

# ON-LINE TRACKING OF A SMOOTH REGRESSION FUNCTION

GOLDENTAYER, L. AND LIPTSER, R.

ABSTRACT. We construct an on-line estimator with equidistant design for tracking a smooth function from Stone-Ibragimov-Khasminskii class. This estimator has the optimal convergence rate of risk to zero in sample size. The procedure for setting coefficients of the estimator is controlled by a single parameter and has a simple numerical solution. The off-line version of this estimator allows to eliminate a boundary layer. Simulation results are given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper, we consider a tracking problem for smooth function  $f = f(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , under observation

$$X_{in} = f(t_{in}) + \sigma \xi_i, \quad (1.1)$$

for  $t_{in} = \frac{i}{n}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$  ( $n$  is large), where  $(\xi_i)$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E\xi_i = 0$ ,  $E\xi_i^2 = 1$ , and  $\sigma^2$  is a positive constant. Without additional assumptions on the function  $f$  it is difficult to create an estimator even for large  $n$ . The filtering approach, see Bar-Shalom and Li [1], proposes an estimator in the form of Kalman filter corresponding to a stochastic model for  $f$ , e.g.  $f$  is differentiable  $k$  times, and  $k$ -th derivatives of  $f$  is simulated by a white noise with a certain intensity. Since  $f$  is deterministic function, the non-trivial part of such approach is a choice of filter parameters and asymptotic analysis of estimation risk in  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, nonparametric statistic approach to the regression estimation of a function  $f$  assumes that  $f$  belong to some limited class. We take  $f$  from the class  $\Sigma(\beta, L)$  (introduced by Stone, [10], [11] and Ibragimov and Khasminskii, [3], [4]) of  $k$  times continuously differentiable functions with Hölder continuous last derivative (here  $f^{(0)} = f$ ,  $L$  and  $\alpha$  are the same for any function from the class):

$$\Sigma(\beta, L) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{obeys } k \text{ derivatives, } f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(k)}; \\ f : |f^{(k)}(t_2) - f^{(k)}(t_1)| \leq L|t_2 - t_1|^\alpha, \forall t_1, t_2, \alpha \in (0, 1]; \\ \beta = k + \alpha \end{array} \right\}.$$

It is known [10], [11], [3], [4] that there are kernel type estimators  $\widehat{f_n^{(j)}}(t)$  of  $f^{(j)}(t)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$  such that for a wide class of loss functions  $\mathcal{L}(\ast)$  and

---

*Date:* 16/06/2002.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 62G05; Secondary 62M99.

*Key words and phrases.* On-line tracking estimator, Equidistant design.

**This work is partially supported by a fellowship from the Yitzhak and Chaya Weinstein Research Institute for Signal Processing at Tel Aviv University.**

$p > 1$  ( $C$  is positive constant):

$$\sup_{f \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} E\mathcal{L}\left(n^{\frac{\beta-j}{2\beta+1}} \|\widehat{f_n^{(j)}} - f^{(j)}\|_{L_p}\right) < C, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k \quad (1.2)$$

and no estimator provides a better rate of convergence to zero in  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $\Sigma(\beta, L)$ . The same rate in  $n$  is valid under fixed value  $t$  for the estimation risk  $E(\widehat{f_n^{(j)}}(t) - f^{(j)}(t))^2$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . This rate cannot be exceeded uniformly on any nonempty open set from  $(0, T)$ . Parallel to kernel type estimators (see, e.g. [4], [9], [8]), Khasminskii and Liptser [6] proposed an on-line estimator (hereafter for brevity  $t_{in}$  is replaced by  $t_i$  and  $\widehat{f_n^{(j)}}$  by  $\widehat{f^{(j)}}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f^{(j)}}(t_i) &= \widehat{f^{(j)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{n} \widehat{f^{(j+1)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{q_j}{n^{\frac{(2\beta-j)}{2\beta+1}}} (X_i - \widehat{f^{(0)}}(t_{i-1})) \\ j &= 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \\ \widehat{f^{(k)}}(t_i) &= \widehat{f^{(k)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{q_k}{n^{\frac{(2\beta-k)}{2\beta+1}}} (X_i - \widehat{f^{(0)}}(t_{i-1})), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

subject to the initial conditions  $\widehat{f^{(0)}}(0), \widehat{f^{(1)}}(0), \dots, \widehat{f^{(k)}}(0)$ . The initial conditions are chosen as arbitrary bounded constants independent of  $n$ . The parameters  $q_0, \dots, q_k$  are specifically chosen. The vector  $\mathbf{q}$  with these entries is called the filter gain.

The rigorous result given in [6] is formulated as:

*Let filter gain  $\mathbf{q}$  be chosen such that all roots of characteristic polynomial*

$$p^k(u, \mathbf{q}) = u^{k+1} + q_0 u^k + q_1 u^{k-1} + \dots + q_{k-1} u + q_k \quad (1.4)$$

*are different and have negative real parts. Let the observation model defined in (1.1),  $f \in \Sigma(\beta, L)$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Then, for the estimator given in (1.3) there exist positive constants  $c(\mathbf{q}), C(\mathbf{q})$  (independent of  $n$ ) such that for any  $t_i \geq c(\mathbf{q})n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \log n$  the normalized in  $n$  risk obeys*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} \sum_{j=0}^k E(f^{(j)}(t_i) - \widehat{f^{(j)}}(t_i))^2 n^{\frac{2(\beta-j)}{2\beta+1}} \leq C(\mathbf{q}). \quad (1.5)$$

*The rates  $n^{-\frac{2(\beta-j)}{2\beta+1}}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$  cannot be improved. The boundary layer  $c(\mathbf{q})n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \log n$ , where (1.5) might fail, is inevitable.*

**Remark 1.** *The left side boundary layer  $c(\mathbf{q})n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \log n$  is due to on-line limitations of the above tracking system. One can readily suggest an off-line modification with the same recursion in the backward time subject to some boundary conditions independent of observation  $X_i$ 's. This modification possesses the right side boundary layer  $[T - c(\mathbf{q})n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \log n, T]$  and accuracy (1.5) on  $[0, T - c(\mathbf{q})n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \log n]$ . So, some combination of the forward and*

backward time tracking algorithms allows (1.5) accuracy on  $[0, T]$ . For instance, a combination of forward time tracking on the interval  $[\frac{T}{2}, T]$  and backward time tracking on  $[0, \frac{T}{2}]$  can be used.

In this paper, we deal with the estimator given in (1.3) and restrict ourselves by considering  $f$  from the class  $\Sigma(L, k + 1)$ , i.e. the class of  $k$ -times differentiable functions  $f$  with Lipschitz continuous  $f^{(k)}(t)$ .

A suitable choice of filtering gain  $\mathbf{q}$  should satisfy multiple requirements regarding the cost function  $C(\mathbf{q})$  and parameter  $c(\mathbf{q})$ , involved in the description of boundary layer. Moreover, a correct choice of  $\mathbf{q}$  should guarantee that the roots of characteristic polynomial  $p^k(u, \mathbf{q})$  are different and have negative real parts. These requirements might contradict each other. To avoid contradictions, we use the fact that estimator (1.3) has a structure of Kalman filter. We build a Kalman filter according to Bar-Shalom and Li [1], so that  $f^{(k)}(t)$  is generated by a white noise with intensity  $\gamma$ . For each  $\gamma$ , we choose the Kalman gain  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma)$  and use it for minimization of  $C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma))$  in  $\gamma$ . So, the minimization problem of the cost function is controlled by single parameter and allows to establish a reasonable relationship between  $C(\mathbf{q})$  and  $c(\mathbf{q})$ . Moreover, this type of minimization automatically guarantees negative real parts of the roots for characteristic polynomial  $p^k(u, \mathbf{q})$ . For  $k \leq 4$  the roots of  $p^k(u, \mathbf{q})$  are different and numerical verification of the same fact for  $k > 4$  is available.

## 2. THE FILTER GAIN CHOICE

**2.1. Preliminaries.** Henceforth  $\beta = k + 1$ . For notational convenience we describe our problem in matrix notation. Introduce the following matrices:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(k+1) \times (k+1)},$$

$$A = (1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0)_{1 \times (k+1)}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(k+1) \times 1}.$$

Notice that by Lemma 3.1 in [2] the roots of  $p^k(u, \mathbf{q})$  and eigenvalues of  $(a - \mathbf{q}A)$  coincide. In accordance with this remark, while eigenvalues of  $(a - \mathbf{q}A)$  have negative real parts we may describe the cost function  $C(\mathbf{q})$  in terms of the bias  $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{q})$  and variance  $P(\mathbf{q})$  for tracking errors (see, (3.11)) (hereafter  $*$  is the transposition symbol):

$$C(\mathbf{q}) = \text{trace} \left( P(\mathbf{q}) + \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{q}) \widetilde{M}^*(\mathbf{q}) \right)$$

where  $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{q}) = L(a - \mathbf{q}A)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$  and the matrix  $P(\mathbf{q})$  solves the Lyapunov equation  $(a - \mathbf{q}A)P(\mathbf{q}) + P(\mathbf{q})(a - \mathbf{q}A)^* + \sigma^2 \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}^* = 0$ . In Section 4, we select

the filter gain  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma)$  from one-parameter family

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \geq \gamma_\varepsilon > 0 : \mathbf{q}(\gamma) = \frac{Q(\gamma)A^*}{\sigma^2} \right\}$$

where  $Q(\gamma)$  is a positive definite matrix given by the algebraic Riccati equation  $aQ(\gamma) + Q(\gamma)a^* + \gamma^2 \mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* - \frac{Q(\gamma)A^*AQ(\gamma)}{\sigma^2} = 0$ . Finally we choose

$$\gamma^\circ = \operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma))$$

and the filter gain  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma^\circ)$ . A relevant choice of  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  allows to have an acceptable value of the constant  $c(\mathbf{q}(\gamma^\circ))$ .

**2.2. Explicit formulae.** In Section 5, we show that the cost function is expressed as:

$$C(\mathbf{q}) = \sigma^2 \left( \int_0^\infty \mathbf{q}^* e^{(a-\mathbf{q}A)^*t} e^{(a-\mathbf{q}A)t} \mathbf{q} dt + \left(\frac{L}{\sigma}\right)^2 \left[ \left(\frac{1}{q_k}\right)^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_j}{q_k}\right)^2 \right] \right).$$

Furthermore, we give the explicit structure of  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma)$  as a function of the control parameter  $(\gamma/\sigma)^{1/(k+1)}$ . Namely

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(\gamma) &= U_{00} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{1/k+1} \\ q_1(\gamma) &= U_{01} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{2/k+1} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ q_k(\gamma) &= U_{0k} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $U_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k$  are entries of the matrix  $U$  being solution of the algebraic Riccati equation  $aU + Ua^* + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* - UA^*AU = 0$ .

**2.3. Example 1.** Here, we consider the tracking problem for Lipschitz continuous function  $f$ . Since  $k = 0$ , we have  $a = 0$ ,  $A = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = 1$ ,  $1 - U_{00}^2 = 0$  and so,  $q_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\sigma}$ . Therefore,


$$C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma)) = \frac{\sigma\gamma}{2} + \frac{L^2\sigma^2}{\gamma^2}.$$

With  $\gamma_\varepsilon < (2L)^{2/3}\sigma^{1/3}$ , we have  $\gamma^\circ = (2L)^{2/3}\sigma^{1/3}$  and  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma^\circ) = \left(\frac{2L}{\sigma}\right)^{2/3}$ .

The following estimator is constructed (here  $\widehat{f}(t_i) := \widehat{f^{(0)}}_n(t_i)$ )

$$\widehat{f}(t_i) = \widehat{f}(t_{i-1}) + \left(\frac{2L}{n\sigma}\right)^{2/3} (X_i - \widehat{f}(t_{i-1})).$$

**Remark 2.** Notice that the direct minimization of  $C(\mathbf{q}) = P(\mathbf{q}) + \widetilde{M}^2(\mathbf{q})$  with respect to  $q_0$  provides the optimal  $q_0 = q(\gamma^\circ)$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , this coincidence is not guaranteed. Under the direct minimization of the cost function  $C(\mathbf{q})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{q}$  the eigenvalues of  $(a - \mathbf{q}^*A)$  might have nonnegative real parts.

FIGURE 1. Forward and backward time tracking with  $n = 2000$ 

2.4. **Example 2.** Let us consider a numerical solution for  $k = 2$ , i.e.  $f$  is twice differentiable function. Its second derivative is Lipschitz continuous with constant  $L = 100$ . For  $\sigma = 0.25$ , we find (see Figure 2)  $\gamma^\circ = 24.533$  and  $\gamma^\circ/\sigma = 98.132$ . According to Table 1,  $U_{00} = 2$ ,  $U_{01} = 2$ ,  $U_{02} = 1$ . Hence  $q_0 = 9.225$ ,  $q_1 = 42.550$ , and  $q_2 = 98.132$ . So, the following estimator is constructed

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f^{(0)}}(t_i) &= \widehat{f^{(0)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{n}\widehat{f^{(1)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{9.225}{n^{6/7}}(X_i - \widehat{f^{(0)}}(t_{i-1})) \\ \widehat{f^{(1)}}(t_i) &= \widehat{f^{(1)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{n}\widehat{f^{(2)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{42.550}{n^{5/7}}(X_i - \widehat{f^{(0)}}(t_{i-1})) \\ \widehat{f^{(2)}}(t_i) &= \widehat{f^{(2)}}(t_{i-1}) + \frac{98.132}{n^{4/7}}(X_i - \widehat{f^{(0)}}(t_{i-1})).\end{aligned}$$

The combination of forward and backward tracking practically allows to eliminate the boundary layer (see Figure 1).

### 3. ERROR ANALYSIS

In this section, we present a vector of normalized tracking errors and derive the expression for  $C(\mathbf{q})$ .

3.1. **Notation.** For notational convenience, set

$$F(t_i) = \begin{pmatrix} f^{(0)}(t_i) \\ f^{(1)}(t_i) \\ \vdots \\ f^{(k)}(t_i) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widehat{F}^n(t_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{f_n^{(0)}}(t_i) \\ \widehat{f_n^{(1)}}(t_i) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{f_n^{(k)}}(t_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

and introduce a diagonal matrix  $C_n$  and vector  $\mathbf{q}_n$ :

$$C_n = \text{diag}\left(n^{\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}}, n^{\frac{\beta-1}{2\beta+1}}, \dots, n^{\frac{\beta-k}{2\beta+1}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{q}_n = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} \\ q_1 n^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta+1}} \\ \vdots \\ q_k n^{-\frac{2\beta-k}{2\beta+1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use a vector-matrix form of estimator (1.3)

$$\widehat{F}^n(t_i) = \widehat{F}^n(t_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{n} a \widehat{F}^n(t_{i-1}) + \mathbf{q}_n (X_i - A \widehat{F}^n(t_{i-1})) \quad (3.1)$$

and obvious identity

$$F(t_i) \equiv F(t_{i-1}) + \frac{1}{n} a F(t_{i-1}) + \mathbf{b} (f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1})). \quad (3.2)$$

3.2. **Normalized errors.** Denote  $\delta_i = \widehat{F}^n(t_i) - F(t_i)$  and introduce normalized error  $\Delta_i = C_n \delta_i$ . Recursions (3.1) and (3.2) provide

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i &= \delta_{i-1} + \frac{1}{n} a \delta_{i-1} + \mathbf{q}_n \sigma \xi_i - \mathbf{q}_n A \delta_{i-1} + (f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})) \mathbf{q}_n \\ &\quad - \mathbf{b} (f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation from the left by  $C_n$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i &= \Delta_{i-1} + \frac{1}{n} C_n a \delta_{i-1} + C_n \mathbf{q}_n \sigma \xi_i - C_n \mathbf{q}_n A \delta_{i-1} \\ &\quad + (f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})) C_n \mathbf{q}_n - C_n \mathbf{b} (f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

A special structure (see [6]) of the objects involved in (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} C_n a &= n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} a C_n \\ C_n \mathbf{q}_n &= n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} \\ \frac{1}{n} C_n a \delta_{i-1} &= n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} a \Delta_{i-1} \\ C_n \mathbf{q}_n A \delta_{i-1} &= n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} A \Delta_{i-1} \\ C_n \mathbf{b} &= n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{b} \end{aligned}$$

allows to simplify (3.3) significantly:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i &= \Delta_{i-1} + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} (a - \mathbf{q} A) \Delta_{i-1} + n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} \sigma \xi_i \\ &\quad + n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} (f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})) - n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{b} (f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1})). \end{aligned}$$

With  $D_n = I + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}(a - \mathbf{q}A)$  we rewrite the recursion for  $\Delta_i$ 's to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i &= D_n \Delta_{i-1} + n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} \sigma \xi_i \\ &+ n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} (f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})) - n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{b} (f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In Proposition 4.1 in [6], it is shown that for  $n$  large enough the magnitudes of all eigenvalues of  $D_n$  are strictly less than 1. We shall use this property for asymptotic analysis and continuous time approximation.

**3.3. Normalized bias and variance.** Denote the bias and variance of the normalized error  $\Delta_i$ :

$$M_i^n = E\Delta_i \text{ and } P_i^n = E(\Delta_i - M_i^n)(\Delta_i - M_i^n)^*.$$

Taking the expectation from both side of (3.4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} M_i^n &= D_n M_{i-1}^n \\ &+ n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} (f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})) - n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{b} (f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), we get  $(\Delta_i - M_i^n) = D_n(\Delta_{i-1} - M_{i-1}^n) + n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}} \mathbf{q} \sigma \xi_i$ , so that  $P_i^n = E(\Delta_i - M_i^n)(\Delta_i - M_i^n)^*$  is defined by the recursion

$$P_i^n = D_n P_{i-1}^n D_n^* + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} \sigma^2 \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}^*.$$

Since  $|f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1})| \leq \frac{L}{n}$ , it is natural to choose  $f$  with

$$f^{(k)}(t_i) - f^{(k)}(t_{i-1}) \equiv \frac{L}{n} \text{ or } -\frac{L}{n}$$

and substitute  $\sup_{f \in \Sigma(\beta, L)} M_i^n (M_i^n)^*$  by  $\widetilde{M}_i^n (\widetilde{M}_i^n)^*$ . Henceforth,  $\widetilde{M}_i^n$  is defined by recursion (3.5) with such  $f$ , i.e.

$$\widetilde{M}_i^n = \widetilde{M}_{i-1}^n + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} (a - \mathbf{q}A) \widetilde{M}_{i-1}^n + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} \varrho^n - n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}} L \mathbf{b},$$

where  $\varrho^n = \frac{L\mathbf{q}}{(k+1)!n^k}$ . Thus, trace  $(P_i^n + \widetilde{M}_i^n (\widetilde{M}_i^n)^*)$  determines the normalized mean square tracking error

$$C(\mathbf{q}) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{trace} \left( P_i^n + \widetilde{M}_i^n (\widetilde{M}_i^n)^* \right), \quad t_i \geq c(\mathbf{q}) n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \log n. \quad (3.6)$$

**3.4. Continuous time approximation.** To find “ $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ ” in (3.6), we give a continuous time approximation of  $(\widetilde{M}_i^n, P_i^n)$ . To this end, let us introduce the time stretching

$$(t_i - t_{i-1}) = n^{-1} \Rightarrow (s_i - s_{i-1}) = n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}},$$

with  $t_0 = s_0 = 0$ . The boundary layer  $[0, c(\mathbf{q}) n^{-\frac{1}{2\beta+1}} \log n]$  is transformed to  $[0, c(\mathbf{q}) \log n]$  and the interval  $[0, T]$  to  $[0, T n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}}]$ . Let us define  $\widetilde{M}_{s_i}^n = \widetilde{M}_i^n$  and  $P_{s_i}^n = P_i^n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ , and for  $s \in [s_{i-1}, s_i]$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}_s^n &= \widetilde{M}_{s_{i-1}}^n + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \left( (a - \mathbf{q}A) \widetilde{M}_{s_{i-1}}^n \right) ds' + (\varrho^n - \mathbf{b}L)(s - s_{i-1}) \\ P_s^n &= P_{s_{i-1}}^n + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \left( (a - \mathbf{q}A) P_{s_{i-1}}^n + P_{s_{i-1}}^n (a - \mathbf{q}A)^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}} (a - \mathbf{q}A) P_{s_{i-1}}^n (a - \mathbf{q}A)^* + \sigma^2 \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}^* \right) ds'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We shall consider these recursions for  $s_i$  from  $(c(\mathbf{q}) \log n, n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}}T]$ , where  $(\widetilde{M}_s^n, P_s^n)$  have entries bounded in  $n$  (see, [6]). Taking into account that recursions (3.7) are homogeneous in  $s$ , let us replace  $\{s$  and  $s_i\}$  by  $\{u = s - c(\mathbf{q}) \log n$  and  $u_i = s_i - c(\mathbf{q}) \log n\}$ . Then, the entries of  $(\widetilde{M}_u^n, P_u^n)$  are bounded in  $n$  for  $0 \leq u \leq n^{\frac{1}{2\beta+1}}T - c(\mathbf{q}) \log n$ . Therefore without loss of generality we may consider (3.7) with initial conditions bounded in  $n$ :

$$C(\mathbf{q}) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{trace} \left( P_u^n + \widetilde{M}_u^n (\widetilde{M}_u^n)^* \right). \quad (3.8)$$

To determine the right hand side of (3.8), we apply the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. For any  $T > 0$ , the functions  $(\widetilde{M}_u^n, P_u^n)_{0 \leq u \leq T}$  are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. So, by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, any converging subsequence  $(\widetilde{M}_u^{n'}, P_u^{n'})$  obeys the limit  $(\widetilde{M}'_u, P'_u)$  in the local uniform topology:

$$\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^N} \min \left( 1, \sup_{0 \leq u \leq N} (\|\widetilde{M}_u^{n'} - \widetilde{M}'_u\| + \|P_u^{n'} - P'_u\|) \right) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}'_u &= \widetilde{M}'_0 + \int_0^u \left( (a - \mathbf{q}A) \widetilde{M}'_v + \mathbf{b}L \right) dv \\ P'_u &= P'_0 + \int_0^u \left( (a - \mathbf{q}A) P'_v + P'_v (a - \mathbf{q}A)^* + \sigma^2 \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}^* \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Since the eigenvalues of  $a - \mathbf{q}A$  have negative real parts, the limits  $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{q}) := \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{M}'_u$  and  $P(\mathbf{q}) := \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} P'_u$  exist and are defined as:

$$\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{q}) = -L(a - \mathbf{q}A)^{-1} \mathbf{b} \quad (3.9)$$

$$(a - \mathbf{q}A)P(\mathbf{q}) + P(\mathbf{q})(a - \mathbf{q}A)^* + \sigma^2 \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}^* = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

that is  $\widetilde{M}(\mathbf{q})$  and  $P(\mathbf{q})$  are independent of  $\{n'\}$  and so

$$C(\mathbf{q}) = \text{trace} \left( P(\mathbf{q}) + \widetilde{M}(\mathbf{q}) \widetilde{M}^*(\mathbf{q}) \right). \quad (3.11)$$

#### 4. MINIMIZATION OF THE COST FUNCTION IN ONE PARAMETER CLASS

**4.1. Motivation.** For large values of  $k$  a direct minimization of  $C(\mathbf{q})$  from (3.11) would be a difficult problem. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{q}^\circ = \underset{\mathbf{q}}{\text{argmin}} C(\mathbf{q})$$

could not a priori guarantee negative real parts of eigenvalues for  $(a - \mathbf{q}^\circ A)$ . To avoid implementation of a conditional minimization procedure, we propose to choose  $\mathbf{q}$  from some limited class given below.

**4.2. Adaptation to Kalman filter design.** Our estimator has a structure of Kalman filter in the discrete time. We assume that  $F(0)$  is a random vector,  $\widehat{F}^n(0) = EF(0)$ , and  $f^{(k)}(t_i)$  is generated by stochastic recursion

$$f^{(k)}(t_i) = f^{(k)}(t_{i-1}) + n^{-\frac{\beta+1}{2\beta+1}} \gamma \eta_i, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $(\eta_i)$  is a white noise, independent of  $(\xi_i)$ , with  $E\eta_1 = 0$ ,  $E\eta_1 = 1$  and  $\gamma$  is an arbitrary nonzero parameter. For the observation model

$$X_i = f^{(0)}(t_{i-1}) + \sigma \xi_i$$



we apply the estimator given in (3.1). The resulting errors  $\delta_i = \widehat{F}(t_i) - F(t_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots$ , are defined by a recursion

$$\delta_i = \delta_{i-1} + \frac{1}{n}a\delta_{i-1} + \mathbf{q}_n\sigma\xi_i - \mathbf{q}_nA\delta_{i-1} - n^{-\frac{\beta+1}{2\beta+1}}\mathbf{b}\gamma\eta_i.$$

Then, for  $\Delta_i = C_n\delta_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$  we obtain

$$\Delta_i = \Delta_{i-1} + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}(a - \mathbf{q}A)\Delta_{i-1} + n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}}\mathbf{q}\sigma\xi_i - n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}}\mathbf{b}\gamma\eta_i \quad (4.2)$$

and supply  $\Delta_0 = \delta_0$ . Denote  $Q_i^n = E\Delta_i\Delta_i^*$ . From (4.2) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i^n &= \left(I + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}(a - \mathbf{q}A)\right)Q_{i-1}^n\left(I + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}(a - \mathbf{q}A)\right)^* \\ &\quad + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}\sigma^2\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^* + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}\gamma^2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* \\ &= D_nQ_{i-1}^nD_n^* + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}\sigma^2\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^* + n^{-\frac{2\beta}{2\beta+1}}\gamma^2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to  $(\widetilde{M}_s^n, P_s^n)$  (see previous section), let us introduce  $Q_s^n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s^n &= Q_{s_{i-1}}^n + \int_{s_{i-1}}^s \left( (a - \mathbf{q}A)Q_{s_{i-1}}^n + Q_{s_{i-1}}^n(a - \mathbf{q}A)^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n^{-\frac{\beta}{2\beta+1}}(a - \mathbf{q}A)Q_{s_{i-1}}^n(a - \mathbf{q}A)^* + \sigma^2\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^* + \gamma^2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* \right) ds'. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Arzela-Ascoli theorem technique it can be readily shown that  $Q_s^n$  converges in the local uniform topology to  $Q_s$ , where

$$Q_s = Q_0 + \int_0^s \left( (a - \mathbf{q}A)Q_{s'} + Q_{s'}(a - \mathbf{q}A)^* + \sigma^2\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^* + \gamma^2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* \right) ds,$$

and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} Q_s := Q$  with  $Q$  being the unique solution of Lyapunov equation

$$(a - \mathbf{q}A)Q + Q(a - \mathbf{q}A)^* + \sigma^2\mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^* + \gamma^2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

The matrix  $Q$  is a function of arguments  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\gamma$ :  $Q = Q(\mathbf{q}, \gamma)$ . We choose  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}(\gamma)$  so that for any  $\gamma$

$$Q(\mathbf{q}, \gamma) \geq Q(\mathbf{q}(\gamma), \gamma) := Q(\gamma) > 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Due to the Kalman filtering theory, the lower bound (4.4) holds true for

$$\mathbf{q}(\gamma) = \frac{Q(\gamma)A^*}{\sigma^2} \quad (4.5)$$

with  $Q(\gamma)$  being solution of the algebraic Riccati equation

$$aQ(\gamma) + Q(\gamma)a^* + \gamma^2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* - \frac{Q(\gamma)A^*AQ(\gamma)}{\sigma^2} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

It is well known (see e.g. Theorem 16.2 in [7]) that (4.6) possesses a unique positive-definite solution provided that block-matrices

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ Aa \\ \vdots \\ Aa^k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G_2 = (\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* \quad a\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* \quad \dots \quad a^k\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^*)$$

have full ranks  $r = k + 1$ . Notice that  $G_1$  is a unite matrix and the rank of  $G_2$  is  $k + 1$ . Consequently, the eigenvalues of the matrix  $(a - \mathbf{q}(\gamma)A)$  with  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma)$  defined in (4.5) have negative real parts (see, Lemma 16.11 in [7]).

**4.3. Minimization of the cost function.** The one parameter family

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \geq \gamma_\varepsilon > 0 : \mathbf{q}(\gamma) = \frac{Q(\gamma)A^*}{\sigma^2} \right\}$$

permits a simple numeric implementation and guarantees filtering stability mentioned above. In this class we use a constrain parameter  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  to compensate unacceptably large boundary layer when the minimization procedure yields small values of  $\gamma^\circ = \operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma>0} C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma))$ , with  $C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma))$  given in (3.11). The magnitude of  $\gamma_\varepsilon$  is dictated by  $k$ ,  $\sigma$ , initial conditions and boundary layer specifications. The minimization in our class provides

$$\gamma^\circ = \operatorname{argmin}_{\gamma \geq \gamma_\varepsilon} C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{q}(\gamma^\circ) = \frac{Q(\gamma^\circ)A^*}{\sigma^2}. \quad (4.7)$$

5. EXPLICIT MINIMIZATION PROCEDURE

**5.1. Filter gain.** In this section we describe a structure of  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma^\circ)$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma) = \frac{Q(\gamma)A^*}{\sigma^2}$  and  $Q(\gamma)$  solves the Riccati equation (4.6) for any fixed  $\sigma$ . For notational convenience replace  $Q(\gamma)$  by  $Q(\gamma, \sigma)$  and set  $U = Q(1, 1)$ . Clearly,  $U$  solves the algebraic Riccati equation

$$aU + Ua^* + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^* - UA^*AU = 0.$$

Kalachev [5] shows that

$$Q_{ij}(\gamma, \sigma) = U_{ij}\sigma^2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{i+j+1}{k+1}}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$

where  $Q_{ij}(\gamma, \sigma)$  and  $U_{ij}$  are entries of  $Q(\gamma, \sigma)$  and  $U$  respectively. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(\gamma) &= U_{00} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{1/k+1} \\ q_1(\gamma) &= U_{01} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{2/k+1} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ q_k(\gamma) &= U_{0k} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $k \leq 4$ , these values are given in the table below.

k	$U_{00}$	$U_{01}$	$U_{02}$	$U_{03}$	$U_{04}$
0	1	NA	NA	NA	NA
1	$\sqrt{2}$	1	NA	NA	NA
2	2	2	1	NA	NA
3	$\sqrt{4 + \sqrt{8}}$	$2 + \sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{4 + \sqrt{8}}$	1	NA
4	$1 + \sqrt{5}$	$3 + \sqrt{5}$	$3 + \sqrt{5}$	$1 + \sqrt{5}$	1

(Table 1)

The complex structure of  $C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma))$  does not provide an insight of  $\gamma$  and  $L$  connection. Numerical simulations show that for a wide range of values  $\log(\gamma^\circ)$  is almost proportional to  $\log(L)$  (see also Figure 2). This remark enables to construct a simple interpolation tables for the values of  $\gamma^\circ$  and  $C(\mathbf{q}(\gamma))$  with respect to the parameter  $L$ .




FIGURE 2. Cost function  $C(q(\gamma))$  in logarithmic scale for various  $L$  and  $\sigma$ ;  $k = 2$ .

**5.2. Eigenvalues of  $(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{q}(\gamma)\mathbf{A})$ .** Although the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{q}(\gamma)$  have negative real parts, we may not formally guarantee that they are different. So, for  $k \leq 4$  we give the eigenvalues:

$$\begin{aligned}
 k = 0 : & \quad -\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right) \\
 k = 1 : & \quad -\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pm i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
 k = 2 : & \quad -\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{1/3} \left(1; \frac{1}{2} \pm i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \\
 k = 3 : & \quad -\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{1/4} (0.924 \pm i0.383; 0.383 \pm i0.924) \\
 k = 4 : & \quad -\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{1/5} (1; 0.809 \pm i0.588; 0.309 \pm i0.951).
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $k > 4$ , the fact that the polynomial has different roots should be verified.

Notice that  $(\gamma/\sigma)^{1/(k+1)}$  is a natural control parameter defining the size of boundary layer and should be limited from below by  $(\gamma_\varepsilon/\sigma)^{1/(k+1)}$  with appropriate  $\gamma_\varepsilon$ .

**5.3. Cost function.** The index form of  $(a - qA)\widetilde{M}(q) = -Lb$  i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -q_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -q_1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ -q_{k-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -q_k & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{M}_0(q) \\ \widetilde{M}_1(q) \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{M}_{k-1}(q) \\ \widetilde{M}_k(q) \end{pmatrix} = -L \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

allows to find the solution

$$\widetilde{M}_0(q) = L \frac{1}{q_k}, \widetilde{M}_1(q) = L \frac{q_0}{q_k}, \dots, \widetilde{M}_k(q) = L \frac{q_{k-1}}{q_k}.$$

Thus, trace  $(\widetilde{M}(q)\widetilde{M}^*(q)) = L^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{q_k} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{q_j}{q_k} \right)^2 \right]$ . From (3.9) it follows  $P(q) = \sigma^2 \int_0^\infty q^* e^{(a-qA)^*t} e^{(a-qA)t} q dt$ .

As a result, the final expression for the cost function is

$$C(q) = \sigma^2 \left( \int_0^\infty q^* e^{(a-qA)^*t} e^{(a-qA)t} q dt + \left( \frac{L}{\sigma} \right)^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{q_k} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{q_j}{q_k} \right)^2 \right] \right).$$

## 6. CONCLUSION REMARK

In this paper, we use the fact that a class of Kalman filters, being adapted to a nonparametric statistic setting, provides the optimal rate of convergence in sample size ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). We show how to evaluate a normalized risk function for large sample size and minimize that value in some subclass of Kalman filters with constant filter gain. The Kalman type estimator, as any on-line estimator, has inevitable boundary layer. We suggest to reduce the boundary layer by interpolation procedure and limitation from below for filtering gain.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bar-Shalom, Yaakov and Li, Xiao-Rong *Estimation and tracking. Principles, techniques, and software*. Artech House, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [2] Chow, P.-L., Khasminskii, R. and Liptser, R.Sh. (1997) Tracking of signal and its derivatives in Gaussian white noise, *Stochastic processes and their application*. **69**, 2 pp. 259-273.
- [3] Ibragimov, I. and Khasminskii, R. (1980) On nonparametric estimation of regression, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **21**, pp. 810-814.
- [4] Ibragimov, I. and Khasminskii, R. *Statistical estimation: Asymptotic theory*. Springer Verlag, 1981 (Russian ed.1979).
- [5] M.G. Kalachev "One method of multiple differentiation applied to a signal in automatic regulation systems". *Automation and Remote Control*, No 6, 1970, pp. 890-896.
- [6] Khasminskii, R and Liptser, R. (2001) On-line estimation of a smooth regression function
- [7] R.Sh. Liptser and A.N. Shirayev *Statistics of Random Processes, II*. Springer Verlag. 2000
- [8] Parzen, E. (1962) On estimation of a probability density function and mode. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **33**, No 3, pp. 1065-1073.
- [9] Rosenblatt, M. (1956) Remarks on some nonparametric estimates of a density function". *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **27**, No 3, pp. 832-837.

- [10] Stone, C. (1980) Optimal rates of convergence for nonparametric estimators, *Ann. Statist.*, **8**, pp.1348–1360.
- [11] Stone, C. (1982) Optimal global rates of convergence for nonparametric regression, *Ann. Statist.*, **10**, pp.1040–1053.

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SYSTEMS, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 69978 - RAMAT AVIV,  
TEL AVIV, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address:* goldlev@eng.tau.ac.il

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING SYSTEMS, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 69978 - RAMAT AVIV,  
TEL AVIV, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address:* liptser@eng.tau.ac.il