

Nonperturbative Reduction of Yang-Mills Theory and Low Energy Effective Action

A. M. Khvedelidze ^{a *} and H.-P. Pavel ^b

^a Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

^b Fachbereich Physik der Universität Rostock,
D-18051 Rostock, Germany

Abstract

The method of reduction of a non-Abelian gauge theory to the corresponding unconstrained system is exemplified for $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field theory. The reduced Hamiltonian which describes the dynamics of the gauge invariant variables is presented in the form of a strong coupling expansion. The physical variables are separated into fields, which are scalars under spatial rotations, and rotational degrees of freedom. It is shown how in the infrared limit the scalar fields may provide the mass scale for an effective nonlinear sigma model type Lagrangian of the rotational degrees of freedom.

1 Introduction

The perturbative reduction of non-Abelian gauge theories via gauge fixing, which ascribes the transverse components of the gauge field as the physical variables, is in accordance with asymptotic freedom seen in high energy scattering processes, but is not appropriate for the description of low energy phenomena such as confinement. The alternative, nonperturbative,

*Permanent address: Tbilisi Mathematical Institute, 380093, Tbilisi, Georgia

approaches to the reduction of gauge theories suggest different types of representations for physical variables [1]-[11] but none of them lead directly to the gauge-invariant formulation of the low energy problems and the question of variables relevant to the infrared behaviour of strong theory is still open. The main task of the present report is to state the new unconstrained representation for $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills system obtained recently [11] and to discuss the effective field theory which follows from this unconstrained formulation for description of infrared region. We shall give a Hamiltonian formulation of classical $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field theory entirely in terms of gauge invariant quantities, and separate six physical variables into scalars under ordinary space rotations and into “rotational” degrees of freedom. We shall discuss how the form of the classical potential suggests the possibility of the emergence of a condensate of the scalar degrees of freedom which sets the mass scale for an effective low energy theory of the rotational variables. The Lagrangian of this effective theory agrees to leading order in strong coupling expansion with the soliton Lagrangian proposed recently in [16].

2 Elimination of the gauge degrees of freedom in an adapted canonical coordinate basis

The Hamiltonian dynamics of $SU(2)$ gauge fields $A_\mu^a(x)$ with the action

$$\mathcal{S}[A] := -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} \quad , \quad F_{\mu\nu}^a := \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g\epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \quad , \quad (1)$$

takes place on the definite domain of phase space spanned by the canonical variables $(A_0^a, P^a := \partial L/\partial(\partial_0 A_0^a))$, and $(A_{ai}, E_{ai} := \partial L/\partial(\partial_0 A_{ai}))$. This submanifold is defined by the three primary constraints

$$P^a(x) = 0 \quad (2)$$

and the non-Abelian Gauss law constraints

$$\Phi_a := \partial_i E_{ai} + g\epsilon_{abc} A_{ci} E_{bi} = 0 \quad , \quad (3)$$

which are first class

$$\{\Phi_a(x), \Phi_b(y)\} = g\epsilon_{abc} \Phi_c \delta(x-y) \quad . \quad (4)$$

According to the Dirac prescription [12] the evolution of the system is governed by the total Hamiltonian containing three arbitrary functions $\lambda_a(x)$

$$H_T := \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(E_{ai}^2 + B_{ai}^2(A) \right) - A_0^a \Phi_a + \lambda_a(x) P^a(x) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $B_{ai}(A) := \epsilon_{ijk} \left(\partial_j A_{ak} + \frac{1}{2} g \epsilon_{abc} A_{bj} A_{ck} \right)$ is the non-Abelian magnetic field. The presence of these arbitrary functions reflects the invariance of the Yang-Mills action (1) under the $SU(2)$ gauge transformations

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = U^{-1}(x) \left(A_\mu - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \right) U(x), \quad (6)$$

and leads to the problem of isolation of the gauge-invariant functions, the observables, which are free of any constraints and have uniquely predictable dynamics. The reduction procedure consists of the elimination of the non-invariant, pure gauge degrees of freedom and the formulation of the corresponding unconstrained system equivalent to the initial one. Equivalence means that all observables of initial theory can be constructed in terms of unconstrained variables and have the same unique dynamics.

For Abelian constraints Ψ_α ($\{\Psi_\alpha, \Psi_\beta\} = 0$) the reduction procedure can be achieved in the following two steps. One performs a canonical transformation to new variables such that part of the new momenta P_α coincide with the constraints Ψ_α . After the projection onto the constraint shell, i.e. putting in all expressions $P_\alpha = 0$, the coordinates canonically conjugate to the P_α drop out from the physical quantities. The remaining canonical pairs are then gauge invariant and form the basis for the unconstrained system. For the case of non-Abelian constraints (4) it is clearly impossible to find such a canonical basis only via canonical transformation. However one can replace the set of non-Abelian constraints (4) by a new set of Abelian constraints which describe the same constraint surface in phase space and thus reduce the problem to the Abelian case (see e.g. [13],[14] and references therein). This problem of Abelianization of constraints is considerably simplified when studied in terms coordinates adapted to the action of the gauge group. The knowledge of the $SU(2)$ gauge transformations (6) which leaves the Yang-Mills action (1) invariant, directly prompts us with the choice of adapted coordinates by using the following point transformation to the new set of Lagrangian coordinates \overline{Q}_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) and the six elements

$Q^*_{ik} = Q^*_{ki}$ ($i, k = 1, 2, 3$) of the positive definite symmetric 3×3 matrix Q^*

$$A_{ai}(\bar{Q}, Q^*) := O_{ak}(\bar{Q}) Q^*_{ki} - \frac{1}{2g} \epsilon_{abc} \left(O(\bar{Q}) \partial_i O^T(\bar{Q}) \right)_{bc}, \quad (7)$$

where $O(\bar{Q})$ is an orthogonal 3×3 matrix parametrised by the \bar{Q}_i .¹ In the following we shall show that in terms of these variables the non-Abelian Gauss law constraints (3) only depend on \bar{Q}_i and its conjugated \bar{P}_i and after Abelianization become $\bar{P}_i = 0$. The unconstrained variables Q^* and their conjugate P^* are gauge invariant, i.e. commute with the Gauss law and all observable quantities should depend only on Q^* and P^* . The transformation (7) induces a point canonical transformation linear in the new canonical momenta P^*_{ik} and \bar{P}_i . Using the corresponding generating functional depending on the old momenta and the new coordinates,

$$F_3[E; \bar{Q}, Q^*] := \int d^3z E_{ai}(z) A_{ai}(\bar{Q}(z), Q^*(z)), \quad (8)$$

one can obtain the transformation to new canonical momenta \bar{P}_i and P^*_{ik}

$$\bar{P}_j(x) := \frac{\delta F_3}{\delta \bar{Q}_j(x)} = -\frac{1}{g} \Omega_{jr} \left(D_i(Q^*) O^T E \right)_{ri}, \quad (9)$$

$$P^*_{ik}(x) := \frac{\delta F_3}{\delta Q^*_{ik}(x)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(E^T O + O^T E \right)_{ik}. \quad (10)$$

Here

$$\Omega_{ji}(\bar{Q}) := -\frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \left(O^T(\bar{Q}) \frac{\partial O(\bar{Q})}{\partial \bar{Q}_j} J_i \right), \quad (11)$$

with the 3×3 matrix generators of $SO(3)$, $(J_i)_{mn} := i\epsilon_{min}$, and the corresponding covariant derivative $D_i(Q^*)$ in the adjoint representation

$$(D_i(Q^*))_{mn} := \delta_{mn} \partial_i - ig \left(J^k \right)_{mn} Q^*_{ki}. \quad (12)$$

¹ In the strong coupling limit the representation (7) reduces to the so-called polar representation for arbitrary quadratic matrices. In the general case we have the additional second term and (7) has to be regarded as a set of partial differential equations for the \bar{Q}_i variables. The uniqueness and regularity of the suggested transformation (7) depends on the boundary conditions imposed.

A straightforward calculation based on the linear relations (9) and (10) between the old and the new momenta leads to the following expression for the field strengths E_{ai} in terms of the new canonical variables

$$E_{ai} = O_{ak}(\bar{Q}) \left[P_{ki}^* + \epsilon_{kis} {}^*D_{sl}^{-1}(Q^*) \left[(\Omega^{-1}\bar{P})_l - \mathcal{S}_l \right] \right]. \quad (13)$$

Here ${}^*D^{-1}$ is the inverse of the matrix operator

$${}^*D_{ik}(Q^*) := -i (J^m D_m(Q^*))_{ik}, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_k(x) := \epsilon_{klm} (P^* Q^*)_{lm} - \frac{1}{g} \partial_l P_{kl}^*. \quad (15)$$

Using the representations (7) and (13) one can easily convince oneself that the variables Q^* and P^* make no contribution to the Gauss law constraints (3)

$$\Phi_a := O_{as}(\bar{Q}) \Omega_{sj}^{-1} \bar{P}_j = 0. \quad (16)$$

Here and in (13) we assume that the matrix Ω is invertible. The equivalent set of Abelian constraints is

$$\bar{P}_a = 0. \quad (17)$$

They are Abelian due to the canonical structure of the new variables.

After having rewritten the model in terms of the new canonical coordinates and after the Abelianization of the Gauss law constraints, the construction of the unconstrained Hamiltonian system is straightforward. In all expressions we can simply put $\bar{P}_i = 0$. In particular, the Hamiltonian in terms of the unconstrained canonical variables Q^* and P^* can be represented by the sum of three terms

$$H[Q^*, P^*] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left[\text{Tr}(P^*)^2 + \text{Tr}(B^2(Q^*)) + \frac{1}{2} \vec{E}^2(Q^*, P^*) \right]. \quad (18)$$

The first term is the conventional quadratic “kinetic” part and the second the “magnetic potential” term which is the trace of the square of the non-Abelian magnetic field

$$B_{sk}(Q^*) := \epsilon_{klm} \left(\partial_l Q_{sm}^* + \frac{g}{2} \epsilon_{sbc} Q_{bl}^* Q_{cm}^* \right). \quad (19)$$

It is interesting that after the elimination of the pure gauge degrees of freedom the magnetic field strength tensor is the commutator of the covariant derivatives (12) $F_{ij} = [D_i(Q^*), D_j(Q^*)]$.

The third nonlocal term in the Hamiltonian (18) is the square of the anti-symmetric part of the electric field, $E_s := (1/2)\epsilon_{sij}E_{ij}$, after projection onto the constraint surface. It is given as the solution of the system of differential equations²

$${}^*D_{ls}(Q^*)E_s = g\mathcal{S}_l, \quad (20)$$

with the derivative ${}^*D_{ls}(Q^*)$ defined in (14). Note that the vector $\mathcal{S}_i(x)$, defined in (15), coincides up to divergence terms with the spin density part of the Noetherian angular momentum, $S_i(x) := \epsilon_{ijk}A_j^a E_{ak}$, after transformation to the new variables and projection onto the constraint shell. The solution \vec{E} of the differential equation (20) can be expanded in a $1/g$ series. The zeroth order term is

$$E_s^{(0)} = \gamma_{sk}^{-1} \epsilon_{klm} (P^* Q^*)_{lm}, \quad (21)$$

with $\gamma_{ik} := Q_{ik}^* - \delta_{ik}\text{Tr}(Q^*)$, and the first order term is determined as

$$E_s^{(1)} := \frac{1}{g} \gamma_{sl}^{-1} \left[(\text{rot } \vec{E}^{(0)})_l - \partial_k P_{kl}^* \right] \quad (22)$$

from the zeroth order term. The higher terms are then obtained by the simple recurrence relations

$$E_s^{(n+1)} := \frac{1}{g} \gamma_{sl}^{-1} (\text{rot } \vec{E}^{(n)})_s. \quad (23)$$

3 The unconstrained Hamiltonian in terms of scalar and rotational degrees of freedom

Whereas the gauge fields transform as vectors under spatial rotations, the unconstrained fields Q^* and P^* transform as second rank tensors under spatial rotations.³ In order to separate the three fields which are invariant under

² We remark that for the solution of this equation we need to impose boundary conditions only on the physical variables Q^* in contrast to Eq. (7) for which boundary conditions only for the unphysical variables \bar{Q} are needed.

³ Note that for a complete analysis it is necessary to investigate the transformation properties of the field Q^* under the whole Poincaré group. We shall limit ourselves here to

spatial rotations from the three rotational degrees of freedom we perform the following main axis transformation of the original symmetric 3×3 matrix field $Q^*(x)$

$$Q^*(\chi, \phi) = R^T(\chi(x))\mathcal{D}(\phi(x))R(\chi(x)), \quad (24)$$

with the orthogonal matrix $R(\chi)$ parametrized by the three Euler angles $\chi_i = (\phi, \theta, \psi)$ and the diagonal matrix $\mathcal{D}(\phi) := \text{diag}(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$. The main-axis-transformation of the symmetric second rank tensor field Q^* therefore induces a parametrization in terms of the three rotational degrees of freedom, the Euler angles χ_i , which describe the orientation of the “intrinsic frame”, and the diagonal elements ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) which are scalars under spatial rotations.

The momenta π_i and p_{χ_i} , canonical conjugate to the diagonal elements ϕ_i and the Euler angles χ_i , can easily be found using the generating functional

$$F_3[\phi_i, \chi_i; P^*] := \int d^3x \text{Tr} (Q^* P^*) = \int d^3x \text{Tr} (R^T(\chi)\mathcal{D}(\phi)R(\chi)P^*) \quad (25)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(x) &= \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \phi_i(x)} = \text{Tr} (P^* R^T \bar{\alpha}_i R), \\ p_{\chi_i}(x) &= \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \chi_i(x)} = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial R^T}{\partial \chi_i} R [P^* Q^* - Q^* P^*] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here $\bar{\alpha}_i$ are the diagonal matrices with the elements $(\bar{\alpha}_i)_{lm} = \delta_{li}\delta_{mi}$. Together with the off-diagonal matrices $(\alpha_i)_{lm} = |\epsilon_{ilm}|$ they form an orthogonal basis for symmetric matrices. The original physical momenta P_{ik}^* can then be expressed in terms of the new canonical variables as

$$P^*(x) = R^T(x) \left(\sum_{s=1}^3 \pi_s(x) \bar{\alpha}_s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{s=1}^3 \mathcal{P}_s(x) \alpha_s \right) R(x), \quad (27)$$

with

$$\mathcal{P}_i(x) := \frac{\xi_i(x)}{\phi_j(x) - \phi_k(x)} \quad (\text{cyclic permutation } i \neq j \neq k), \quad (28)$$

the isolation of the scalars under spatial rotations and treat Q^* in terms of “nonrelativistic spin 0 and spin 2 fields” in accordance with the conclusions obtained in the work [3].

and the $SO(3)$ left-invariant Killing vectors in terms of Euler angles $\chi_i = (\psi, \theta, \phi)$,

$$\xi_k(x) := \mathcal{M}(\theta, \psi)_{kl} p_{\chi_l} , \quad (29)$$

with the matrix

$$\mathcal{M}(\theta, \psi) := \begin{pmatrix} \sin \psi / \sin \theta, & \cos \psi, & -\sin \psi \cot \theta \\ -\cos \psi / \sin \theta, & \sin \psi, & \cos \psi \cot \theta \\ 0, & 0, & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (30)$$

The antisymmetric part \vec{E} of the electric field appearing in the unconstrained Hamiltonian (18) is given by the following expansion in a $1/g$ series, analogous to (21) - (23),

$$E_i = R_{is}^T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_s^{(n)} , \quad (31)$$

with the zeroth order term

$$\mathcal{E}_i^{(0)} := -\frac{\xi_i}{\phi_j + \phi_k} \quad (\text{cycl. permut. } i \neq j \neq k) , \quad (32)$$

the first order term given from $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$ via

$$\mathcal{E}_i^{(1)} := \frac{1}{g} \frac{1}{\phi_j + \phi_k} \left[\left((\nabla_{X_j} \vec{\mathcal{E}}^{(0)})_k - (\nabla_{X_k} \vec{\mathcal{E}}^{(0)})_j \right) - \Xi_i \right] , \quad (33)$$

with cyclic permutations of $i \neq j \neq k$, and the higher order terms of the expansion determined via the recurrence relations

$$\mathcal{E}_i^{(n+1)} := \frac{1}{g} \frac{1}{\phi_j + \phi_k} \left((\nabla_{X_j} \vec{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)})_k - (\nabla_{X_k} \vec{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)})_j \right) . \quad (34)$$

Here the components of the covariant derivatives ∇_{X_k} in the direction of the vector field $X_i(x) := R_{ik} \partial_k$,

$$(\nabla_{X_i} \vec{\mathcal{E}})_b := X_i \mathcal{E}_b + \Gamma_{ib}^d \mathcal{E}_d , \quad (35)$$

are determined by the connection depending only on the Euler angles

$$\Gamma_{ia}^b := \left(R X_i R^T \right)_{ab} . \quad (36)$$

It is easy to check that the connection Γ^b_{ia} can be written in the form

$$\Gamma^b_{ia} = i(J^s)_{ab}(\mathcal{M}^{-1})_{sk}X_i\chi_k , \quad (37)$$

using the matrix \mathcal{M} given in terms of the Euler angles $\chi_i = (\psi, \theta, \phi)$ in (30), which expresses the dual nature of the Killing vectors ξ_i in (30), and the Maurer-Cartan one-forms ω^i defined by

$$RdR^T =: \omega^i J^i, \quad \omega^i = (\mathcal{M}^{-1})^i_k d\chi_k . \quad (38)$$

The source terms Ξ_k in (33), finally, are given as

$$\Xi_1 = \Gamma^1_{22}(\pi_1 - \pi_2) + \frac{1}{2}X_1\pi_1 - \Gamma^2_{23}\mathcal{P}_2 - \Gamma^1_{23}\mathcal{P}_1 - 2\Gamma^1_{12}\mathcal{P}_3 + X_2\mathcal{P}_3 + (2 \leftrightarrow 3) , \quad (39)$$

and its cyclic permutaions Ξ_2 and Ξ_3 .

The unconstrained Hamiltonian therefore takes the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \pi_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{cycl.} \frac{\xi_i^2}{(\phi_j - \phi_k)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\mathcal{E}}^2 + V \right) , \quad (40)$$

where the potential term V

$$V[\phi, \chi] = \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i[\phi, \chi] \quad (41)$$

is the sum of

$$V_1[\phi, \chi] = \left(\Gamma^1_{12}(\phi_2 - \phi_1) - X_2\phi_1 \right)^2 + \left(\Gamma^1_{13}(\phi_3 - \phi_1) - X_3\phi_1 \right)^2 + \left(\Gamma^1_{23}\phi_3 + \Gamma^1_{32}\phi_2 - g\phi_2\phi_3 \right)^2 , \quad (42)$$

and its cyclic permutations . We see that, via the main-axis-transformation of the symmetric second rank tensor field Q^* , the rotational degrees of freedom, the Euler angles χ and their canonical conjugate momenta p_χ , have been isolated from the scalars under spatial rotations, and appear in the unconstrained Hamiltonian only via the three Killing vector fields ξ_k , the connections Γ , and the derivative vectors X_k .

4 The infrared limit of unconstrained $SU(2)$ gluodynamics

From the expression (40) for the unconstrained Hamiltonian one can analyse the classical system in the strong coupling limit up to order $O(1/g)$. Using the leading order (33) of the $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$H_S = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \pi_i^2 + \sum_{cycl.} \xi_i^2 \frac{\phi_j^2 + \phi_k^2}{(\phi_j^2 - \phi_k^2)^2} + V[\phi, \chi] \right). \quad (43)$$

For the further investigation of the low energy properties of $SU(2)$ field theory a thorough understanding of the properties of the term in (41) containing no derivatives,

$$V_{\text{hom}}[\phi_i] = g^2[\phi_1^2\phi_2^2 + \phi_2^2\phi_3^2 + \phi_3^2\phi_1^2], \quad (44)$$

is crucial. The classical absolute minima of energy correspond to vanishing of the positive definite kinetic term in the Hamiltonian (43). The stationary points of the potential term (44) are

$$\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0, \quad \phi_3 - \text{arbitrary}, \quad (45)$$

and its cyclic permutations. Analysing the second order derivatives of the potential at the stationary points one can conclude that they form a continuous line of degenerate absolute minima at zero energy. In other words the potential has a “valley” of zero energy minima along the line $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$. They are the unconstrained analogs of the toron solutions [17] representing constant Abelian field configurations with vanishing magnetic field in the strong coupling limit. The special point $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_3 = 0$ corresponds to the ordinary perturbative minimum.

For the investigation of configurations of higher energy it is necessary to include the part of the kinetic term in (43) containing the angular momentum variables ξ_i . Since the singular points of this term just correspond to the absolute minima of the potential there will be a competition between an attractive and a repulsive force. At the balance point we shall have a local minimum corresponding to a classical configuration with higher energy.

The classical minima of the leading term of the potential at strong coupling include apart from the perturbative vacuum, where all fields vanish,

also field configurations with one field component attaining arbitrarily large value. They lie along three zero-energy valleys meeting at the perturbative vacuum configuration. Hence the perturbative point of vanishing fields is unstable classically and the spontaneous appearance of a vacuum with a large field value and hence a mass scale is possible.

The above discussion of the form of the classical homogeneous potential (44) includes the possibility of a minimum with a nonvanishing value of one of the scalar fields (explicitly breaking the cyclic symmetry)

$$\phi_1 = \phi_2 \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_3 = m = \text{const} . \quad (46)$$

In this case the inhomogeneous part of the potential (41) and (42) takes the form

$$V_{\text{inhom}} = m^2 [(\Gamma_{13}^2(x))^2 + (\Gamma_{23}^2(x))^2 + (\Gamma_{33}^2(x))^2 + (\Gamma_{11}^3(x))^2 + (\Gamma_{21}^3(x))^2 + (\Gamma_{31}^3(x))^2] . \quad (47)$$

Introducing the unit vector

$$n_i(\phi, \theta) := R_{3i}(\phi, \theta) , \quad (48)$$

pointing along the 3-axis of the “intrinsic frame”, one can write

$$V_{\text{inhom}} = m^2 (\partial_j \vec{n})^2 . \quad (49)$$

Concerning the contribution from the nonlocal term in this phase, we obtain for the leading part of the electric fields

$$\mathcal{E}_1^{(0)} = -\xi_1/m \quad , \quad \mathcal{E}_2^{(0)} = -\xi_2/m . \quad (50)$$

Since the third component $\mathcal{E}_3^{(0)}$ and \mathcal{P}_3 are singular in the limit $\phi_1, \phi_2 \rightarrow 0$, it is necessary to have $\xi_3 \rightarrow 0$. The assumption of a definite value of ξ_3 is in accordance with the fact that the potential is symmetric around the 3-axis for small ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , such that the intrinsic angular momentum ξ_3 is conserved in the neighbourhood of this configuration. Hence we obtain the following effective Hamiltonian up to order $O(1/g)$

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{m^2} (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) + m^2 (\partial_i \vec{n})^2 \right] . \quad (51)$$

After the inverse Lagrangian transformation we obtain the corresponding effective nonlinear sigma model type Lagrangian for the unit vector $\vec{n}(t, \vec{x})$

$$L_{\text{eff}}[\vec{n}] = \frac{m^2}{2} \int d^3x (\partial_\mu \vec{n})^2 . \quad (52)$$

It is very interesting that this Lagrangian, which we have obtained from the classical unconstrained Hamiltonian (18) coincides with the lowest order term of the effective soliton Lagrangian discussed very recently by Faddeev and Niemi [16]. The unit-vector field $\vec{n}(t, \vec{x})$ might be related with massive string-like flux tubes and their singular hedgehog configurations with the glueballs. For the stability of these knots a higher order term in the derivative expansion in the unit-vector field $\vec{n}(t, \vec{x})$ is necessary, such as the fourth order Skyrminion-like term in the effective Lagrangian in [16]. To obtain it from the corresponding higher order terms in the strong coupling expansion of the unconstrained Hamiltonian (40) is under present investigation.

In summary, we have seen that the form of the potential of the three scalar gauge invariant fields naturally admits the possibility of a nonvanishing value of one of the scalar fields in the infrared region. This sets the scale for the corresponding effective solitonic Lagrangian (52) for the rotational degrees of freedom represented by the unit vector \vec{n} in 3-direction of the “intrinsic frame”. We finally point out that the above classical treatment indicates, due to the form of the classical potential in the strong coupling limit, the possibility of the formation of a scalar condensate and hence the appearance of a mass scale. The existence of the condensate on the other hand is expected to be due entirely to quantum effects which should be calculated from the corresponding effective action.

5 Concluding remarks

Following the Dirac formalism for constrained Hamiltonian systems we have formulated classical $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills gauge theory entirely in terms of unconstrained gauge invariant local fields. All transformations which have been used, canonical transformations and the Abelianization of the constraints, maintain the canonical structures of the generalized Hamiltonian dynamics. We identify the unconstrained fields with symmetric positive definite second rank tensor fields Q^* and P^* under spatial rotations. The three scalar fields

are separated from the three rotational degrees of freedom via the main-axis transformation of the field Q^* . Our unconstrained representation of the Hamiltonian allows us to derive an effective low energy Lagrangian for the rotational degrees of freedom with a mass scale provided by the presence of a condensate of the scalar fields suggested by the form of the classical potential in the strong coupling limit. The dynamics of the rotational variables in this limit is summarized by the unit vector describing the orientation of the intrinsic frame. The nonlinear sigma model type Lagrangian which describes its dynamics to leading order in strong coupling agrees with the first term of a derivative expansion proposed recently by Faddeev and Niemi [16].

The work of A.M.K. was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant No. 98-01-00101. H.-P. P. acknowledges support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under grant No. Ro 905/11-2 and by the Heisenberg-Landau program for providing a grant.

References

- [1] J. Goldstone, R. Jackiw, Phys. Lett. B **74**, 81 (1978).
- [2] V. Baluni, B. Grossman, Phys. Lett B **78**, 226 (1978).
- [3] A.G. Izergin, V.F. Korepin, M.E. Semenov - Tyan - Shanskii, L.D. Faddeev, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **38**, 3 (1979).
- [4] A. Das, M. Kaku, P.K. Townsend, Nucl.Phys B **149**, 109 (1979).
- [5] M.Creutz, I.J.Muzinich, and T.N.Tudron, Phys. Rev. **19**, 531 (1979).
- [6] N.H. Christ, T.D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **22**, 939 (1980).
- [7] Yu. Simonov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **41**, 835 (1985).
- [8] V.V. Vlasov, V.A. Matveev, A.N. Tavkhelidze, S.Yu. Khlebnikov, M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. of Elem. Part. Nucl. **18**, 5 (1987).
- [9] K. Haller, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 1839 (1987).
- [10] M. Bauer, D. Z. Freedman and P. E. Haagensen, Nucl. Phys. B **428**, 147 (1994).

- [11] A.M. Khvedelidze and H.-P. Pavel, *Hamiltonian Reduction of $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills Field Theory*, hep-th/9808082, MPG-VT-UR 157/98;
On the Infrared Limit of Unconstrained $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills Theory, hep-th/9808089, MPG-VT-UR 158/98;
Unconstrained Hamiltonian Formulation of $SU(2)$ Gluodynamics, hep-th/9808102, MPG-VT-UR 159/98.
- [12] P.A.M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science, (Yeshive University Press, New York, 1964).
- [13] M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992).
- [14] S.A. Gogilidze, A.M. Khvedelidze, V.N. Pervushin, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 1760 (1996).
- [15] S.A. Gogilidze, A.M. Khvedelidze, D. M. Mladenov and H.-P. Pavel, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 7488 (1998).
- [16] L. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, “Partially Dual Variables in $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills Theory”, Electronic Archive hep-th/9807069 (1998).
- [17] M.Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B **219**, 233 (1983).