

# MULTISCALE EXPANSION OF THE LATTICE SINE–GORDON EQUATION

XIAODA JI, D. LEVI, AND M. PETRERA

ABSTRACT. We expand a discrete–time lattice sine–Gordon equation on multiple lattices and obtain the partial difference equation which governs its far field behaviour. Such reduction allow us to obtain a new completely discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) type equation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Reductive perturbation technique [10, 11] has proved to be an important tool to find approximate solutions for many important physical problems by reducing the given nonlinear partial differential equation in the far field often to an integrable one. Recently this approach has been extended to the case of equations living on lattices [6, 8]. Here we apply it to the case of a discrete–time lattice sine–Gordon equation.

In Section 2 we briefly describe the discrete perturbation technique and in Section 3 we apply it to a discrete–time lattice sine–Gordon equation.

## 2. THE DISCRETE PERTURBATION TECHNIQUE

The aim of this section is to fix the notation and to introduce the formulae necessary to reduce lattice equations in the framework of the discrete reductive perturbation technique [6, 8].

Given a lattice defined by a constant spacing  $h$ , we will denote by  $n$  the running index of the points separated by  $h$ . In correspondence with the lattice variable  $n$ , we can introduce the real variables  $x = hn$ .

We can define on the same lattice a set of slow varying variables by introducing a large integer number  $N$ , defining a small parameter  $\epsilon = N^{-1}$  and requiring that

$$(1) \quad n_j = \epsilon^j n.$$

This correspond to sampling points from the original lattice which are situated at a distance of  $N^j h$  between them. If we set them on a lattice of spacing  $h$ , the corresponding slowly varying real variables  $x_j$  are related to the variable  $x$  by the equation  $x_j = \epsilon^j x$ .

Let us consider a function  $f \doteq f_n$  defined on the points of a lattice variable  $n$  and let us assume that  $f_n = g_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_K}$ , i.e.  $f$  depends on a finite number  $K$  of slow varying lattice variables  $n_j$   $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$  defined as in eq. (1). We are looking for explicit expressions for, say,  $f_{n+1}$  in terms of  $g_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_K}$  evaluated on the points of the  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_K$  lattices. At first let us consider the case, studied by Jordan [4], when we have only two different lattices, i.e.  $K = 1$ . Using the results obtained in this case we will then consider the case corresponding to  $K = 2$ . The general case will than be obvious.

I)  $K = 1$  ( $f_n = g_{n_1}$ ). In Jordan [4] we find the following formula:

$$(2) \quad \Delta^k g_{n_1} \doteq \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} g_{n_1+i} = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{i!} P(i, k) \Delta^i f_n.$$

Here the coefficients  $P(i, k)$  are given by

$$(3) \quad P(i, k) = \sum_{\alpha=k}^i \omega^\alpha S_i^\alpha \mathfrak{S}_\alpha^k,$$

where  $\omega$  is the ratio of the increment in the lattice variable  $n$  with respect to that of variable  $n_1$ . In this case, taking into account eq. (1),  $\omega = N$ . The coefficients  $S_i^\alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha^k$  are the Stirling numbers of the first and second kind respectively [2]. Formula (2) allow us to express a difference of order  $k$  in the lattice variable  $n_1$  in terms of an infinite number of differences on the lattice variable  $n$ . The result (2) can be inverted and we get:

$$(4) \quad \Delta^k f_n = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{i!} Q(i, k) \Delta^i g_{n_1},$$

where the coefficients  $Q(i, k)$  are given by (3) with  $\omega = N^{-1} = \epsilon$ .

To get from eq. (2) a finite approximation of the variation of  $g_{n_1} \doteq f_n$  we need to truncate the expansion in the r.h.s. by requiring a slow varying condition for the function  $f_n$ . Let us introduce the following definition:

**Definition.**  $f_n$  is a slow varying function of order  $p$  iff  $\Delta^{p+1} f_n = 0$ .

From the above definition it follows that a slow varying function of order  $p$  is a polynomial of degree  $p$  in  $n$ . From eq. (2) we see that if  $f_n$  is a slow varying function of order  $p$  then  $\Delta^{p+1} g_{n_1} = 0$ , namely  $g_{n_1}$  is also of order  $p$ . Eq. (4) provide us with the formulae for  $f_{n+1}$  in terms of  $g_{n_1}$  and its neighboring points in the case of slow varying functions of any order  $p$ . Let us write down explicitly these expressions in the case of  $g_{n_1}$  of order 1 and 2.

- $p = 1$ . Formula (4) reduces to

$$\Delta f_n = \frac{1}{N} \Delta g_{n_1},$$

i.e.  $f_{n+1}$  reads

$$f_{n+1} = g_{n_1} + \frac{1}{N} (g_{n_1+1} - g_{n_1}) + O(N^{-2}).$$

- $p = 2$ . From eq. (4) we get

$$\Delta f_n = \frac{1}{N} \Delta g_{n_1} + \frac{1-N}{2N^2} \Delta^2 g_{n_1},$$

and thus  $f_{n+1}$  reads

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{n+1} &= g_{n_1} + \frac{1}{2N} (-g_{n_1+2} + 4g_{n_1+1} - 3g_{n_1}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N^2} (g_{n_1+2} - 2g_{n_1+1} + g_{n_1}) + O(N^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

In the next section we will consider the reduction of an integrable lattice sine-Gordon equation. It is known [12] that a scalar differential-difference equation can possess higher conservation laws and thus be integrable only if it depends symmetrically on the discrete variable. The results contained in (4) do not provide us with symmetric formulae. To get symmetric formulae we take into account the following remarks:

- (1) Formula (2) holds also if  $h$  is negative;
- (2) For a slow varying function of order  $p$ , we have  $\Delta^p f_n = \Delta^p f_{n+\ell}$ , for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

When  $f_n$  is a slow varying function of odd order we are not able to construct completely symmetric derivatives using just an odd number of points centered around the  $n_1$  point and thus  $f_{n\pm 1}$  can never be expressed in a symmetric form.

From eq. (4), using the above remarks we can easily construct the symmetric version of (5). We get:

$$(6) \quad f_{n+1} = g_{n_1} + \frac{1}{2N}(g_{n_1+1} - g_{n_1-1}) + \frac{1}{2N^2}(g_{n_1+1} - 2g_{n_1} + g_{n_1-1}) + O(N^{-3}).$$

**II)**  $K = 2$  ( $f_n = g_{n_1, n_2}$ ). The derivation of the formulae in this case is done in the same spirit as for the symmetric expansion presented above, resulting in eq. (6). Let us just consider the case when  $p = 2$ , as this is the lowest value of  $p$  for which we can consider  $f_n$  as a function of the two scales  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . From eq. (4) we get:

$$(7) \quad g_{n_1+1, n_2} = g_{n_1, n_2} + N\Delta_1 f_{n, n} + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)\Delta_1^2 f_{n, n},$$

$$(8) \quad g_{n_1, n_2+1} = g_{n_1, n_2} + N^2\Delta_2 f_{n, n} + \frac{1}{2}N^2(N^2-1)\Delta_2^2 f_{n, n}.$$

Here the symbols  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  denote difference operators which acts on the first and respectively on the second index of the function  $f_{n, n} \doteq g_{n_1, n_2}$ , e.g.  $\Delta_1 f_{n, n} \doteq f_{n+1, n} - f_{n, n}$ . and  $\Delta_2 f_{n, n} \doteq f_{n, n+1} - f_{n, n}$ .

Let us now consider a function  $g_{n_1, n_2}$  where one shifts both indices by 1. From eq. (7), taking into account that, from eq. (1), for example,  $g_{n_1+1, n_2} = f_{n+N, n}$ , one has:

$$(9) \quad g_{n_1+1, n_2+1} = g_{n_1, n_2+1} + N\Delta_1 f_{n, n+N^2} + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)\Delta_1^2 f_{n, n+N^2}.$$

Using the result (8) we can write eq. (9) as

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{n_1+1, n_2+1} &= g_{n_1, n_2} + N^2\Delta_2 f_{n, n} + \frac{1}{2}N^2(N^2-1)\Delta_2^2 f_{n, n} + \\ &\quad + N\Delta_1 f_{n, n} + N^3\Delta_1\Delta_2 f_{n, n} + \frac{1}{2}N^3(N^2-1)\Delta_1\Delta_2^2 f_{n, n} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)\Delta_1^2 f_{n, n} + N^3(N-1)\Delta_1^2\Delta_2 f_{n, n} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}N^3(N^2-1)(N-1)\Delta_1^2\Delta_2^2 f_{n, n}. \end{aligned}$$

As, using the second remark, the second difference of  $f_{n, n}$  depends just on its nearest neighboring points, the right hand side of eq. (10) depends, apart from  $f_{n, n} = g_{n_1, n_2}$ , on  $f_{n, n+1}$ ,  $f_{n, n-1}$ ,  $f_{n+1, n}$ ,  $f_{n-1, n}$ ,  $f_{n+1, n+1}$ ,  $f_{n+1, n-1}$ ,  $f_{n-1, n+1}$ , and  $f_{n-1, n-1}$ , i.e. 8 unknowns. Starting from eqs. (7), (8) and (10) we can write

down 8 equations, using the first remark, which define  $g_{n_1+1,n_2}$ ,  $g_{n_1-1,n_2}$ ,  $g_{n_1,n_2+1}$ ,  $g_{n_1,n_2-1}$ ,  $g_{n_1+1,n_2+1}$ ,  $g_{n_1+1,n_2-1}$ ,  $g_{n_1-1,n_2+1}$ , and  $g_{n_1-1,n_2-1}$  in terms of the functions  $f_{n+i,n+j}$  with  $(i,j) = 0, \pm 1$ . Inverting this system of equations we get  $f_{n\pm 1}$  in term of  $g_{n_1,n_2}$  and its shifted values:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n\pm 1} &= g_{n_1,n_2} \pm \frac{1}{2N}(g_{n_1+1,n_2} - g_{n_1-1,n_2}) \pm \frac{1}{2N^2}(g_{n_1,n_2+1} - g_{n_1,n_2-1}) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2N^2}(g_{n_1+1,n_2} - 2g_{n_1,n_2} + g_{n_1-1,n_2}) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{4N^3}(g_{n_1+1,n_2+1} - g_{n_1-1,n_2+1} - g_{n_1+1,n_2-1} + g_{n_1-1,n_2-1}) + \\
(11) \quad &+ O(N^{-4}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is worthwhile to notice that the two lowest order (in  $N^{-1}$ ) terms of the expansion (11) are just the sum of the first symmetric differences of  $g_{n_1}$  and  $g_{n_2}$ . Thus in the continuous limit, when we divide by  $h$  and send  $h$  to zero in such a way that  $x = hn$ ,  $x_1 = hn_1$  and  $x_2 = hn_2$  be finite, we will have  $f_{,x} = \epsilon g_{x_1} + \epsilon^2 g_{x_2}$ . Extra terms appear at the order  $N^{-3}$  and contain shifts in both  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ .

When  $f_n$  is a slow varying function of order 2 in  $n_1$  it can also be of order 1 in  $n_2$ . In such a case eq. (8) is given by

$$(12) \quad g_{n_1,n_2+1} = g_{n_1,n_2} + N\Delta_2 f_{n,n}.$$

Starting from eqs. (7), (12) and a modified (10) we can get a set of 8 equations which allows us to get  $f_{n\pm 1}$  in terms of  $g_{n_1,n_2}$  and its shifted values. In such a case  $f_{n\pm 1}$  reads

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n\pm 1} &= g_{n_1,n_2} \pm \frac{1}{2N}(g_{n_1+1,n_2} - g_{n_1-1,n_2}) + \frac{1}{N^2}(g_{n_1,n_2\pm 1} - g_{n_1,n_2}) + \\
(13) \quad &+ \frac{1}{2N^2}(g_{n_1+1,n_2} - 2g_{n_1,n_2} + g_{n_1-1,n_2}) + O(N^{-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is possible to introduce two parameters in the definition of  $n_1, n_2$  in terms of  $n$ . Let us define  $n_1 \doteq (nM_1)/N$ ,  $n_2 \doteq (nM_2)/N^2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are divisors of  $N$  and  $N^2$  so that  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are integers numbers. In such a case eq. (11) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n\pm 1} &= g_{n_1,n_2} \pm \frac{M_1}{2N}(g_{n_1+1,n_2} - g_{n_1-1,n_2}) \pm \frac{M_2}{2N^2}(g_{n_1,n_2+1} - g_{n_1,n_2-1}) + \\
&+ \frac{M_1^2}{2N^2}(g_{n_1+1,n_2} - 2g_{n_1,n_2} + g_{n_1-1,n_2}) + \\
&+ \frac{M_1M_2}{4N^3}(g_{n_1+1,n_2+1} - g_{n_1-1,n_2+1} - g_{n_1+1,n_2-1} + g_{n_1-1,n_2-1}) + \\
&+ O(N^{-4})
\end{aligned}$$

and eq. (13) accordingly.

Let us consider the case of two independent lattices and a function  $f_{n,m}$  defined on them. As the two lattices are independent the formulae presented above apply independently on each of the lattice variables. So, for instance, the variation  $f_{n+1,m}$  when the function  $f_{n,m}$  is a slowly varying function of order 2 of a lattice

variable  $n_1$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1,m} &= g_{n_1,m} + \frac{1}{2N}(g_{n_1+1,m} - g_{n_1-1,m}) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2N^2}(g_{n_1+1,m} - 2g_{n_1,m} + g_{n_1-1,m}) + O(N^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

A slightly less obvious situation appears when we consider  $f_{n+1,m+1}$ , as new terms will appear, see Levi et al. [6, 8] for the formulae in this case.

### 3. REDUCTION OF THE LATTICE SINE-GORDON EQUATION

A discrete analogue of the sine-Gordon equation is given by the following nonlinear PΔE [9]:

$$(14) \quad u_{n+1,m+1} = \frac{1}{u_{n,m}} \frac{u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1} - p^4}{1 - q^4 u_{n+1,m} u_{n,m+1}}.$$

This equation involves just four points which lay on two orthogonal infinite lattices and are the vertices of an elementary square. When written in polynomial form has quartic nonlinearity. In eq. (14)  $u_{n,m}$  is the dynamical (real) field variable at site  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  are the lattice parameters. These are assumed different from zero and will go to zero in the continuous limit so as to get the continuous sine-Gordon equation.

To get a nonlinear dispersion relation we carry out the change of variable  $u_{n,m} \mapsto p/q + u_{n,m}$ . The linear part of the resulting equation is given by:

$$(15) \quad (\sigma - 1)(u_{n,m} + u_{n+1,m+1}) + (\sigma + 1)(u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m+1}) = 0,$$

where  $\sigma \doteq p^2 q^2$ .

The general solution of eq. (15) is written as a superposition of linear waves  $E_{n,m} = \exp[i(kn - \omega(k)m)] \doteq z^n \Omega^m$ . The dispersion relation for these linear waves is given by

$$(16) \quad \Omega = e^{-i\omega} = -\frac{(\sigma + 1)z + \sigma - 1}{(\sigma - 1)z + \sigma + 1},$$

namely

$$\omega = -\arctan \left[ \frac{2\sigma \sin k}{(\sigma^2 + 1) \cos k + \sigma^2 - 1} \right].$$

From eq. (16), by differentiation with respect to  $k$ , we get the group velocity:

$$(17) \quad \omega_{,k} = -\frac{4\sigma z}{[(\sigma + 1)z + \sigma - 1][(\sigma - 1)z + \sigma + 1]}.$$

We now look for real solutions of the nonlinear equation (14) written as a combination of modulated waves:

$$(18) \quad u_{n,m} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^{\beta_s} \psi_{n,m}^{(s)} (E_{n,m})^s + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^{\beta_s} \bar{\psi}_{n,m}^{(s)} (\bar{E}_{n,m})^s,$$

where the functions  $\psi_{n,m}^{(s)}$  are slowly varying functions on the lattice, i.e.  $\psi_{n,m}^{(s)} = \psi_{n_1, m_1, m_2}^{(s)}$  and  $\epsilon^\gamma = N^{-1}$ . By  $\bar{b}$  we mean the complex conjugate of a complex quantity  $b$  so that, for example,  $\bar{E}_{n,m} = (E_{n,m})^{-1}$ . The positive numbers  $\beta_s$  are to be determined in such a way that :

- (1)  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_s \forall s = 0, 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ . In general it is possible to set  $\beta_1 = 1$ .

- (2) In the equation for  $\psi_{n,m}^{(1)} = \psi_{n,m}$ , the lowest order nonlinear terms should match the slow time derivative of the linear part after having solved all linear equations. This will provide a relation between  $\gamma$  and the  $\beta_s$ .

Introducing the expansion (18) in the P $\Delta$ E obtained from equation (14) after the change of variable  $u_{n,m} \mapsto p/q + u_{n,m}$ , we analyze the coefficients of the various harmonics  $(E_{n,m})^s$  for  $s = 1$ ,  $s = 2$  and  $s = 0$  and, as assuming that  $\beta_s$  increases with  $s$ , the nonlinear terms will depend only on the lowest  $s$  terms, we came to the conclusion that we can choose  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\beta_0 = 2$ ,  $\beta_s = s$ ,  $s \geq 1$ . The discrete slow varying variables  $n_1$ ,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are defined in terms of  $n$  and  $m$  by:

$$n_1 \doteq \frac{M_1 n}{N}, \quad m_1 \doteq \frac{M_2 m}{N}, \quad m_2 \doteq \frac{n}{N^2}.$$

For  $s = 1$  we get, at the lowest order in  $\epsilon$ , a linear equation which is identically solved by the dispersion relation (16).

At  $\epsilon^2$  we get the linear equation

$$(19) \quad M_1 z [(\sigma - 1) \Omega + \sigma + 1] (\psi_{n_1+1, m_1, m_2} - \psi_{n_1-1, m_1, m_2}) + \\ + M_2 \Omega [(\sigma - 1) z + \sigma + 1] (\psi_{n_1, m_1+1, m_2} - \psi_{n_1, m_1-1, m_2}) = 0,$$

whose solution is given by  $\psi_{n_1, m_1, m_2} = \phi_{n_2, m_2}$ ,  $n_2 = n_1 - m_1$ , provided that the integers  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are chosen as

$$(20) \quad M_1 = S \Omega [(\sigma - 1) z + \sigma + 1], \quad M_2 = S z [(\sigma - 1) \Omega + \sigma + 1],$$

where  $S \in \mathbb{C}$  is a constant. Defining  $S = \rho \exp(i\theta)$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$ , we can choose  $\theta$  and  $\rho$  in such a way that  $M_1$  is an integer number:

$$\theta = -\arctan \left[ \frac{(\sigma + 1) \sin k}{(\sigma + 1) \cos k + \sigma - 1} \right] + \ell \pi, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\rho = (-1)^\ell M_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(\sigma^2 - 1) \cos k + \sigma^2 + 1]^{-1/2}.$$

The request that also  $M_2$  is an integer impose a constraint on  $k$  as  $M_2 = \omega_{,k} M_1$ , i.e.  $\omega_{,k} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , see eqs. (17) and (20). Let us notice that also  $n_2 = n_1 + m_1$  solves eq. (19) by an appropriate choice of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .

At  $\epsilon^3$  we get a nonlinear equation for  $\phi_{n_2, m_2}$  which depends on  $\psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(0)}$  and  $\psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(2)}$ :

$$(21) \quad \phi_{n_2, m_2+1} - \phi_{n_2, m_2} + c_1 (\phi_{n_2+2, m_2} + \phi_{n_2-2, m_2} - 2\phi_{n_2, m_2}) + \\ + c_2 (\phi_{n_2+1, m_2} + \phi_{n_2-1, m_2} - 2\phi_{n_2, m_2}) + c_3 \phi_{n_2, m_2} |\phi_{n_2, m_2}|^2 + \\ + c_4 \psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(0)} \phi_{n_2, m_2} + c_5 \psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(2)} \bar{\phi}_{n_2, m_2} = 0,$$

where the  $c_i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , are known coefficients depending on  $z$ ,  $S$  and the lattice parameters  $p, q$ .

The functions  $\psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(0)}$  and  $\psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(2)}$  that appear in equation (21) are obtained considering the equations for the harmonics  $s = 0$ , at the third order in  $\epsilon$ , and  $s = 2$  at the second one. We get:

$$(22) \quad \psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(0)} = \frac{q}{p} |\phi_{n_2, m_2}|^2, \quad \psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{p} (\phi_{n_2, m_2})^2.$$

Inserting  $\psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(0)}$  and  $\psi_{n_2, m_2}^{(2)}$  given by eqs. (22) in equation (21) we obtain the following nonlinear lattice equation:

$$(23) \quad i(\phi_{n_2, m_2+1} - \phi_{n_2, m_2}) + \hat{c}_1(\phi_{n_2+2, m_2} + \phi_{n_2-2, m_2} - 2\phi_{n_2, m_2}) + \hat{c}_2(\phi_{n_2+1, m_2} + \phi_{n_2-1, m_2} - 2\phi_{n_2, m_2}) + \hat{c}_3\phi_{n_2, m_2}|\phi_{n_2, m_2}|^2 = 0,$$

where the coefficients  $\hat{c}_i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , can be computed also recalling that  $z \doteq \exp(ik)$ . They read:

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{c}_1 &= i \frac{M_2^2(\sigma - 1)[(\sigma + 1)(\cos k + i \sin k) + \sigma + 1]}{16\sigma}, \\ \hat{c}_2 &= -i \frac{M_2^2(\sigma - 1)[(\sigma + 1)\cos k + \sigma + 1]}{4\sigma}, \\ \hat{c}_3 &= \frac{2q^4(\sigma^2 - 1)\sin^3 k}{[(\sigma^2 - 1)\cos k + \sigma^2 + 1]}. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients (24) depend just on the integer constant  $M_2$ .

Taking into account that  $\phi_{n_2, m_2}$  is a slow varying function of order 2 in  $n_2$  we can, using the remark 2, substitute  $\phi_{n_2+2, m_2}$  by  $3\phi_{n_2+1, m_2} - 3\phi_{n_2, m_2} + \phi_{n_2-1, m_2}$  and  $\phi_{n_2-2, m_2}$  by  $3\phi_{n_2-1, m_2} - 3\phi_{n_2, m_2} + \phi_{n_2+1, m_2}$ . In such a way eq. (23) becomes

$$(25) \quad i(\phi_{n_2, m_2+1} - \phi_{n_2, m_2}) + (4\hat{c}_1 + \hat{c}_2)(\phi_{n_2+1, m_2} + \phi_{n_2-1, m_2} - 2\phi_{n_2, m_2}) + \hat{c}_3\phi_{n_2, m_2}|\phi_{n_2, m_2}|^2 = 0,$$

where

$$(26) \quad 4\hat{c}_1 + \hat{c}_2 = -\frac{M_2^2(\sigma^2 - 1)\sin k}{4\sigma}.$$

The PΔE (25) is a *completely discrete and local* NLS equation depending just on neighboring lattice points. At difference from the Ablowitz and Ladik [1] discrete NLS, the nonlinear term in equation (25) is completely local. The PΔE (25) has a natural continuous limit when  $m_2 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n_2 \rightarrow \infty$  which, as the coefficients (26) and  $\hat{c}_3$  are real, is just the well known integrable NLS equation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author D.L. thanks the Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei (China) for its kind hospitality and the ICTP (Trieste, Italy) which made its visit to China possible by providing a Visiting Scholars/Consultants fellowship. D.L. was partially supported by PRIN Project ‘‘SINTESI-2004’’ of the Italian Minister for Education and Scientific Research and from the Projects *Sistemi dinamici nonlineari discreti: simmetrie ed integrabilit a* and *Simmetria e riduzione di equazioni differenziali di interesse fisico-matematico* of GNFM-INDAM.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz and J.K. Ladik, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **55**, 213 (1976).
- [2] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables* (Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1992).
- [3] F. Calogero and W. Eckhaus, *Inverse Problems* **3**, L27 (1987).
- [4] C. Jordan, *Calculus of finite differences* (R ottig and Romwalter, Sopron, 1939).
- [5] J. Leon and M. Manna, *Jour. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32**, 2845 (1999).
- [6] D. Levi, *Jour. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 7677 (2005).
- [7] D. Levi and H. Heredero, *Jour. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **12**, suppl. 1, 440 (2005).

- [8] D. Levi and M. Petrera, math-ph/0510084, submitted to *Jour. Math. Phys.*.
- [9] R. Sahadevan and H.W. Capel, *Physica A* **330**, 373 (2003).
- [10] T. Taniuti, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **55**, 1 (1974).
- [11] T. Taniuti and K. Nishihara, *Nonlinear waves* (Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, Pitman, Boston, 1983).
- [12] R. Yamilov, review article in preparation.
- [13] V.E. Zhakarov and E.A. Kuznetsov, *Physica* **18D**, 455 (1986).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, PEOPLES REPUBLIC OF CHINA, E-MAIL: JXD@USTC.EDU.CN

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA ELETTRONICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA TRE AND SEZIONE INFN, ROMA TRE, VIA DELLA VASCA NAVALE 84, 00142 ROMA, ITALY, E-MAIL: LEVI@FIS.UNIROMA3.IT

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA E. AMALDI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA TRE AND SEZIONE INFN, ROMA TRE, VIA DELLA VASCA NAVALE 84, 00142 ROMA, ITALY, E-MAIL: PETRERA@FIS.UNIROMA3.IT