## A COCYCLE FOR THE COMULTIPLICATION ON THE QUANTUM SU(2) GROUP

WOJCIECH SZYMAŃSKI

ABSTRACT. For the family  $\Delta_q$ ,  $q \in [0, 1)$ , of the  $SU_q(2)$ -comultiplications on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \cong C(SU_q(2))$ , we show that there exist unitary operators  $\Omega_q$  such that  $\Delta_q(x) = \Omega_q \Delta_0(x) \Omega_q^*$  for  $x \in A$ .

One common way of producing Hopf \*-algebras is to twist the comultiplication of an already known Hopf \*-algebra by a unitary 2-cocycle or 2-pseudo-cocycle (for example, see [1]). In this note, we show that an analogoues cocycle relation exists between the comultiplications on the quantum  $SU_q(2)$  group corresponding to different values of the parameter  $q \in [0, 1)$ . However, our starting point is q = 0, at which  $SU_q(2)$  is not a quantum group but only a quantum semigroup (with counit). We show that there exist unitary operators  $\Omega_q$  such that  $\Delta_q = \mathrm{Ad}(\Omega_q)\Delta_0$ . Thus, instead of twisting existing quantum group structure,  $\Omega_q$  'regularizes' the comultiplication of a quantum semigroup to a comultiplication of a quantum group.

We begin by recalling the definition of the quantum SU(2) group [2]. For  $q \in [0, 1]$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(SU_q(2))$  of continuous functions on the quantum SU(2) group is defined as the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by two elements a and b, subject to the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned} a^*a + b^*b &= I, & ab = qba, \\ aa^* + q^2b^*b &= I, & ab^* = qb^*a, \\ b^*b &= bb^*. \end{aligned}$$

If q = 1 then this algebra is abelian and isomorphic with the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on the classical group SU(2). If  $q \in [0,1)$  then the algebras  $C(SU_q(2))$  are no longer abelian. However, they are all isomorphic as  $C^*$ -algebras [2, Theorem A2.2] to the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by two operators T and S, subject to the relations

$$T^*T = I,$$
  

$$S^*S = SS^*$$
  

$$TT^* + S^*S = I.$$

We denote this  $C^*$ -algebra by A. By [2, Theorem A2.2] and [2, Formulae (A2.2) and (A2.3)], there exists an isomorphism  $\phi_q : C(SU_q(2)) \to A$  given on the generators as follows. If  $q \in (0, 1)$  then

$$\phi_q(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left( \sqrt{1 - q^{2(n+1)}} - \sqrt{1 - q^{2n}} \right) T^n (T^*)^{n+1},$$
  
$$\phi_q(b) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} q^n T^n S(T^*)^n.$$

If q = 0 then

$$\phi_0(a) = T^*$$
  
$$\phi_0(b) = S.$$

Date: 6 November, 2002.

This work was partially supported by Max-Planck-Institute für Mathematik, Bonn, by Universität Münster, and by Research Grants Committee of the University of Newcastle.

Here and in what follows we agree to write

$$T^0 = (T^*)^0 = I, \ S^0 = (S^*)^0 = S^*S, \ \text{and} \ S^{-1} = S^*.$$

We denote by J the closed 2-sided ideal of A generated by S. We have

(1) 
$$J = \overline{\operatorname{span}} \{ T^m S^j T^{-n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

Thus J is isomorphic to  $C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ , with  $\mathcal{K}$  the compact operators on a separable Hilbert space. The quotient is generated by T + J and isomorphic to  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on the circle. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The ideal J has a natural faithful representation on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z})$ , which extends to a representation  $\rho$  of A constructed by Woronowicz in [2]. Since the ideal J is essential, the representation  $\rho$  of A is faithful. If  $\{\xi(k,m) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z})$ then we have

$$\rho(T) : \xi(k,m) \mapsto \xi(k+1,m), 
\rho(S) : \xi(k,m) \mapsto \delta_{k,0}\xi(k,m+1), 
\rho(T^k S(T^*)^k) : \xi(k,m) \mapsto \xi(k,m+1), 
\rho(\phi_q(a)) : \xi(k,m) \mapsto \sqrt{1-q^{2k}}\xi(k-1,m) 
\rho(\phi_q(b)) : \xi(k,m) \mapsto q^k \xi(k,m+1),$$

with  $\delta$  being the Kronecker symbol, and the convention that  $\xi(k,m) = 0$  whenever k < 0.

The irreducible representations of A consist of two families  $\{\omega_t \mid t \in \mathbb{T}\}\$  and  $\{\rho_t \mid t \in \mathbb{T}\}\$ . Each  $\omega_t$  is one dimensional and it is determined by  $\omega_t(T) = t$ ,  $\omega_t(S) = 0$ . Each  $\rho_t$  acts on the infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  with an orthonormal basis  $\{\xi(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ , and it is determined by  $\rho_t(S)\xi(n) = \delta_{n,0}t\xi(0), \rho_t(T)\xi(n) = \xi(n+1)$ .

We recall the quantum group structure of  $SU_q(2)$ . The comultiplication

$$\Delta: C(SU_q(2)) \to C(SU_q(2)) \otimes C(SU_q(2))$$

is a coassociative, unital  $C^*$ -algebra homomorphism such that

$$\Delta(a) = a \otimes a - qb^* \otimes b,$$
  
$$\Delta(b) = b \otimes a + a^* \otimes b.$$

We transport  $\Delta$  to A via the isomorphism  $\phi_q$ , and denote by

$$\Delta_q = (\phi_q \otimes \phi_q) \Delta \phi_q^{-1}$$

the resulting comultiplication on A. If q = 0 then we have

$$\begin{split} \Delta_0(T) &= T \otimes T, \\ \Delta_0(S) &= S \otimes T^* + T \otimes S. \end{split}$$

For q > 0 it is a non-trivial task to express  $\Delta_q(T)$  and  $\Delta_q(S)$  in terms of the generators T, S.

**Lemma 1.** For each  $x \in A$  the map  $q \mapsto \Delta_q(x)$  is norm continuous.

*Proof.* Since the homomorphism  $\Delta_q$  is isometric and the  $C^*$ -algebra A is generated by S and T it sufficies to show that the maps  $q \mapsto \Delta_q(S)$  and  $q \mapsto \Delta_q(T)$  are norm continuous.

Clearly, the maps  $q \mapsto \phi_q(a)$  and  $q \mapsto \phi_q(b)$  are continuous. It follows that the maps  $q \mapsto \Delta_q(\phi_q(a))$  and  $q \mapsto \Delta_q(\phi_q(b))$  are continuous. Since 1 is an isolated point of the spectrum of  $\phi_q(bb^*)$  the spectral projection  $E_{\phi_q(bb^*)}(\{1\})$  is in A. We have  $S = \phi_q(b)E_{\phi_q(bb^*)}(\{1\})$  and hence  $\Delta_q(S) = \Delta_q(\phi_q(b))E_{\Delta_q(\phi_q(bb^*))}(\{1\})$ . It follows that the map  $q \mapsto \Delta_q(S)$  is continuous. For each  $q \in [0, 1)$  the element  $|\phi_q(a^*)|$  is invertible and we have  $T = \phi_q(a^*)|\phi_q(a^*)|^{-1}$ . Hence  $\Delta_q(T) = \Delta_q(\phi_q(a^*))|\Delta_q(\phi_q(a^*))|^{-1}$  and, consequently, the map  $q \mapsto \Delta_q(T)$  is continuous.

The counit

$$\varepsilon: C(SU_q(2)) \to \mathbb{C}$$

is a character such that  $\varepsilon(a) = 1$  and  $\varepsilon(b) = 0$ . Slightly abusing notation we write  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ \phi_q^{-1}$  for the counit on A. On the generators we have

$$\varepsilon(T) = 1$$
 and  $\varepsilon(S) = 0$ .

In particular,  $\varepsilon$  does not depend on q. For all  $q \in [0, 1)$  the following identity, characterizing the counit, holds

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta_q = \mathrm{id} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta_q$$

We denote by  $\operatorname{Pol}_q$  the \*-subalgebra of A generated by  $\phi_q(a)$  and  $\phi_q(b)$ . As shown in [2, 3], for each  $q \in (0, 1)$  there exists the coinverse (or antipode)

$$\kappa_q : \operatorname{Pol}_q \to \operatorname{Pol}_q$$

 $\operatorname{Pol}_q$  is dense in A, while  $\operatorname{Pol}_q \cap J$  is dense in J (since the ideal J is generated by  $\phi_q(b)$ ). We have  $\kappa_q(\operatorname{Pol}_q \cap J) = \operatorname{Pol}_q \cap J$ .

Let  $\pi : A \to A/J$  be the canonical surjection and let  $D = \ker(\pi \otimes \pi)$ . It is not difficult to see that D coincides with the closure of  $A \otimes J + J \otimes A$ . We define B to be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A \otimes A$  generated by D and  $T \otimes T$ . There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For all  $q \in [0, 1)$  we have

$$\Delta_q(A) \subseteq B$$
 and  $\Delta_q(J) \subseteq D$ .

Indeed,  $\pi(\phi_q(a)) = \pi(T^*)$  and  $\pi(\phi_q(b)) = 0$  yield  $(\pi \otimes \pi)(\Delta_q(\phi_q(a))) = (\pi \otimes \pi)(T^* \otimes T^*)$  and  $(\pi \otimes \pi)(\Delta_q(\phi_q(b))) = 0$ . Consequently, both  $\Delta_q(\phi_q(a))$  and  $\Delta_q(\phi_q(b))$  belong to B and hence  $\Delta_q(A) \subseteq B$ . Since the ideal J of A is generated by  $\phi_q(b)$  and  $\Delta_q(\phi_q(b)) \in D$ , we have  $\Delta_q(J) \subseteq D$ . Also for all  $q \in [0, 1)$  we see that

$$\Delta_q(x) - \Delta_0(x)$$
 belongs to D for all  $x \in A$ .

Indeed, this is easily checked on the generators  $\phi_q(a)$  and  $\phi_q(b)$  of a dense \*-subalgebra of A.

Lemma 2.  $\overline{D\Delta_q(J)} = D$  for all  $q \in [0,1)$ .

Proof. At first we observe that  $\overline{D\Delta_q(J)}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A \otimes A$ . We consider separately the cases  $q \neq 0$  and q = 0. In the former, since  $\kappa_q$  is an antihomomorphism this follows from the fact that  $(\kappa_q \otimes \kappa_q)(D \cap (\operatorname{Pol}_q \otimes \operatorname{Pol}_q)) = D \cap (\operatorname{Pol}_q \otimes \operatorname{Pol}_q)$  and  $\kappa_q(J \cap \operatorname{Pol}_q) = J \cap \operatorname{Pol}_q$  are dense in D and J, respectively. In the latter, we notice that  $\overline{D\Delta_0(J)}$  is the left ideal of  $A \otimes A$ generated by  $\{\Delta_0(ST^{-n}) : n = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ . Thus it sufficies to check that  $\Delta_0(ST^{-n})x \in D\Delta_0(J)$ for  $n = 0, 1, \ldots$  and for all x in the set of generators for the algebra  $A \otimes A$ . This can be done directly. For example,

$$\Delta_0(ST^{-n})S \otimes T = \delta_{n,0}S^2 \otimes I = \delta_{n,0}(S \otimes T + S^2T^* \otimes S^*)\Delta_0(S).$$

Hence  $\overline{D\Delta_q(J)}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A \otimes A$  for all  $q \in [0,1)$ . Now if  $\overline{D\Delta_q(J)} \neq D$  then there existed an irreducible representation of D vanishing on  $\overline{D\Delta_q(J)}$ . Such a representation of D could be extended to an irreducible representation of  $A \otimes A$  unitarily equivalent to  $\omega_t \otimes \rho_z$ ,  $\rho_t \otimes \omega_z$  or  $\rho_t \otimes \rho_z$ , for some  $t, z \in \mathbb{T}$ . This, however, leads to a contradiction, as one can check directly that none of these representations vanishes on  $D\Delta_q(\phi_q(b))$ .

For  $q \in [0, 1)$  we have a faithful representation  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q$  of A on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$ . Our first goal is to show that all of these representations are unitarily equivalent. To this end we need some preparation.

At first we observe that the restriction of  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q$  to the ideal J is still a nondegenerate representation. Indeed, otherwise there existed a subspace  $\mathcal{H}_0 \neq \{0\}$  of  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$ on which  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(J)$  were zero. If  $q \neq 0$  then this is impossible since one can verify directly that the kernel of  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(\phi_q(b))$  is trivial. In the case q = 0 this follows from Lemma 2.

$$\lambda_q(n,0) = 1,$$
  
$$\lambda_q(n,k+1) = \lambda_q(n,k)q^{n+2k+1} \left(1 - q^{2(n+k+1)}\right)^{-1/2} \left(1 - q^{2(k+1)}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

Since

(2)

$$(1 - q^{2(n+k+1)})^{-1/2} (1 - q^{2(k+1)})^{-1/2} \le (1 - q^2)^{-1}$$

it follows that

$$\lambda_q(n,k) \le \left(q^{n+k} \left(1-q^2\right)^{-1}\right)^k$$

In particular, the series  $\displaystyle{\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}}\lambda_q(n,k)^2$  converges. We set

$$\Lambda_q(n) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \lambda_q(n,k)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Now for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that either n or m (or both) equals 0 and for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define a vector  $f_{n,i,m,j}^q$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$  as

$$f_{n,i,m,j}^{q} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \Lambda_{q}(n+m)^{-1} \lambda_{q}(n+m,k) \xi(n+k,i-k) \otimes \xi(m+k,j+k) + \xi(n+k,i-k) \otimes \xi(m+k,j+k) + \xi(n+k,j+k) + \xi(n+k,j+$$

If q = 0 then simply  $f_{n,i,m,j}^0 = \xi(n,i) \otimes \xi(m,j)$ . On the other hand, if  $q \in (0,1)$  then for each vector  $\xi(r,x) \otimes \xi(t,y)$ ,  $r,t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists exactly one vector  $f_{n,i,m,j}^q$  so that  $\langle \xi(r,x) \otimes \xi(t,y), f_{n,i,m,j}^q \rangle \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 3.** For each  $q \in [0, 1)$  there exists a unique unitary operator  $U_q$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(x) = U_q((\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_0(x))U_q^*$$

for all  $x \in A$ , and

$$U_q: f^0_{n,i,m,j} \mapsto f^q_{n,i,m,j}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with nm = 0.

*Proof.* For each  $q \in [0,1)$ , 0 is an isolated point of the spectrum of  $\phi_q(a^*a)$  and the corresponding spectral projection is  $S^*S$ . Thus,  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(S^*S)$  is the projection onto the kernel of  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(\phi_q(a))$ . It is not difficult to verify that this kernel consists of all vectors  $\sum c(n, i, m, j)\xi(n, i) \otimes \xi(m, j)$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$c(n+1, i-1, m+1, j+1) = q^{n+m+1} (1 - q^{2(n+1)})^{-1/2} (1 - q^{2(m+1)})^{-1/2} c(n, i, m, j).$$

This identity implies that vectors  $\{f_{n,i,m,j}^q \mid n,m \in \mathbb{N}, nm = 0, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(S^*S)$ .

Since  $\Delta_q(S) = \Delta_q(\phi_q(b))\Delta_q(S^*S)$  we find that

$$(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(S)f_{n,i,0,j}^q = \Lambda_q(n)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \xi((n+1)+k, i-k) \otimes \xi(k, (j+1)+k) \\ \left(\lambda_q(n,k+1)q^{n+k+1} \left(1-q^{2(k+1)}\right)^{1/2} + \lambda_q(n,k)q^k \left(1-q^{2(n+k+1)}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

This implies that  $\langle (\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S) f_{n,i,0,j}^q$ ,  $f_{r,x,t,y}^q \rangle = 0$  if  $(r, x, t, y) \neq (n + 1, i, 0, j + 1)$ . Thus, since  $(\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S)$  is a partial unitary with domain and range  $(\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S^*S)$ , we see that  $(\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S) f_{n,i,0,j}^q$  is a scalar multiple of  $f_{n+1,i,0,j+1}^q$ . However, it is clear from the series expansions of  $f_{n+1,i,0,j+1}^q$  and  $(\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S) f_{n,i,0,j}^q$  that this scalar is a positive real number and, hence, equal to 1. A similar reasoning yields the value of  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(S)f_{0,i,m,i}^q$  for  $m \neq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S) f^q_{0,i,m,j} &= f^q_{0,i+1,m-1,j}, \\ (\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S) f^q_{n,i,0,j} &= f^q_{n+1,i,0,j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \neq 0$ . We now define an isometry  $\widetilde{U}_q$  with domain  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_0(S^*S)$ and range  $(\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S^*S)$  by

$$\widetilde{U}_q: f^0_{n,i,m,j} \mapsto f^q_{n,i,m,j}$$

By construction, we have

$$(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(S) = \widetilde{U}_q((\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_0(S))\widetilde{U}_q^*$$

Since the restriction of  $(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q$  to J is still a nondegenerate representation we can extend  $U_q$ to a unitary operator  $U_q$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z})$  by setting

(3) 
$$U_q = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} ((\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(T)^k) \widetilde{U}_q(\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_0(T^*)^k.$$

It follows immediately from the construction and the property of  $\widetilde{U}_q$  that

$$(\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(T) = U_q((\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_0(T)) U_q^*, (\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_q(S) = U_q((\rho \otimes \rho) \Delta_0(S)) U_q^*.$$

Furthermore, (3) is the unique extension of  $\widetilde{U}_q$  which satisfies the above two identities. Since the  $C^*$ -algebra A is generated by T and S it follows that

$$(\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_q(x) = U_q((\rho \otimes \rho)\Delta_0(x))U_q^*$$

for all  $x \in A$ , as desired.

**Lemma 4.** For each  $q \in [0,1)$ , the unitary  $U_q$  of (3) satisfies

- (i)  $U_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(D) \subseteq (\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$  and  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(D)U_q \subseteq (\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$ . (ii)  $U_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(J \otimes J) \subseteq (\rho \otimes \rho)(J \otimes J)$  and  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(J \otimes J)U_q \subseteq (\rho \otimes \rho)(J \otimes J)$ . (iii) For each  $w \in D$  the maps  $q \mapsto U_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(w)$  and  $q \mapsto (\rho \otimes \rho)(w)U_q$  are norm continuous.

Furthermore, the partial isometry  $\widetilde{U}_q: f^0_{n,i,m,j} \mapsto f^q_{n,i,m,j}$  belongs to  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$ .

*Proof.* An elementary calculation on the basis shows that  $\widetilde{U}_q$  is equal to the sum of the series

(4) 
$$(\rho \otimes \rho) \Big( I \otimes I - TT^* \otimes TT^* + \sum_{\substack{n,m \in \mathbb{N} \\ nm = 0}} (\Lambda_q(n+m)^{-1} - 1) T^n S^* ST^{-n} \otimes T^m S^* ST^{-m} + \sum_{\substack{n,m \in \mathbb{N} \\ nm = 0}} \Lambda_q(n+m)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_q(n+m,k) T^{n+k} S^{-k} T^{-n} \otimes T^{m+k} S^k T^{-m} \Big),$$

and hence belongs to  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$ . Inequality (2) implies that this series converges in norm and, furthermore, that the map  $q \mapsto \widetilde{U}_q$  is norm continuous.

By virtue of identity (1) and Lemma 2, to prove  $U_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(D) \subseteq (\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$  it sufficies to show that  $U_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(\Delta_0(T^m S^i T^{-n})w)$  belongs to  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$  for all  $w \in D, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, formula (3) implies that

$$U_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(\Delta_0(T^m S^i T^{-n})w) = (\rho \otimes \rho)(\Delta_q(T^m))\widetilde{U}_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(\Delta_0(T^{-m}))(\rho \otimes \rho)(\Delta_0(T^m S^i T^{-n})w).$$

This element belongs to  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$ , since  $U_q$  is in  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$ . Furthermore, the map  $q \mapsto$  $U_q(\rho \otimes \rho)(v)$  is norm continuous for  $v = \Delta_0(T^m S^i T^{-n})w$ , and consequently it is norm continuous for all  $w \in D$ . A similar argument yields the inclusion  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(D)U_q \subseteq (\rho \otimes \rho)(D)$  and norm continuity of the map  $q \mapsto (\rho \otimes \rho)(w)U_q$ ,  $w \in D$ . This prooves (i) and (iii). Part (ii) follows from (i), since  $J \otimes J$  is an ideal of D. 

## SZYMAŃSKI

We denote by  $M(J \otimes J)$  the multiplier  $C^*$ -algebra of  $J \otimes J$  and by  $\mathcal{U}(M(J \otimes J))$  the unitary group of  $M(J \otimes J)$ . Since  $J \otimes J$  is an essential ideal of  $A \otimes A$  there exists a canonical imbedding of  $A \otimes A$  into  $M(J \otimes J)$  and, hence, we may identify  $A \otimes A$  with a subalgebra of  $M(J \otimes J)$ .

Since  $\rho \otimes \rho$  is a faithful, non-degenerate representation of  $J \otimes J$ , it uniquely extends to a faithful representation of  $M(J \otimes J)$ , which we still denote  $\rho \otimes \rho$ . If  $U_q$  is the unitary operator defined in (3) then  $U_q$  belongs to  $(\rho \otimes \rho)(M(J \otimes J))$  by Lemma 4. Thus we can define

(5) 
$$\Omega_q = (\rho \otimes \rho)^{-1} (U_q),$$

(6) 
$$\widetilde{\Omega}_q = (\rho \otimes \rho)^{-1} (\widetilde{U}_q).$$

It follows that  $\Omega_q$  is a unitary in  $M(J \otimes J)$  and  $\widetilde{\Omega}_q$  is a partial isometry in D.

Since  $(\varepsilon \otimes id)(D) = J = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(D)$ , there exist unique homomorphism extensions (denoted by the same symbols as the original maps):

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon \otimes \operatorname{id} : D \to J & \text{to} \quad \varepsilon \otimes \operatorname{id} : M(D) \to M(J), \\ \operatorname{id} \otimes \varepsilon : D \to J & \text{to} \quad \operatorname{id} \otimes \varepsilon : M(D) \to M(J). \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2,  $(\Delta_q \otimes id)(J \otimes J) \cdot D \otimes J$  is dense in  $D \otimes J$ , and  $(id \otimes \Delta_q)(J \otimes J) \cdot J \otimes D$  is dense in  $J \otimes D$ . Thus there exist unique homomorphism extensions:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_q \otimes \operatorname{id} : J \otimes J \to D \otimes J & \text{to} & \Delta_q \otimes \operatorname{id} : M(J \otimes J) \to M(D \otimes J), \\ \operatorname{id} \otimes \Delta_q : J \otimes J \to J \otimes D & \text{to} & \operatorname{id} \otimes \Delta_q : M(J \otimes J) \to M(J \otimes D). \end{array}$$

**Theorem 5.** For  $q \in [0,1)$  let  $\Omega_q \in \mathcal{U}(M(J \otimes J))$  be the unitary defined in (3). Then the following conditions hold true.

(i) Ad  $\Omega_q$  is an automorphism of B. (ii)  $\Delta_q(x) = \Omega_q \Delta_0(x) \Omega_q^*$  for all  $x \in A$ . (iii) The map  $[0,1) \ni q \mapsto \Omega_q \in \mathcal{U}(M(J \otimes J))$  is continuous in the strict topology. (iv)  $(\varepsilon \otimes \operatorname{id})(\Omega_q) = I = (\operatorname{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(\Omega_q)$ .

*Proof.* The unitary  $\Omega_q$  satisfies (ii) and  $\Omega_q D\Omega_q^* = D$  by by Lemma 3. Since  $\Omega_q(T \otimes T)\Omega_q^* = \Delta_q(T)$  equals  $T \otimes T$  plus an element of D, it follows that  $\operatorname{Ad} \Omega_q(B) = B$ . That is, condition (i) is satisfied. Condition (iii) follows from Lemma 4.

To prove  $(\varepsilon \otimes id)(\Omega_q) = I$  it sufficies to show that this equality holds when multiplied on the right by an arbitrary element  $x = (\varepsilon \otimes id)(\Delta_0(x))$  of J. By (1), it is enough to take  $x = T^m S^i T^{-n}$ . We have

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Omega_q)(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \left( \Delta_0(T^m S^i T^{-n}) \right) = (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \left( \Omega_q \Delta_0(T^m S^i T^{-n}) \right)$$
$$= (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}) \left( \Delta_q(T^m) \widetilde{\Omega}_q \Delta_0(T^{-m}) \Delta_0(T^m S^i T^{-n}) \right).$$

Using (4) and (6) one can show that this element equals  $T^m S^i T^{-n}$ . Thus  $(\varepsilon \otimes id)(\Omega_q) = I$ . Similarly, one shows that  $I = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(\Omega_q)$ , and (iv) is proved.

**Remark 6.** Since  $\Delta_q$  is coassociative it easily follows from condition (ii) of Theorem 5 that the unitary element

(7) 
$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta_0)(\Omega_q^*)(I\otimes\Omega_q^*)(\Omega_q\otimes I)(\Delta_0\otimes\mathrm{id})(\Omega_q)$$

of  $M(J \otimes J \otimes J)$  belongs to the relative commutant of  $(\Delta_0 \otimes id)\Delta_0(A)$ . Thus, following [1], we may call  $\Omega_q$  a 2-pseudo-cocycle for  $\Delta_0$ . We still do not know if  $\Omega_q$  is a 2-cocycle for  $\Delta_0$ ; that is, if it satisfies

(8) 
$$(\Omega_q \otimes I)(\Delta_0 \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Omega_q) = (I \otimes \Omega_q)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_0)(\Omega_q)$$

## References

- M. Enock and L. Vainerman, Deformation of a Kac algebra by an abelian subgroup, Commun. Math. Phys. 178 (1996), 571–596.
- S. L. Woronowicz, Twisted SU(2) group. An example of a non-commutative differential calculus, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 23 (1987), 117–181.
- [3] S. L. Woronowicz, Compact matrix pseudogroups, Commun. Math. Phys. 111 (1987), 613-665.

Mathematics, The University of Newcastle, NSW 2308, Australia  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \tt wojciech@frey.newcastle.edu.au$