

DIRAC γ -EQUATION, CLASSICAL GAUGE FIELDS AND CLIFFORD ALGEBRA *

N. G. Marchuk

*Steklov Mathematical Institute,
Gubkina st. 8,
Moscow GSP-1, 117966, Russia
email: nikolai@marchuk.mian.su*

(Received: April 3, 1998, Accepted: April 7, 1998)

Abstract. An equation, we call Dirac γ -equation, is introduced with the help of the mathematical tools connected with the Clifford algebra. This equation can be considered as a generalization of the Dirac equation for the electron. Some features of Dirac γ -equation are investigated (plane waves, currents, canonical forms). Furthermore, on the basis of local gauge invariance regarding unitary group, a system of equations is introduced consisting of Dirac γ -equation and the Yang-Mills or Maxwell equations. This system of equations describes a Dirac's field interacting with the Yang-Mills or Maxwell gauge field. Characteristics of this system of equations are studied for various gauge groups and the liaison between the new and the standard constructions of classical gauge fields is discussed.

* *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras* **8** No. 1, 181-224 (1998)

Contents

1.	Introduction.	182
1.1	Beyond the Standard Dirac Equation.	183
2.	Clifford Algebra and Spinor Groups.	188
2.1	Clifford Algebra.	188
2.2	Spinor Groups.	191
2.3	Minkowski Metric.	193
2.4	Trace, Determinant and Exponent of γ -Numbers.	194
2.5	Antihermitian Basis and Lie Algebras.	195
2.6	The Connection Between Complex Clifford Algebra and Unitary Lie Groups.	198
2.7	Gell-Mann's Generators of the Lie Group $SU(3)$.	204
2.8	Spinorial Generators of the Lie Group $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$.	205
3.	The Dirac γ -Equation.	205
3.1	Decomposition of the Dirac γ -Equation Into Even and Odd Parts.	206
3.2	Plane Wave Solutions.	207
3.3	Gauge Groups of the Dirac γ -Equation.	210
3.4	Conservation Laws.	211
3.5	Canonical Forms of the Dirac γ -Equation.	214
4.	The Yang-Mills and Maxwell γ -Equations.	216
4.1	A Gauge Invariance of the Yang-Mills and Maxwell γ -Equations.	218
5.	A Construction of the Gauge Fields Theory.	219
5.1	A Union of the Dirac γ -Equation and the Yang-Mills γ -Equations.	219
5.2	The Dirac-Yang-Mills System of γ -Equations.	220
5.3	The Case $m = 0$.	221
5.4	A Gauge Group $U(4)$. The Polar Gauge.	224
5.5	The Gauge Group $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$. Spinors.	225

1. Introduction.

Soon after the finding of Dirac's famous equation for the electron in 1928 [10], several papers appeared H. Weil [1] and V. A. Fock [2], among others, in which the electromagnetic field was described as a gauge field of the Dirac equation required from demanding of local gauge invariance

with respect to a phase transformation (Abelian $U(1)$ gauge group) of the Dirac's lagrangian. Further development of this approach allowing for the non-Abelian gauge fields after the work of Yang and Mills (1954) [3] considering the group of "isotopic" transformations (gauge group $SU(2)$). Their work was soon generalized to a wider class of Lie groups. Non-Abelian gauge fields began to be named Yang-Mills fields, whereas the equations describing them were named Yang-Mills equations. In modern physics such fields are used in models of electroweak and strong interactions. In the present article an equation, we call Dirac γ -equation, is introduced with the help of the mathematical tools connected with the Clifford algebra. This equation can be considered as a generalization of Dirac equation for the electron. Certain features of Dirac γ -equation are investigated (plane waves, currents, canonical forms). Further on the basis of local gauge invariance regarding unitary group a system of equations is introduced consisting of Dirac γ -equation and equations of Yang-Mills or Maxwell type. That system of equations describes Dirac's field interacting with gauge fields of Yang-Mills or Maxwell type. Characteristics of this system of equations are studied for various gauge groups and the liaison between the new and standard constructions of classical gauge fields is discussed. Some ideas proposed in [8, 9, 21] are used in the article. Certain elements of the construction proposed could be found in the works of Hestenes [6], Casanova [30], Kähler [19], Pestov [14], Pezzaglia and Differ [17]. A good review of the techniques related to the Clifford algebra and a comprehensive bibliography can be found in Keller's article [7, 23]. Sign \bullet will mark the end of the proof of a theorem or emphasize the lack of such proof which means that the proposition can be proved by direct computation. In a number of cases the author used the computer for analytic calculations. See also [31].

1.1. BEYOND THE STANDARD DIRAC EQUATION.

Let us consider the standard Dirac equation and its generalizations. A vector $x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ defines a point in space-time, x^0 – time coordinate, x^1, x^2, x^3 – space coordinates and $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial x^\mu$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are partial derivatives. A Klein-Gordon equation for a function $\phi = \phi(x)$

$$(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - \partial_3^2 + m^2)\phi = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where m – nonnegative real number, describes particles with spin 0 and mass m . For a description of spin 1/2 particles (electrons) P. A. M. Dirac suggested a system of equations of first order that he obtained by formally factorizing the Klein-Gordon operator

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m)(i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu - m) = -(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - \partial_3^2 + m^2), \quad (1.2)$$

where γ^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are algebraic objects that satisfy the relations

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (1.3)$$

with Minkowski tensor $g = (g^{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. γ^μ can be faithfully represented by square matrices of order no less than four. We shall use the following “standard” representation for the γ^μ :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^k \\ \sigma^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \\ \sigma^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

which is often called the Dirac representation (σ^k – Pauli matrices). Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the identity 4×4 -matrix. The 16 matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}; \quad \gamma^\mu, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 3; \quad \gamma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu, \quad 0 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 3; \\ \gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda, \quad 0 \leq \mu < \nu < \lambda \leq 3; \quad \gamma^{0123} \equiv \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

are linear independent and form a basis of $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ – algebra of four dimensional complex matrices.

The Dirac equation has a form

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m\mathbf{1})\psi = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

and if we consider the aggregate in brackets as a 4×4 -matrix, then $\psi = \psi(x)$ must be a matrix with four lines and an arbitrary number of columns. The identity (1.2) asserts that all the components of the matrix ψ (if they are smooth enough) satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation.

With the same result one can use the equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m\mathbf{1})\psi' = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

If we multiply it from the left by γ^{0123} and define $\psi = \gamma^{0123}\psi'$, we obtain (1.6) (γ^{0123} anticommute with γ^μ).

We shall call the equation (1.6) with one column matrix ψ the standard Dirac equation. ψ is called bispinor or Dirac spinor. One can also consider the equations (1.6) with $l > 1$ columns in matrix ψ . For different purposes physicists have used the Dirac equation (1.6) with different numbers of columns in ψ . The following list does not lay claim for completeness and indisputability:

- $l = 1$: Spin 1/2 particles in quantum electrodynamics (a gauge field theory with U(1) symmetry group).
 $l = 2$: Spin 1/2 particles in theory of electroweak interactions (SU(2) gauge field theory).
 $l = 3$: Spin 1/2 particles in theory of strong interactions – quantum chromodynamics (SU(3) gauge field theory).
 $l = 4$: The Dirac equation (1.6) with $l = 4$ is called a Rarita-Schwinger equation. It is used for a description of spin 3/2 particles.
 $l = 5$: Georgi and Glashow [4] have suggested SU(5) gauge field theory as Grand Unified Theory (GUT).
 $l \geq 6$: SU(l) gauge field theories are developed by physicists as GUT.

Let us note, that usually in theoretical physics people uses columns (spinors) with $4l$ components, but not $4 \times l$ -matrices, as wave functions (nevertheless, see Casanova [30]). One can easily establish an equivalence between the Dirac equation for $4l$ -bispinor and the Dirac equation for $4 \times l$ -matrix.

There is an evident algebraic generalization of the identity (1.2)

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m(z\mathbf{1} - y\gamma^{0123}))(i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu - m(z\mathbf{1} + y\gamma^{0123})) = -(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - \partial_3^2 + m^2), \quad (1.8)$$

where z, y are complex constants and $z^2 + y^2 = 1$. It leads to the equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m(z\mathbf{1} + y\gamma^{0123}))\psi = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

We can consider the factorizations (1.2), (1.8) of the Klein-Gordon operator as one of many possible methods of a reduction of the Klein-Gordon equation of second order to a system of equations of first order (see Keller [7, 23]). There is another method of such a reduction that leads to the following system of equations of first order:

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi - m(\Psi N + \gamma^{0123} \Psi K) = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

Theorem 1. *If the matrix $\Psi = \Psi(x)$ from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ with twice continuously differentiable elements is a solution of (1.10), where the matrices $N, K \in \mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ are not depend on x and satisfy the relations*

$$[N, K] = NK - KN = 0, \quad N^2 + K^2 = \mathbf{1}, \quad (1.11)$$

then the matrix Ψ is also a solution of the Klein-Gordon equation.

Proof. Let us consider an action of the operator $i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu$ from left to the equation (1.10)

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu)^2\Psi - m(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi)N + m\gamma^{0123}(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi)K = 0$$

and use the relations $(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu)^2 = -(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - \partial_3^2)$, $i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi = m(\Psi N + \gamma^{0123}\Psi K)$ and (1.11). As a result we get the Klein-Gordon equation $-(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - \partial_3^2 + m^2)\Psi = 0$ •

The formula (1.10) gives us a set of equations that depend on two matrices N, K with the relations (1.11). How to describe all matrix pairs N, K that satisfy (1.11)? If we write the matrix N using a Jordan normal form, then we can find all the corresponding matrices K by doing an elementary calculation [5]. Such a way leads to the 15 classes of pairs N, K that depend on several parameters.

Example 1. The matrices

$$N = V\text{diag}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)V^{-1}, \quad K = V\text{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)V^{-1}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $z_k^2 + y_k^2 = 1$, V - nondegenerate matrix from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$, satisfy (1.11).

Example 2. The matrices

$$N = V \begin{pmatrix} z & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}, \quad K = V \begin{pmatrix} y & a & b & c \\ 0 & y & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & y & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix} V^{-1},$$

where $z^2 + y^2 = 1$, $y \neq 0$, $a = -z/y$, $b = -1/(2y^3)$, $c = -z/(2y^5)$ and V - nondegenerate matrix from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$, satisfy (1.11).

Let us consider a solution Ψ of (1.10) with the matrices N, K from the example 1 and connect it with the solutions of the standard Dirac equation. The columns of the matrix V denote by v_k ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then v_k are the eigen vectors of N corresponding to the eigen values z_k . Simultaneously, v_k are the eigen vectors of K corresponding to the eigen values t_k . So, if we multiply (1.10) from right by v_k and denote $\psi_k = \Psi v_k$ then we come to four equations

$$i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_k - m(z_k\mathbf{1} + y_k\gamma^{0123})\psi_k = 0$$

that have the form (1.9), or (1.6).

An equation (1.10), where Ψ is a $4 \times l$ -matrix ($l = 1, 2, \dots$) and N, K are $l \times l$ -matrices, was studied in [21]. The Rarita-Schwinger equation can be considered as a special case of the equation (1.10) when $N = \mathbf{1}, K = 0$.

Our aim in this paper is to develop a gauge field theory for the equation (1.10). In the next section we describe some mathematical tools connected with the Clifford algebra, which we shall use in our approach to a gauge field theory.

2. Clifford Algebra and Spinor Groups.

In this section, for the sake of clarity and notation, we give necessary algebraic material which otherwise is known to specialists (see, for example, [18, 20]). This makes the present article almost self contained from the Clifford algebra point of view.

2.1. CLIFFORD ALGEBRA.

Let \mathcal{E} be an n -dimensional real vector space with the basis vectors e^1, \dots, e^n and $g = (g^{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ is a given real symmetric nondegenerate matrix that we call metric (metric tensor) of the vector space \mathcal{E} . Let us introduce a 2^n -dimensional real vector space \mathcal{V} , which contains \mathcal{E} , with the basis consisting of 2^n vectors numbered by the ordered multi-indices (e is the scalar unit of the algebra)

$$e, e^i, e^{i_1 i_2}, \dots, e^{1 \dots n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

Let us define a multiplication of vectors of \mathcal{V} with the aid of the following rules:

- 1) $(\alpha U)V = U(\alpha V) = \alpha(UV)$ for $\forall U, V \in \mathcal{V}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2) $(U + V)W = UW + VW, \quad W(U + V) = WU + WV$ for $\forall U, V, W \in \mathcal{V}$
- 3) $(UV)W = U(VW)$ for $\forall U, V, W \in \mathcal{V}$
- 4) $eU = Ue = U$ for $\forall U \in \mathcal{V}$
- 5) $e^i e^j + e^j e^i = 2g^{ij} e$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$
- 6) $e^{i_1} \dots e^{i_k} = e^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$.

Using these rules one can compute a result of multiplication of arbitrary vectors of \mathcal{V} . The vector space \mathcal{V} with the defined multiplication of

vectors is an associative algebra, that is called (a real) Clifford algebra of the vector space \mathcal{E} with the metric g and denoted by $\Gamma = \Gamma(g)$. If the matrix g is diagonal with p pieces of $+1$ and q pieces of -1 and $p + q = n$, then the corresponding Clifford algebra is denoted by $\Gamma(p, q)$, and by $\Gamma(n)$ if $q = 0$. The basis vectors $e^1 \dots e^n$ are called the generators of Clifford algebra. The elements of Clifford algebra Γ are called γ -numbers.

Remark. The Clifford algebra was introduced in the year 1878 by the English mathematician W. K. Clifford [15] in his analysis of the Grassmann papers, making them accesible and more standard in notation; who called it *geometrical algebra*. We shall use the term “ γ -number” short of the term “geometrical number.” The Dirac γ -matrices (1.4) are, in particular, γ -numbers.

γ -numbers $\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} u_{i_1 \dots i_k} e^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ are called γ -numbers of rank k ; a γ -number ue of rank 0 is identified with the scalar u ; a γ -number $u_{1 \dots n} e^{1 \dots n}$ of rank n is called a pseudoscalar. The sets of γ -numbers of ranks $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are the subspaces $\overset{k}{\Gamma}$ of Γ

$$\Gamma = \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{1}{\Gamma} \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{n}{\Gamma} = \ddot{\Gamma} \oplus \dot{\Gamma}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\ddot{\Gamma} = \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{2}{\Gamma} \oplus \dots$, $\dot{\Gamma} = \overset{1}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{3}{\Gamma} \oplus \dots$, $\overset{1}{\Gamma} = \mathcal{E}$. The dimension of the vector space $\overset{k}{\Gamma}$ equals the binomial coefficient C_n^k and $\sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k = 2^n$. The dimensions of $\ddot{\Gamma}$ and $\dot{\Gamma}$ are equal to 2^{n-1} . Elements of $\ddot{\Gamma}$ are called even γ -numbers and elements of $\dot{\Gamma}$ are called odd γ -numbers. Sometimes it is convenient to explicitly indicate a rank or an evenness of γ -number in its notation – $\overset{k}{U} \in \overset{k}{\Gamma}$, $\ddot{U} \in \ddot{\Gamma}$, $\dot{U} \in \dot{\Gamma}$. If $U \in \Gamma$, then from (2.2) we get

$$U = \sum_{k=0}^n \overset{k}{U} = \ddot{U} + \dot{U}.$$

We shall use the projection operators $\overset{k}{\pi}: \Gamma \rightarrow \overset{k}{\Gamma}$ such that $\overset{k}{\pi}(U) = \overset{k}{U}$. A multiplication of two γ -numbers of ranks k and s gives the sum of γ -numbers of ranks from $|k - s|$ to $p = \min(k + s, 2n - k - s)$ with increment 2

$$\overset{k}{U} \overset{s}{V} = \overset{|k-s|}{W} + \overset{|k-s|+2}{W} + \dots + \overset{p}{W}.$$

Evidently $\ddot{U}\ddot{V}, \dot{U}\dot{V} \in \ddot{\Gamma}$; $\ddot{U}\dot{V}, \dot{U}\ddot{V} \in \dot{\Gamma}$ and so, Γ is Z_2 -graded algebra. A set of even γ -numbers $\ddot{\Gamma}$ is a subalgebra of Γ that is called the even Clifford algebra.

An important property of the Clifford algebra Γ is given by the formula

$$(u_i e^i)^2 = (g^{ij} u_i u_j) e,$$

that is the square of every vector from $\overset{1}{\Gamma} = \mathcal{E}$ is a scalar. Usually the metric g is diagonal with ± 1 on the diagonal.

Let us define a conjugation of γ -numbers using the following relations:

$(e^{i_1} \dots e^{i_k})^* = e^{i_k} \dots e^{i_1}$. Then

$$(UV)^* = V^* U^*, \quad U^{**} = U \quad \text{for } \forall U, V \in \Gamma. \quad (2.3)$$

then $(U)^* = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} U$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite-dimensional algebra and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma$. We can consider $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a set of γ -numbers of the following form

$$u \otimes e + u_i \otimes e^i + \dots + u_{1\dots n} \otimes e^{1\dots n} \equiv u e_{\mathcal{A}} + u_i e_{\mathcal{A}}^i + \dots + u_{1\dots n} e_{\mathcal{A}}^{1\dots n}$$

with the coefficients u, u_i, \dots from \mathcal{A} . In $\mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma$ the basis vectors of \mathcal{A} commute with the basis vectors of Γ , where we shall use a convention that an index \mathcal{A} in $u_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{\mathcal{A}}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ means that γ -numbers belong to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$

$$u_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{\mathcal{A}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} = e_{\mathcal{A}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} u_{i_1 \dots i_k} = u_{i_1 \dots i_k} \otimes e^{i_1 \dots i_k}.$$

When $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$ – the field of complex numbers, then an index \mathcal{C} in the basis vectors notations may be omitted $e_{\mathcal{C}}^{i_1 \dots i_k} = e^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ and the algebra $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ is called

a complex Clifford algebra. A multiplication of the elements of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined

$$UV = (u_{i_1 \dots i_k} v_{j_1 \dots j_r}) \otimes (e^{i_1 \dots i_k} e^{j_1 \dots j_r}), \quad U = u_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{\mathcal{A}}^{i_1 \dots i_k}, \quad V = v_{j_1 \dots j_r} e_{\mathcal{A}}^{j_1 \dots j_r}.$$

If \mathcal{A} is an associative algebra with unit element, then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is also an associative algebra with unit element.

Remark. In the case of a diagonal metric a complex Clifford algebra $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(p, q)$ is trivially isomorphic to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(n)$. This is because the generators e^k with the property $(e^k)^2 = -e$ can be replaced by the generators $\tilde{e}^k = ie^k$.

If $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ is a conjugation operator in the algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\bar{\bar{a}} = a$, then we may introduce a conjugation operator $U \rightarrow U^*$ in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ using the formula $U^* = \bar{u}_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{\mathcal{A}}^{i_k} \dots e_{\mathcal{A}}^{i_1}$ for $U = u_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{\mathcal{A}}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$. Evidently $(UV)^* = V^*U^*$, $U^{**} = U$ for $U, V \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $U^* = U^*$ for $U \in \Gamma$.

It should be pointed out that there is a possibility to define the different conjugation operators $U \rightarrow TU^*T^{-1}$, $U \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ that depend on invertible γ -number $T \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ with the property $T = T^*$ or $T = -T^*$.

Let us consider the properties of a transformation $V \rightarrow UVU^*$ that depend on some fixed $U \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 1.

- 1) If $U \in \overset{1}{\Gamma}$, then $U \overset{1}{\Gamma} U^* \subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma}$.
- 2) If $U \in \overset{1}{\ddot{\Gamma}}$ and $n \leq 4$, then $U \overset{1}{\Gamma} U^* \subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma}$ and $UU^* = U^*U$.
- 3) If $U \in \overset{1}{\dot{\Gamma}}$ and $n \leq 4$, then $U \overset{1}{\Gamma} U^* \subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma}$, but γ -numbers U and U^* , generally speaking, do not commute.
- 4) If $n \leq 5$, $U \in \overset{1}{\ddot{\Gamma}}$, $UU^* = e$, then $U \overset{1}{\Gamma} U^* \subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma}$.
- 5) If $n \leq 5$, $U \in \overset{1}{\ddot{\Gamma}}$, $UU^* = e$ and the metric g is diagonal, then

$$U \overset{k}{\Gamma} U^* \subseteq \overset{k}{\Gamma}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n \bullet$$

Remark. There is a reason to suppose, that when $n = 6$ and g is a diagonal metric, the conditions $U \in \overset{1}{\ddot{\Gamma}}(g)$, $UU^* = e$, $U \overset{1}{\Gamma} U^* \subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma}$ imply

$$U \overset{k}{\Gamma} U^* \subseteq \overset{k}{\Gamma}, \quad k = 2, \dots, n.$$

But we have not checked it.

2.2. SPINOR GROUPS.

Definition. The set of even γ -numbers $F \in \overset{1}{\ddot{\Gamma}}$ such, that $FF^* = e$, $F\mathcal{E}F^* \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is a group (with respect to multiplication) that is called spinor group and denoted by $\text{Spin}(g)$.

As a consequence of the proposition 4) of theorem 1 we get that for $n \leq 5$ group $\text{Spin}(g)$ can be defined in a more simple way

$$\text{Spin}(g) = \{F \in \ddot{\Gamma}(g) : FF^* = e\}.$$

For $F \in \text{Spin}(g)$ the mapping $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow F\mathcal{E}F^*$ conserves the metric g of the vector space \mathcal{E} . That means if $U = u_i e^i \in \mathcal{E}$, then $V = FUF^* = v_i e^i \in \mathcal{E}$ and $U^2 = V^2 = (g^{ij}u_i u_j)e = (g^{ij}v_i v_j)e$. This is a most important property of spinor groups.

Let us consider in more details a case when the matrix g is diagonal with p pieces of $+1$ and q pieces of -1 on the diagonal and $p + q = n$. A corresponding spinor group is denoted by $\text{Spin}(p, q)$ and by $\text{Spin}(n)$ when $q = 0$. As for $F \in \text{Spin}(p, q)$ the mapping $\overset{1}{U} \rightarrow F \overset{1}{U} F^*$ of \mathcal{E} into itself conserves the metric, then we get the homomorphism

$$f : \text{Spin}(p, q) \rightarrow O(p, q).$$

The kernel of f consists of -1 and 1 . The range of f depends on the numbers p, q : if $q = 0$, then $O(p, q) = O(n)$ and $f(\text{Spin}(n)) = SO(n)$. That means

$$\text{Spin}(n)/\{\pm 1\} = SO(n).$$

If $p > 0, q > 0$ then $f(\text{Spin}(p, q)) = SO^+(p, q)$, that means

$$\text{Spin}(p, q)/\{\pm 1\} = SO^+(p, q),$$

where $SO^+(p, q)$ is a connected component of unity element of the group $SO(p, q)$.

We shall use the following theorem.

Theorem 4 [13]. *The spinor groups*

$$\text{Spin}(2), \text{Spin}(3), \text{Spin}(4), \text{Spin}(5), \text{Spin}(6)$$

are isomorphic to the unitary groups

$$U(1), \text{Sp}(1) \sim \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \text{Sp}(2), \text{SU}(4)$$

respectively •

2.3. MINKOWSKI METRIC.

From this subsection on we shall consider the case $n = 4$ and g -Minkowski metric

$$g = (g^{\mu\nu})_{\mu,\nu=0,1,2,3} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1).$$

We shall use Greek indices μ, ν, \dots with values $0, 1, 2, 3$. \mathcal{E} is a four dimensional vector space with the basis vectors e^μ ; $\Gamma = \Gamma(1, 3)$ is a 16-dimensional Clifford algebra; $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(1, 3)$ is corresponding complex Clifford algebra. An arbitrary γ -number $U \in \Gamma$, ($U \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$) can be written as a linear combination of the basis vectors of Γ with the real (complex) coefficients

$$U = ue + u_\mu e^\mu + \sum_{\mu < \nu} u_{\mu\nu} e^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{\mu < \nu < \lambda} u_{\mu\nu\lambda} e^{\mu\nu\lambda} + u_5 e^5, \quad (2.5)$$

where $e^5 = e^{0123}$, $(e^5)^2 = -e$, $u_5 = u_{0123}$. The pseudoscalar e^5 commute with even γ -numbers and anticommute with odd γ -numbers. Algebra Γ , as a vector space, can be decomposed into a direct sum of its subspaces

$$\Gamma = \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{1}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{2}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{3}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma} = \ddot{\Gamma} \oplus \dot{\Gamma}, \quad \text{where } \ddot{\Gamma} = \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{2}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma}, \quad \dot{\Gamma} = \overset{1}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{3}{\Gamma}.$$

The dimensions of the spaces $\overset{0}{\Gamma}, \overset{1}{\Gamma}, \overset{2}{\Gamma}, \overset{3}{\Gamma}, \overset{4}{\Gamma}$ are equal to 1, 4, 6, 4, 1 respectively, the dimensions of the spaces $\ddot{\Gamma}$ and $\dot{\Gamma}$ are equal to 8.

For $U = \sum_{k=0}^4 \overset{k}{U} \in \Gamma$ conjugated γ -number has the form $U^* = (\overset{0}{U} + \overset{1}{U} + \overset{4}{U}) - (\overset{2}{U} + \overset{3}{U})$. Denoting $\overset{014}{\Gamma} = \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{1}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma}$, $\overset{23}{\Gamma} = \overset{2}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{3}{\Gamma}$, we get that $U = U^*$ for $U \in \overset{014}{\Gamma}$ and $U = -U^*$ for $U \in \overset{23}{\Gamma}$.

Let us consider the mapping of γ -numbers $U \rightarrow F^*UF$ that depend on some fixed γ -number F .

Theorem 2. *The following propositions are true for $n = 4$ and Minkowski metric:*

- 1) If $F \in \Gamma$, then $F \overset{014}{\Gamma} F^* \subseteq \overset{014}{\Gamma}$, $F \overset{23}{\Gamma} F^* \subseteq \overset{23}{\Gamma}$.
- 2) If $F \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, then $F \overset{014}{\Gamma} F^* \subseteq \overset{014}{\Gamma} \oplus i \overset{23}{\Gamma}$, $F \overset{23}{\Gamma} F^* \subseteq \overset{23}{\Gamma} \oplus i \overset{014}{\Gamma}$.
- 3) If $F \in \ddot{\Gamma}$, or $F \in \dot{\Gamma}$, then

$$F(\overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma})F^* \subseteq \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma}, \quad F \overset{k}{\Gamma} F^* \subseteq \overset{k}{\Gamma}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

4) If $F \in \ddot{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(\overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma})F^* &\subseteq \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma} \oplus i \overset{2}{\Gamma}, & F \overset{1}{\Gamma} F^* &\subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma} \oplus i \overset{3}{\Gamma}, \\ F \overset{2}{\Gamma} F^* &\subseteq \overset{2}{\Gamma} \oplus i \overset{0}{\Gamma} \oplus \overset{4}{\Gamma}, & F \overset{3}{\Gamma} F^* &\subseteq \overset{3}{\Gamma} \oplus i \overset{1}{\Gamma} \bullet \end{aligned}$$

Definition. The mapping $U \rightarrow U^\dagger = e^0 U^* e^0$ is called a hermitian conjugation of γ -numbers.

This definition does not depend on matrix representations of e^μ . But nevertheless, the mapping $U \rightarrow U^\dagger$ in the matrix representation (1.4) corresponds to the usual hermitian conjugation of matrices. This is an advantage of the matrix representation (1.4). The matrix representations $e^\mu \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}^\mu = T^{-1} \gamma^\mu T$ taken from (1.4) with the aid of unitary matrix T have the same advantage.

2.4. TRACE, DETERMINANT AND EXPONENT OF γ -NUMBERS.

From the matrix representation (1.4) we can immediately define trace, determinant and exponent of γ -numbers. Important in Clifford algebra is that the same operators can be defined independently of any matrix representations of the γ -numbers. This matrix independent definition of the ‘‘determinant’’ of γ -numbers can be found, for example, in [29].

Definition. The trace of a γ -number $A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a complex number $\text{tr} A = 2 \overset{0}{\pi} (A + A^*)$.

The function $\text{tr} : \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is linear $\text{tr}(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha \text{tr} A + \beta \text{tr} B$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\text{tr}(e) = 4$.

Definition. The determinant of a γ -number $A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a complex number

$$\det A = \det M(A), \quad (2.6)$$

where $M(A)$ is a matrix representation (1.4) of γ -number A and the right hand part of (2.6) is the usual determinant of the matrix.

It is easily seen that $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$, $A, B \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\det(\alpha A) = \alpha^4 \det A$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, $\det(e) = 1$, and that with the aid of the determinant one can introduce the notion of eigen-numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in \mathcal{C}$ of a γ -number $A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ as the solutions of equation $\det(A - \lambda e) = 0$, etc.

Definition. The exponent of a γ -number $A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a γ -number $\exp A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that

$$\exp A = e + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} A^j \quad (2.7)$$

It is easy to check, that for an arbitrary γ -number the series (2.7) is convergent.

Theorem 3. Let $A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$. The function $\exp : \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ has the following properties:

- 1) $(\exp A)^* = \exp(A^*)$.
- 2) $(\exp A)^\dagger = \exp(A^\dagger)$.
- 3) If $V \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ is invertible γ -number, then $\exp(V^{-1}AV) = V^{-1}(\exp A)V$.
- 4) $\det(\exp A) = \exp(\text{tr } A)$.
- 5) A γ -number $\exp A$ is invertible and $(\exp A)^{-1} = \exp(-A)$.
- 6) The mapping $\exp : \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ is one to one continuous mapping of a small neighborhood of zero γ -number on the small neighborhood of identity γ -number e .
- 7) If γ -numbers A, B commute, then $(\exp A)(\exp B) = \exp(A + B)$.
- 8) If $A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $A^2 = -e$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\exp(\phi A) = e \cos \phi + A \sin \phi$.

Proof. s can be found in textbooks devoted to Lie groups (see for example, Chevalley [12] or Cornwell [13]) •

2.5. ANTIHERMITIAN BASIS AND LIE ALGEBRAS.

For the complex Clifford algebra $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ (see discussion in [28]) we shall use the basis

$$ie^0, e^1, e^2, e^3, ie^{01}, ie^{02}, ie^{03}, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}, ie^{123}, e^5, ie \quad (2.8)$$

such that $t^\dagger = -t$, $t^2 = -e$ and $\text{tr}(t^2) = -4$ and where t is an arbitrary basis vector. As $t^\dagger = -t$, the basis (2.8) is called antihermitian basis of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ (the matrices (2.8) in representation (1.4) are antihermitian). We shall consider several Lie algebras and Lie groups that are connected with the antihermitian basis.

Let t_1, \dots, t_n ($n \leq 16$) be vectors from (2.8) which are also generators of some real Lie algebra \mathbf{L} ,

$$[t_k, t_l] = c_{kl}^m t_m, \quad k, l = 1, \dots, n.$$

where c_{kl}^m are real valued structural constants of Lie algebra \mathbf{L} . Sometimes we shall emphasize the dependence on the generators writing

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \{\tau_k t_k : \tau_k \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

Let us consider real Lie algebras of dimensions 15, 10, 6, 3 with generators from (2.8). In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{15} &= \mathcal{L}^{15}(ie^0, e^1, e^2, e^3, ie^{01}, ie^{02}, ie^{03}, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}, ie^{123}, e^5), \\ \mathcal{L}_1^{10} &= \mathcal{L}_1^{10}(e^1, e^2, e^3, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}, e^5), \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{10} &= \mathcal{L}_2^{10}(ie^0, e^1, e^2, e^3, ie^{01}, ie^{02}, ie^{03}, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}), \\ \mathcal{L}_3^{10} &= \mathcal{L}_3^{10}(ie^{01}, ie^{02}, ie^{03}, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}, ie^{123}), \\ \mathcal{L}_1^6 &= \mathcal{L}_1^6(e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}), \\ \mathcal{L}_2^6 &= \mathcal{L}_2^6(ie^0, e^1, e^2, ie^{01}, ie^{02}, e^{12}), \\ \mathcal{L}_3^6 &= \mathcal{L}_3^6(ie^{01}, ie^{02}, ie^{03}, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}), \\ \mathcal{L}_1^{6'} &= \mathcal{L}_1^{6'}(e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, ie^0, ie^{123}, e^5), \\ \mathcal{L}_1^3 &= \mathcal{L}_1^3(e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}), \\ \mathcal{L}_2^3 &= \mathcal{L}_2^3(ie^{01}, ie^{02}, e^{12}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The generators of \mathbf{L}^{15} are all vectors of (2.8) with the exception of ie . The generators of \mathbf{L}_1^{10} are all real (without i) vectors of (2.8). The generators of $\mathbf{L}_2^{10}, \mathbf{L}_3^{10}$ are the vectors from (2.8) of ranks 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The generators of $\mathbf{L}_1^6, \mathbf{L}_2^6, \mathbf{L}_3^6$ are the vectors from (2.8) that commute with the γ -numbers ie^0, e^{012}, e^5 respectively. There are 15 Lie algebras of the dimension 6 with the generators from (2.8) that commute with one of the basis vectors of (2.8) (with the exception of ie). Let us denote the remaining Lie algebras by $\mathbf{L}_4^6, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{15}^6$. The generators of $\mathbf{L}_1^3, \mathbf{L}_2^3$ are even vectors that is also the generators of $\mathbf{L}_1^6, \mathbf{L}_2^6$ respectively. To receive a Lie algebras of the dimension 3 one must take two arbitrary noncommuting vectors from (2.8) and their product as a third generator. This method gives 20 Lie algebras including $\mathbf{L}_1^3, \mathbf{L}_2^3$. Let us denote the remaining Lie algebras by $\mathbf{L}_3^3, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{20}^3$. The twenty Lie algebras $\mathbf{L}_1^3, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{20}^3$ can be divided into ten pairs $\mathbf{L}_j^{6'} = \mathbf{L}_{k_j}^3 \oplus \mathbf{L}_{l_j}^3$,

$j = 1, \dots, 10$ such that if $u \in \mathbb{L}_{k_j}^3, v \in \mathbb{L}_{l_j}^3$, then $[u, v] = 0$. In particular $\mathbb{L}_1^{6'} = \mathbb{L}^3(e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}) \oplus \mathbb{L}^3(ie^0, ie^{123}, e^5)$. So we get 49 real semisimple Lie algebras

$$\mathbb{L}^{15}, \mathbb{L}_1^{10}, \mathbb{L}_2^{10}, \mathbb{L}_3^{10}, \mathbb{L}_1^6, \dots, \mathbb{L}_{15}^6, \mathbb{L}_1^{6'}, \dots, \mathbb{L}_{10}^{6'}, \mathbb{L}_1^3, \dots, \mathbb{L}_{20}^3 \quad (2.10)$$

Proposition. All Lie algebras from (2.10) of the same dimension (10, or 6, or 3) are isomorphic.

Proof. We have to consider generators and structure constants of appropriate Lie algebras. For example, let us prove isomorphisms $\mathbb{L}_1^3 \sim \mathbb{L}_2^3$ and $\mathbb{L}_1^{6'} \sim \mathbb{L}_3^6$. Denoting $t_1 = e^{12}, t_2 = e^{13}, t_3 = e^{23}$, we get relations

$$[t_3, t_2] = 2t_3, \quad [t_3, t_1] = 2t_2, \quad [t_2, t_3] = 2t_1 \quad (2.11)$$

which can be written in a form $[t_k, t_l] = 2\epsilon_{klm}t_m$. Now, if one denote $t'_1 = -ie^{01}, t'_2 = -ie^{02}, t'_3 = e^{12}$, then γ -numbers t'_1, t'_2, t'_3 satisfy the same relations (2.11) as t_1, t_2, t_3 . This gives us isomorphism $\mathbb{L}_1^3 \sim \mathbb{L}_2^3$. By the way, it follows from (2.11), that these Lie algebras are isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ which consists of traceless antihermitian matrices of the second order.

In order to prove isomorphism $\mathbb{L}_1^{6'} \sim \mathbb{L}_3^6$, we can denote

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= (ie^{01} + e^{23})/2, & \hat{t}_1 &= (-ie^{01} + e^{23})/2, \\ t_2 &= (ie^{02} - e^{13})/2, & \hat{t}_2 &= (-ie^{02} - e^{13})/2, \\ t_3 &= (ie^{03} + e^{12})/2, & \hat{t}_3 &= (-ie^{03} + e^{12})/2. \end{aligned}$$

and get

$$[t_k, t_l] = 2\epsilon_{klm}t_m, \quad [\hat{t}_k, \hat{t}_l] = 2\epsilon_{klm}\hat{t}_m, \quad [t_k, \hat{t}_l] = 0 \quad k, l = 1, 2, 3.$$

That means, generators t_1, t_2, t_3 and $\hat{t}_1, \hat{t}_2, \hat{t}_3$ of the Lie algebra \mathbb{L}_3^6 play the same role as generators e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23} and ie^0, ie^{123}, e^5 of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{L}_1^{6'}$, and hence, $\mathbb{L}_3^6 \sim \mathbb{L}_1^{6'}$ (this also gives us isomorphism $\text{Spin}(4) \sim \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$).

Let $\mathbb{L}^{16} = \mathbb{L}^{16}(t_1, \dots, t_{16})$ be a real Lie algebra with 16 generators from (2.8). Lie algebra $\mathbb{L}^{16} = \mathbb{L}^1(ie) \oplus \mathbb{L}^{15}(ie^0, \dots, e^5)$ is isomorphic to

the Lie algebra $u(4) = u(1) \oplus su(4)$ of all antihermitian matrices of the dimension four. The following Lie algebras are the subalgebras of $u(4)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& su(4), \quad sp(2), \quad su(3), \quad su(2), \\
& su(4) \oplus u(1), \quad sp(2) \oplus u(1), \quad su(3) \oplus u(1), \quad su(2) \oplus u(1), \\
& su(2) \oplus su(2), \quad su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus u(1), \quad su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus u(1) \oplus u(1), \\
& su(2) \oplus u(1) \oplus u(1), \quad su(2) \oplus u(1) \oplus u(1) \oplus u(1), \\
& u(1), \quad u(1) \oplus u(1), \quad u(1) \oplus u(1) \oplus u(1), \quad u(1) \oplus u(1) \oplus u(1) \oplus u(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The Lie algebras (2.12) are compact (their Killing forms are negatively defined).

So, all of Lie algebras (2.10) are Lie subalgebras of $L^{16} \sim u(4) \sim u(1) \oplus su(4)$ and there is a theorem.

Theorem. *The Lie algebras (2.10) are isomorphic to the following classical matrix Lie algebras:*

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{15} & \sim su(4), \\
L_1^{10}, L_2^{10}, L_3^{10} & \sim sp(2), \\
L_1^6, \dots, L_{15}^6, L_1^{6'}, \dots, L_{10}^{6'} & \sim su(2) \oplus su(2), \\
L_1^3, \dots, L_{20}^3 & \sim su(2) \bullet
\end{aligned}$$

2.6. THE CONNECTION BETWEEN COMPLEX CLIFFORD ALGEBRA AND UNITARY LIE GROUPS.

If $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $1 \leq n \leq 16$ is a real Lie algebra with its generators from (2.8), then with the aid of exponential operator we may introduce a Lie group

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \{\exp(\tau_k t_k) : \tau_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

In this case Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is a real Lie algebra of the linear Lie group \mathcal{G} . Using the properties of the exponent: $(\exp A)^\dagger = \exp(A^\dagger)$, $(\exp A)^{-1} = \exp(-A)$ and the property $t_k^\dagger = -t_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ we get $U^\dagger U = U U^\dagger = e$. That means the Lie group \mathcal{G} is unitary.

Let us write down some compact subgroups of the Lie group $U(4)$. In the first place, Lie groups $U(4)$, $U(3)$, $U(2)$, $U(1)$ are compact. Secondly,

the following Lie groups:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{SU}(4), \text{Sp}(2), \text{SU}(3), \text{SU}(2), \\
 & \text{SU}(4) \times \text{U}(1), \text{Sp}(2) \times \text{U}(1), \text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1), \\
 & \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1), \\
 & \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1), \\
 & \text{U}(1), \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1), \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1), \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12a}$$

which can be derived from corresponding Lie algebras (2.12) with the aid of exponential function, are compact. In a well known paper of Dynkin and Oniscik [16] there is a method of description of all compact subgroups of the Lie group $\text{U}(4)$.

By

$$\mathcal{G}^{15}, \mathcal{G}_1^{10}, \mathcal{G}_2^{10}, \mathcal{G}_3^{10}, \mathcal{G}_1^6, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{15}^6, \mathcal{G}_1^{6'}, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{10}^{6'}, \mathcal{G}_1^3, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{20}^3 \tag{2.13}$$

we denote linear semisimple Lie groups with the same generators as corresponding real Lie algebras (2.10). In what follows we establish isomorphisms between introduced Lie groups and classical matrix Lie groups.

First of all let us remind some known results on a Lie group theory. Here $\text{Sp}(n)$ is the unitary symplectic groups.

Theorem 4. [13] *The groups*

$$\text{Spin}(2), \text{Spin}(3), \text{Spin}(4), \text{Spin}(5), \text{Spin}(6)$$

are isomorphic to the groups

$$\text{U}(1), \text{Sp}(1) \sim \text{SU}(2), \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \text{Sp}(2), \text{SU}(4)$$

and double cover groups

$$\text{SO}(2), \text{SO}(3), \text{SO}(4), \text{SO}(5), \text{SO}(6)$$

respectively •

Theorem 5. *The Lie groups (2.13) are isomorphic to the following classical Lie groups:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{G}^{15} \sim \text{SU}(4) \sim \text{Spin}(6), \\
 & \mathcal{G}_1^{10}, \mathcal{G}_2^{10}, \mathcal{G}_3^{10} \sim \text{Sp}(2) \sim \text{Spin}(5), \\
 & \mathcal{G}_1^6, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{15}^6, \mathcal{G}_1^{6'}, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{10}^{6'} \sim \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2) \sim \text{Spin}(4), \\
 & \mathcal{G}_1^3, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{20}^3 \sim \text{SU}(2) \sim \text{Spin}(3) \bullet
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. It was proved in the proposition in the previous subsection, that $\mathcal{L}_1^3 \sim \mathfrak{su}(2)$, and hence $\mathcal{G}_1^3, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{20}^3 \sim \text{SU}(2) \sim \text{Spin}(3)$. So, if we prove isomorphisms $\mathcal{G}^{15} \sim \text{SU}(4)$, $\mathcal{G}_1^{10} \sim \text{Spin}(5)$, $\mathcal{G}_3^6 \sim \text{Spin}(4)$, then all the rest isomorphisms (2.14) will be follow from the theorem 4.

Let us begin with the isomorphism $\mathcal{G}^{15} \sim \text{SU}(4)$. We can use Dirac's representation of vectors of the antihermitian basis in the form of antihermitian 4×4 -matrices and get, that the real Lie algebra \mathcal{L}^{15} is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ of all traceless antihermitian 4×4 -matrices. This leads us to the conclusion, that a linear Lie group \mathcal{G}^{15} is isomorphic to the Lie group $\text{SU}(4)$ of special unitary matrices of the fourth order. The fact that determinants of matrices is equal to $+1$ is a consequence of the property $\det(\exp A) = \exp(\text{tr } A)$. So, isomorphism $\mathcal{G}^{15} \sim \text{SU}(4)$ is proved.

Let us prove the isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_1^{10} \sim \text{Spin}(5)$. We may denote generators of the group \mathcal{G}_1^{10} as

$$\{t_1, \dots, t_{10}\} = \{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}, e^{0123}\} \in \Gamma(1, 3).$$

Let us consider a Clifford algebra $\Gamma(5)$ with generators f^1, \dots, f^5 which satisfy the relations $f^k f^l + f^l f^k = 2\delta^{kl} f$, $k, l = 1, \dots, 5$, where f is an identity vector. We may define γ -numbers $\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{10}$ by the formula

$$\{\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{10}\} = \{-f^{14}, -f^{24}, -f^{34}, -f^{12}, -f^{13}, -f^{23}, f^{35}, -f^{25}, f^{15}, -f^{45}\} \in \Gamma^2(5)$$

where $f^{kl} = f^k f^l$ for $k < l$. It is easy to check, that (in all formulas the summation convention is used)

$$[\hat{t}_k, \hat{t}_l] = c_{kl}^m \hat{t}_m, \quad k, l = 1, \dots, 10, \quad c_{kl}^m \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.15)$$

and hence, \hat{t}_k are generators of a real Lie algebra

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{10} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{10}(\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{10}) = \{\tau_k \hat{t}_k : \tau_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and of a linear Lie group

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{10} = \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{10}(\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{10}) = \{\exp(\tau_k \hat{t}_k) : \tau_k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Since $\hat{t}_k \in \Gamma^2(5)$, then $\hat{t}_k^* = -\hat{t}_k$. If $\hat{U} = \exp(\tau_k \hat{t}_k) \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{10}$, then, using the properties of exponent $(\exp A)^* = \exp(A^*)$, $(\exp A)^{-1} = \exp(-A)$,

we get that $\hat{U}\hat{U}^* = e$. By the theorem 1 of section 2 we have $\hat{U} \overset{1}{\Gamma}(5)\hat{U}^* \subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma}(5)$. Therefore, the linear Lie group $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{10}$ is isomorphic to the group $\text{Spin}(5)$.

At last we may check (it can be done by a direct calculation), that the generators t_1, \dots, t_{10} of the group \mathcal{G}_1^{10} have the same structure constants $[t_k, t_l] = c_{kl}^m t_m$, $k, l = 1, \dots, 10$ as the generators \hat{t}_k in (2.15). This leads us to isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_1^{10} \sim \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{10} \sim \text{Spin}(5)$.

Finally, let us prove the isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_3^6 \sim \text{Spin}(4)$. Generators of the Lie group \mathcal{G}_3^6 are γ -numbers

$$\{t_1, \dots, t_6\} = \{ie^{01}, ie^{02}, ie^{03}, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}\}.$$

Let us denote $e^4 = ie^0$. In that case $e^k e^l + e^l e^k = 2\delta^{kl} e$, $k, l = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and

$$\{t_1, \dots, t_6\} = \{e^{kl}\}_{k < l \leq 4} \tag{2.16}$$

Hence, a Lie algebra \mathcal{L}_3^6 is isomorphic to $\overset{2}{\Gamma}(4)$ – a set of γ -numbers of the second rank of the real Clifford algebra $\Gamma(4)$. Let us show, that a Lie group \mathcal{G}_3^6 is isomorphic to the Lie group $\text{Spin}(4)$. From the relation (2.16) we have $t_k^* = -t_k$ and so, for all $U \in \mathcal{G}_3^6$ there is an equality $UU^* = e$.

By the theorem 1 of section 2 we get $U \overset{1}{\Gamma}(4)U^* \subseteq \overset{1}{\Gamma}(4)$. Therefore, by the definition of spinor groups, our Lie group \mathcal{G}_3^6 is isomorphic to the group $\text{Spin}(4)$. The theorem is proved •

Corollary 1. *The real Lie algebras of the Lie groups $\text{Spin}(n)$, $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ are isomorphic to the Lie algebras $\overset{2}{\Gamma}(n)$ with respect to commutator $[A, B] = AB - BA$ •*

I don't know whether this proposition is true for Lie groups $\text{Spin}(n)$, $n > 5$.

Corollary 2. *Among 49 Lie groups (2.13) the Lie groups of the dimensions 15, 10, 3 are simple and the Lie groups of the dimension 6 are semisimple.*

Let us formulate several additional theorems, which will be useful later on.

Theorem [13]. *If \mathcal{G} is a linear Lie group and \mathcal{L} is its real Lie algebra, then for arbitrary $U \in \mathcal{G}$, $v \in \mathcal{L}$ (U, v may smoothly depend on $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$), the aggregates $U^{-1}vU$, $U^{-1}\partial_\mu U$ belong to \mathcal{L} •*

Let \mathcal{G} be a linear Lie group and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ its real Lie algebra. For every $U \in \mathcal{G}$ let $\text{Ad}(U)$ is a real $n \times n$ -matrix that is defined by the relations

$$Ut_kU^{-1} = \{\text{Ad}(U)\}_{jk}t_j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.17)$$

Theorem 7 [13]. *The set of real matrices $\text{Ad}(U)$ is n -dimensional representation of the linear Lie group \mathcal{G} that is called adjoint representation •*

From (2.17) it is evident that the matrices of adjoint representation are depend on the generators t_1, \dots, t_n . For the unitary Lie group \mathcal{G} every matrix $\text{Ad}(U)$ is similar to the orthogonal matrix $\text{Ad}(U) = T^{-1}OT$, $O^T = O^{-1}$, $T \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition. *The generators t_1, \dots, t_n of a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} of the unitary Lie group \mathcal{G} are said to satisfy ADRIO condition (ADjoint Representation Is Orthogonal), if for every $U \in \mathcal{G}$ the matrix $\text{Ad}(U)$ is orthogonal.*

Theorem 8. *If the generators t_1, \dots, t_n of a real Lie algebra \mathcal{L} of the unitary Lie group \mathcal{G} satisfy ADRIO condition, then for every $U \in \mathcal{G}$*

$$\begin{aligned} Ut_kU^\dagger &= \{\text{Ad}(U)\}_{lk}t_l, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \\ U^\dagger t_l U &= \{\text{Ad}(U)\}_{lk}t_k, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. The first equality in (2.18) is a definition of an adjoint representation. From it, replacing $U \leftrightarrow U^\dagger$, $k \leftrightarrow l$, we get

$$U^\dagger t_l U = \{\text{Ad}(U^\dagger)\}_{kl}t_k, \quad l = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.19)$$

For the adjoint representation we have $\text{Ad}(U^\dagger) = \text{Ad}(U^{-1}) = (\text{Ad}U)^{-1}$. ADRIO condition gives $(\text{Ad}U)^{-1} = (\text{Ad}U)^T$ and hence $\{\text{Ad}(U^\dagger)\}_{kl} = \{\text{Ad}U\}_{lk}$. By substituting this to (2.19) we get the second equality in (2.18) •

Let \mathcal{G}^{15} be a linear Lie group from (2.13) with the generators from (2.8).

Theorem 9. *The generators (2.8) of the Lie group $\mathcal{G}^{15} \sim \text{SU}(4)$ satisfy ADRIO condition •*

As a consequence of this theorem we get that the generators of 49 Lie groups (2.13) satisfy ADRIO condition.

Theorem. Let \mathcal{G} be a linear Lie group, \mathcal{L} – its real Lie algebra of the dimension n , t_1, \dots, t_n and τ_1, \dots, τ_n — two sets of generators of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} which connected by relations $t_k = h_{lk}\tau_l$, where h_{lk} – elements of an orthogonal $n \times n$ -matrix H . For every $U \in \mathcal{G}$ let $\text{Ad}_t(U) = (a_{lk})$ and $\text{Ad}_\tau(U) = (\alpha_{lk})$ be $n \times n$ -matrices of an adjoint representation of the group \mathcal{G} corresponding to generators t_k and τ_k

$$Ut_kU^{-1} = a_{jk}t_j, \quad U\tau_kU^{-1} = \alpha_{jk}\tau_j, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.19a)$$

Then, matrices $\text{Ad}_t(U)$ and $\text{Ad}_\tau(U)$ are connected by the relation

$$\text{Ad}_\tau(U) = H(\text{Ad}_t(U))H^T. \quad (2.19b)$$

Proof. Substituting $t_k = h_{lk}\tau_l$, $t_j = h_{mj}\tau_m$ (summation convention is used) into the first equality in (2.19a), we get

$$Uh_{lk}\tau_lU^{-1} = a_{jk}h_{mj}\tau_m.$$

Let us multiply on h_{ik} both parts of this equality and sum with respect to index k

$$Uh_{ik}h_{lk}\tau_lU^{-1} = a_{jk}h_{mj}h_{ik}\tau_m.$$

Using the orthogonality property of the matrix H — $h_{ik}h_{lk} = \delta_{il}$, we come to the relation $U\tau_iU^{-1} = a_{jk}h_{mj}h_{ik}\tau_m$. Comparing it with the second equality in (2.19a), we get $\alpha_{mi} = a_{jk}h_{mj}h_{ik}$ which equivalent to (2.19b) •

A following theorem is a consequence of the proved theorem.

Theorem 10. Let \mathcal{G} be a unitary Lie group and \mathcal{L} its real Lie algebra of the dimension n with generators t_1, \dots, t_n which satisfy ADRIO condition. And let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be another set of generators of \mathcal{L} such, that $t_k = h_{lk}\tau_l$ where h_{lk} – elements of an orthogonal matrix H . Then generators τ_1, \dots, τ_n also satisfy ADRIO condition •

2.7. GELL-MANN'S GENERATORS OF THE LIE GROUP SU(3).

Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie group U(4) or U(1) \times SU(4), and $\mathcal{L} = u(1) \oplus su(4)$ be a real Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} . Vectors of antihermitian basis (2.8) are generators of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} that satisfy ADRIO condition. Let us introduce a new generators

$$\begin{aligned}
t_1 &= (e^{023} + e^{23})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_2 &= (-e^{013} + e^{13})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_3 &= (e^{012} + e^{12})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_4 &= (e^{0123} - e^{03i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_5 &= (-e^3 + e^{123i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_6 &= (-e^2 + e^{01i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_7 &= (-e^1 - e^{02i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_8 &= (e^{012} - e^{12} - 2e^0i)/\sqrt{6} \\
t_9 &= (e^2 - e^{01i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_{10} &= (-e^1 + e^{02i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_{11} &= (e^{0123} + e^{03i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_{12} &= (e^3 - e^{123i})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_{13} &= (-e^{023} + e^{23})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_{14} &= (e^{013} - e^{13})/\sqrt{2} \\
t_{15} &= (-e^{012} + e^{12} - e^0i)/\sqrt{3} \\
t_{16} &= ie
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

which are expressed from generators (2.8) with the aid of orthogonal 16×16 -matrix and $\text{tr}(t_k^2) = -4$ as for generators (2.8). Vectors (2.20) can be considered as a new basis of complex Clifford's algebra Γ_C . By the theorem 10, generators (2.20) satisfy ADRIO condition. In representation (1.4) matrices t_1, \dots, t_8 from (2.20) have a following structure: 3×3 -matrices in the left upper corner of t_1, \dots, t_8 are equal to Gell-Mann's matrices $i\sqrt{2}\lambda_1, \dots, i\sqrt{2}\lambda_8$ which are conventional generators of the Lie group SU(3). The fourth columns and the fourth lines in the matrices t_1, \dots, t_8 are composed from zeros. Hence, the generators t_1, \dots, t_8 from (2.20) of a Lie group $\mathcal{G}^8(t_1, \dots, t_8) \sim \text{SU}(3)$ satisfy ADRIO condition.

2.8. SPINORIAL GENERATORS OF THE LIE GROUP $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$.

Let us consider an Abelian Lie group $\mathcal{G} = U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ isomorphic to the group of diagonal matrices from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ with absolute values of all diagonal elements equal to one. The Lie group \mathcal{G} is maximal Abelian subgroup of a Lie group $U(4)$. A real Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ of the Lie group \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to an algebra of diagonal matrices from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ with pure imaginary elements on the diagonal. As the generator of \mathcal{L} we can take vectors $ie, ie^0, e^{12}, e^{012}$ of the antihermitian basis (2.8), that are diagonal matrices in representation (1.4). Let us introduce new generators of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} :

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= (ie + ie^0 - e^{12} - e^{012})/2, \\ t_2 &= (ie + ie^0 + e^{12} + e^{012})/2, \\ t_3 &= (ie - ie^0 - e^{12} + e^{012})/2, \\ t_4 &= (ie - ie^0 + e^{12} - e^{012})/2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

which are expressed from $ie, ie^0, e^{12}, e^{012}$ with the aid of orthogonal 4×4 -matrix, and $\text{tr}(t_k^2) = -4$ as for (2.8) and (2.20). In the representation (1.4) generators t_k are diagonal 4×4 -matrices with only nonzero element $(t_k)_{kk} = 2i$. Generators (2.21) are called spinorial generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \subset \mathfrak{u}(4)$ (of the Lie group $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \subset U(4)$). By the theorem 10, spinorial generators (2.21) satisfy ADRIO condition. This concludes our presentation of the algebraic background.

3. The Dirac γ -Equation.

Let g be Minkowski metric, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(1, 3)$, the Clifford complex algebra $x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, x^μ - coordinates of a point in space-time, $\mathcal{D}^k(\mathbb{R}^4, \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}})$ be a space of k -times continuously differentiable functions that map \mathbb{R}^4 into $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ (all coefficients of the γ -number $U \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathbb{R}^4, \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}})$ are k times continuously differentiable functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$). We shall use the operator $\not{\partial} = e^\mu \partial_\mu$ such that $\not{\partial}^2 = e(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - \partial_3^2)$. If $U \in \mathcal{D}^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}})$, then the partial derivatives $\partial_\mu U$ are γ -numbers with the coefficients that are partial derivatives of the corresponding coefficients of U .

The main equation, that is called a Dirac γ -equation has the form

$$i\rlap{-}\partial\Psi - m(\Psi N + e^5\Psi K) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^1(\mathbb{R}^4, \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}})$, m — real number, the commuting $N, K \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ do not depend on x and satisfy the decomposition condition (1.11). If $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^2(\mathbb{R}^4, \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}})$ is a solution of (3.1), then, as it was proved in theorem 1 of sec. 1, γ -number Ψ is also a solution of the Klein-Gordon equation (1.1).

In this paper we do not study the generalized solutions of (3.1) (that belong to one or another space of generalized functions). In what follows a record like $\Psi = \Psi(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ means that γ -number Ψ has coefficients that are smooth functions of $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

If we multiply the equation (3.1) on $-i$ and denote $\hat{N} = iN$, $\hat{K} = iK$, then we come to an equation

$$\rlap{-}\partial\Psi + m(\Psi\hat{N} + e^5\Psi\hat{K}) = 0, \quad (3.1a)$$

where

$$\hat{N}^2 + \hat{K}^2 = -e, \quad [\hat{N}, \hat{K}] = 0. \quad (3.1b)$$

Such γ -numbers \hat{N}, \hat{K} can be from $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, or from Γ . The equation (3.1a) in which $\hat{N}, \hat{K} \in \Gamma$ satisfy (3.1b) and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathbf{X}, \Gamma)$, $\mathbf{k} \geq \mathbf{1}$ is called a real Dirac γ -equation.

3.1. DECOMPOSITION OF THE DIRAC γ -EQUATION INTO EVEN AND ODD PARTS.

Theorem 2. *If $\Psi \equiv \ddot{\Psi} + \dot{\Psi}$ is a solution of (3.1) in which $N, K \in \dot{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}$, then $\ddot{\Psi}, \dot{\Psi}$ are the solutions of the equations*

$$i\rlap{-}\partial\ddot{\Psi} - m\ddot{\Psi}N_0 = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$i\rlap{-}\partial\dot{\Psi} - m\dot{\Psi}N_1 = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

where $N_0 = N + e^5K$, $N_1 = N - e^5K$ and $N_0^2 = N_1^2 = e$. So, (3.1) is decomposed into two independent equations (3.2) and (3.3).

Proof is evident •

The real Dirac γ -equation (3.1a) where $\hat{N}, \hat{K} \in \dot{\Gamma}$ satisfy (3.1b) and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathbf{X}, \Gamma)$, $\mathbf{k} \geq 1$ is decomposed into two equations

$$\not\partial\ddot{\Psi} + m\ddot{\Psi}\hat{N}_0 = 0, \quad \not\partial\dot{\Psi} + m\dot{\Psi}\hat{N}_1 = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

where $\hat{N}_0 = \hat{N} + e^5\hat{K}$, $\hat{N}_1 = \hat{N} - e^5\hat{K}$ and $\hat{N}_0^2 = \hat{N}_1^2 = -e$. It is easy to calculate that a general form of γ -number \hat{N}_0 is the following:

$$\hat{N}_0 = q_\mu e^\mu (\alpha e + \beta e^5),$$

where real numbers $\alpha, \beta, q_0, \dots, q_3$ satisfy the relation

$$(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(q_0^2 - q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2) = -1.$$

In particular, if we take $\alpha = q_0 = q_1 = q_2 = 0$, $\beta = q_3 = 1$, then we come to an equation

$$\not\partial\ddot{\Psi} + m\ddot{\Psi}e^{012} = 0,$$

which is called Hestenes equation [6, 22]. This equation was investigated in many papers see references in [23]).

3.2. PLANE WAVE SOLUTIONS.

In quantum mechanics the plane wave solutions of the Dirac equation are used for the construction of wave packets that describe the fermion dynamics. The equation (3.1) has a more rich set of plane wave solutions (3.6) in comparison with the standard Dirac equation.

Let $\text{com}(N, K)$ be an algebra of γ -numbers from Γ_C that commute with N, K and

$$\mathcal{S} = \{S \in \text{com}(N, K) : S^2 = -e\}.$$

Theorem 1. *The equation (3.1) with the conditions (1.11) has solutions of the form*

$$\Psi = (\overset{1}{P} + im(N - e^5K)S)\exp(p \cdot xS)Y, \tag{3.5}$$

where $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $Y \in \text{com}(N, K)$ are γ -numbers independent of x , $\overset{1}{P} = p_\mu e^\mu$, $p \cdot x = p_\mu x^\mu$ and the real numbers p_μ satisfy the relations $p_\mu p^\mu = m^2$.

Proof. In the case $Y = e$ a direct calculation gives

$$e^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi = \frac{1}{P} \Psi S, \quad im(\Psi N + e^5 \Psi K) = -\frac{1}{P} \Psi S \bullet$$

Hence, the plane wave solutions (3.5) depend on some real numbers p_μ that satisfy the relation $p_\mu p^\mu = m^2$ and they also depend on γ -numbers S, Y . The dependence of Ψ on Y , as will be shown, is a consequence of a (global) gauge invariance of the equation (3.1). Let us consider in more detail a dependence of (3.5) on $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $S_k \in \mathcal{S}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ be such γ -numbers, that the sets

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{U^{-1} S_k U : U \in \text{com}(N, K), \det U \neq 0\}$$

cover the set \mathcal{S} , so $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{S}_n$. Then (3.5) gives the following set of solutions of (3.1):

$$\Psi_k(p_\mu, U, Y) = \left(\frac{1}{P} + im(N - e^5 K)U^{-1} S_k U\right) \exp(p \cdot x U^{-1} S_k U) Y, \quad (3.6)$$

where $U, Y \in \text{com}(N, K)$, $\det U \neq 0$. If S_k belong to the center of the algebra $\text{com}(N, K)$, then the dependence on U disappears from the formula (3.6). In particular, the γ -numbers $e, N, K, N^a K^b$ (a, b – integer positive numbers) and their linear combinations belong to the center of $\text{com}(N, K)$.

As examples, let us consider plane wave solutions of three equations. We begin with the equation $i\not{\partial}\Psi - m\Psi = 0$, where $N = e, K = 0$. Evidently, $\text{com}(N, K) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mathcal{S} = \{S \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} : S^2 = -e\}$. The set \mathcal{S} can be considered as a set of matrices $\mathcal{S} = \{S \in \mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C}) : S^2 = -\mathbf{1}\}$. Each matrix $S \in \mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ with the aid of the similarity transformation $S \rightarrow S' = U^{-1} S U$, where $U \in \mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$, $\det U \neq 0$ can be transformed to a normal Jordan form S' . The condition $S^2 = -\mathbf{1}$ gives $(S')^2 = -\mathbf{1}$. So, there are no Jordan cells in S' and

$$S' = i \text{diag}(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1). \quad (3.6a)$$

Evidently, there are five matrices of the form (3.6a) that are not similar to each other

$$S_{1,2} = \pm i \mathbf{1}, \quad S_3 = i \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1), \quad S_{4,5} = \pm i \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1).$$

Hence, (3.6) gives five sets of plane waves, two of which correspond to S_1, S_2 and do not depend on U , because S_1, S_2 belong to the center of

Γ_C . We can write S_1, \dots, S_5 as a linear combinations of basis vectors (2.8)

$$S_{1,2} = \pm ie, \quad S_3 = ie^0, \quad S_{4,5} = \pm i(-e + e^0 + ie^{12} + ie^{012})/2.$$

Now, let us consider plane wave solutions of the equation

$$\not\partial\Psi + m\Psi e^0 i = 0,$$

in which $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathbf{X}, \ddot{\Gamma}_C)$. Substituting $S = -i$, $K = 0$, $N = e^0$, $Y = ae^0$ into (3.5), we get

$$\Psi = a(\overset{1}{P} + me^0)e^0 \exp(-p \cdot xi). \tag{3.6b}$$

If we take $a = 1/\sqrt{2m(E + m)}$, where $E = p^0 = \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + m^2}$, then the solution (3.6b) satisfy the relation $\Psi\Psi^* = e$. In matrix representation (1.4) Ψ has a form:

$$\Psi = \sqrt{\frac{E + m}{2m}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{-p_3}{E+m} & \frac{-p_1+ip_2}{E+m} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{-p_1-ip_2}{E+m} & \frac{p_3}{E+m} \\ \frac{-p_3}{E+m} & \frac{-p_1+ip_2}{E+m} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{-p_1-ip_2}{E+m} & \frac{p_3}{E+m} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \exp(-p \cdot xi).$$

Compare this formula with the formula (3.7) from a textbook [24].

Finally, let us consider the plane wave solutions of the equation

$$\not\partial\Psi + m\Psi e^0 I = 0, \tag{3.6c}$$

where $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^k(\mathbf{X}, \ddot{\Gamma})$, and γ -number $I = (q_1e^1 + q_2e^2 + q_3e^3)e^5$ depends on three real numbers q_1, q_2, q_3 , which satisfy the relation $q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 = 1$. Let us note, that $I^2 = -e$, $[I, e^0] = 0$. The equation (3.6c) has a solution

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(E + m)}}(\overset{1}{P} + me^0)e^0 \exp(-p \cdot xI),$$

which can be get from (3.6b) replacing i by I . If $I = e^{12}$, the last formula gives us a solution of Hestenes equation.

3.3. GAUGE GROUPS OF THE DIRAC γ -EQUATION.

The Dirac γ -equation (3.1) is invariant with respect to the (global) transformation $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi' = \Psi U$, where $U \in \text{com}(N, K)$ and U is independent on x . It follows from the equality

$$i\phi\Psi' - m\Psi'N - me^5\Psi'K = (i\phi\Psi - m\Psi N - me^5\Psi K)U, \quad (3.6a)$$

which is violated when $U = U(x)$, because in the expression $\partial_\mu(\Psi U) = (\partial_\mu\Psi)U + \Psi\partial_\mu U$ there is a new term $\Psi\partial_\mu U$. If we want to get a local invariance of the equation (3.1) under the transformation $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi U$ with $U = U(x)$, then the term $\Psi\partial_\mu U$ must be compensated by something. The problem can be solved by gauging of the equation (3.1). It consists of replacing of all partial derivatives $\partial_\mu\Psi$ by so called covariant derivatives $D_\mu\Psi = \partial_\mu\Psi - \Psi a_\mu$, where $a_\mu = a_\mu(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ are values with the following transformation rule $a_\mu \rightarrow U^{-1}a_\mu U + U^{-1}\partial_\mu U$.

Let us introduce a unitary group

$$\mathcal{G}_{max}(N, K) = \{U \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} : [U, N] = [U, K] = 0, UU^\dagger = e\} = \text{com}(N, K) \cap \text{U}(4), \quad (3.7)$$

which is called a maximal gauge group of the equation (3.1). The group $\mathcal{G}_{max}(N, K)$ is a compact Lie group.

Definition. If a Lie group \mathcal{G} is a Lie subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_{max}(N, K)$, then the group \mathcal{G} is called a gauge group of the Dirac γ -equation (3.1). A real Lie algebra \mathcal{L} of the group \mathcal{G} is called a gauge Lie algebra of the equation (3.1).

Definition. If \mathcal{G} is a gauge group of (3.1) and \mathcal{L} its real Lie algebra, then an equation

$$ie^\mu(\partial_\mu\Psi - \Psi a_\mu) - m(\Psi N + e^5\Psi K) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

where $a_\mu = a_\mu(x) \in \mathcal{L}$, is called a Dirac γ -equation with a gauge field a_μ . The transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\rightarrow \Psi' = \Psi U, \\ a_\mu &\rightarrow a'_\mu = U^{-1}a_\mu U + U^{-1}\partial_\mu U \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

is called a gauge transformation of the equation (3.8).

It should be noted, that the value $a'_\mu = U^{-1}a_\mu U + U^{-1}\partial_\mu U$ belongs to the gauge Lie algebra of (3.8) (theorem 6, sec.2).

Theorem 3. *The Dirac γ -equation with a gauge field $a_\mu \in \mathcal{L}$ is invariant under the gauge transformation (3.9) (in other words, the equation (3.8) is invariant under the gauge group \mathcal{G}).*

Proof. It is a consequence of the equality

$$ie^\mu(\partial_\mu\Psi' - \Psi'a'_\mu) - m(\Psi'N + e^5\Psi'K) = (ie^\mu(\partial_\mu\Psi - \Psi a_\mu) - m(\Psi N + e^5\Psi K))U \bullet \quad (3.10)$$

Let us remind, that to each Lie group \mathcal{G} corresponds a unique real Lie algebra \mathcal{L} . But for fixed real Lie algebra \mathcal{L} there exists, generally speaking, a class of Lie groups $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, such that \mathcal{L} is a real Lie algebra for every Lie group from this class. Lie groups $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are locally isomorphic (homeomorphic), but not isomorphic. For example, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4)$ is a real Lie algebra of the Lie group $U(4)$ and also of the Lie group $U(1) \times SU(4)$, This two Lie groups are not isomorphic.

In many cases for us it will be suitable to deal with the subclass of gauge groups, that uniquely defined by their real Lie algebras.

Definition. *If a real Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \{\tau_k t_k : \tau_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with the antihermitian generators $t_k^\dagger = -t_k$, $t_k \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a gauge Lie algebra of the equation (3.1), then the unitary Lie group $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \{\exp(\tau_k t_k) : \tau_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is called an exponential gauge group of the equation (3.1).*

All the exponential groups of (3.1) are compact Lie groups. For the real Lie algebras (2.12) the correspondent exponential Lie groups were listed in (2.12a).

3.4. CONSERVATION LAWS.

Definition. *Let $j^\mu = j^\mu(\Psi)$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ be real functions that depend on a solution Ψ of the equation (3.1). If there is an equality $\partial_\mu j^\mu = \partial_0 j_0 - \partial_1 j_1 - \partial_2 j_2 - \partial_3 j_3 = 0$, then it is called a conservation law of the equation (3.1) (written in differential form). In that case the vector $j = (j^0, j^1, j^2, j^3)$ is called a current of the equation (3.1).*

Let us denote $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger e^0 = e^0 \Psi^*$.

Theorem 4. Let $\Psi = \Psi(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a solution of the equation (3.1) in which γ -numbers N, K are such, that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \alpha_1 e + \beta_1 P_1, & P_1 P_1^\dagger &= e, & P_1^\dagger &= P_1, & \alpha_1, \beta_1 &\in \mathcal{R} \\ K &= \alpha_2 e + \beta_2 P_2, & P_2 P_2^\dagger &= e, & P_2^\dagger &= P_2, & \alpha_2, \beta_2 &\in \mathcal{R} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a gauge Lie algebra of the equation (3.1) with generators t_1, \dots, t_n from the antihermitian basis (2.8), or from Gell-Mann's basis (2.20), or from spinorial basis (2.21). Let us define values $j_k^\mu = j_k^\mu(\Psi) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$; $k = 1, \dots, n$ by the formula

$$\frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} i) = -g_{\mu\nu} j_k^\mu e^\nu. \quad (3.12)$$

In that case there are equalities $\partial_\mu j_k^\mu = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, which mean that vectors $j_k = (j_k^0, j_k^1, j_k^2, j_k^3)$ are currents of the Dirac γ -equation (3.1).

To prove this theorem we have to use three lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^1(\mathbf{X}, \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}})$ is a solution of the equation (3.1), then it satisfies the relations

$$i\partial_\mu (\bar{\Psi} e^\mu \Psi) - m(\bar{\Psi} \Psi N - N^\dagger \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \bar{\Psi} e^5 \Psi K - K^\dagger \bar{\Psi} e^5 \Psi) = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$i\partial_\mu (\bar{\Psi} e^5 e^\mu \Psi) - m(\bar{\Psi} e^5 \Psi N + N^\dagger \bar{\Psi} e^5 \Psi - \bar{\Psi} \Psi K - K^\dagger \bar{\Psi} \Psi) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. In order to prove the relation (3.13) we may multiply by γ -number $\bar{\Psi}$ an equation (3.1) from left and subtract a hermitian conjugated equation $-i\partial_\mu \Psi^\dagger (e^\mu)^\dagger - m(N^\dagger \Psi^\dagger - K^\dagger \Psi^\dagger e^5) = 0$, that was multiplied from right on $e^0 \Psi$. The result can be written in the form (3.13). In the same manner we can prove the relation (3.14). •

Lemma 2. Let \mathcal{L} be a real Lie algebra of the gauge Lie group \mathcal{G} of the Dirac γ -equation (3.1), and γ -numbers N, K in the equation (3.1) satisfy conditions (3.11). Then

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (\bar{\Psi} \Psi N - N^\dagger \bar{\Psi} \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi K - K^\dagger \bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi) = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi}: \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a projector operator to the algebra \mathcal{L} being considered as a subspace of the linear space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proof. Let us denote

$$B_1 = \bar{\Psi}\Psi N - N^\dagger\bar{\Psi}\Psi, \quad B_2 = \bar{\Psi}e^5\Psi K - K^\dagger\bar{\Psi}e^5\Psi.$$

These γ -numbers B_1, B_2 anticommute with the γ -numbers P_1, P_2 respectively:

$$B_1P_1 + P_1B_1 = 0, \quad B_2P_2 + P_2B_2 = 0,$$

that may be checked by the direct calculation. The last fact can be written in the form:

$$B_1 \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \text{com}(P_1), \quad B_2 \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \text{com}(P_2), \quad (3.16)$$

where $\text{com}(P) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a subspace of γ -numbers that commute with the γ -number $P \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$. It follows from (3.16), that

$$B_1 + B_2 \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \setminus (\text{com}(P_1) \cap \text{com}(P_2)) \quad (3.17)$$

Using the relations $\text{com}(P_1) = \text{com}(N)$, $\text{com}(P_2) = \text{com}(K)$ and by definition of a gauge group \mathcal{G} , we get from (3.17), that $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (B_1 + B_2) = 0$ •

Lemma 3. *Let $\Psi = \Psi(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ be an arbitrary real Lie algebra with generators t_1, \dots, t_n from antihermitian basis or from Gell-Mann's basis. If we define values $j_k^\mu = j_k^\mu(\Psi)$, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$; $k = 1, \dots, n$ by the formula (3.12), then*

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (\bar{\Psi}ie^\mu\Psi) = j_k^\mu t_k. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma can be done by calculation for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \sim \text{su}(4) \oplus \text{u}(1)$ with generators from the antihermitian basis and from Gell-Mann's basis. This give us also a proof of lemma 3 for all subalgebras of \mathcal{L} with generators from the antihermitian basis and Gell-Mann's basis. Also, we may prove lemma 3 by calculation for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \text{u}(1) \oplus \text{u}(1) \oplus \text{u}(1) \oplus \text{u}(1)$ with spinorial generators •

Proof of the theorem. The γ -number $\Psi = \Psi(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfy the Dirac γ -equation and so, by lemma 1, Ψ satisfy also a relation (3.13), and by lemma2, we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (\partial_\mu(\bar{\Psi}e^\mu\Psi)) = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Let us define values $j_k^\mu = j_k^\mu(\Psi) \in \mathbb{R}$ by the formula (3.12). By lemma 3, these values satisfy relations (3.18) and hence

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (\bar{\Psi} i e^\mu \Psi) \right) = \partial_\mu j_k^\mu t_k,$$

which together with (3.19) give

$$\partial_\mu j_k^\mu t_k = 0 \bullet$$

3.5. CANONICAL FORMS OF THE DIRAC γ -EQUATION.

In what follows, we consider equation (3.1) where γ -numbers N, K satisfy conditions (1.11) and (3.11) simultaneously.

Theorem 5. γ -numbers N, K satisfy conditions (1.11) and (3.11) if and only if in representation (1.4) matrices N, K have a form

$$N = \cos \xi \mathbf{1}, \quad K = \sin \xi \mathbf{1}, \quad (3.20)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} N &= U^\dagger \text{diag}(\cos \xi, \cos \xi, \cos \xi, -\cos \xi) U, \\ K &= U^\dagger \text{diag}(\sin \xi, \sin \xi, -\sin \xi, -\sin \xi) U, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20a)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} N &= U^\dagger \text{diag}(\cos \xi, \cos \xi, \cos \xi, \cos \eta) U, \\ K &= U^\dagger \text{diag}(\sin \xi, \sin \xi, \sin \xi, \sin \eta) U, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} N &= U^\dagger \text{diag}(\cos \xi, \cos \xi, \cos \eta, \cos \eta) U, \\ K &= U^\dagger \text{diag}(\sin \xi, \sin \xi, \sin \eta, \sin \eta) U, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where U – unitary matrix and $0 \leq \xi, \eta < 2\pi$.

Proof. From the condition (3.11) $N = (\alpha_1 \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 P_1)$, $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $P_1 = P_1^\dagger$, $P_1^2 = \mathbf{1}$, that means P_1 is a hermitian and simultaneously unitary matrix. Hence, one can reduce it to the diagonal form with the aid of unitary matrix U :

$$P_1 = U^\dagger \text{diag}(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1) U,$$

and

$$N = U^\dagger \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4) U,$$

where $\lambda_k = \alpha_1 \pm \beta_1$. The same is true for the matrix K :

$$K = V^\dagger \text{diag}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4)V,$$

where $V^\dagger V = \mathbf{1}$, $\epsilon_k = \alpha_2 \pm \beta_2$. Matrices N, K are commute by the conditions (1.11) and therefore they can be reduced to the diagonal form by the same similarity transformation [5]. Hence, we can take $U = V$. A condition $N^2 + K^2 = \mathbf{1}$ gives $\lambda_k^2 + \epsilon_k^2 = 1$. Therefore $\lambda_k = \pm \cos \xi$, $\epsilon_k = \pm \sin \xi$, or $\lambda_k = \cos \phi_k$, $\epsilon_k = \sin \phi_k$ and ϕ_k may have no more than two values •

If γ -numbers N, K in representation (1.4) have a form (3.20), or (3.20a), or (3.21), or (3.22) with $U = \mathbf{1}$, then we say that the Dirac γ -equation (3.1) is written in a canonical form. So, there are four canonical forms of the Dirac γ -equation. Let us write correspondent γ -numbers N, K with the aid of the basis elements of Clifford algebra

$$N = \cos \xi e, \quad K = \sin \xi e, \quad (3.23)$$

or

$$N = \cos \xi (e + e^0 + ie^{12} - ie^{012})/2, \quad K = \sin \xi e^0, \quad (3.23a)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \frac{\cos \xi}{4} (3e + e^0 + ie^{12} - ie^{012}) + \frac{\cos \eta}{4} (e - e^0 - ie^{12} + ie^{012}), \\ K &= \frac{\sin \xi}{4} (3e + e^0 + ie^{12} - ie^{012}) + \frac{\sin \eta}{4} (e - e^0 - ie^{12} + ie^{012}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \frac{\cos \xi}{2} (e + e^0) + \frac{\cos \eta}{2} (e - e^0), \\ K &= \frac{\sin \xi}{2} (e + e^0) + \frac{\sin \eta}{2} (e - e^0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Every canonical form of the Dirac γ -equation has its own gauge group (we shall write only exponential gauge groups). Four canonical forms of the Dirac γ -equation (3.23), (3.23a), (3.24), (3.25) correspond to the following gauge groups: $\mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(4)$, $\mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)$, $\mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(3)$, $\mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ respectively. As it was shown in the theorem 2, section 3, if $N, K \in \dot{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}$, then the Dirac γ -equation decompose into two independent equations for $\ddot{\Psi}$ and $\dot{\Psi}$. Considering formulas (3.23), (3.23a), (3.24), (3.25) one can easily conclude that γ -numbers N, K can be odd only for the fourth canonical

form (3.25), when $\eta = \xi \pm \pi$, that means $N = \cos \xi e^0$, $K = \sin \xi e^0$. In that case we get the following variant of the Dirac γ -equation:

$$ie^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi - m \exp(\xi e^5) \Psi e^0 = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi \in \ddot{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \text{or } \Psi \in \dot{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad (3.26)$$

with the gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2)$. This equation, probably, can be used in the theory of electroweak interactions. The first or the third canonical form, probably, can be used in the theory of strong interactions (quantum chromodynamics). In Grand Unified Theory, probably, the first canonical form of the Dirac γ -equation can be used (see the remark at the end of paper).

It is suitable to have ready examples of the simplest equations to which the present theory can be applied. Such examples can be derived from (3.23), (3.24), (3.25), (3.26) when $\xi = 0$, $\eta = \pi$. Let us write them down together with their gauge groups:

$$\begin{aligned} i\cancel{\partial}\Psi - m\Psi &= 0, \quad \Psi \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(4), \\ i\cancel{\partial}\Psi - m(\Psi N + e^5\Psi K) &= 0, \quad \Psi \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(3), \\ i\cancel{\partial}\Psi - m\Psi e^0 &= 0, \quad \Psi \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \\ i\cancel{\partial}\Psi - m\Psi e^0 &= 0, \quad \Psi \in \ddot{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{SU}(2) \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equation γ -numbers N, K satisfy relations (3.24).

4. The Yang-Mills and Maxwell γ -Equations.

Let \mathcal{G} be a linear Lie group and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ its real Lie algebra. Let us consider Lie algebra valued functions $a_\mu = a_\mu(x) = a_\mu^k(x)t_k \in \mathcal{L}$ which depend on $x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. We may also consider a rank 1 γ -number $A = a_\mu e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu \in \mathcal{L} \otimes \overset{1}{\Gamma}$ and rank 2 γ -number $F = \sum_{\mu < \nu} f_{\mu\nu} e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu\nu} \in \mathcal{L} \otimes \overset{2}{\Gamma}$ with coefficients from the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} ($f_{\mu\nu} = f_{\mu\nu}^k(x)t_k$).

Definition. *The system of equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{2}{\pi} (e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu (\partial_\mu A - [A, a_\mu]) - A^2) - F &= 0, \\ e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu (\partial_\mu F - [F, a_\mu]) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

is called the Yang-Mills γ -equations (special form of the Yang-Mills equations) with a gauge group \mathcal{G} .

A left hand part of the first equation is a rank 2 γ -number with coefficients from \mathcal{L} . A left hand part of the second equation is a sum of

rank 1 γ -number and rank 3 γ -number. That means the second equation from (4.1) can be written in the form of two identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi} (e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}F - [F, a_{\mu}])) &= 0, \\ \frac{3}{\pi} (e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}F - [F, a_{\mu}])) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

It is easy to check that the second identity in (4.2) is fulfilled for arbitrary $F \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{L}}^2$, $a_{\mu} \in \mathcal{L}$ which depend smoothly on x . This is a so-called Bianci identity. By substituting $A = a_{\mu}e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu}$, $F = \sum_{\mu < \nu} f_{\mu\nu}e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu\nu}$ into the first equation (4.1) and (4.2) and by equating to zero appropriate coefficients of γ -numbers, we get a standard Yang-Mills equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu}a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}a_{\mu} - [a_{\nu}, a_{\mu}] - f_{\mu\nu} &= 0, \\ \partial^{\mu}f_{\mu\nu} - [f_{\mu\nu}, a^{\mu}] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $\partial^{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}$, $a^{\mu} = g^{\mu\nu}a_{\nu}$ and $f_{\mu\nu} = -f_{\nu\mu}$ for $\mu \geq \nu$.

If a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is of dimension 1 Abelian with the generator $t_1 = i$, then the commutators in (4.3) are equal to zero and we get the standard Maxwell equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu}a_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}a_{\mu} - f_{\mu\nu} &= 0, \\ \partial^{\mu}f_{\mu\nu} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^1 i$, $f_{\mu\nu} = f_{\mu\nu}^1 i$. Now, if we define $A = a_{\mu}e^{\mu}$, $F = \sum_{\mu < \nu} f_{\mu\nu}e^{\mu\nu}$, then Maxwell's equations (4.4) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi} (e^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}A) - F &= 0, \\ e^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}F &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Definition. A system of equations (4.5) is called the Maxwell γ -equations (a special form of Maxwell's equations).

Remark. If we take an equation $e^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}A - F = 0$ instead of the first equation in (4.5), then it decomposes into two identities – one of which is a first equation from (4.5), and the second can be written in a form $\partial^{\mu}a_{\mu} = 0$ and called a Lorentz condition.

4.1. A GAUGE INVARIANCE OF THE YANG-MILLS AND MAXWELL γ -EQUATIONS.

Definition. A local transformation (it depends on $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$)

$$\begin{aligned} a_\mu &\rightarrow a'_\mu = U^{-1}a_\mu U + U^{-1}\partial_\mu U, \\ f_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow f'_{\mu\nu} = U^{-1}f_{\mu\nu}U, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where $U = U(x) \in \mathcal{G}$, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is called a gauge transformation of the system of equations (4.1). Here a Lie group \mathcal{G} and its real Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is called a gauge Lie group and a gauge Lie algebra of the system (4.1) respectively.

Note, that by the theorem 6, section 2 we get $a'_\mu, f'_{\mu\nu} \in \mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 1. A system of equations (4.1) is invariant under the gauge transformation (4.6).

Proof. An invariance of the system of equations (4.1) under a gauge transformation means, that if $a_\mu, f_{\mu\nu}$ ($A = a_\mu e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu, F = \sum_{\mu < \nu} f_{\mu\nu} e^{\mu\nu}$) satisfy equations (4.1), then the functions $a'_\mu, f'_{\mu\nu}$, (A', F') from (4.6) must also satisfy equations (4.1). Hence, to prove a gauge invariance it is sufficient to substitute $a'_\mu, f'_{\mu\nu}$ to the left hand parts of the equations (4.1) and check the validity of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi} (e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu (\partial_\mu A' - [A', a'_\mu]) - (A')^2) - F' &= \\ &= U^{-1} \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} (e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu (\partial_\mu A - [A, a_\mu]) - A^2) - F \right\} U, \\ e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu (\partial_\mu F' - [F', a'_\mu]) &= U^{-1} \{ e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu (\partial_\mu F - [F, a_\mu]) \} U \bullet \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

In the case of Abelian Lie group

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{U}(1) = \{ \exp i\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Maxwell's γ -equations (4.5) are invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} a_\mu &\rightarrow a'_\mu = a_\mu + i\partial_\mu \lambda, \\ f_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow f'_{\mu\nu} = f_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

which can be got from (4.6) when $U = \exp i\lambda(x)$.

5. A Construction of the Gauge Fields Theory.

In this section we introduce a main system of equations, named a Dirac-Yang-Mills system of γ -equations, which describes Dirac's field interacting with the Yang-Mills gauge field.

5.1. A UNION OF THE DIRAC γ -EQUATION AND THE YANG-MILLS γ -EQUATIONS.

Let us consider an equation (3.1) and associate with it a commutator algebra $\text{com}(N, K)$ of γ -numbers from $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ which commute with N and K simultaneously. A set of invertible γ -numbers from $\text{com}(N, K)$ is a linear Lie group $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}_0(N, K)$. Let a Lie group \mathcal{G} be an arbitrary Lie subgroup of \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{L} be a real Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} with generators t_1, \dots, t_n ($n \leq 16$).

And let J be an operator $J : \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overset{1}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{L}}$ with the following properties: 1) $J(\Psi U) = U^{-1}J(\Psi)U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{G}$. 2) $J(\Psi) = j_{\mu}^k(\Psi)t_k \otimes e^{\mu}$, where

functionals $j_{\mu}^k : \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are such that if Ψ satisfy an equation (3.1), then $\partial^{\mu}j_{\mu}^k(\Psi) = 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, that means, $j_k = (j_k^0, \dots, j_k^3)$ are the currents of the equation (3.1).

In that case we can consider a system of equations for $\Psi \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, $A = a_{\mu}e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu} \in \overset{1}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $F \in \overset{2}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{L}}$

$$\begin{aligned} ie^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}\Psi - \Psi a_{\mu}) - m(\Psi N + e^5\Psi K) &= 0, \\ \overset{2}{\pi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}A - [A, a_{\mu}]) - A^2) - F &= 0, \\ e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}F - [F, a_{\mu}]) &= -J(\Psi), \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

which is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\rightarrow \Psi' = \Psi U, \\ a_{\mu} &\rightarrow a'_{\mu} = U^{-1}a_{\mu}U + U^{-1}\partial_{\mu}U, \\ F &\rightarrow F' = U^{-1}FU \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $U \in \mathcal{G}$, and in the right hand part of Yang-Mills equations the value $J(\Psi)$ is composed from the currents of Dirac's γ -equation.

We hope, that the systems of equations of (5.1) type will find applications in theoretical physics for the description of the interactions of elementary particles.

The problem to be solved can be formulated in the following form: to find possibly wide classes of Lie groups for which operator J satisfying conditions 1),2) can be defined. This defines systems of equations (5.1).

We may say at once what is done – in what follows more or less complete investigation has been done for the class of unitary Lie groups. We have also some results for Clifford's groups which are not included in the current paper.

5.2. THE DIRAC-YANG-MILLS SYSTEM OF γ -EQUATIONS.

Let us consider the Dirac γ -equation (3.8) with γ -numbers $N, K \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying conditions (1.11) and (3.11) and with a gauge field $a_{\mu} \in \mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where generators of Lie algebra satisfy unitary conditions $t_k^{\dagger} = -t_k$ and ADRIO condition. Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{max}(N, K)$ be a gauge group of the equation (3.8) such, that $\mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is its real Lie algebra. The fact that values $a_{\mu} \in \mathcal{L}$ in the equation (3.8) transform under a gauge transformation by the rule $a_{\mu} \rightarrow U^{-1}a_{\mu}U + U^{-1}\partial_{\mu}U$, ($U \in \mathcal{G}$) leads us to the conclusion — values a_{μ} must satisfy the Yang-Mills γ -equations (4.1).

Definition. A system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} ie^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}\Psi - \Psi a_{\mu}) - m(\Psi N + e^5\Psi K) &= 0, \\ \frac{2}{\pi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}A - [A, a_{\mu}]) - A^2) - F &= 0, \\ e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}F - [F, a_{\mu}]) &= -\epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi}(\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} i), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\Psi = \Psi(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, $a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}(x) = a_{\mu}^k t_k \in \mathcal{L}$, $A = a_{\mu} e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu} \in \overset{1}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $F \in \overset{2}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\epsilon = 1$ or $\epsilon = -1$, is called the Dirac-Yang-Mills system of γ -equations (DYM) with a gauge group \mathcal{G} . If a gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(t)$ with only one generator $t^{\dagger} = -t$ is Abelian isomorphic to the group $U(1)$ then a system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} ie^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}\Psi - \Psi t a_{\mu}) - m(\Psi N + e^5\Psi K) &= 0, \\ \frac{2}{\pi}(e^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}A) - F &= 0, \\ e^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}F &= -\epsilon \frac{1}{\pi}(\Psi t \bar{\Psi} i), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$, $A = a_{\mu} e^{\mu} \in \overset{1}{\Gamma}$, $F \in \overset{2}{\Gamma}$ is called the Dirac-Maxwell system of γ -equation.

A system of equations (5.4) is evidently invariant under the gauge transformation $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi \exp(\lambda t)$, $a_\mu \rightarrow a_\mu + t \partial_\mu \lambda$, where $\lambda = \lambda(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1. *A system of equations (5.3) is invariant under the gauge transformation*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\rightarrow \Psi' = \Psi U, \\ a_\mu &\rightarrow a'_\mu = U^{-1} a_\mu U + U^{-1} \partial_\mu U, \\ F &\rightarrow F' = U^{-1} F U \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $U \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. of the gauge invariance of the first equation in (5.3) has been done in the theorem 3, section 3. A proof of gauge invariance of the second equation and the third equation with zero right hand part has been done in the theorem 1, section 4. So, the only thing to do for us is to prove a gauge invariance of the right hand part $J(\Psi) = -\epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} i)$ of the Yang-Mills system of γ -equations. This invariance $J(\Psi U) = U^{-1} J(\Psi) U$ follows from the chain of identities ($\epsilon = -1$)

$$\begin{aligned} J(\Psi U) &= t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi U t_k U^\dagger \bar{\Psi} i) = t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi \{ \text{Ad}(U) \}_{lk} t_l \bar{\Psi} i) \\ &= (\{ \text{Ad}(U) \}_{lk} t_k) \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi t_l \bar{\Psi} i) = (U^\dagger t_l U) \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi t_l \bar{\Psi} i) = U^{-1} J(\Psi) U. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the unitary condition $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$ and the fact, that generators of Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ satisfy ADRIO condition and hence (theorem 8, section 2)

$$U t_k U^\dagger = \{ \text{Ad}(U) \}_{lk} t_l, \quad U^\dagger t_l U = \{ \text{Ad}(U) \}_{lk} t_k \bullet$$

Remark. Using the theorem about decomposition of the Dirac γ -equation (theorem 2, section 3), we may conclude that if in the system of equations (5.3) γ -numbers $N, K \in \dot{\Gamma}_\mathcal{C}$ and the gauge group $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \dot{\Gamma}_\mathcal{C}$, then in (5.3) we may take $\Psi \equiv \check{\Psi}$, or $\Psi \equiv \dot{\Psi}$.

5.3. THE CASE $m = 0$.

There are two identities (3.13) and (3.14) in lemma 1 section 3, from which we may hope to derive the currents of the Dirac γ -equation. In the system of γ -equations (5.3) at the right hand part of the Yang-Mills

γ -equations we have taken the value $J(\Psi) = \epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} i)$, which is composed from the currents of the Dirac γ -equation (3.1) (theorem 4, section 3). These currents can be derived only from the identity (3.13) and not from (3.14). Such a choice of $J(\Psi)$ is not random, because in case $m \neq 0$ one can not derive any currents from the identity (3.14). The situation is different when $m = 0$. In this case each identity (3.13) and (3.14) gives us 16 independent currents accordingly. That means, that as a right hand part of the Yang-Mills system of γ -equations (5.3) we may take not only a value $J(\Psi)$, but also the value

$$\tilde{J}(\Psi) = -\epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} e^5), \tag{5.6}$$

which is composed from the currents of the Dirac γ -equation that are derived from the formula (3.14). This can be shown with the aid of identities

$$\frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} e^5) = -g_{\mu\nu} \tilde{j}_k^\mu e^\nu, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (\bar{\Psi} e^5 e^\mu \Psi) = \tilde{j}_k^\mu t_k \tag{5.8}$$

(compare them with the formulas (3.12) and (3.18)). So, in case $m = 0$, a right hand part of the Yang-Mills γ -equations (5.3) is defined not uniquely and this fact leads to the important consequences, which we shall consider just now.

Let us introduce γ -numbers $L = (e + ie^5)/2$, $R = (e - ie^5)/2$ with the properties

$$L + R = e, \quad L - R = ie^5, \quad L^2 = L, \quad R^2 = R, \quad LR = RL = 0$$

and denote $\Psi_L = L\Psi$, $\Psi_R = R\Psi$. Then

$$\Psi = \Psi_L + \Psi_R, \quad \Psi_L = L\Psi_L, \quad \Psi_R = R\Psi_R, \quad L\Psi_R = R\Psi_L = 0,$$

It is easy to calculate, that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi} e^5 e^\mu \Psi + \bar{\Psi} i e^\mu \Psi &= 2 \overline{(\Psi_L)} e^\mu \Psi_L, \\ \bar{\Psi} e^5 e^\mu \Psi - \bar{\Psi} i e^\mu \Psi &= 2 \overline{(\Psi_R)} e^\mu \Psi_R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in case $m = 0$ we get from the formulas (3.13),(3.14)

$$\partial_\mu (\overline{(\Psi_L)} e^\mu \Psi_L) = 0, \quad \partial_\mu (\overline{(\Psi_R)} e^\mu \Psi_R) = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

In the same way, if we take a sum and a remainder of the right hand parts of (3.18) and (5.8), we get the identities

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (\overline{(\Psi_L)} i e^\mu \Psi_L) = j_k^{\mu+} t_k, \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi} (\overline{(\Psi_R)} i e^\mu \Psi_R) = j_k^{\mu-} t_k, \quad (5.10)$$

where $j_k^{\mu+} = (j_k^\mu + \tilde{j}_k^\mu)/2$, $j_k^{\mu-} = (j_k^\mu - \tilde{j}_k^\mu)/2$. It is not difficult to calculate also a sum and a remainder of the right hand parts of (3.12) and (5.7) and get

$$\frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi_L t_k \overline{(\Psi_L)} i) = -g_{\mu\nu} j_k^{\mu+} e^\nu, \quad \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi_R t_k \overline{(\Psi_R)} i) = -g_{\mu\nu} j_k^{\mu-} e^\nu.$$

So, in case $m = 0$, we can use as a right hand part of (5.3) the value $J(\Psi_L) = \epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi_L t_k \overline{(\Psi_L)} i)$, which is composed from currents of the equation $e^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi_L = 0$, and also we can use the value $J(\Psi_R)$, which is composed from the currents of the equation $e^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi_R = 0$. In the first case we can multiply the Dirac γ -equation in (5.3) from left to R , and using the identity $R e^\mu = e^\mu L$, get a system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} i e^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi_L - \Psi_L a_\mu) &= 0, \\ \frac{2}{\pi} (e_\mathcal{L}^\mu (\partial_\mu A - [A, a_\mu]) - A^2) - F &= 0, \\ e_\mathcal{L}^\mu (\partial_\mu F - [F, a_\mu]) &= -\epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi} (\Psi_L t_k \overline{(\Psi_L)} i), \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

that is dependent only on Ψ_L and independent of Ψ_R . Similarly we can get a system of equations for Ψ_R . The systems of equations for Ψ_L and Ψ_R are independent and more than this, they may have different gauge groups (in the Standard model of electroweak interactions such a situation takes place in the description of neutrino).

We can get one more example of a gauge invariant system of equations if, in case $m = 0$, we take a gauge group \mathcal{G} from the real Clifford algebra Γ . Such gauge groups, in particular, are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(e^1, e^2, e^3, e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}, e^5) &\sim \text{Sp}(2), \\ \mathcal{G}(e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}, e^{012}, e^{013}, e^{023}) &\sim \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2), \\ \mathcal{G}(e^{12}, e^{13}, e^{23}) &\sim \text{SU}(2), \\ \mathcal{G}(e^{12}) &\sim \text{U}(1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11a)$$

In that case we have a gauge invariant system of equations for Ψ from the real Clifford algebra Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} e^\mu(\partial_\mu\Psi - \Psi a_\mu) &= 0, \\ \frac{2}{\pi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu(\partial_\mu A - [A, a_\mu]) - A^2) - F &= 0, \\ e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu(\partial_\mu F - [F, a_\mu]) &= -\epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi}(\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} e^5). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11b)$$

In the case of last two groups from (5.11a) we may take $\Psi \in \ddot{\Gamma}$, or $\Psi \in \dot{\Gamma}$ in (5.11b).

5.4. A GAUGE GROUP $U(4)$. THE POLAR GAUGE.

Let us consider a system of equations (5.3) with $\Psi N + e^5 \Psi K = \exp(\phi e^5) \Psi$, $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and with the gauge group $U(4)$. And let $\Psi = \Psi(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, $A = A(x) \in \overset{1}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $F = F(x) \in \overset{2}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be a continuously differentiable solution of this system of equations. There is a theorem about a polar decomposition of the square matrix.

Theorem 2 [11]. *Every matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{C})$ can be written as $A = PU$, where $P \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{C})$ is a hermitian nonnegatively defined matrix of the same rank as A , and a matrix $U \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{C})$ is unitary.*

If we have a solution of the system of γ -equations DYM $\Psi = \Psi(x) \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ in representation (1.4) as a matrix from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$, then, by the theorem 2, at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ matrix Ψ can be written in a form $\Psi = PU$, where P – hermitian nonnegatively defined matrix from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$, U – unitary matrix from $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$. Let us suppose, that matrix $\Psi = \Psi(x)$ can be represented in the form $\Psi(x) = P(x)U(x)$ not only in every point x , but also in some domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ in such a way, that $P(x), U(x)$ have continuously differentiable elements for all $x \in \Omega$ (the possibility of the polar decomposition in domain is not evident and need an additional investigation). In that case the solution $\Psi = \Psi(x)$ of (5.3) in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ defines unitary matrix $U = U(x)$ with continuously differentiable coefficients. A matrix U , by the isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C}) \sim \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ define a γ -number $U = U(x)$ from $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$. Let us take a γ -number $V = V(x) = U^{-1}$. A system of equations (5.3) is invariant with respect to the gauge group $U(4)$, and so, after the gauge transformation with the aid of $V \in U(4)$ we get such

a solution of (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi' &= \Psi V, \\ a'_\mu &= V^{-1} a_\mu V + V^{-1} \partial_\mu V, \\ F' &= V^{-1} F V\end{aligned}$$

that in domain Ω γ -number $\Psi' = \Psi'(x)$ is a hermitian nonnegatively defined γ -number (in representation (1.4) it corresponds to hermitian nonnegatively defined matrix).

Definition. If $\Psi \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, $A \in \overset{1}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $F \in \overset{2}{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is such a solution of the system of γ -equations DYM (5.3) with a gauge group $U(4)$, that $\Psi = \Psi(x)$ is a hermitian nonnegatively defined γ -number, then we shall call Ψ, A, F a solution of DYM in polar gauge.

In correspondence with the generally adopted interpretation of quantum mechanics, particles are described by the wave functions, which are vectors in some complex finite dimensional or infinite dimensional vector (Hilbert) space. Observables are hermitian operators on that space. It will be essential to suppose, that the solution Ψ of (5.3) describes a wave function of a fermion in 16 – dimensional complex vector space. If we consider Ψ in polar gauge (in the case of gauge group $U(4)$), then a γ -number Ψ corresponds to some hermitian 4×4 -matrix, or hermitian 16×16 -matrix $\Psi \otimes \mathbf{1}$. That means, Ψ can be considered not only as a wave function, but simultaneously, as some observable value. This situation needs further consideration.

5.5. THE GAUGE GROUP $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$. SPINORS.

Let $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ be an algebra of complex matrices of fourth order and \mathcal{H} is its maximal commutative subalgebra. An algebra \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to the algebra of diagonal matrices with basis matrices $s^{(1)}, s^{(2)}, s^{(3)}, s^{(4)}$ with only nonzero element $s^{(k)}_{kk} = 1$. For this basis

$$s^{(1)} + s^{(2)} + s^{(3)} + s^{(4)} = \mathbf{1}, \quad (s^{(k)})^2 = s^{(k)}, \quad s^{(k)} s^{(l)} = 0 \quad \text{when } k \neq l. \quad (5.12)$$

An arbitrary matrix $B \in \mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ can be written in a form

$$B = B^{(1)} + B^{(2)} + B^{(3)} + B^{(4)}, \quad B^{(k)} = B s^{(k)}, \quad B^{(k)} s^{(k)} = B^{(k)} \quad (5.13)$$

That means, that $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ represents as a direct sum of its subalgebras $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{I}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{I}^{(2)} \oplus \mathcal{I}^{(3)} \oplus \mathcal{I}^{(4)}$. Algebras $\mathcal{I}^{(k)}$ are minimal ideals of the algebra $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$ because $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})\mathcal{I}^{(k)} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{(k)}$. There is no identity element in the algebra $\mathcal{I}^{(k)}$, but there is “right identity element” $s^{(k)}$. Elements B of the algebra $\mathcal{I}^{(k)}$ can be characterized by the equality $Bs^{(k)} = B$. We shall call them k -spinors ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$). So, k -spinor is a 4×4 -matrix with all columns except the column number k are equal to zero.

This simple consideration of matrices can be transferred word for word to γ -numbers, if we take $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$ instead of $\mathcal{M}(4, \mathcal{C})$, e instead of $\mathbf{1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} s^{(1)} &= (e + e^0 + ie^{12} + ie^{012})/4, \\ s^{(2)} &= (e + e^0 - ie^{12} - ie^{012})/4, \\ s^{(3)} &= (e - e^0 + ie^{12} - ie^{012})/4, \\ s^{(4)} &= (e - e^0 - ie^{12} + ie^{012})/4. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

k -spinors are such γ -numbers $B \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$, that $Bs^{(k)} = B$. For example, a general form of 1-spinors is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} B = & b_1(e + e^0 + ie^{12} + ie^{012}) + b_2(-e^{13} + ie^{23} - e^{013} + ie^{023}) + \\ & b_3(-e^3 + e^{03} - ie^{123} + ie^5) + b_4(-e^1 + ie^2 + e^{01} - ie^{02}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathcal{C}$. The considered construction of spinors as minimal ideals of the Clifford algebra is well known to specialists.

Now, let us come back to the system of equations DYM, depending on a gauge Lie algebra \mathcal{L} which is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(4) \sim \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(4)$. Note, that $\mathfrak{u}(4)$ is isomorphic to the set of all antihermitian γ -numbers from $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$. A maximal Abelian subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(4)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ and called Cartan’s subalgebra. It is evident, that the Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{L} = \{2ib_k s^{(k)}, b_k \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{5.16}$$

is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ and hence, \mathcal{L} is Cartan’s subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(4)$. Generators $t_k = 2is^{(k)}$ of the Lie algebra (5.16) are called spinorial generators. They are normalized by the same identity $\text{tr}(t_k^2) = -4$ as vectors of antihermitian basis (2.8) and Gell-Mann’s basis (2.20).

Let us consider a Dirac-Maxwell system of γ -equations with the Abelian gauge algebra $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ and with spinorial generators $t_k = 2is^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$\begin{aligned} ie^\mu(\partial_\mu \Psi - \Psi a_\mu) - m\Psi N &= 0, \\ \frac{2}{\pi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu \partial_\mu A) - F &= 0, \\ e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu \partial_\mu F &= -\epsilon t_k \otimes \frac{1}{\pi}(\Psi t_k \bar{\Psi} i), \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where $\Psi \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{L}}$, $a_\mu = a_\mu^k t_k = 2ia_\mu^k s^{(k)}$, $A = a_\mu e_{\mathcal{L}}^\mu$, $F = \sum_{\mu < \nu} f_{\mu\nu}^k t_k e_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu\nu}$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $N = q^k s^{(k)}$, $q^k = \pm 1$.

Theorem 3. *The system of equations (5.17) is equivalent to four systems of equations ($l = 1, 2, 3, 4$)*

$$\begin{aligned} ie^\mu(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^l)\psi^{(l)} - mq^l \psi^{(l)} &= 0, \\ \partial_\mu a_\nu^l - \partial_\nu a_\mu^l - f_{\mu\nu}^l &= 0, \\ \partial^\mu f_{\mu\nu}^l &= \epsilon j_\nu^l(\psi^{(l)}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

where $\psi^{(l)}$ is a column number l of the corresponding (in representation (1.4)) matrix Ψ , $j_\mu^l = \bar{\psi}^{(l)} e_\mu \psi^{(l)}$, $e_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} e^\nu$, $f_{\mu\nu}^l = -f_{\nu\mu}^l$, $\mu \geq \nu$.

Proof. We multiply from right each of three equations (5.17) by $s^{(l)}$, $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$. As a result we get four systems of equations that are equivalent to appropriate systems (5.18). To prove the equivalence of the appropriate right hand parts of Maxwell's equations we have to use formulas (3.12) and (3.18) •

Let us note, that wave functions $\psi^{(l)}$ from the theorem 3 can be regarded as wave functions of four different particles with the same mass, each of which interacts with its own gauge field. It is essential to try to generalize our considerations for the descriptions of four particles with different masses.

Let us consider an equation (3.1) where $m = 1$, $[N, K] = 0$, $N^2 + K^2 = M^2$, $M = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)$, $m_l \geq 0$. In that case a solution Ψ of the equation (3.1) also satisfies an equation

$$\not{\partial}^2 \Psi + \Psi M^2 = 0.$$

Multiplying from right this equation on $s^{(l)}$ and denoting $\psi^{(l)} = \Psi s^{(l)}$, we come to four Klein-Gordon's equations

$$(\not{\partial}^2 + m_l^2)\psi^{(l)} = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Now we can consider a Dirac-Maxwell system of γ -equations (5.17), where $m = 1$, $N = M$. This system is reduced to four systems of equations

$$\begin{aligned} ie^\mu(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu^l)\psi^{(l)} - m_l\psi^{(l)} &= 0, \\ \partial_\mu a_\nu^l - \partial_\nu a_\mu^l - f_{\mu\nu}^l &= 0, \\ \partial^\mu f_{\mu\nu}^l = \epsilon\psi^{(l)}e_\mu\psi^{(l)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

which describe wave functions of four spin 1/2 particles with masses m_l , $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$ interacting with the gauge fields $a_\mu^{(l)}$, each of which can be identified with electromagnetic field. If we suggest, that m_1, m_2, m_3 are masses of electron, muon and τ -particle respectively, and m_4 is a mass of yet undiscovered heavy lepton, or $m_4 = \infty$, then we get, that γ -equation (5.17) in case $m = 1$, $N = M$ and equations (5.19) describe four (or three) generations of charged leptons. In the same way we can describe four (or three) generations of quarks, but in that case we have to use Dirac's γ -equation with wave function from $\mathcal{M}(3, \mathcal{C}) \otimes \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Let us make two final remarks.

It can be shown, that the Dirac γ -equation (3.1) is invariant under Lorentz transformation of space-time in the same manner as a standard Dirac equation. This question will be discussed in next publication.

In our approach to the gauge fields theory a maximal gauge group of the Dirac γ -equation is $U(4)$, which contain subgroups $U(1), SU(2), SU(3)$ of Standard Model describing interactions of elementary particles. One of important problem of modern theoretical physics is to develop a variant of gauge fields theory which would unify strong and electroweak interactions of elementary particles. We see three possibilities to use described construction for the development of GUT. The first possibility is to develop GUT on the basis of $U(4)$ gauge group. There are several variants of preon models with $SU(4)$ gauge symmetry. The second possibility is to suppose that physical space has a dimension $n > 4$ (like in Kaluza-Klein theory) and hence, it is possible to use the Dirac γ -equation with a gauge group bigger than $U(4)$. The third possibility is to develop a generalized Dirac γ -equation on the basis of algebra

$\mathcal{M}(n, C) \otimes \Gamma_C$ instead of Γ_C . Such a generalization will have $U(n)$ gauge symmetry.

References

1. Weil H. *ZS. f.Phys.* **56** (1929) 330.
2. Fock V. *Journ. de Physique* **10** (1929) 392.
3. Yang C. N., Mills R. L. *Phys. Rev.* **96** (1954) 191.
4. Georgi H., Glashow S. L. *Phys. Rev.* **D6** (1972) 429.
5. Gantmacher F. R. The "Theory of Matrices", Chelsea Publishing, New York, 1959.
6. Hestenes D. "Space-Time Algebra", Gordon and Breach, New York 1966.
7. Keller J. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras* **3** (1993) 147.
8. Marchuk N. G. *Differ. Equations* **20** (1984), pp.495.
9. Marchuk N. G. Preprint CTS of IISc, 1 (1994), Bangalore.
10. Dirac P. A. M. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* **A117** (1928) 610.
11. Horn R. A., Johnson C. R. "Matrix Analysis", Cambridge Univ. Press 1986.
12. Chevalley C. "Theory of Lie Groups" 1946.
13. Cornwell J. F. "Group Theory in Physics" Academic Press 1984.
14. Pestov A. B. Preprint P2-5798, Dubna 1971.
15. Clifford W. K. *Amer. J. of Math.* 1878.
16. Dynkin E. B., Oniscik A.L. *Uspeki. Mat. Nauk.* **10** N4 (66), (1955) 3.
17. Pezzaglia W. M. Kr., Differ A. W., *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, (Prof. Suppl.), **4** (S1), 1994, 437-446.
18. Doran C., Hestenes D., Sommen F. and Van Acker N. *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (8), (1993), p.3642.
19. Kähler E. *Randiconti di Mat. (Roma)* ser. 5, **21** (1962) 425.
20. W. A.Rodrigues Jr., Q. A. G. de Souza, J. Vaz Jr., P. Lounesto, *Int. J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1996), pp. 1849-1900.
21. Marchuk N. G. *Doklady Mathematics* **55, No.3** (1997), pp. 604-607.
22. Hestenes D., *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, **7(S)**, (1997) 97-144
23. Keller J. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, **7(S)**, (1997) 3-26
24. Bjorken J. D., "Drell S.D. Relativistic Quantum Mechanics", McGraw-Hill Book Comp.
25. Keller J., Twistors as Geometric Objects in Spacetime, in: "Clifford Algebras and Spinor Structures", R. Ablamowicz and P. Lounesto, eds., Kluwer Academic Pub., (1995) 133-135.
26. Casanova G., *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, **1 (1)**, (1991) 65-74
Casanova G., *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, **7 (S)**, (1997) 163-166
27. Keller J., S. Rodríguez Romo, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (10) (1990) 2501-2510
28. Keller J., *Int. J. of Theor. Phys.* **30** (1991), 137-184
29. "Clifford Geometric Algebras", W. E. Baylis, ed., Birkhäuser (Berlin) 1996).
30. Casanova G. "L'algebre Vectorielle", Que Sais-je No. 1657, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris (1976); *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A* **270**, (1970) 1202
31. Marchuk N.G. *Il Nuove Cimento*,113B,issue 10, (1998).