

Observational Tests of the Topology of Planckian Space-Time

Marco SPAANS^{*)}

*Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, MS 51,
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

(Received January 10, 2014)

Observational diagnostics are constructed which reflect the underlying topology of Planckian space-time, and are directly related to phenomena on much larger scales. Specific predictions are made for the masses of elementary particles, coupling constants, quark confinement, black hole states, and the cosmological constant. In particular, a mass of 131.6 GeV is found for the Higgs boson.

The main presented result is a discrete spectral signature at inverse integer multiples of the zero point frequency $\nu_0 = 857.3588$ MHz (34.96698 cm). That is, each photon of frequency $\nu_0 m$, for an integer m , is paired with an otherwise identical photon ν_0/m , produced by the vacuum, but not vice versa. The reader interested in the latter result only, should proceed to Section 6 after the Introduction.

§1. Introduction

Recently, it has been proposed that space-time on the Planck scale exhibits a definite non-trivial topology generated by the three-torus T^3 and the handle $S^1 \times S^2$ [1,2]. The interested reader is referred to these papers for an exhaustive description of the resulting theory. Only those elements relevant to the investigations of this work will be discussed in more detail in the subsequent sections. A summary, not aimed at being comprehensive, of the formalism in [1,2] is as follows. A thought experiment leads to the ground state of the Universe as the Lorentz invariant topological manifold $Q = 2T^3 \oplus 3S^1 \times S^2 \equiv P \oplus \Theta$ with a $\lambda\phi^4 + \mu^2\phi^2$ self-interaction potential, and an equation of motion

$$4\pi i \partial_\nu \phi [(\partial^\nu q_\lambda) q^\mu q_\mu - (\partial^\nu q_\mu) q^\mu q_\lambda] = q_\lambda q^\mu \square q_\mu - q^\mu q_\mu \square q_\lambda, \quad (1a)$$

$$q^\mu q_\mu = \text{cst}, \quad (1b)$$

for the four component wave function q_λ . The manifold Q has $23 = 2 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 3$ (14 for P , 9 for Θ) degrees of freedom, corresponding to the number of elementary particles which depend on the homotopy of space-time, i.e. excluding the photon and graviton. The intrinsic measures of Q , P and Θ are 23^{-3} , 14^{-3} and 7^{-3} , respectively, where the cubic powers reflect the three-fold identifications of the three-torus. When the mini black holes evaporate the *lattice* of three-tori, $L(T^3)$, is described by the equation of motion

$$q^\mu q_\mu \square q_\lambda = q_\lambda q^\mu \square q_\mu, \quad (2)$$

until the temperature of the Universe drops below the grand unification energy. The wave function q_λ has four components corresponding to the $e_M = 4$ homotopically

^{*)} Hubble Fellow, E-mail: mspaans@cfa.harvard.edu

inequivalent paths through $L(T^3)$. The periodic structure of $L(T^3)$ implies

$$q_\lambda(x, y, z, t) = q_\lambda(x + L_1, y + L_2, z + L_3, t), \quad (3)$$

as boundary conditions, i.e. *topological identifications*, for the wave function, with $L_i = n_i \ell_{\text{Planck}}$ and n_i positive integers. It is the structure $L(T^3)$ and the boundary conditions (3) which lie at the heart of (Planck scale) quantum physics.

In [1,2] predictions were made unique to this topological approach, indicated as topological dynamics (TD) from hereon, and those already observable were found to be consistent with current observational limits. A summary of the predictions needed in this work is 1) The unified theory generated by Q and $L(T^3)$ possesses a $SU(5)$ gauge group, Z_3 and $Z_2 \times Z_3$ discrete groups, and an anomalous $U(1)$ symmetry. 2) The cosmological constant (on the left hand side in the Einstein equation) is equal to $2m_{\text{Planck}}$ times the number density of (macroscopic) black holes in Planck units, and is therefore *almost* zero. 3) The electron mass equals $1 + \mathcal{A}/3m_e^0$ with $\mathcal{A} = (14/23)^3$ and $m_e^0 = m_{\text{Planck}}/23!$ up to *position dependent* quantum corrections discussed below, and the mass of the electron neutrino is $14!$ times smaller than that of the electron. 4) The GUT energy scale is $m_{\text{Planck}}/138$, $K = 1/138$ in Planck units, and partitioned over the 7 degrees of freedom of the three-torus. 5) The typical amplitude of the initial mass-energy fluctuations at the end of the GUT phase is 23^{-3} . 6) The numerical factor of $1/4$ in the expression of the black hole entropy is fixed by the topology of $L(T^3)$ and equals e_M^{-1} . 7) The masses of elementary particles can vary with position at a typical amplitude of 7^{-3} on cosmological scales, according to the probability distribution $q^\mu q_\mu$. 8) CP violation is mediated through time reversal violating vibrations in $L(T^3)$ with an energy $E_0 = 3.546 \times 10^{-6}$ eV.

The present work is concerned with the derivation of additional results in the context of TD. Since TD only has the speed of light, Planck's constant, and the gravitational constant as input parameters, *any* disagreement with observations or experiment will rule it out.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives predictions for the masses of elementary particles. Section 3 extends the notion of [2] that the inertia of material particles is a position dependent property of space-time itself through $q^\mu q_\mu$, and discusses some cosmological ramifications. Section 4 presents an exposition of the quantum states intrinsic to black holes. Section 5 discusses the spin lattice structure of space-time and its implications for QCD. Section 6 suggest a direct measurement of the physical reality of Q and $L(T^3)$ through the dual partners of photons. Section 7 contains the conclusions.

Sections 2 through 5 are essentially (sometimes tedious) enumerations of the degrees of freedom of Q and $L(T^3)$. The reader interested in the spectral signature, a feature clearly outside of the Standard Model, therefore should go to Section 6 directly.

§2. The Masses of Elementary Particles

The $SU(3)$ gauge potential of QCD is realized on the junctions of the lattice[2], and therefore acts on pairs of quarks. Since each three-torus in $L(T^3)$ has six neigh-

bours, there are $6!$ physically distinct orderings for the adjacent quarks. It follows that the doublet (u and d are both charged) mass ground state is $m_{u,d} = 6!m_e^0 = 340$ MeV. The s, c, b, and t excited mass states represent four topologically distinct configurations of the lattice, which yield mass multiplication factors given by the ratios of degeneracy factors $\delta_q/\delta_{u,d}$, with $\delta_{u,d} = 2$. One finds $\delta_s = 3$ and $\delta_c = 2\delta_s$ because there are three fermionic states supported by any three-torus[2], and twice that many by the doublet. It further follows that $\delta_b = \delta_s^3$ due to their possible combinations into triplets. Finally, $\delta_t = 4/3\delta_s^6$ for the doublet, where there are 4 homotopically inequivalent paths between the two three-tori, but 3 of these are associated with T^3 itself (the 1 is of course S^3). From these numbers one finds rest masses for s, c, b, and t given by $m_s = 510$ MeV, $m_c = 1.02$ GeV, $m_b = 4.59$ GeV, and $m_t = 165.3$ GeV respectively, in good agreement with available experiments.

The excited lepton states scale with the inverse of the anomalous $U(1)$ symmetry breaking scale[2], $\beta^{-1} = 9K = 9/138$, in Planck units. The ratios of the excited state masses to the ground state must be double and triple powers of β because the equation of motion (2) supports cubic self-interactions, with a quadratic scalar term. This yields masses for the muon and tau of 119.4 MeV and 1831 MeV, respectively, up to topological mass corrections, in good, 13% and 3%, agreement with observations. For respective their neutrinos one finds 1.37×10^{-3} eV and 0.021 eV. These results are consistent with SuperKamiokande findings[3].

Analogous to [2], there is a purely topological correction to these excited state masses due to the structure of Q and $L(T^3)$. The transition $Q \rightarrow T^3$, where Q contains two three-tori, yields an intrinsic accuracy $\mathcal{B} = (7/14)^3$. This number signifies the information regarding the μ state which needs to be recovered after the transition to the excited state. The sign of this mass correction is thus always negative, i.e. $-\mathcal{B}m_\mu$. One finds $1/4$ this number for the τ because the latter is a third order state, and can have any of the four paths through $L(T^3)$ attached to a (quadratic) S^1 loop. The same corrections apply to the associated neutrinos, but not to the quarks since they are not part of the electroweak sector. Hence the use of m_e^0 in the doublet quark ground state above. Once applied, these corrections yield approximately $\sim 7^{-3}$ agreement with experimental results, $m_\mu = 104.5$ MeV, $m_\tau = 1774$ MeV, $m_{\nu_\mu} = 1.20 \times 10^{-3}$ eV, $m_{\nu_\tau} = 0.02$ eV. In Section 5 the electroweak mixing angle and fine structure constant are computed, and the topological masses of the vector bosons are presented there.

Furthermore, the mass of the Higgs boson follows from $\lambda^{-1} = 7$, the number of degrees of freedom of an individual three-torus[2], and $m_H = \sqrt{(2v^2\lambda)}$ with the Fermi coupling constant $G = 1.16632 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV $^{-2} = v^{-2}/\sqrt{2}$ (see Section 5). This yields a value for the Higgs boson mass of

$m_H = 131.6$ GeV, consistent with current experimental limits.

Note that the probability distribution $q^\mu q_\mu$ for the rest masses of elementary particles is the same for all particle species, but that collapse proceeds independently for the ground and excited states because they are associated with topologically distinct configurations on Q and $L(T^3)$. Given that our Galactic environment is the result of a primordial density peak, it is to be expected that most of the expectation

values computed above are increased by a few times 7^{-3} .

§3. Spatial Variations in Inertia

3.1. Non-Gaussianity

The equation of motion (2) on $L(T^3)$ is solved by solutions to the linear Klein-Gordon equations

$$\square q_\lambda = -m^2 q_\lambda, \quad (4)$$

where the solution space is restricted by (3), and (4) can be rewritten as a general Proca equation for $m^2 \neq 0$ since the latter then yields $\partial^\mu q_\mu$. The solutions to (4) are taken here to be quadruple travelling wave forms for $m^2 = 0$

$$q_\lambda = f_\lambda(l_1x + l_2y + l_3z - t) + f_\lambda(l_1x + l_2y + l_3z + t), \quad (5)$$

where the direction cosines l_i satisfy

$$l_1^2 + l_2^2 + l_3^2 = 1, \quad (6a)$$

the functions f_λ have the standard forms for this wave equation limit, and the boundary conditions (3) further require that

$$l^i L_i = g^{ij} l_j L_i = 0, \quad (6b)$$

with g^{ij} the three-metric which relates the topological boundary conditions to the geometry of the Universe. The coefficients L_i determine the physical shape of the wave forms. It is the superposition S of this set of solutions over all possible L_i , and the l_i they allow, which determines the inertia of material particles in the Universe. Note that the functional forms in normalized coordinates of the f_λ generally will be different, depending on the solutions to (1).

The superposition of the $q_\lambda(l_i[L_i])$ through the dependent coefficients l_i need not be Gaussian. In fact, an investigation of the constraints for $g^{ij} = A(t)\delta^{ij}$ with a scalar A shows that S has non-Gaussian features because very anisotropic wave packages (lines and sheets) are part of the solution space, and move almost orthogonally to their major axis/axes, *if* one assumes that there are no preferred identifications between various three-tori. As all these sheets and lines are superposed, one creates small scale and large amplitude fluctuations in both the mass-energy *and* particle rest masses.

Note that a period of inflation is straightforwardly incorporated through the relation (6b) since it is invariant under a constant scale transformation. The possible durations Δt over which inflation occurs are determined by solutions to (1a) and (1b) which satisfy $\partial_\nu \phi = 0$ at some time t , and fix the time interval $\Delta t = t - t'$ associated with $|\phi_t - \phi_{t'}| = 1/3$ (see [2]).

3.2. Primordial Fluctuations

Observe with respect to the different amplitudes for mass-energy and rest mass fluctuations the interesting transition at decoupling, when the photon energy density

no longer dominates that of the matter. The respective amplitudes for mass-energy and rest mass perturbations, are 23^{-3} and 7^{-3} [2]. These differ by a factor of approximately 35. To satisfy the 23^{-3} constraint, one thus requires spatial variations in the number density of massive particles on the order of 7^{-3} . At the epoch of decoupling these number density fluctuations induce particle diffusion from number overdense to number underdense regions, and thus selectively increase (bias) the amplitudes of mass overdense regions.

§4. Black Holes

For black holes the solutions to (4) are taken to be generic spherical waves

$$q'_\lambda = \Sigma_{k,s}(2\omega_k V)^{-1/2} \epsilon_{\lambda s}(k) [a_s(k) e^{-ikr + \omega_k t} + b_r^\dagger e^{ikr - \omega_k t}], \quad s = 1, 2, 3, \quad (7)$$

with orthonormal polarization vectors ϵ_s , the Lorentz condition, wave vector k , proper volume V , $\omega_k^2 = m^2 + k^2$, the standard interpretation in terms of annihilation and creation operators, analogous expressions for photons with $m^2 = 0$, and the index λ for the four homotopically distinct paths on $L(T^3)$. For the $S^1 \times S^2$ topology of the handle one finds the boundary conditions

$$q'_\lambda(r = 0, t) = q'_\lambda(r = a', t), \quad (8)$$

in spherical coordinates, with a' the Schwarzschild radius in Planck units. Therefore, all the mass-energy crashing into the singularity is represented on the event horizon. Note that the localization of the black hole's information like this can only be established through the possible topological identifications in $L(T^3)$, and is in fact required by the existence of event horizons of arbitrary size which Nature must accommodate[2]. Furthermore, one has the parameterization of the wave vectors

$$k = \frac{2\pi}{a'} n, \quad n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm a', \quad (9)$$

along the S^1 of the handle.

4.1. The Cosmological Constant

In [1] an algebraic derivation was given for the small value of the cosmological constant Λ . The central result was that Λ , viewed as the spontaneous creation of pairs of mini black holes, is proportional to the present number of macroscopic black holes. In [2] a thought experiment was presented to incorporate this finding in a generalization of Mach's principle. Note here the difference between the left and the right hand side of the Einstein equation. Section 6 will deal with the right, the zero point energy associated with the particle vacuum, and this section with the left, curvature associated with a non-trivial, but massive, topology (which is not fixed by general relativity). Clearly, this distinction must be arbitrary at some fundamental level, as the following discussion and Section 6 will exemplify.

The boundary conditions are half the solution to the equations of motion. The key to understanding Λ is precisely in these, as follows. The solutions (7) must match

the rest of the Universe outside of the event horizon if evaporation is to occur on thermodynamic grounds at some point in time, i.e. (8) only describes the black hole as a closed system. Let the collapse be denoted by $\{a'_i\}$ with $i = 1$ corresponding to $r = 0$. The length of any body as a function of proper time in Planck units $a''_i \propto (\tau[a'_i] - \tau[a'_1])^{-1/3}$ reflects the matching condition for the surface $\tau[a'_i]$ which characterizes a black hole configuration i . Because $V \propto a''_i{}^{-3}$ in (7), one finds a peak, the singularity, in $q^\mu q_\mu$ for particles represented by (7) near $i = 1$. Note that the mass-energy *and* particle rest masses follow this peak. It is therefore possible, as mentioned above, to concentrate all the information on the black hole horizon through (8), while using the singularity as the locus of the mass-energy. Since the resulting probability distributions are normalized, only the $i = 1$ configuration gives a non-zero contribution under $\lim_{V \rightarrow 0} \int_V (q^\mu q_\mu)_i$.

Furthermore, a''_i , like a' , is a geometric quantity which incorporates the shape of space-time. It is the function a''_i , similar to (6b), that provides the relationship between the topology and the geometry of space-time. That is, the information is contained in the wave modes (7), whose absolute extend, like the scale invariance of (6b), derives from space-time geometry. It follows that the modes associated with the black hole singularity, $i = 1$, extend over arbitrary distances. Clearly, such a conclusion again depends crucially on the existence of the topological identification (8) on $L(T^3)$ as discussed above. In conjunction, the rest masses diverge at $r = 0$. This allows Planck mass excitations to be generated throughout the Universe, and render the singularity finite by associating it with any point beyond the horizon, for a Planck time, while preserving the handle topology. These are precisely the mini black hole pairs (both signs of n) which constitute the left hand side cosmological constant.

The singularity thus realizes the formation and evaporation of the black hole as a polarization of the vacuum by the matter degrees of freedom. Although this result might appear counterintuitive, the introduction of a topological origin for the inertia of massive particles leads directly to 't Hooft's suggestion that black holes are a natural extension of elementary particles, and hence to a link between topology and the properties of the vacuum. When the black hole has evaporated the singularity vanishes into that same vacuum.

4.2. Information and States

Note that the solutions (7) also solve the Dirac equation. In fact, the four components of q_λ , a topological property, facilitate a perfect match for the four Dirac spinor components, given the $SL(2; C)$ spin structure on the four-manifold bounded by the nuclear prime manifold T^3 . Thus, the mass, charge, and spin of any elementary particle can be encoded in the wave modes, and black hole evaporation can proceed unitarily in this sense. Nevertheless, one will need the complete evaporation history for the reconstruction of the data ^{*)}.

It is straightforward to predict the number of black hole states N_{BH} . There are $2i$ wave modes (quanta) at a given a'_i , and 1 in 4 of these contains relevant information

^{*)} Suggestions by M. Bremer on these matters are gratefully acknowledged.

since $\lambda = 1.4$ allows the construction of every double, triple and quadruple wave number of a mode through multiplication. The state space of a black hole a' then consists of all configurations formed by the sum of its a'_i . This yields for an integration over 4π steradians in Planck units

$${}_7\log N_{\text{BH}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{a'} 8\pi i = 4\pi(a'^2 + a')/4, \quad (10)$$

with 7 the natural base number for $L(T^3)$, i.e. the smallest unit of information is $\ln 7$. For large a' one has the semi-classical result for the entropy $S_{\text{BH}} = A/4 = \pi(a'^2 + a^2)$, with A the area and a the angular momentum per unit mass. A comparison shows that only that part of the surface area associated with the event horizon, $a'^2 = [M + \sqrt{(M^2 - Q^2 - a^2)}]^2$ for a charge Q , contributes to the number of quantum states (10). Therefore, the ergosphere constitutes a region for interaction with the internal states (which are quadrupally degenerate), that adds to the total entropy but whose information content is intrinsically macroscopic. That is, πa^2 is effectively an integration constant.

The black hole temperature T associated with A characterizes the macroscopic entropy of the black hole system through the irreducible mass $M^{\text{ir}} = (A/16\pi)^{1/2}$. This temperature determines the internal structure of the black hole, and is relevant to its statistical properties on the lattice of three-tori. That is, the black hole emits a spectrum constrained by the *summed*, because of (8), incoming wave function, where the temperature T determines the probability for re-emission through $\exp[-E/kT]$. The energy E is the particle's energy when it originally entered the black hole.

§5. The Lattice Structure of Space-Time

5.1. Holonomy

Due to the seven-fold multiplicity of the three-torus[2], one should view every heptaplet of three-tori, *not* necessarily a Planck length apart, as a concrete entity. From this, there is a direct correspondence, in a topological sense, between the T^3 heptaplet and the well known compactification space $K3 \times T^3$. That is, the heptaplet can also be interpreted as a (7+)3+1 space-time, where the internal 7 must be of the form $M^4 \times T^3$, with M^4 simply connected. It must have a $H = SU(2)$ (rather than the generic $O(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2)$) holonomy. This is because of the $U(1)$ factors on the junctions of $L(T^3)$ along any closed curve. Therefore one seems to find a compactification space for 11-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = \infty$ supergravity. Nevertheless, when one considers the structure of the heptaplet in full, the possible holonomies (see also QCD below) reflect just the possible particle interactions.

5.2. QCD

Recall that the spin structure of the nucleon uncovered by recent experiments suggests that the three-quark model could be incomplete. This might imply that all six quarks are merely individual manifestations of what is intrinsically a global background structure. In fact, it is easy to see that the $U(1) \sim O(2)$ rotation group on the *junctions* of the cubic $L(T^3)$ lattice, leads to *sub*-structures given by two-

dimensional periodic Ising models. This is not surprising since $SU(3) \supset U(1)^3$. One also finds that this system as a whole is *frustrated**, which is a fascinating realization given the importance of the strong interaction in the Universe.

The very presence of frustration *requires* the existence of collective excitations on the Ising driven lattice. This provides a physical basis for the concept of confinement under the QCD gauge group. The $SU(3)$ gauge symmetry yields a nearest neighbor Hamiltonian, whose extrema need to be determined, given by

$$h = \kappa \sum_{i,j;i \neq j} [S_i^k S'_{ik}] [S_j^k S'_{jk}], \quad (11)$$

where $S_k = S'_k$ is excluded if it holds for all k up to a global sign, $k = 1..3$ labels the three twist (“color”) variables $S_k = \pm 1$, and periodic boundary conditions need to be applied if the Universe is closed. The form (11) is invariant under transformations $S''_k = M S_k$ with $M \in SU(3)$, i.e. unlike a photon a gluon carries a (color) charge and a quark can therefore interact with the gluons it emits.

The 8 gluons are a direct consequence of the number of different S_k . It is easy to see that there are eight triplets S_k . The contraction of various S_k yields, when grouped together in doublets differing only by an overall sign, 12 possibilities, i.e. 6 quarks with their anti-particles. In the end, $S^k S'_k = \pm 1$ yielding a true spin lattice. Of course, due to the $SU(3)$ gauge group and the conditions on the S_k , every ± 1 will carry *internal* indices which indicate the type of quark and the color. These internal variables provide additional degrees of freedom through weak interactions (flavor change), and photon and gluon production. The latter two are special in that the electromagnetic field allows quark/anti-quark pair annihilation, which is equivalent to the introduction of finite life time lattice defects. The gluons facilitate quark/anti-quark pair flavor change and pair production, as well as quark color conversion. Note in this that the sole emission of a gluon by a quark leads to the creation of a quark/anti-quark pair at an empty pair of lattice sites. The formal coupling constant in (11) is unity because the metric on the four-manifold bounded by any three-torus in the lattice is Minkowskian, i.e. $L(T^3)$ is metrically self-dual, and therefore $\kappa = \kappa^{-1}$. Powerful Monte Carlo techniques developed for condensed matter physics on frustrated lattices should be applicable to QCD physics.

5.3. The Fine Structure and Other Coupling Constants

The GUT energy scale $K = 1/138$ in Planck units should define the QED coupling constant since it reflects the emergence of individual particles, that can travel along the $U(1)$ junctions, from the ground state Q . The measured fine structure constant $\alpha_0 = 1/137.0359895$ agrees with K to 0.7%, where the discrepancy is due to the presence of a non-trivial space-time topology. The manifold Q supports $\eta_Q = 23^3 + 1$ dynamical degrees of freedom through the equation of motion, where the 1 is for its time evolution. For $L(T^3)$ one has $7^3 + 1$ dynamical degrees of freedom, but the lattice has a four-fold degeneracy, yielding $\eta_L = e_M^{-1}(7^3 + 1) = 86$ (indeed an integer). This gives $\alpha_K = K(\eta_Q - 1)/(\eta_Q - \eta_L) = 1/137.0359168$ for the fine structure constant, corrected for those degrees of freedom associated with the excited state

*) The author is very grateful to B. Canals for discussions on this point.

character of the Universe, which do not contribute to the ground state at any point in time. There is another correction associated with the fact that the total system, ground state plus excited state and the four homotopically distinct paths through the lattice, has $\eta_t = e_M(\eta_Q - \eta_L)\eta_L$ effective degrees of freedom, but quantization, fixed ℓ_{Planck} and m_{Planck} , removes two of these to define a physical theory. This gives a correction factor $f = (\eta_t - 2)/\eta_t$. One finds $\alpha = f\alpha_K = 1/137.0359828$, with a relative accuracy of 4.9×10^{-8} , consistent with the experimental 1σ uncertainty in α_0 of 4.5×10^{-8} . The numerical value of α then fixes the unit of charge e . Note that the constancy of the speed of light is an automatic consequence of the nuclearity of the electroweak sector Θ [2], and hence one does not have to correct for it.

The weak coupling constant follows from $g_W^2/4\pi = 4/7 \cdot 86/85\alpha_0$ because the ratio of these coupling constants in the same electroweak sector must reflect the respective number of degrees of freedom of the lattice ($e_M = 4$ paths between any two three-tori, long range) and T^3 (multiplicity of seven, short range), and is modified for the photon degree of freedom. With the standard definition of the weak mixing angle $\sin^2\theta_W = \zeta$, this yields $\zeta = 8^{-1}[7/4 \cdot 85/86] = 0.216$, where ζ should be viewed as including all higher order quantum corrections. One thus finds the masses for the vector bosons

$m_W = \sin^{-1}\theta_W(\pi\alpha/G\sqrt{2})^{1/2} = 80.18$ GeV and $m_Z = 2\sin^{-1}2\theta_W(\pi\alpha/G\sqrt{2})^{1/2} = 90.56$ GeV, consistent with experimental limits and the 7^{-3} intrinsic uncertainty associated with the collapse of their rest mass wave functions.

It is easy to see that the measured value of the weak mixing angle is $\sin^2\theta_W^0 = (1 + \mathcal{A}/3)\zeta = 0.23246$, corrected for the intrinsic accuracy \mathcal{A} of Q (see [2]). This correction is a result of the fact that the vector bosons are represented in the ground state, but derive their masses from the non-zero vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field, which is a property of the excited state (this reasoning is entirely topological). The value for $\sin^2\theta_W^0$ is in poor agreement with the overall mean experimental value of 0.23148 ± 0.00021 , but in good agreement with the LEP average of 0.23196 ± 0.00028 .

Finally, the Fermi coupling constant $G = \sqrt{2}(g_W/m_W)^2$ is fixed through $G^{-1/2} = -m_H + \Sigma_i m_i = 293.2$ GeV, i.e. by the sum of all the particles represented in the ground state minus the Higgs boson. The latter reflects spontaneous symmetry breaking, which is associated with the excitation of the ground state[2]. This value $G = 1.163 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV⁻² is consistent with the experimental limit of $G_0 = (1.16632 \pm 0.00002) \times 10^{-5}$ GeV⁻², given the intrinsic 7^{-3} uncertainty in the collapsed rest mass wave functions. In fact, since some of the mass terms on the right hand side depend upon the value of G_0 , a *disagreement would have ruled out TD theory immediately*.

§6. A Spectral Observation of Planckian Topology through the Vacuum Energy

In [2] it is shown that $L(T^3)$ possesses energy levels corresponding to integer multiples m of ν_0

$$\nu_m = 857.3588m \quad \text{MHz}, \quad (12)$$

or $34.96698/m$ cm, where the numerical accuracy is limited by the Planck mass. When these levels, are excited through matter degrees of freedom, they violate T and CP invariance (e.g. the kaon system). The zero point energy, for $\mathcal{A} = (14/23)^3$, is given by

$$E_0 = \frac{14}{23} \frac{(1 + \mathcal{A}/3)m_{\text{Planck}}}{23!14!} \text{ eV}, \quad (13)$$

and reflects the detailed structure of Q through its numerical coefficients. The factor $1/23!14!$ corrects for the total number of permutations of a neutral field on Q . The $(14/23)$ denotes the splitting of the resulting level in a $L(T^3)$ part and a Θ part, where the latter evaporates and is related to Λ (as discussed in Section 4) and massive particles. The shift induced by $\mathcal{A}/3$ signifies that the ground state Q is three-fold degenerate through the Z_3 of Θ (the $1/3$), while at the same time only an accuracy of 14^{-3} on P is required to convey the information present on Q , with an accuracy of 23^{-3} , to the individual $U(1)$ junctions on $L(T^3)$. The excited states correspond to vibrations of the junctions in $L(T^3)$, and are therefore homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic. Energy considerations then imply that photons of the appropriate wavelength can also interact with these levels on any $U(1)$ lattice junction since they are massless.

A photon of the required energy will then have a partner whose frequency ν'_m obeys $\nu'_m = \nu_0/m$. This is a fixed point pairing under E_0 of $k^\mu k_\mu = 0$ for the four vector $(2\pi\nu_0, k_i)$. That is, for every ν_m one should find a ghost image at frequency ν_m/m^2 with a wave vector differing only in scale. The duality implies that destruction of either partner leads to destruction of the pair. Furthermore, the pairing is not induced by photons with energies below E_0 since the suggested effect is quantized on the natural numbers. Clearly, the distinguishing character of this signal resides in the fact that its strength is always equal to that of the “source” spectrum at the high frequency. At the frequency ν_0 one can observe no effect, since detection of the one photon implies removal of the partner. Furthermore, the high frequency spectral features (so not their duals) are also special in that their line width is always equal to $7^{-3}\nu_0 = 2.4996$ MHz.

Finally, the zero-point E_0 , as well as being the characteristic energy of the lattice vibration, is a vacuum energy which behaves like a cosmological constant. Its contribution is $\sim 10^{-58}$ GeV⁴, and hence it is negligible. To summarize the above sections on Λ , the TD of space-time leads to quantities which behave like a cosmological constant through black hole singularities and $L(T^3)$. Indeed, in the context of TD there are two manifestations of Λ , but one underlying topological structure.

§7. Discussion

In conclusion, an additional set of diagnostics has been derived from the TD approach. Some of these are found to be in excellent agreement with current experiments or observations, others will have to await more sophisticated measuring techniques. A straightforward test is the spectral signature, which has no counterpart in the Standard Model, and whose observation would confirm, barring a major fluke, the existence of Q as the ground state.

Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to J.A.A. Berendse-Vogels, G. van Naeltwijck van Diosne, and M.C. Spaans sr. for valuable assistance. This work was supported by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HF-01101.01-97A awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA under contract NAS 5-26555.

References

- 1) M. Spaans, *Nuc. Phys. B* **492** (1997) 526.
- 2) M. Spaans, hep-th/9806172 (1998).
- 3) SuperKamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda et al., hep-ex/9803006, hep-ex/9805006 (1998).