \otimes W}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{W \otimes V}^{-1}$$

This can also be described by figure 1:




figure 1

Proof. First calculate $\Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta))$ for $\xi \in V$ and $\eta \in W$, beginning with

$$\Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta)) = \Psi(\eta \hat{\triangleleft}(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|)^{-1} \otimes \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta|). \quad (8)$$

To simplify what follows we shall use the substitutions

$$\eta' = \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta| \quad \text{and} \quad \xi' = \eta \hat{\triangleleft}(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|)^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

so equation (8) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta)) &= \Psi(\xi' \otimes \eta') \\ &= \eta' \hat{\triangleleft}(\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\eta'|)^{-1} \otimes \xi' \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta'|. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

As $\eta' = \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta| = \xi \triangleleft |\eta|$, then $|\eta'| = |\xi \triangleleft |\eta|| = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright |\eta|)^{-1} |\xi| |\eta|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \xi' \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta'| &= \eta \hat{\triangleleft}(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|)^{-1} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright |\eta|)^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \\ &= \eta \hat{\triangleleft}((\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright |\eta|)(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|))^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \\ &= \eta \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta|. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Hence if we put $y = \|\xi \otimes \eta\| = \|\xi\| \circ \|\eta\| = |\eta|^{-1} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \langle \eta \rangle$,

$$\Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta)) \hat{\triangleleft} \|\xi \otimes \eta\| = \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta| (\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\eta'|)^{-1} (p \tilde{\triangleright} \|\xi \otimes \eta\|) \otimes \eta \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta|^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where, using (11),

$$p = \|\xi' \hat{\triangleleft} |\eta'| \| = |\xi' \triangleleft |\eta'| |^{-1} \langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\eta'| \rangle = \|\eta\| \tilde{\triangleleft} \|\eta\| y^{-1} = \|\eta\| \tilde{\triangleleft} y^{-1}$$

$$p \tilde{\triangleright} \|\xi \otimes \eta\| = (\|\eta\| \tilde{\triangleleft} y^{-1}) \tilde{\triangleright} y = (\|\eta\| \tilde{\triangleright} y^{-1})^{-1},$$

As $\|\xi' \triangleleft |\eta'| \| = v' t' = \|\eta\| \tilde{\triangleleft} y^{-1}$, by unique factorization, $t' = \langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\eta'|$. Then $\|\eta\| \tilde{\triangleright} y^{-1} = \langle \eta \rangle y^{-1} t'^{-1}$, which implies that

$$|\eta| (\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\eta'|)^{-1} (\|\eta\| \tilde{\triangleright} y^{-1})^{-1} = |\eta| t'^{-1} t' y \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} = \|\xi\|. \quad (13)$$

Substituting this in (12) gives

$$\Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta)) \hat{\Delta} \|\xi \otimes \eta\| = \xi \hat{\Delta} \|\xi\| \otimes \eta \hat{\Delta} \|\eta\|. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.4 *For the unit object $\underline{1} = \mathbb{C}$ in \mathcal{D} , $\theta_{\underline{1}}$ is the identity.*

Proof. For any object V in \mathcal{D} , $\theta_V : V \rightarrow V$ is defined by

$$\theta_V(\xi) = \xi \hat{\Delta} \|\xi\| \quad \text{for } \xi \in V.$$

If we choose $V = \underline{1} = \mathbb{C}$ then $\theta_{\underline{1}}(\xi) = \xi \hat{\Delta} e = \xi$ as $\|\xi\| = e$. \square

Lemma 5.5 *For any object V in \mathcal{D} , $(\theta_V)^* = \theta_{V^*}$ (see figure 2).*




figure 2

Proof. Begin with

$$\text{coev}_V(1) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \xi \hat{\Delta} \tilde{\tau}(\|\xi\|^L, \|\xi\|)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi} = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi}$$

For $\alpha \in V^*$, we follow figure 2 and calculate

$$(\theta_V)^*(\alpha) = (\text{eval}_V \otimes id) \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha \otimes \left(\theta_V(\xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}) \otimes \hat{\xi}\right)\right). \quad (14)$$

Now as $\tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) = \langle \xi \rangle^L \langle \xi \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}\| &= \|\xi\| \hat{\Delta} (\langle \xi \rangle^L \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle^L \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1} \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle^L \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_V(\xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}) &= (\xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}) \hat{\Delta} \|\xi \hat{\Delta} \tilde{\tau}(\|\xi\|^L, \|\xi\|)^{-1}\| \\ &= \xi \hat{\Delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1} \langle \xi \rangle^L \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1} \\ &= \xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to find

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha \otimes \left((\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}) \otimes \hat{\xi}\right)\right) &= \left(\alpha \hat{\Delta} \tilde{\tau}(\|\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}\|, \|\hat{\xi}\|)^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad \otimes (\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}) \otimes \hat{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}\| &= \|\xi\| \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1} \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle^L |\xi| |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1} \\ &= \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1} \\ &= \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \\ &= \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}) (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

then as $\|\hat{\xi}\| = \|\xi\|^L = |\xi| \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}\left(\alpha \otimes \left((\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}) \otimes \hat{\xi}\right)\right) &= \left(\alpha \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}, \langle \xi \rangle^L)^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad \otimes (\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}) \otimes \hat{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $v = \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} = \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}$ and $w = \tau(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft v, \langle \xi \rangle^L)^{-1} = ((\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft v) \langle \xi \rangle^L)^{-1}$, then

substituting in (14) gives

$$(\theta_V)^*(\alpha) = (\text{eval}_V \otimes \text{id}) \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \left((\alpha \hat{\Delta} w) \otimes (\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{L-1}) \right) \otimes \hat{\xi}. \quad (15)$$

For a given term in the sum to be non-zero, we require

$$\|\alpha\| = \|\hat{\xi}\| = \|\xi\|^L = |\xi|\langle\xi\rangle^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

and we proceed under this assumption. Now calculate

$$\text{eval}_V((\alpha \hat{\Delta} w) \otimes (\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle\xi\rangle^{L-1})) = (\beta \hat{\Delta} (\|\xi\| \tilde{\Delta} p))(\xi \tilde{\Delta} p) = \beta(\xi) \quad (17)$$

where $p = |\xi|^{-1} \langle\xi\rangle^{L-1}$ and $\beta = \alpha \hat{\Delta} w (\|\xi\| \tilde{\Delta} p)^{-1}$. Next we want to find $\|\xi\| \tilde{\Delta} p$. To do this, we first find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\| \tilde{\Delta} p &= \langle\xi\rangle^L |\xi| |\xi|^{-1} \langle\xi\rangle |\xi|^{-1} \langle\xi\rangle^{L-1} \\ &= v^{-1} |\xi|^{-1} \langle\xi\rangle v = v^{-1} |\xi|^{-1} (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleright v) (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleleft v), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\| \tilde{\Delta} p &= \langle\xi\rangle p (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleleft v)^{-1} \\ &= \langle\xi\rangle |\xi|^{-1} \langle\xi\rangle v (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleleft v)^{-1} \\ &= \langle\xi\rangle |\xi|^{-1} (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleright v). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \alpha \hat{\Delta} w (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleright v)^{-1} |\xi| \langle\xi\rangle^{-1} \\ &= \alpha \hat{\Delta} \langle\xi\rangle^{L-1} (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleleft v)^{-1} (\langle\xi\rangle \triangleright v)^{-1} |\xi| \langle\xi\rangle^{-1} \\ &= \alpha \hat{\Delta} \langle\xi\rangle v (\langle\xi\rangle v)^{-1} |\xi| \langle\xi\rangle^{-1} = \alpha \hat{\Delta} |\xi| \langle\xi\rangle^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Now substituting these last equations in (15) gives

$$(\theta_V)^*(\alpha) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V \text{ with } |\xi|\langle\xi\rangle^{-1} = \|\alpha\|} (\alpha \hat{\Delta} \|\alpha\|)(\xi) \cdot \hat{\xi} \quad (21)$$

Take a basis $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ with $(\alpha \hat{\Delta} \|\alpha\|)(\xi_i)$ being 1 if $i = 1$, and 0 otherwise. Then

$$(\theta_V)^*(\alpha) = \hat{\xi}_1 + 0 = \alpha \hat{\Delta} \|\alpha\| = \theta_{V^*}(\alpha),$$

where $\hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n$ is the dual basis of V^* defined by $\hat{\xi}_i(\xi_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. \square

Example 5.6 We return to the example of section 3. First we calculate the value of the ribbon map on the indecomposable objects. For an irreducible representation V , we have $\theta_V : V \rightarrow V$ defined by $\theta_V(\xi) = \xi \hat{\Delta} \|\xi\|$ for $\xi \in V$. At the base point $s \in \mathcal{O}$, we have $\theta_V(\xi) = \xi \bar{\Delta} s$ for $\xi \in V$ and $\theta : V_s \rightarrow V_s$ is a multiple Θ_V , say, of the identity or, in more explicitly, $\text{trace}(\theta : V_s \rightarrow V_s) = \Theta_V \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_s)$, i.e.,

$$\Theta_V = \frac{\text{group character}(s)}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_s)} \quad (22)$$

And then, for the different cases we will get the following table :

irreps	Θ_V	irreps	Θ_V
1 ₁	1	3 ₄	ω^2
1 ₂	1	3 ₅	ω^4
1 ₃	1	4 ₀	1
1 ₄	1	4 ₁	ω^1
1 ₅	1	4 ₂	ω^2
1 ₆	1	4 ₃	-1
2 ₁	1	4 ₄	ω^4
2 ₂	-1	4 ₅	ω^5
2 ₃	-1	5 ₊₊	1
2 ₄	1	5 ₊₋	-1
2 ₅	-1	5 ₋₊	1
2 ₆	1	5 ₋₋	-1
3 ₀	1	6 ₊₊	1
3 ₁	ω^2	6 ₋₊	1
3 ₂	ω^4	6 ₊₋	-1
3 ₃	1	6 ₋₋	-1

table (5)

6 Traces in the category \mathcal{D}

Definition 6.1 [13] The trace of a morphism $T : V \rightarrow V$ for any object V in \mathcal{D} is defined by




figure 3

Theorem 6.2 *If we evaluate the diagram of definition 6.1 in \mathcal{D} , we find*

$$\text{trace}(T) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \hat{\xi} (T(\xi)).$$

Proof. Begin with

$$\text{coev}_V(1) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \xi \hat{\Delta} \tilde{\tau}(\|\xi\|^L, \|\xi\|)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi} = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi},$$

and applying $T \otimes \text{id}$ to this gives

$$\sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} T(\xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}) \otimes \hat{\xi} = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} T(\xi) \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi}.$$

Next apply the braiding map to the last equation to get

$$\sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \Psi(T(\xi) \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi}) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \hat{\xi} \hat{\Delta} (\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\hat{\xi}|)^{-1} \otimes \xi' \hat{\Delta} |\hat{\xi}| \quad (23)$$

where $\xi' = T(\xi) \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi' \rangle &= \langle T(\xi) \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \rangle = \langle T(\xi) \bar{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle T(\xi) \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} = \langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

To calculate $|\hat{\xi}|$ we start with

$$\|\hat{\xi}\| = \|\xi\|^L = (|\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle)^L = |\xi| \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^L,$$

which implies that $|\hat{\xi}| = \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\hat{\xi}|)^{-1} &= \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1}, \\ \xi' \triangleleft |\hat{\xi}| &= (T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}) \hat{\triangleleft} (\tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1}) = T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\hat{\xi}|)^{-1} \otimes \xi' \hat{\triangleleft} |\hat{\xi}| = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}. \quad (25)$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{-1}(T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}) &= (T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}) \hat{\triangleleft} \|T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}\|^{-1} \\ &= (T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}) \hat{\triangleleft} (\|T(\xi)\| \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} (\|\xi\| \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} (|\xi| |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} |\xi| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} = T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and finally we need to calculate

$$\text{eval}(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}) = (\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1}) (T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}). \quad (27)$$

We know, from the definition of the action on V^* , that

$$\left(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\|T(\xi)\| \tilde{\triangleright} x) \right) (T(\xi) \hat{\triangleleft} x) = \hat{\xi} (T(\xi)). \quad (28)$$

If we put $x = \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$, we want to show that $\|T(\xi)\| \tilde{\triangleright} x = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1}$, so

$$\|\xi\| \tilde{\triangleright} x = |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \tilde{\triangleright} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} = \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright |\xi|^{-1}) (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}) = v' t',$$

which implies that $t' = \langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(\xi)\| \tilde{\triangleright} x &= \|\xi\| \tilde{\triangleright} x = |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \tilde{\triangleright} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} = t \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} t'^{-1} \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square \quad (29)$$

7 Characters in the category \mathcal{D}

Definition 7.1 [9] *The right adjoint action in \mathcal{D} of the algebra D on itself is defined by*




figure 4

Definition 7.2 *The character χ_V of an object V in \mathcal{D} is defined by*




figure 5

Lemma 7.3 *For an object V in \mathcal{D} we have*





figure 6

Proof.





Proposition 7.4 *The character is right adjoint invariant, i.e. for an object V in \mathcal{D}*

$$\begin{array}{c}
 D \quad D \\
 | \quad | \\
 \textcircled{Ad} \\
 | \\
 \textcircled{\chi_V}
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{c}
 D \quad D \\
 | \quad | \\
 \textcircled{\chi_V} \quad \textcircled{\epsilon}
 \end{array}$$

figure 7

Proof.





Proposition 7.5 *The character of a tensor product of representations is the product of the characters, i.e. for two objects V and W in \mathcal{D}*






figure 8

Proof.





Theorem 7.6 *We have the following formula for the character;*

$$\chi_V(\delta_y \otimes x) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V \text{ with } y = \langle \xi | \xi |^{-1}} \hat{\xi}(\xi \hat{\Delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x \langle \xi \rangle),$$

for $xy = yx$, otherwise $\chi_V(\delta_y \otimes x) = 0$.

Proof. Set $a = \delta_y \otimes x$. To have $\chi_V(a) \neq 0$ we must have $\|a\| = e$, i.e. $y = y \tilde{\Delta} x$ which implies that x and y commute. Assuming this, we continue with the diagrammatic definition of the character, starting with

$$\left(\sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \xi \hat{\Delta} \tilde{\tau}(\|\xi\|^L, \|\xi\|)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi} \right) \otimes a = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \left(\xi \hat{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi} \right) \otimes a.$$

Next we calculate

$$\Psi (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi}) = \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\hat{\xi}|)^{-1} \otimes \xi' \hat{\triangleleft} |\hat{\xi}| \quad (30)$$

where $\xi' = \xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}$, so

$$\langle \xi' \rangle = \langle \xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \rangle = \langle \xi \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \rangle = \langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}. \quad (31)$$

From a previous calculation we know that $|\hat{\xi}| = \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi' \rangle \triangleleft |\hat{\xi}|)^{-1} &= \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \\ \xi' \triangleleft |\hat{\xi}| &= (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}) \hat{\triangleleft} (\tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle) |\xi|^{-1}) = \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the next stage in the evaluation of the diagram:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \Psi (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle^L, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \otimes \hat{\xi}) \otimes a \\ = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \left(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \right) \otimes a. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Now we apply the associator to the last equation to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \Phi \left(\left(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \right) \otimes a \right) \\ = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \tilde{\tau}(\|\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}\|^L, \|a\|) \otimes (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \otimes a) \\ = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \tau(\langle \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \rangle, e) \otimes (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \otimes a) \\ = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V} \hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \otimes (\delta_y \otimes x)) \end{aligned}$$

as $\tau(\langle \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \rangle, e) = e$. Now apply the action $\hat{\triangleleft}$ to $\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} \otimes (\delta_y \otimes x)$ to get

$$(\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}) \hat{\triangleleft} (\delta_y \otimes x) = \delta_{y, \|\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}\|} (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1}) \hat{\triangleleft} x = \delta_{y, \|\xi\| \|\xi|^{-1}} \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x, \quad (33)$$

and to get a non-zero answer we must have

$$y = \|\xi\| \tilde{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} = |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \tilde{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} = |\xi| |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} = \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1}. \quad (34)$$

Thus the character of V is given by

$$\chi_V(\delta_y \otimes x) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V \text{ with } y = \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1}} \text{eval} \left(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes \theta^{-1}(\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x) \right).$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{-1}(\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x) &= (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x) \hat{\triangleleft} \|\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x\|^{-1} \\ &= (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x) \hat{\triangleleft} (\|\xi\| \tilde{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x)^{-1} \\ &= (\xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x) \hat{\triangleleft} (x^{-1} |\xi| |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} x)^{-1} \\ &= \xi \hat{\triangleleft} |\xi|^{-1} x x^{-1} |\xi| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x = \xi \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to calculate $\text{eval}(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes \xi \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x)$. Start with $\|\xi\| \tilde{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x = \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \tilde{\triangleleft} x = \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1}$, as we only have nonzero summands for $y = \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{eval}(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes \xi \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x) \\ &= \text{eval}((\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} \otimes \xi \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x) \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle) \\ &= \text{eval}(\hat{\xi} \hat{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1})^{-1} (\langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \tilde{\triangleright} \langle \xi \rangle) \otimes \xi \hat{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x \langle \xi \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

To find $\langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \tilde{\triangleright} \langle \xi \rangle$, first find $\langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \tilde{\triangleleft} \langle \xi \rangle = |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle$, so

$$\langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1} \tilde{\triangleright} \langle \xi \rangle = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright |\xi|^{-1}) (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}) \tilde{\triangleright} \langle \xi \rangle = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}) \langle \xi \rangle \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} = \langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.7 *Let V be an object in \mathcal{D} . For $\delta_y \otimes x \in D$ the character of V is given by the following formula, where $y = su^{-1}$ with $s \in M$ and $u \in G$:*

$$\chi_V(\delta_y \otimes x) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V_{u^{-1}s}} \hat{\xi}(\xi \hat{\triangleleft} s^{-1} x s) = \chi_{V_{u^{-1}s}}(s^{-1} x s)$$

where $xy = yx$, otherwise $\chi_V(\delta_y \otimes x) = 0$. Here $\chi_{V_{u^{-1}s}}$ is the group representation character of the representation $V_{u^{-1}s}$ of the group $\text{stab}(u^{-1}s)$.

Proof. From theorem 7.6, we know that

$$\chi_V(\delta_y \otimes x) = \sum_{\xi \in \text{basis of } V \text{ with } y = \langle \xi \rangle |\xi|^{-1}} \hat{\xi}(\xi \hat{\imath} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} x \langle \xi \rangle),$$

for with $xy = yx$. Set $s = \langle \xi \rangle$ and $u = |\xi|$, so $y = su^{-1}$. We note that $s^{-1}xs$ is in $\text{stab}(u^{-1}s)$, because

$$u^{-1}s\tilde{\imath}s^{-1}xs = s^{-1}x^{-1}su^{-1}ss^{-1}xs = s^{-1}x^{-1}xsu^{-1}s = u^{-1}s.$$

It just remains to note that $\|\xi\| = |\xi|^{-1}\langle \xi \rangle = u^{-1}s$. \square

8 Modular Categories

Let \mathcal{M} be a semisimple ribbon category. For objects V and W in \mathcal{M} define $\tilde{S}_{VW} \in \underline{\mathbf{1}}$ by

$$\tilde{S}_{VW} = \begin{array}{c} \text{coev}(V) \quad \text{coev}(W) \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram showing two octagons representing coevaluation maps for } V \text{ and } W. \text{ The octagons are connected by lines that cross each other. Below each octagon is a circle containing the inverse twist map } \theta_V^{-1} \text{ and } \theta_W^{-1} \text{ respectively.} \end{array} \\ \text{figure 9} \end{array}$$

There are standard results [1, 13]:

$$\tilde{S}_{VW} = \tilde{S}_{WV} = \tilde{S}_{V^*W^*} = \tilde{S}_{W^*V^*}, \quad \tilde{S}_{V\underline{\mathbf{1}}} = \dim(V).$$

Here $\dim(V)$ is the trace in \mathcal{M} of the identity map on V .

Definition 8.1 We call an object U in an abelian category \mathcal{M} simple if, for any V in \mathcal{M} , any injection $V \hookrightarrow U$ is either 0 or an isomorphism [1]. A semisimple category is an abelian category whose objects split as a direct sums of simple objects [13].

Definition 8.2 [1] A modular category is a semisimple ribbon category \mathcal{M} satisfying the following properties:

- 1- There are only a finite number of isomorphism classes of simple objects in \mathcal{M} .
- 2- Schur's lemma holds, i.e. the morphisms between simple objects are zero unless they are isomorphic, in which case the morphisms are a multiple of the identity.
- 3- The matrix \tilde{S}_{VW} with indices in isomorphism classes of simple objects is invertible.

Definition 8.3 [1] For a simple object V , the ribbon map on V is a multiple of the identity, and we use Θ_V for the scalar multiple. The numbers P^\pm are defined as the following sums over simple isomorphism classes:

$$P^\pm = \sum_V \Theta_V^{\pm 1} (\dim(V))^2 ,$$

and the matrices T and C are defined using the Kronecker delta function by

$$T_{VW} = \delta_{VW} \Theta_V , \quad C_{VW} = \delta_{VW^*} .$$

Theorem 8.4 [1] In a modular category, if we define the matrix S by

$$S = \frac{\tilde{S}}{\sqrt{P^+ P^-}} ,$$

then we have the following matrix equations:

$$(ST)^3 = \sqrt{\frac{P^+}{P^-}} S^2 , \quad S^2 = C , \quad CT = TC , \quad C^2 = 1 .$$

We now give some results which allow us to calculate the matrix \tilde{S} in \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 8.5

$$\text{coev}(V^*) = \text{coev}(V) \text{ where } u =$$

figure 10

Proof.

$$\text{R. H. S.} =$$

Lemma 8.6

$$V \quad V^* \quad \theta_{V^*}^{-1} =$$

figure 11

where $u =$

and $\theta_{V^*}^{-1} =$


Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{L.H.S.} &= \text{Diagram 1} = \text{Diagram 2} \\
 &= \text{Diagram 3} = \text{Diagram 4} = \text{R.H.S.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.7 For V, W indecomposable objects in \mathcal{D} , $\text{trace}(\Psi_{V^*W} \circ \Psi_{WV^*}) = \tilde{S}_{VW}$.

Proof.

$$\text{L.H.S.} = \text{Diagram 1} = \text{Diagram 2}$$



= R. H. S. \square

Lemma 8.8 For two objects V and W in \mathcal{D} ,

$$\text{trace}(\Psi_{W \otimes V} \circ \Psi_{V \otimes W}) = \sum_{\substack{\xi \otimes \eta \in \text{basis of } V \otimes W \text{ and} \\ |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \text{ commutes with } |\eta| \langle \eta \rangle^{-1}}} \hat{\eta}(\eta \hat{\Delta} |\eta|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta|) \hat{\xi}(\xi \hat{\Delta} |\eta| \langle \eta \rangle^{-1})$$

Proof. From theorem 6.2, we know that

$$\text{trace}(\Psi_{W \otimes V} \circ \Psi_{V \otimes W}) = \sum_{(\xi \otimes \eta) \in \text{basis of } V \otimes W} (\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta})(\Psi^2(\xi \otimes \eta)) . \quad (35)$$

From the definition of the ribbon map, we know that $\Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta)) \hat{\Delta} \|\xi \otimes \eta\| = \xi \hat{\Delta} \|\xi\| \otimes \eta \hat{\Delta} \|\eta\|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta)) &= (\xi \hat{\Delta} \|\xi\| \otimes \eta \hat{\Delta} \|\eta\|) \hat{\Delta} \|\xi \otimes \eta\|^{-1} \\ &= (\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \otimes \eta \hat{\Delta} |\eta|^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle) \hat{\Delta} \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \\ &= (\xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle) \hat{\Delta} (\|\eta \hat{\Delta} \|\eta\| \|\tilde{\nu} \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta|) \otimes \eta \hat{\Delta} |\eta|^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \\ &= \xi \hat{\Delta} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle (\|\eta\| \tilde{\nu} \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta|) \otimes \eta \hat{\Delta} |\eta|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\Psi(\Psi(\xi \otimes \eta)) = \xi' \otimes \eta'$ and $\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta} = \alpha \otimes \beta$, and then from lemma 4.1 we get

$$(\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta})(\xi' \otimes \eta') = (\alpha \bar{\Delta} \tau(\langle \beta \rangle, \langle \xi' \rangle \cdot \langle \eta' \rangle))(\eta') (\beta \bar{\Delta} \tau(\langle \xi' \rangle, \langle \eta' \rangle)^{-1})(\xi').$$

As $\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta}$ is part of a dual basis, the last expression can only be non-zero if $\|\xi'\| = \|\xi\|$ and $\|\eta'\| = \|\eta\|$. A simple calculation shows that $\|\eta'\| = \|\eta\|$ if and only if $|\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle$ commutes with $|\eta| \langle \eta \rangle^{-1}$. We use this to find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta\| \tilde{\nu} \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| &= |\eta|^{-1} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \langle \eta \rangle |\eta|^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \\ &= |\eta|^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle |\eta|^{-1} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| = |\eta|^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle , \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\|\eta\| \tilde{\nu} \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| = \langle \eta \rangle \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} = \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi| |\eta| \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} .$$

Now using the formula for $\widehat{\xi \otimes \eta} = \alpha \otimes \beta$ from lemma 4.1 gives the result. \square

Lemma 8.9 *Let V and W be objects in \mathcal{D} . Then in terms of group characters:*

$$\text{trace}(\Psi^2_{V \otimes W}) = \sum_{\substack{u,v \in G, s,t \in M \text{ and} \\ su \text{ commutes with } vt}} \chi_{W_{us}}(s^{-1}t^{-1}v^{-1}s) \chi_{V_{vt}}(u^{-1}s^{-1}).$$

Proof. This is more or less immediate from lemma 8.8. Put $\|\eta\| = u^{-1}s$ and $\|\xi\| = v^{-1}t$ and sum over basis elements of constant degree first. \square

9 An example of a modular category

Using the order of the indecomposable objects in table (5), we get T to be a diagonal 32×32 matrix whose diagonal entries are taken from the table. As every indecomposable object in our example is self-dual, the matrix C is the 32×32 identity matrix.

To find S , we calculate the trace of the double braiding $\text{trace}(\Psi_{VW} \circ \Psi_{WV})$. We do this using the result from 8.8, and split into different cases for the objects V and W , and move the points the characters are evaluated at to the base points for each orbit using 2.3. For example:

Case (1) \otimes Case (1): (i.e. the orbit of W is $\{e\}$ and the orbit of V is $\{e\}$)

$$\text{trace}(\Psi^2) = \chi_{W_e}(e)\chi_{V_e}(e).$$

Case (2) \otimes Case (5): (i.e. the orbit of W is $\{a^3\}$ and the orbit of V is $\{b, ba^2, ba^4\}$)

$$\text{trace}(\Psi^2) = \left(\chi_{W_{a^3}}(ba^2) + \chi_{W_{a^3}}(ba^4) + \chi_{W_{a^3}}(b) \right) \chi_{V_b}(a^3).$$

Case (5) \otimes Case (3): (i.e. the orbit of W is $\{b, ba^2, ba^4\}$ and the orbit of V is $\{a^2, a^4\}$)

$$\text{trace}(\Psi^2) = 0.$$

10 An equivalence of tensor categories

In this section we will generalize some results of [3] which considered group doublecross products, i.e. a group X factoring into two subgroups G and M .

Definition 10.1 [3] *For the doublecross product group $X = GM$ there is a quantum double $D(X) = k(X) \bowtie kX$ which has the following operations*

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_y \otimes x)(\delta_{y'} \otimes x') &= \delta_{x^{-1}yx, y'}(\delta_y \otimes xx'), \quad \Delta(\delta_y \otimes x) = \sum_{ab=y} \delta_a \otimes x \otimes \delta_b \otimes x \\ 1 &= \sum_y \delta_y \otimes e, \quad \epsilon(\delta_y \otimes x) = \delta_{y,e}, \quad S(\delta_y \otimes x) = \delta_{x^{-1}y^{-1}x} \otimes x^{-1}, \\ (\delta_y \otimes x)^* &= \delta_{x^{-1}yx} \otimes x^{-1}, \quad R = \sum_{x,z} \delta_x \otimes e \otimes \delta_z \otimes x. \end{aligned}$$

The representations of $D(X)$ are given by X -graded left kX -modules. The kX action will be denoted by \triangleright and the grading by $\|\cdot\|$. The grading and X action are related by

$$\|x \triangleright \xi\| = x \|\xi\| x^{-1} \quad x \in X, \quad \xi \in V, \quad (36)$$

and the action of $(\delta_y \otimes x) \in D(X)$ is given by

$$(\delta_y \otimes x) \triangleright \xi = \delta_{y, \|x \triangleright \xi\|} x \triangleright \xi. \quad (37)$$

Proposition 10.2 *There is a functor χ from \mathcal{D} to the category of representations of $D(X)$ given by the following: As vector spaces, $\chi(V)$ is the same as V , and χ is the identity map. The X -grading $\|\cdot\|$ on $\chi(V)$ and the action of $us \in kX$ are defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi(\eta)\| &= \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} |\eta| \quad \text{for } \eta \in V, \\ us \triangleright \chi(\eta) &= \chi\left(\left(\langle s \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} \rangle \bar{\triangleright} \eta\right) \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}\right), \quad s \in M \quad u \in G. \end{aligned}$$

A morphism $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ in \mathcal{D} is sent to the morphism $\chi(\phi) : \chi(V) \rightarrow \chi(W)$ defined by $\chi(\phi)(\chi(\xi)) = \chi(\phi(\xi))$.

Proof. First we show that \triangleright is an action, i.e. $vt\triangleright(us\triangleright\chi(\eta)) = vtus\triangleright\chi(\eta)$ for all $s, t \in M$ and $u, v \in G$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} vt\triangleright(us\triangleright\chi(\eta)) &= vt\triangleright\chi((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\eta)\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}) \\ &= \chi(((t\triangleleft|\bar{\eta}|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\bar{\eta})\bar{\triangleleft}v^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\eta} = ((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\eta)\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}$. On the other hand we have

$$vtus = v(t\triangleright u)\tau(t\triangleleft u, s)((t\triangleleft u) \cdot s),$$

where $v(t\triangleright u)\tau(t\triangleleft u, s) \in G$ and $(t\triangleleft u) \cdot s \in M$, so

$$vtus\triangleright\chi(\eta) = \chi\left(\left(\left(\left(\left(t\triangleleft u\right) \cdot s\right)\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\right)\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(t\triangleleft u, s)^{-1}(t\triangleright u)^{-1}v^{-1}\right).\right.$$

We need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} (t\triangleleft|\bar{\eta}|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\bar{\eta} &= \left(\left(\left(\left(t\triangleleft u\right) \cdot s\right)\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\right)\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(t\triangleleft u, s)^{-1}(t\triangleright u)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\left(\left(t\triangleleft u(s\triangleright|\eta|^{-1})\right) \cdot (s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1})\right)\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(t\triangleleft u, s)^{-1}(t\triangleright u)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Put $\bar{s} = s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}$ and $\eta' = \bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta$ which give $\bar{\eta} = \eta'\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}$. Then using the connections between the gradings and actions,

$$|\bar{\eta}| = |\eta'\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}| = (\langle\eta'\rangle\triangleright u^{-1})^{-1}|\eta'|u^{-1}.$$

Putting $\bar{t} = t\triangleleft u|\eta'|^{-1}$, the left hand side of (38) will become

$$\begin{aligned} (t\triangleleft|\bar{\eta}|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\bar{\eta} &= \left(t\triangleleft u|\eta'|^{-1}(\langle\eta'\rangle\triangleright u^{-1})\right)\bar{\triangleright}(\eta'\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}) \\ &= \left(\bar{t}\triangleleft(\langle\eta'\rangle\triangleright u^{-1})\right)\bar{\triangleright}(\eta'\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}) \\ &= (\bar{t}\bar{\triangleright}\eta')\bar{\triangleleft}\left(\bar{t}\triangleleft|\eta'\right)\triangleright u^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (38) and the fact that $(t\triangleright u)^{-1} = (\bar{t}\triangleleft|\eta'|)\triangleright u^{-1}$, we only need to show that

$$\bar{t}\bar{\triangleright}\eta' = \left(\left(\left(t\triangleleft u(s\triangleright|\eta|^{-1})\right) \cdot (s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1})\right)\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(t\triangleleft u, s)^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

From the formula for the composition of the M ‘action’ the right hand side of (39) becomes $\bar{p}\bar{\triangleright}(\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta) = \bar{p}\bar{\triangleright}\eta'$, where $\bar{p}' = t\langle u(s|\eta|^{-1})$ and $\bar{p} = \bar{p}'\triangleleft\tau(\bar{s}, \langle\eta\rangle)\tau(\langle\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta\rangle, \bar{s}\triangleleft|\eta|)^{-1}$. We have used the fact that $\tau(t\langle u, s\rangle) = \tau(\bar{p}'\triangleleft(\bar{s}\triangleright|\eta|), \bar{s}\triangleleft|\eta|)$. Now we just have to prove that $\bar{p} = \bar{t}$. Because $\tau(\bar{s}, \langle\eta\rangle)^{-1}(\bar{s}\triangleright|\eta|) = \tau(\langle\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta\rangle, \bar{s}\triangleleft|\eta|)^{-1}|\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|$ and knowing that $(\bar{s}\triangleright|\eta|) = (s\triangleright|\eta|^{-1})^{-1}$, we can write \bar{p} as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{p} &= \bar{p}'\triangleleft(\bar{s}\triangleright|\eta|)|\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|^{-1} \\ &= t\langle u(s\triangleright|\eta|^{-1})(s\triangleright|\eta|^{-1})^{-1}|\eta'|^{-1} \\ &= t\langle u|\eta'|^{-1} = \bar{t}.\end{aligned}$$

Next we show that $\|us\triangleright\chi(\eta)\| = us\|\chi(\eta)\|(us)^{-1}$ where $u \in G$ and $s \in M$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\triangleright\chi(\eta)\| &= \|\chi\left(\left((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}\right)\| \\ &= \langle\eta'\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}\rangle^{-1}|\eta'\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}| = u\langle\eta'\rangle^{-1}|\eta'|u^{-1} \\ &= u\langle\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta\rangle^{-1}|\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|u^{-1} = u(\bar{s}\triangleleft|\eta|)\langle\eta\rangle^{-1}|\eta|(\bar{s}\triangleleft|\eta|)^{-1}u^{-1} \\ &= us\langle\eta\rangle^{-1}|\eta|s^{-1}u^{-1}. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10.3 *The functor χ is invertible.*

Proof. We have already proved in the previous proposition that the X -grading $\|\cdot\|$ and the action \triangleright give a representation of $D(X)$, so we only need to show that χ is a 1-1 correspondence, which we do by giving its inverse χ^{-1} as the following: Let W be a representation of $D(X)$, with kX action \triangleright and X -grading $\|\cdot\|$. Define a D representation as follows: $\chi^{-1}(W)$ will be the same as W as a vector space. There will be G and M gradings given by the factorization

$$\|\xi\|^{-1} = |\chi^{-1}(\xi)|^{-1}\langle\chi^{-1}(\xi)\rangle, \quad \xi \in W, \langle\chi^{-1}(\xi)\rangle \in M, |\chi^{-1}(\xi)| \in G.$$

The action of $s \in M$ and $u \in G$ are given by

$$s\bar{\triangleright}\chi^{-1}(\xi) = \chi^{-1}((s\triangleleft|\chi^{-1}(\xi)|)\dot{\triangleright}\xi) , \chi^{-1}(\xi)\bar{\triangleleft}u = \chi^{-1}(u^{-1}\dot{\triangleright}\xi)$$

Checking the rest is left to the reader. \square

Proposition 10.4 For $\delta_y \otimes x \in \mathcal{D}$, $\chi(\xi\hat{\triangleleft}(\delta_y \otimes x)) = \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} x^{-1}\dot{\triangleright}\chi(\xi)$.

Proof. Starting with the left hand side,

$$\chi(\xi\hat{\triangleleft}(\delta_y \otimes x)) = \chi(\delta_{y,\|\xi\|} \xi\hat{\triangleleft}x) = \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} \chi(\xi\hat{\triangleleft}x).$$

Putting $x = us$ for $u \in G$ and $s \in M$,

$$\xi\hat{\triangleleft}x = \xi\hat{\triangleleft}us = (\xi\bar{\triangleleft}u)\hat{\triangleleft}s = ((s^L\triangleleft u^{-1}|\xi|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\bar{\triangleleft}(s^L\triangleright u^{-1})^{-1}\tau(s^L, s).$$

Now put $\bar{u} = \tau(s^L, s)^{-1}(s^L\triangleright u^{-1})$ and $\bar{s} = s^L\triangleleft u^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\xi\hat{\triangleleft}(\delta_y \otimes x)) &= \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} \chi\left(\left((\bar{s}\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\xi\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\bar{u}^{-1}\right) \\ &= \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} \bar{u}\bar{s}\dot{\triangleright}\chi(\xi) \\ &= \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} \tau(s^L, s)^{-1}(s^L\triangleright u^{-1})(s^L\triangleleft u^{-1})\dot{\triangleright}\chi(\xi) \\ &= \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} s^{-1}s^{L-1}s^L u^{-1}\dot{\triangleright}\chi(\xi) \\ &= \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} (us)^{-1}\dot{\triangleright}\chi(\xi) = \delta_{y,\|\xi\|} x^{-1}\dot{\triangleright}\chi(\xi). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 10.5 Define a map $\psi : D \longrightarrow D(X)$ by $\psi(\delta_y \otimes x) = \delta_{x^{-1}yx} \otimes x^{-1}$. Then ψ satisfies the equation $\chi(\xi\hat{\triangleleft}(\delta_y \otimes x)) = \psi(\delta_y \otimes x)\dot{\triangleright}\chi(\xi)$.

Proof. Use the previous proposition. \square

The reader will recall that D is in general a non-trivially associated algebra (i.e. it is only associative in the category \mathcal{D} with its non-trivial associator). Thus, in general, it

can not be isomorphic to $D(X)$, which really is associative. In general, ψ can not be an algebra map.

Proposition 10.6 *For a and b elements of the algebra D in the category \mathcal{D} ,*

$$\psi(b)\psi(a) = \psi(ab) \left(\sum_{y \in Y} \delta_y \otimes \tau(\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle)^{-1} \right).$$

Proof. by 10.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi((\xi \hat{\triangleleft} a) \hat{\triangleleft} b) &= \psi(b) \dot{\triangleright} \chi(\xi \hat{\triangleleft} a) \\ &= \psi(b) \dot{\triangleright} (\psi(a) \dot{\triangleright} \chi(\xi)) \\ &= \psi(b)\psi(a) \dot{\triangleright} \chi(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

But also, where $f = \sum_y \delta_y \otimes \tau(\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi((\xi \hat{\triangleleft} a) \hat{\triangleleft} b) &= \chi\left((\xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tilde{\tau}(\|a\|, \|b\|)) \hat{\triangleleft} ab\right) \\ &= \psi(ab) \dot{\triangleright} \chi(\xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tilde{\tau}(\|a\|, \|b\|)) = \psi(ab) \dot{\triangleright} \chi(\xi \hat{\triangleleft} \tilde{\tau}(\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle)) \\ &= \psi(ab)\psi(f) \dot{\triangleright} \chi(\xi). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Definition 10.7 *Let V and W be objects of \mathcal{D} . The map $c : \chi(V) \otimes \chi(W) \longrightarrow \chi(V \otimes W)$*

is defined by:

$$c(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)) = \chi\left(\left(\left(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}\right) \bar{\triangleright} \eta\right) \otimes \xi\right).$$

Proposition 10.8 *The map c , defined above, is a $D(X)$ module map, i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|c(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi))\| &= \|\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)\|, \\ x \dot{\triangleright} c(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)) &= c\left(x \dot{\triangleright} (\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi))\right) \quad \forall x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will begin with the grading first. It is known that

$$\|\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)\| = \|\chi(\eta)\| \|\chi(\xi)\| = \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} |\eta| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi|.$$

But on the other hand we know, from the definition of c , that

$$\begin{aligned} \|c(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi))\| &= \|\chi((\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \eta \otimes \xi)\| \\ &= \langle (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \eta \otimes \xi \rangle^{-1} |(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \eta \otimes \xi| \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \langle \bar{\eta} \rangle^{-1} |\bar{\eta}| |\xi| \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \langle \bar{s} \bar{\triangleright} \eta \rangle^{-1} |\bar{s} \bar{\triangleright} \eta| |\xi| \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} (\bar{s} \triangleleft |\eta|) \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} |\eta| (\bar{s} \triangleleft |\eta|)^{-1} |\xi| \\ &= \langle \eta \rangle^{-1} |\eta| \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} |\xi|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{s} = \langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}$ and $\bar{\eta} = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \eta = \bar{s} \bar{\triangleright} \eta$, which gives the result.

For the G action, we know from the definitions that

$$\begin{aligned} u \blacktriangleright (\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)) &= \chi(\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}) \otimes \chi(\xi \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}), \\ c(u \blacktriangleright (\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi))) &= \chi\left(\left(\left(\langle \xi \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1} \rangle \triangleleft |\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}|^{-1}\right) \bar{\triangleright} (\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1})\right) \otimes (\xi \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1})\right). \end{aligned}$$

By using the properties of the G and M gradings,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1} \rangle \triangleleft |\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}|^{-1} &= (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft u^{-1}) \triangleleft u |\eta|^{-1} (\langle \eta \rangle \triangleright u^{-1}) \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1} (\langle \eta \rangle \triangleright u^{-1}) \\ (\langle \xi \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1} \rangle \triangleleft |\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} (\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}) &= \left(\left(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}\right) \triangleleft (\langle \eta \rangle \triangleright u^{-1})\right) \bar{\triangleright} (\eta \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1}) \\ &= \left(\left(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}\right) \bar{\triangleright} \eta\right) \bar{\triangleleft} \left(\left(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}\right) \triangleleft |\eta|\right) \triangleright u^{-1}\right) \\ &= \left(\left(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}\right) \bar{\triangleright} \eta\right) \bar{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright u^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can write

$$c(u \blacktriangleright (\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi))) = \chi\left(\left(\left(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}\right) \bar{\triangleright} \eta\right) \bar{\triangleleft} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright u^{-1}) \otimes (\xi \bar{\triangleleft} u^{-1})\right). \quad (40)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} u\dot{\circ}c(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)) &= u\dot{\circ}\chi\left(\left(\langle\langle\xi\rangle\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta\rangle\right) \otimes \xi\right) \\ &= \chi\left(\left(\left(\langle\langle\xi\rangle\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta\rangle\right) \otimes \xi\right)\bar{\triangleleft}u^{-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the same as (40).

Now we show that c preserves the M action. For $s \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} s\dot{\circ}(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)) &= \chi((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta) \otimes \chi((s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)) \\ c\left(s\dot{\circ}(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi))\right) &= \chi\left(\left(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft|(s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta)\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \otimes ((s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi))\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the ‘action’ property for $\bar{\triangleright}$, we get

$$\left(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft|(s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta)\right) = ((p' \cdot \bar{t})\bar{\triangleright}\eta)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(p' \triangleleft(\bar{t}\triangleright|\eta|), \bar{t}\triangleleft|\eta|)^{-1},$$

where $\bar{t} = s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}$ and

$$p' = \langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft|\bar{t}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|^{-1}\tau(\langle\bar{t}\bar{\triangleright}\eta\rangle, \bar{t}\triangleleft|\eta|)\tau(\bar{t}, \langle\eta\rangle)^{-1}.$$

But using the connections between the grading and the actions, we know that $|\bar{t}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|^{-1} =$

$(\bar{t}\triangleright|\eta|)^{-1}\tau(\bar{t}, \langle\eta\rangle)\tau(\langle\bar{t}\bar{\triangleright}\eta\rangle, \bar{t}\triangleleft|\eta|)^{-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} p' &= \langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft|\bar{t}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|^{-1} \\ &= \langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\triangleright|\eta|)^{-1} \\ &= \langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft(s\triangleright|\eta|^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting in the equation above gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft|(s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}((s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\eta)\right) \\ &= \left(\left(\left(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\triangleleft(s\triangleright|\eta|^{-1})\right) \cdot (s\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1})\right)\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\rangle, s)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\left(\left(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\rangle \cdot s\right)\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\right)\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\rangle, s)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\left(\left(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}) \cdot \langle\xi\rangle\rangle\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}\right)\bar{\triangleright}\eta\right)\bar{\triangleleft}\tau(\langle\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}\bar{\triangleright}\xi)\rangle, s)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} s \triangleright c(\chi(\eta) \otimes \chi(\xi)) &= s \triangleright \chi\left(\left(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}\right) \bar{\triangleright} \eta\right) \otimes \xi \\ &= s \triangleright \chi(\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi) = \chi\left(\left(s \triangleleft |\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi|^{-1}\right) \bar{\triangleright} (\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\eta} = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \eta$. Next we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi| &= \tau(\langle \bar{\eta} \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} |\bar{\eta}| |\xi|, \\ s \triangleleft |\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi|^{-1} &= s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1} |\bar{\eta}|^{-1} \tau(\langle \bar{\eta} \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

If we put $\bar{s} = s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1} |\bar{\eta}|^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (s \triangleleft |\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} (\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi) &= (\bar{s} \triangleleft \tau(\langle \bar{\eta} \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle)) \bar{\triangleright} (\bar{\eta} \otimes \xi) \\ &= (\bar{s} \bar{\triangleright} \bar{\eta}) \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(\bar{s} \triangleleft |\bar{\eta}|, \langle \xi \rangle) \tau(\langle (\bar{s} \triangleleft |\bar{\eta}|) \bar{\triangleright} \xi \rangle, \bar{s} \triangleleft |\bar{\eta}| |\xi|)^{-1} \\ &\quad \otimes (\bar{s} \triangleleft |\bar{\eta}|) \bar{\triangleright} \xi \\ &= (\bar{s} \bar{\triangleright} \bar{\eta}) \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}, \langle \xi \rangle) \tau(\langle (s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \xi \rangle, s)^{-1} \\ &\quad \otimes (s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using the ‘action’ property again,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s} \bar{\triangleright} \bar{\eta} &= (s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1} |\bar{\eta}|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \bar{\triangleright} \eta \\ &= \left((q' \cdot (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1})) \bar{\triangleright} \eta \right) \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(q' \triangleleft (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \triangleright |\eta|, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1} \\ &= \left((q' \cdot (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1})) \bar{\triangleright} \eta \right) \bar{\triangleleft} \tau(q' \triangleleft (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright |\eta|^{-1})^{-1}, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q' &= (s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1} |\bar{\eta}|^{-1}) \triangleleft \tau(\langle (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \triangleright \eta \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle) \tau(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}, \langle \eta \rangle)^{-1} \\ &= (s \triangleleft |\xi|^{-1}) \triangleleft (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleright |\eta|^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

as

$$|\bar{\eta}|^{-1} = (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \triangleright |\eta|^{-1} \tau(\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}, \langle \eta \rangle) \tau(\langle (\langle \xi \rangle \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1}) \triangleright \eta \rangle, \langle \xi \rangle)^{-1}.$$

Hence substituting with the value of q' we get

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\bar{\eta} &= \left(\left((s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1})\triangleleft(\langle\xi\rangle\triangleright|\eta|^{-1}) \right) \cdot (\langle\xi\rangle\triangleleft|\eta|^{-1}) \right) \bar{\triangleright}\eta \triangleleft \tau((s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}), \langle\xi\rangle)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\left((s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}) \cdot \langle\xi\rangle \right) \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1} \right) \bar{\triangleright}\eta \triangleleft \tau(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}, \langle\xi\rangle)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

giving the required result

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{s}\bar{\triangleright}\bar{\eta})\triangleleft\tau(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}, \langle\xi\rangle) \tau(\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\xi\rangle, s)^{-1} \\ = \left(\left((s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1}) \cdot \langle\xi\rangle \right) \triangleleft |\eta|^{-1} \right) \bar{\triangleright}\eta \triangleleft \tau(\langle(s\triangleleft|\xi|^{-1})\bar{\triangleright}\xi\rangle, s)^{-1} .\square\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] BAKALOV B. & KIRILLOV A., *Lectures on Tensor Categories and Modular Functors*. American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [2] BEGGS E. J., *Making non-trivially associated tensor categories from left coset representatives*. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, vol 177 , 5 - 41, 2003.
- [3] BEGGS E. J., GOULD J. D. AND MAJID S., *Finite group factorizations and braiding*. *J. Algebra*, vol 181 no. 1, 112 - 151, 1996.
- [4] BEGGS E. J. AND MAJID S., *Quasitriangular and differential structures on bicrossproduct Hopf algebras*. *J. Algebra*, vol 219 no. 2, 682 - 727, 1999.
- [5] GROVE L. C., *Groups and Characters*. Wiley-Interscience, 1997.
- [6] GUREVICH D. I. AND MAJID S., *Braided groups of Hopf algebras obtained by twisting*. *Pacific J. Math*, vol 162, 27 - 44, 1994.

- [7] MAJID S., *Physics for algebraists: Non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by bicrossproduct construction.* *J. Algebra*, vol 130, 17 - 64, 1990.
From PhD Thesis, Harvard, 1988.
- [8] MAJID S., *The quantum double as quantum mechanics.* *J. Geom. Phys.*, vol 13, 169 - 202, 1994.
- [9] MAJID S., *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory.* Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [10] TAKEUCHI M. , *Matched pairs of groups and bismash products of Hopf algebras.* *Commun. Alg.*, vol. 9, no. 8, 841-882 , 1981.
- [11] TAKEUCHI M. , *Modular Categories and Hopf Algebras.* *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 243, no. 2, 631-643, 2001.
- [12] THOMAS A. D. & WOOD G. V., *Group Tables.* Shiva Publishing Ltd., 1980.
- [13] TURAEV V. & WENZL H., *Semisimple and modular categories from link invariants.* *Math. Ann.*, vol. 309, no. 3, 411-461, 1997.