

SU-4240-690

IMSc 98/07/40

August 8, 2018

# Knot Solitons

**T. R. Govindarajan**<sup>†</sup>

*Dept of Physics, Syracuse University*

*Syracuse, NY 13244-1130, USA*

and

*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences*<sup>††</sup>

*Chennai 600 113, India*

**Abstract:** The existence of ring-like and knotted solitons in  $O(3)$  non-linear  $\sigma$  model is analysed. The role of isotopy of knots/links in classifying such solitons is pointed out. Appearance of torus knot solitons is seen.

---

<sup>†</sup>*trgovind@suhep.phy.syr.edu; trg@imsc.ernet.in* <sup>††</sup> *permanent address*

**Introduction:** Recently the possible existence of knotlike solitons in nonlinear field theories has been argued[1, 2]. The toroidal solitons have been studied in 3-d field theories for the past two decades[3, 4, 5]. Several numerical attempts using various ansatzes have been made to study these solitons[6, 7]. There also exists contradicting results regarding the ringlike nature of the solitons for low values of topological quantum numbers[8]. The exciting possibility of the Nonabelian gauge theories being described by new variables which will have the component of nonlinear sigma model have been pointed out[2]. This will lead to presence of exotic solitons and new applications in QCD.

In this letter we point out the arguments in favor of knotted solitons and ways of characterising them, in the specific well studied O(3) sigma model. We point out that solitons in this model are characterised in addition to the Hopf number also by the genus of the seifert surface for the torus knot. We also point out only torus knots appear in these models.

The O(3) nonlinear sigma model in 3 + 1 dimensions is defined by a vector field  $\mathbf{n}$  at every point in the space. The configuration space of the system is characterised by maps from  $R^3 \rightarrow S^2$ . The action can be written as

$$S = \int d^4x (\alpha^2 (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n})^2 + \epsilon^2 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n})^2 + \nu(1 - n_3)) \quad (1)$$

This is the well known Faddeev model[9]. The unit vector field  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$  goes to a constant vector say  $col(0, 0, 1)$  at  $\infty$ . The configuration space for such a model is then specified by maps from  $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ . Normally the solitons sectors for such a system are obtained by the path-connectedness or  $\Pi_0(\text{configuration space})$  [10, 11, 12]. This in this case is given by

$$\Pi_0(Q) = \Pi_3(S^2) = \mathcal{Z} \quad (2)$$

Without the presence of the fourth order term in the action the solitons would prefer to be have zero size which can be seen by rescaling[1]. Because of the second term the finite size solitons can exist. The second term is also unique in the sense it is the term that can be added with a maximum of two time derivatives required for second order equations of motion. The symmetry of the action is  $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ . It was shown in [3] that the symmetry

of the nontrivial soliton cannot be more than  $Diag (U(1) \otimes U(1))$ . It is easy to see how these solitons which are known as torons arise. They are classified by the appropriate hopf index of the maps  $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ . It is also known[3, 13] that soliton mass obeys the bound given by

$$H \geq \epsilon \alpha (4\pi)^2 3^{\frac{3}{8}} \sqrt{2} |Q|^{\frac{3}{4}}. \quad (3)$$

where  $Q$  is the topological charge.

It has to be understood that the actual maps have to be differentiable in order to make sense in the Hamiltonian. Also we will assume that the maps are exponentially falling off which is ensured by the mass term added at the end of the action Eq.(1). Hence in principle we should seek classification of maps which are differentiable and exponentially decreasing. It was argued numerically that torons with such boundary conditions can exist by many authors. It was also argued that in addition to such torons (which we may call as unknots following standard terminology of knot theory) there can also be knotted solitons particularly for the higher topological charges.

Now we will look at these knotted solitons from a new perspective emerging from the well known techniques used to establish spin-statistics theorem in 2+1 dimensions. Consider the same nonlinear sigma model in 2+1 dimensions specified by the action,

$$S = \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \partial^\mu \mathbf{n} \right) + S_{Hopf} \quad (4)$$

Here the solitons are labelled by  $\Pi_0(S^2 \rightarrow S^2)$  which is also  $\mathcal{Z}$ . A typical soliton map of topological number  $m$  is given by[14, 15]

$$\mathbf{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta(r) \cos m\phi \\ \sin \theta(r) \sin m\phi \\ \cos \theta(r) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where  $\theta(r) = 0$  at  $\infty$  and  $\pi$  at  $r = 0$ .

The spin and statistics of such a soliton is to be obtained through the fundamental group of the configuration space which is  $\Pi_3(S^2) = \mathcal{Z}$ . As mentioned earlier this is given by Hopf

index of the map. One can add a term to the action with a coefficient  $\Theta$  which reproduces the spin and exchange statistics[16]. This is included in the action and explicitly it is given by

$$S_{Hopf} = \frac{\Theta}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} A_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} \quad (6)$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ . In this case Hopf index one configuration will have spin  $= \frac{\Theta}{2\pi}$ . A typical time dependent configuration can be presented as a ‘soliton’ and an ‘anti-soliton’ created at time  $t_0$  and annihilated at time  $t_1$ . In between  $t_0$  and  $t_1$  the soliton is rotated through an angle  $2\pi$ . This can be pictured as follows.




Fig.1.

Such a configuration has Hopf index = 1 as can be seen by the linking number of the preimages of two points[17]. This accounts for the spin of the 2+1 d soliton. If we consider soliton-anti soliton pairs created at two different locations exchange and further annihilation of the solitons give the configuration pictured in Fig.2.





Fig.2.

Note that Fig.1 and Fig.2 correspond to maps which are homotopically and isotopically are equivalent. These configurations will serve typically as 3 dimensional torons. Higher

topological number torons are obtained through rotation through  $2n\pi$  in Fig.1. It is obvious the maximally symmetric configurations will have a symmetry  $Diag (U(1) \otimes U(1))$ . The size and thickness of the toron will be fixed by the parameters of the 3 dimensional action. If we proceed to construct the Hopf-index 3 configuration through the exchange process we will get the following configuration.



It is easy to see that this is typically the trefoil knot soliton. Even though the Hopf-index is 3 and two configurations are homotopically equivalent they are not ‘isotopic’ to each other. *This brings in the question of isotopy of the links of two preimages of the maps as an important tool to classify the solitons.*

Now we shall focus on how to characterize the solitons in 3-dimensions. Though explicit solutions are not available these characterisations will serve as a starting points of variational computations. This will be presented in future paper. First we go to stereographic coordinates to specify compactified  $R^3(r^2 = \sum x_i^2)$ .

$$X_i = \frac{2x_i}{1 + r^2} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (7)$$

and

$$X_4 = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2} \quad (8)$$

Define

$$Z_1 = X_1 + i X_2, \quad Z_2 = X_3 + i X_4 \quad (9)$$

With these definitions it is easy to see  $|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2 = 1$ . Similarly we can parametrize the unit vector field  $\mathbf{n}$  by a complex function  $\omega$ . The standard definition is

$$n_1 + i n_2 = \frac{2\omega}{1 + |\omega|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad n_3 = \frac{1 - |\omega|^2}{1 + |\omega|^2} \quad (10)$$

The action can be rewritten in terms of  $\omega$  as:

$$S = \int \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \frac{|d\omega|^2}{(1 + |\omega|^2)^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{16} \frac{(d\omega \wedge d\bar{\omega})^2}{(1 + |\omega|^2)^4} + \nu \frac{2}{(1 + |\omega|^2)} \quad (11)$$

We can adopt the angular coordinates for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ ,

$$Z_1 = \cos \theta e^{i\psi} \quad Z_2 = \sin \theta e^{i\chi} \quad (12)$$

Then  $\omega = \cot \theta e^{i(\psi - \chi)}$ , will have Hopf number 1, but the energy density will not be ring like. A simple modification like

$$\omega = f(\rho, z) \cot \theta e^{i(\psi - \chi)} \quad (13)$$

with the function  $f$  falling off away from  $\rho = 1$  and  $z = 0$ , will have the requisite ring structure. The Hopf-index can be easily seen from the linking number[17] of preimages of two points on  $S^2$ . The higher solitons could be obtained from  $\frac{Z_1^m}{Z_2}$  or  $\cot \theta e^{i(m\psi - \chi)}$ .

To obtain the knotted solitons a known result from[18]. For example if we consider

$$g(Z_1, Z_2) = Z_1^2 + Z_2^p \quad (14)$$


with  $p$  odd, then the surface  $g(Z_1, Z_2) = 0$  cuts the  $S^3$ , namely  $|Z_1|^2 + |Z_2|^2 = 1$  along a torus knot  $(2,p)$ . When  $p = 1$  we get unknot. When  $p = 3$  we get the trefoil knot. So a map

$$\omega = \frac{Z_1^2 + Z_2^3}{Z_2} \quad (15)$$

will have the trefoil knot structure and Hopf-index = 3. One should add the damping function for variational minimisation of the energy. An interesting offshoot is when  $p = \text{even}$  one obtains a link. For example  $p = 2$  the map is

$$\omega = \frac{Z_1^2 + Z_2^2}{Z_2} \quad (16)$$

and one gets Hopf link. This can be seen in the following exchange diagram.



This will lead to a “molecule” or boundstate of two solitons of topological charge = 1. Infact this kind of structures have been pointed out by Thompson himself while proposing knots as model for atoms[19]. The important characterisation for the ‘isotopy’ of such knots would be the genus  $g$  of the Seifert surface bounded by the knot[18] which for the maps in Eq.(14)  $g = \frac{p-1}{2}$ .

In conclusion we have pointed out a new perspective to look at the ring like and knotted solitons in 3d starting from 2d solitons. Also a new characterisation is proposed on isotopy of maps which will be interesting tool to analyse these solitons. This raises the important question that if such rings/knots are the basic solitons what is the statistics of such solitons. Already some interesting work in this direction has been done[20]. If such objects are present in the analysis of pure non-abelian gauge theories as pointed out by Faddeev and Niemi it would be interesting to quantize such solitons through effective actions and get the spectrum. Further work on variational procedure based on these will be reported later.

**Acknowledgements:** I would like to thank R. K. Kaul and A. P. Balachandran for discussions. This work had the support by the DOE through DE-FG02-85ER40231.

## References

- [1] L. Faddeev and A. J. Niemi Nature **387** (1997) 58; hep-th 9705176.
- [2] L. Faddeev and A. J. Niemi hep-th 9807069.
- [3] A. F. Vakulenko and L. V. Kapitanski Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR **246** (1979) 840; A. Kundu and Y. P. Rubakov J. Phys. **A15** (1982) 269.
- [4] H. J. deVega Phys. Rev **D18** (1978) 2945.
- [5] Y. S. Wu and A. Zee Nucl. Phys.**B 324** (1989) 623.
- [6] J. Gladikowski and M. Hellmund Phys. Rev **D56** (1997) 5194; hep-th 9609 035.
- [7] R. A. Battye and P. M. Sutcliffe hep-th 9808129.
- [8] R. A. Battye and P. M. Sutcliffe hep-th 9811077.
- [9] L. Faddeev Princeton preprint IAS-75-QS70,1975.
- [10] T. H. R. Skyrme Nucl. Phys. **31** (1962) 556.
- [11] D. Finkelstein and J. Rubinstein Jour.Math.Phys.**9** (1968) 1762.
- [12] A. P. Balachandran, G. Marmo, B. S. Skagerstam and A. Stern, ‘Classical topology and quantum states’, world Scientific 1991.
- [13] A. Kundu Can. J. Phys **59** (1981) 1609.
- [14] A. A. Belavin and A. M. Polyakov JETP Letts.**22** (1975) 245.
- [15] R. Rajaraman, ‘Solitons and Instantons-An Introduction to solitons and Instantons in quantum field theory’, North-Holland, 1982.
- [16] F. Wilczek and A. Zee Phys. Rev. Letts.**51** (1983) 2250.



- [17] R. Bott and L. W. Wu ‘Differential forms in Algebraic topology’ Springer-verlag NY 1982.
- [18] J. W. Milnor, ‘Singular points of complex hypersurfaces’, Princeton Univ. press, 1968.
- [19] W. Thompson and B. Kelvin Royal Soc.Edinburgh **25** (1869) 217; See also ‘Knots and Applications’, Ed L. H. Kauffmann, World Scientific, 1995.
- [20] A. P. Balachandran (private communication).