

On the notion of a differential operator in noncommutative geometry

G. Sardanashvily

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia

E-mail: sard@grav.phys.msu.su

URL: <http://webcenter.ru/~sardan/>

Abstract. The algebraic notion of a differential operator on a module over a commutative ring is not extended to a module over a noncommutative ring.

Let \mathcal{K} be a commutative ring and \mathcal{A} a commutative \mathcal{K} -ring. By a module P over a commutative ring \mathcal{A} throughout is meant a central bimodule, i.e., $ap = pa$ for all $p \in P$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let Q be another \mathcal{A} -module. There are three equivalent definitions of a Q -valued differential operator on an \mathcal{A} -module P [1, 2, 3] (see items (i) – (iii)). None of them is extended to modules over a noncommutative ring. Therefore, a different definition is suggested.

Note that the definition (iii) is based on the notion of jet modules of a commutative ring. These jet modules provide the standard formulation of the Lagrangian formalism on modules over commutative and graded commutative rings [2, 4, 5]. However, the notion of a jet module fails to be extended to a noncommutative ring.

(i) Let P and Q be modules over a commutative \mathcal{K} -ring \mathcal{A} . The \mathcal{K} -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ of \mathcal{K} -module homomorphisms $\phi : P \rightarrow Q$ can be endowed with the two \mathcal{A} -module structures

$$(a\phi)(p) := a\phi(p), \quad (a \star \phi)(p) := \phi(ap), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad p \in P. \quad (1)$$

For the sake of convenience, we will refer to the second one as the \mathcal{A}^* -module structure. Let us put

$$\delta_c \phi = c\phi - c \star \phi, \quad c \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2)$$

An element $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ is called a Q -valued s -order differential operator on P if

$$\delta_{c_0} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_{c_s} \Delta = 0$$

for any tuple of $s + 1$ elements c_0, \dots, c_s of \mathcal{A} . The set $\text{Diff}_s(P, Q)$ of these operators inherits the \mathcal{A} -module structures (1), and $\text{Diff}_{s-1}(P, Q) \subset \text{Diff}_s(P, Q)$. For instance, zero

order differential operators are \mathcal{A} -module homomorphisms of P to Q , i.e., $\text{Diff}_0(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, Q)$. For our purpose, it suffices to consider first order differential operators. A first order differential operator Δ satisfies the condition

$$\delta_a \circ \delta_b \Delta(p) = \Delta(abp) - a\Delta(bp) - b\Delta(ap) + ab\Delta(p) = 0, \quad b, a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3)$$

(ii) One can think of a ring \mathcal{A} as being an \mathcal{A} -module generated by its unit element $\mathbf{1}$. A Q -valued first order differential operator Δ on \mathcal{A} fulfils the relation

$$\Delta(ab) = a\Delta(b) + b\Delta(a) - ab\Delta(\mathbf{1}), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (4)$$

It is a Q -valued derivation of \mathcal{A} if $\Delta(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, i.e., the Leibniz rule

$$\Delta(ab) = a\Delta(b) + b\Delta(a), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (5)$$

holds. Hence, any first order differential operator on \mathcal{A} falls into the sum

$$\Delta(a) = a\Delta(\mathbf{1}) + [\Delta(a) - a\Delta(\mathbf{1})]$$

of a zero order differential operator $a\Delta(\mathbf{1})$ and a derivation $\Delta(a) - a\Delta(\mathbf{1})$. Note that any zero order differential operator Δ on \mathcal{A} is uniquely given by its value $\Delta(\mathbf{1})$. Then, there is the \mathcal{A} -module isomorphism $\text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{A}, Q) = Q$ via the association

$$Q \ni q \mapsto \Delta_q \in \text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{A}, Q),$$

where Δ_q is defined by the equality $\Delta_q(\mathbf{1}) = q$. Let us consider the \mathcal{A} -module morphism

$$h : \text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q) \rightarrow Q, \quad h(\Delta) = \Delta(\mathbf{1}). \quad (6)$$

One can show that any Q -valued first order differential operator $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_1(P, Q)$ on P uniquely factorizes

$$\Delta : P \xrightarrow{f_\Delta} \text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q) \xrightarrow{h} Q \quad (7)$$

through the morphism h (6) and some homomorphism

$$f_\Delta : P \rightarrow \text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q), \quad (f_\Delta p)(a) = \Delta(ap), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (8)$$

of the \mathcal{A} -module P to the \mathcal{A}^* -module $\text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q)$. Hence, the assignment $\Delta \mapsto f_\Delta$ defines the isomorphism

$$\text{Diff}_1(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, \text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q)). \quad (9)$$

(iii) Given an \mathcal{A} -module P , let us consider the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P$ over \mathcal{K} . We put

$$\delta^b(a \otimes p) = (ba) \otimes p - a \otimes (bp), \quad p \in P, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (10)$$

Let us denote by μ^{k+1} the submodule of $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P$ generated by elements of the type

$$\delta^{b_0} \circ \dots \circ \delta^{b_k}(a \otimes p).$$

The k -order jet module $\mathfrak{J}^k(P)$ of the module P is defined as the quotient of the \mathcal{K} -module $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} P$ by μ^{k+1} . We denote its elements $a \otimes_k p$. In particular, the first order jet module $\mathfrak{J}^1(P)$ consists of elements $a \otimes p$ modulo the relations

$$\delta^a \circ \delta^b(\mathbf{1} \otimes p) = \mathbf{1} \otimes (abp) - a \otimes (bp) - b \otimes (ap) + ab \otimes p = 0. \quad (11)$$

The \mathcal{K} -module $\mathfrak{J}^1(P)$ is endowed with the following two \mathcal{A} -module structures:

$$b(a \otimes_k p) := ba \otimes_k p, \quad b \star (a \otimes_k p) := a \otimes_k (bp). \quad (12)$$

There exists the module morphism

$$J^1 : P \ni p \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \otimes_1 p \in \mathfrak{J}^1(P) \quad (13)$$

of the \mathcal{A} -module P to the \mathcal{A}^* -module $\mathfrak{J}^1(P)$ such that $\mathfrak{J}^1(P)$ seen as an \mathcal{A} -module is generated by elements $J^1 p$, $p \in P$. Conversely, there is the epimorphism

$$\pi_0^1 : \mathfrak{J}^1(P) \ni a \otimes_1 p \rightarrow ap \in P. \quad (14)$$

A glance at δ_b (2) and δ^b (10) shows that the morphism J^1 (13) is a first order differential operator on P . As a consequence, any Q -valued first order differential operator Δ on P uniquely factorizes

$$\Delta : P \xrightarrow{J^1} \mathfrak{J}^1(P) \xrightarrow{f^\Delta} Q \quad (15)$$

through the morphism J^1 (13) and some \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism $f^\Delta : \mathfrak{J}^1(P) \rightarrow Q$. The assignment $\Delta \mapsto f^\Delta$ defines the isomorphism

$$\text{Diff}_1(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{J}^1(P), Q). \quad (16)$$

Now, let \mathcal{A} be a noncommutative \mathcal{K} -ring and P a \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the center of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{Z}_P the center of P (i.e., \mathcal{Z}_P consists of elements $p \in P$ such that $ap = pa$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$). Let Q be another \mathcal{A} -bimodule. The \mathcal{K} -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, Q)$ can be provided

with the left \mathcal{A} -module structures (1) and the similar right ones. The left \mathcal{A} -module homomorphisms $\Delta : P \rightarrow Q$ obey the conditions $\delta_c \Delta = 0$ and, therefore, can be regarded as left Q -valued zero order differential operators on P . One can also write the condition (3). However, a problem is that, if \mathcal{A} is noncommutative, zero order differential operators (e.g., $Q = P$ and $\Delta = \text{Id } P$) fail to satisfy this condition.

If $P = \mathcal{A}$, a Q -valued zero order differential operator Δ on \mathcal{A} takes its value $\Delta(\mathbf{1})$ only in the center \mathcal{Z}_Q of Q . Therefore, one can rewrite the condition (4) as

$$\Delta(ab) = a\Delta(b) + \Delta(a)b - ab\Delta(\mathbf{1}), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This provides the definition of a Q -valued first order differential operator Δ on \mathcal{A} . It is the sum of a Q -valued derivation ∂ of \mathcal{A} which obeys the Leibniz rule

$$\partial(ab) = (\partial a)b + a\partial b, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (17)$$

and some zero order differential operator. Therefore, we have $\Delta(\mathbf{1}) \in \mathcal{Z}_Q$. The Q -valued first order differential operators on \mathcal{A} make up a left $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{A}$ -module $\text{Diff}_1(\mathcal{A}, Q)$. Then, one may try to define a Q -valued first order differential operator on an \mathcal{A} -bimodule P as the composition $\Delta = h \circ \mathfrak{f}$ (7). However, such an operator takes its values only in the center \mathcal{Z}_Q of Q since $\Delta(p) = (\mathfrak{f}p)(\mathbf{1}) \in \mathcal{Z}_Q$.

The composition (15) fails to provide the definition of a differential operator on a module over a noncommutative ring either. The key point is that the jet module of a noncommutative ring is ill defined. Namely, the projection π_0^1 (14) of the zero element (11) in $J^1(P)$ fails to be zero in P .

Using the fact that derivations of a noncommutative \mathcal{K} -ring \mathcal{A} with values in an \mathcal{A} -bimodule are well defined, one can suggest the following definition of first order differential operators on modules over a noncommutative rings. Let P and Q be bimodules over a noncommutative \mathcal{K} -ring \mathcal{A} . A \mathcal{K} -module homomorphism $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{K}(P, Q)$ of P to Q is said to be a Q -valued first order differential operator on P if it obeys the condition

$$\Delta(apb) = (\vec{\partial} a)(p)b + a\Delta(p)b + a(\overleftarrow{\partial} b)(p), \quad (18)$$

where $\vec{\partial}$ and $\overleftarrow{\partial}$ are derivations of \mathcal{A} which take their values in the modules $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}^r(P, Q)$ and $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}^l(P, Q)$ of right \mathcal{A} -module homomorphisms and left \mathcal{A} -module homomorphisms of P to Q , respectively. Namely, $(\vec{\partial} a)(pb) = (\vec{\partial} a)(p)b$ and $(\overleftarrow{\partial} b)(ap) = a(\overleftarrow{\partial} b)(p)$. Note that $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}^r(P, Q)$ and $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}^l(P, Q)$ are \mathcal{A} -bimodules such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a\phi)(p) &:= a\phi(p), & (\phi a)(p) &:= \phi(ap), & \phi &\in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}^r(P, Q), \\ (a\varphi)(p) &:= \varphi(pa), & (\varphi a)(p) &:= \varphi(p)a, & \varphi &\in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{A}^l(P, Q), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

For instance, let $P = P^*$ be a differential calculus over a \mathcal{K} -ring \mathcal{A} provided with an associative multiplication \circ and a coboundary operator d . Then, d is a P -valued first order differential operator on P . It obeys the condition (18) which reads

$$d(apb) = (da) \circ pb + a(dp)b + ap \circ db.$$

Another important example is a Dubois–Violette connection ∇ on an \mathcal{A} -bimodule P [2, 3, 6]. It associates to every \mathcal{A} -valued derivation u of \mathcal{A} a P -valued first order differential operator ∇_u which obeys the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_u(apb) = u(a)pb + a\nabla_u(p)b + apu(b).$$

References

- [1] I.Krasil'shchik, V.Lychagin and A.Vinogradov, *Geometry of Jet Spaces and Non-linear Partial Differential Equations* (Gordon and Breach, Glasgow, 1985).
- [2] L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *Connections in Classical and Quantum Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).
- [3] L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, Jets and connections in commutative and non-commutative geometry, *E-print arXiv: math-ph/9911030*.
- [4] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, Iterated BRST cohomology, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **53** (2000) 143.
- [5] G.Sardanashvily, Cohomology of the variational complex in field-antifield BRST theory, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** (2001) 1531.
- [6] M.Dubois-Violette and P.Michor, Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry, *J. Geom. Phys.* **20** (1996) 218.