

# Correlators of currents corresponding to the massive $p$ -form fields in AdS/CFT correspondence

W. S. Yi<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Physics*  
*Chungbuk National University*  
*Cheongju, Chungbuk 361-763, Korea*

## Abstract

By solving the equations of motion of massive  $p$ -form potential in Anti-de-Sitter space and using the *AdS/CFT* correspondence of Maldacena, the generating functional of two-point correlation functions of the currents is obtained. When the mass parameter vanishes the result agrees with the known massless case.

HEP-CNU-9812

Nov. 1998

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail address: [wsl yi@cbucc.chungbuk.ac.kr](mailto:wsl yi@cbucc.chungbuk.ac.kr)

# 1 Introduction

The fascinating proposal of Maldacena that the bulk action of fields in Anti-de-Sitter space( $AdS$ ) may be used as the generating functional of correlators of corresponding conformal currents in the boundary spacetime[1] opened a new way of understanding conformal field theory in real spacetime. Many novel physical applications are presented with new insights[2, 3, 4, 5].

For the proposal itself a lot of tests are reported in the direction of confirming it. Various correlators for scalar field case are investigated[6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14]. Vector fields[7, 15, 16], spinors[18, 19, 15], gravitinos[20, 21], and gravitons[12, 13, 23] are also investigated. The next natural step is the consideration of  $p$ -form potential. For massless  $p$ -form case it is already obtained by computing first the boundary-to-bulk Green's function[17]. Since  $p$ -form potentials of supergravity actions or branes may acquire masses from the internal excitations of some extra compact dimensions, it is necessary to investigate the  $AdS/CFT$  correspondence for the case of massive  $p$ -form fields.

Although it is rather easy to determine the boundary-to-bulk Green's function for massless  $p$ -form fields, it is not so for massive cases. The reason is that for massless cases  $p$ -form potentials vanish when one goes to the boundary of  $AdS$ , thus simplifying the Ward-identity-preserving process of taking  $x_0 \rightarrow 0$  limit. But for massive cases the story becomes different. In this case one should be careful taking the limit. The most natural way of obtaining the boundary-to-bulk Green's function is solving the equations of motion of massive  $p$ -form fields. When one uses of the coordinate-space holographic projection technique[16] to the solution of equations of motion one may obtain the desired  $AdS$  action which serves as the generating functional of correlators of conformal currents.

In this paper we utilize this idea. In section 2, the classical equations of motion of massive  $p$ -form fields in  $AdS_{d+1}$  with Poincare metric is solved. The consistency condition, which is in fact the Bianchi identity, greatly simplifies the process of solving the equations

of motion. In section 3, the coordinate-space holographic projection technique is used to determine the desired action in terms of boundary fields.

## 2 The equations of motion of massive $p$ -form fields

For our purpose it is sufficient to employ the Euclidean  $AdS_{d+1}$  which is characterized by the Lobachevsky space  $\mathbf{R}_+^{1+d} = \{(x_0, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^{1+d} \mid x_0 > 0\}$  with the following Poincare metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{x_0^2} \left[ (dx^0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d (dx^i)^2 \right]. \quad (1)$$

The  $x_0 \rightarrow \infty$  point and the  $x_0 \rightarrow 0$  region consist the boundary of  $AdS$ . To simplify the notation we sometimes confuse  $x^0$  with  $x_0$  unless it is stated explicitly. Roman characters such as  $i$  and  $j$  are used to denote the boundary spacetime indices  $1, \dots, d$ , and Greek characters such as  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are preserved to denote whole indices of  $AdS$  including 0.

Consider a massive  $p$ -form potential  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{p!} \mathcal{A}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \dots dx^{\mu_p}$  of  $AdS_{d+1}$ . The free action of  $\mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int_{AdS_{d+1}} \left( \mathcal{F} \wedge * \mathcal{F} + m^2 \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{A} \right), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}$  is the field strength  $p+1$  form. According to the Maldacena's  $AdS/CFT$  proposal the generating functional of the correlation functions of conformal currents is given by the following relation

$$\langle \exp \frac{1}{p!} \int d^d x A_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) J_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{CFT} = \mathcal{Z}_{AdS_{d+1}}[\mathcal{A}], \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{AdS_{d+1}}[\mathcal{A}]$  is the partition function of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $AdS_{d+1}$  computed under the condition that  $\mathcal{A}$  is related to the boundary  $p$ -form  $A$  through the boundary-to-bulk Green's function. When one uses the classical approximation this partition function can be written as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{AdS_{d+1}}[\mathcal{A}] \simeq \exp(-I[A_{i_1 \dots i_p}]), \quad (4)$$

where  $I[A_{i_1\dots i_p}]$  is the corresponding classical action. We use this classical approximation to compute the two-point correlation function of the conformal current  $J_{i_1\dots i_p}$  corresponding to the massive  $p$ -form field  $A_{i_1\dots i_p}$ .

The classical equation of motion of  $\mathcal{A}$  which can be obtained from (2) is

$$(-)^p d^* d\mathcal{A} - m^2 {}^* \mathcal{A} = 0. \quad (5)$$

$\mathcal{A}$  also satisfies the following additional consistency condition

$$d^* \mathcal{A} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Using the metric (1) the equation of motion (5) can be written as<sup>1</sup>

$$\left[ x_0^2 \partial_\mu^2 - (d+1-2p)x_0 \partial_0 + (d+1-2p-m^2) \right] \mathcal{A}_{0i_2\dots i_p} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ x_0^2 \partial_\mu^2 - (d-1-2p)x_0 \partial_0 - m^2 \right] \mathcal{A}_{i_1\dots i_p} \\ & = 2x_0 \left( \partial_{i_1} \omega_{0i_2\dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} \partial_{i_2} \omega_{0i_3\dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By means of the vielbein  $e_a^\mu = x_0 \delta_a^\mu$  we introduce fields with flat indices

$$A_{0i_2\dots i_p} = x_0^{p-1} \mathcal{A}_{0i_2\dots i_p}, \quad A_{i_1\dots i_p} = x_0^p \mathcal{A}_{i_1\dots i_p}. \quad (9)$$

The equations of motion written in terms of these fields are

$$\left[ x_0^2 \partial_\mu^2 - (d-1)x_0 \partial_0 - (m^2 + p^2 - dp) \right] A_{0i_2\dots i_p} = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ x_0^2 \partial_\mu^2 - (d-1)x_0 \partial_0 - (m^2 + p^2 - dp) \right] A_{i_1\dots i_p} \\ & = 2x_0 \left( \partial_{i_1} \omega_{0i_2\dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} \partial_{i_2} \omega_{0i_3\dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Similarly, the consistency condition (6) becomes

$$\partial_i A_{ii_2\dots i_{p-1}0} = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\partial_i A_{ii_2\dots i_p} + x_0 \partial_0 A_{0i_2\dots i_p} = (d-p) A_{0i_2\dots i_p}. \quad (13)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The minimal prerequisites for handling differential forms are presented in appendix.

Now we solve the equation of motion (10) of  $A_{0i_2\dots i_p}$ . In the holographic projection of massive field we need the field component which diverges as

$$A_{0i_2\dots i_p} \sim x_0^{-\lambda} \quad (14)$$

as  $x_0$  goes to 0. Substituting this in (10) we have

$$\lambda(\lambda + d) = m^2 + p^2 - dp. \quad (15)$$

To solve this we introduce  $\nu$  such as

$$\nu = \lambda + \frac{d}{2}. \quad (16)$$

In terms of  $\nu$  we have following two roots of (15),

$$\nu = \pm \sqrt{m^2 + \left(\frac{d}{2} - p\right)^2}. \quad (17)$$

From now on  $\nu$  denotes only the positive one of it. This shows that as  $x_0$  goes to 0,  $A_{0i_2\dots i_p}$  diverges as

$$A_{0i_2\dots i_p} \sim x_0^{\frac{d}{2}} (c_\nu x_0^{-\nu} + c_{-\nu} x_0^\nu) \quad (18)$$

where  $c_\nu$  and  $c_{-\nu}$  are constants. We know that the modified Bessel function  $K_\nu(\xi)$  which satisfies

$$\left[\xi^2 \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \xi \frac{d}{d\xi} - (\nu^2 + \xi^2)\right] K_\nu = 0 \quad (19)$$

has following expansion

$$K_\nu(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Gamma(\nu) \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-\nu} + \Gamma(-\nu) \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^\nu + \dots \right] \quad (20)$$

as  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, since (10) does not contain  $x^i$ , we may Fourier transform  $A_{0i_2\dots i_p}$  in the following way

$$A_{0i_2\dots i_p}(x_0, \mathbf{x}) = x_0^{\frac{d}{2}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} a_{0i_2\dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) K_\nu(|\mathbf{k}|x_0). \quad (21)$$

It can be shown that it is in fact the desired solution. The consistency condition (12) becomes

$$k_{i_2} a_{0i_2\dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Now we determine  $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ . To solve (11) we decompose this into

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_p} = A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(g)} + A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(p)}, \quad (23)$$

where  $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(g)}$  is the general solution of the homogeneous part of (11), and  $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(p)}$  is a particular solution of the same equation. It is clear that  $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(g)}$  has a similar structure as  $A_{0i_2 \dots i_p}$ , such as

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(g)}(x_0, \mathbf{x}) = x_0^{\frac{d}{2}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} a_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) K_\nu(|\mathbf{k}|x_0). \quad (24)$$

For  $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(p)}$  we assume following form

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(p)} = -i2x_0^{\frac{d}{2}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left( k_{i_1} a_{0i_2 \dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} k_{i_2} a_{0i_3 \dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} H(|\mathbf{k}|x_0). \quad (25)$$

Inserting this into (11) one finds that it is in fact a solution if  $H(|\mathbf{k}|x_0)$  satisfies

$$\left[ \xi^2 \frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + \xi \frac{d}{d\xi} - (\nu^2 + \xi^2) \right] H = \xi^2 K_\nu. \quad (26)$$

Rather than dealing this equation directly we solve the remaning consistency condition (13). Under the decomposition (23) it becomes

$$\partial_i A_{ii_2 \dots i_p}^{(g)} + \partial_i A_{ii_2 \dots i_p}^{(p)} + x_0 \partial_0 A_{0i_2 \dots i_p} = (d-p) A_{0i_2 \dots i_p}. \quad (27)$$

Since  $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(g)}$  is independent of  $A_{0i_2 \dots i_p}$ , we may assume that

$$\partial_i A_{ii_2 \dots i_p}^{(g)} = 0. \quad (28)$$

This, in terms of Fourier component, is

$$k_i a_{ii_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) = 0. \quad (29)$$

On the other hand  $A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{(p)}$  satisfies

$$\partial_i A_{ii_2 \dots i_p}^{(p)} + x_0 \partial_0 A_{0i_2 \dots i_p} = (d-p) A_{0i_2 \dots i_p}. \quad (30)$$

When one uses (21) and (25) this equation implies the following specific form of  $H$ ,

$$H(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \xi \frac{dK_\nu}{d\xi} + \left( p - \frac{d}{2} \right) K_\nu \right]. \quad (31)$$

It is easy to check that this in fact satisfies (26). The final form of  $A_{i_1\dots i_p}(x_0, \mathbf{x})$ , when we combine (24) and (25), is

$$A_{i_1\dots i_p}(x_0, \mathbf{x}) = x_0^{\frac{d}{2}} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left[ a_{i_1\dots i_p} K_\nu(\xi) - \frac{i}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \left( k_{i_1} a_{0i_2\dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} k_{i_2} a_{0i_3\dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right) \left( \xi \frac{dK_\nu}{d\xi} + \left(p - \frac{d}{2}\right) K_\nu \right) \right], \quad (32)$$

where  $\xi = |\mathbf{k}|x_0$ .

### 3 Holographic projection of fields and the action

In this section we calculate the classical action of massive  $p$ -form potentials using the explicit forms of fields derived in the preceding section. The action (2), under the equation of motion, is

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial AdS_{d+1}} \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{F} = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x_0=\epsilon} \mathcal{A} \wedge * \mathcal{F}. \quad (33)$$

Using the relations (9) it can be written in terms of fields with flat indices in the following way

$$I = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{p}{2} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \int_{x_0=\epsilon} d^d x x_0^{-d} A_{i_1\dots i_p}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \int_{x_0=\epsilon} d^d x x_0^{-d+1} A_{i_1\dots i_p} [\partial_0 A_{i_1\dots i_p} + (-)^p \partial_{i_1} A_{i_2\dots i_p 0} + \dots] \right). \quad (34)$$

To compute this we utilize the Ward-identity-preserving coordinate-space holographic projection of fields introduced in *Ref* [16]. Since both  $A_{0i_2\dots i_p}$  and  $A_{i_1\dots i_p}$  diverge in the power of  $x_0^{-\lambda}$  as  $x_0 \rightarrow 0$  we define the following  $\epsilon$ -boundary fields such as

$$A_{0i_2\dots i_p}^h(\epsilon, \mathbf{x}) = \epsilon^\lambda A_{0i_2\dots i_p}(\epsilon, \mathbf{x}), \quad A_{i_1\dots i_p}^h(\epsilon, \mathbf{x}) = \epsilon^\lambda A_{i_1\dots i_p}(\epsilon, \mathbf{x}). \quad (35)$$

Using these it can be shown that first term of (35) is proportional to  $\epsilon^{-2\nu} (A_{i_1\dots i_p}^h)^2$  which, in the  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  limit, becomes an unimportant contact term. Similar reasoning shows that terms with  $A_{0i_2\dots i_p}$  do not contribute any meaningful value. The only term left is

$$I = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \int_{x_0=\epsilon} d^d x x_0^{-d+1} A_{i_1\dots i_p} \partial_0 A_{i_1\dots i_p}. \quad (36)$$

As it is discussed in *Ref* [16] the integrand of this has following holographic projection,

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x_0, \mathbf{x}) \partial_0 A_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x_0, \mathbf{x}) \Big|_{x_0=\epsilon} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-2\lambda} \partial_\epsilon A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^h(\epsilon, \mathbf{x})^2. \quad (37)$$

Then the action can be written as

$$I = -\frac{1}{4} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \int d^d x \epsilon^{-2\nu} \epsilon \partial_0 \left( A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^h(\epsilon, \mathbf{x}) \right)^2. \quad (38)$$

When we use (32) we have the  $\epsilon$ -boundary field,

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^h(\epsilon, \mathbf{x}) \quad (39) \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\nu) \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^{-\nu} \left( a_{i_1 \dots i_p} - i \frac{\beta}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \left\{ k_{i_1} a_{0i_2 \dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} k_{i_2} a_{0i_3 \dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right\} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(-\nu) \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^\nu \left( a_{i_1 \dots i_p} - i \frac{\bar{\beta}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \left\{ k_{i_1} a_{0i_2 \dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} k_{i_2} a_{0i_3 \dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right\} \right) \epsilon^{2\nu} + \dots \right] e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta = p - \frac{d}{2} - \nu, \quad \bar{\beta} = p - \frac{d}{2} + \nu. \quad (40)$$

We define the boundary field by

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} A_{i_1 \dots i_p}^h(\epsilon, \mathbf{x}). \quad (41)$$

The Fourier component of it is

$$\tilde{A}_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\nu) \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^{-\nu} \left( a_{i_1 \dots i_p} - i \frac{\beta}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \left\{ k_{i_1} a_{0i_2 \dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} k_{i_2} a_{0i_3 \dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right\} \right). \quad (42)$$

Using the consistency relations (22) and (29) we are able to solve  $a_{0i_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $a_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k})$  in terms of  $\tilde{A}_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k})$ ,

$$a_{0i_2 \dots i_p} = i \frac{2}{\beta \Gamma(\nu)} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^\nu k_{i_1} \tilde{A}_{i_2 \dots i_p}, \quad (43)$$

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p} = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^\nu \left( \tilde{A}_{i_1 \dots i_p} - \frac{k_{i_1}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \left\{ k_{i_1} \tilde{A}_{i_2 \dots i_p} + (-)^{p-1} k_{i_2} \tilde{A}_{i_3 \dots i_p i_1} + \dots \right\} \right). \quad (44)$$

The action which can be read out of (38) and (39) is

$$I = -\frac{\nu}{4} \Gamma(\nu) \Gamma(-\nu) \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left( \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) a_{i_1 \dots i_p}(-\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_p} \frac{\beta \bar{\beta}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} a_{0i_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) a_{0i_2 \dots i_p}(-\mathbf{k}) \right). \quad (45)$$

On the other hand, using (43) and (44) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_p} a_{0i_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) a_{0i_2 \dots i_p}(-\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \frac{4}{\beta^2 \Gamma(\nu)^2} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^{2\nu} \sum_{ij} \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_p} \tilde{A}_{ii_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{A}_{ji_2 \dots i_p}(-\mathbf{k}) k_i k_j, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) a_{i_1 \dots i_p}(-\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \frac{4}{\Gamma(\nu)^2} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^{2\nu} \sum_{ij} \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_p} \tilde{A}_{ii_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{A}_{ji_2 \dots i_p}(-\mathbf{k}) \left( \frac{1}{p} \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Inserting this into (45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\nu \frac{\Gamma(-\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{ij} \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_p} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \tilde{A}_{ii_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{A}_{ji_2 \dots i_p}(-\mathbf{k}) \left( \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right)^{2\nu} \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{p} \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} + \frac{\bar{\beta} k_i k_j}{\beta |\mathbf{k}|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

When we use the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} |\mathbf{k}|^{2\nu} \left( \frac{1}{p} \delta_{ij} + \frac{2\nu}{p - \frac{d}{2} - \nu} \frac{k_i k_j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} \right) = \\ & \frac{2^{2\nu} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + \nu)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(-\nu)} \frac{\frac{d}{2} + \nu}{\frac{d}{2} + \nu - p} \left( \frac{1}{p} \delta_{ij} - 2 \frac{x_i x_j}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} \right) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^{d+2\nu}} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

which comes from the equations given in the appendix of *Ref* [16] it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} I[A_{i_1 \dots i_p}] &= -c \left( \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \int d^d \mathbf{x} d^d \mathbf{x}' \frac{A_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) A_{i_1 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^{2\Delta}} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{ij} \sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_p} \int d^d \mathbf{x} d^d \mathbf{x}' \frac{(x_i - x'_i)(x_j - x'_j) A_{ii_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}) A_{ji_2 \dots i_p}(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^{2\Delta+2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where

$$c = \frac{\Delta(\Delta - \frac{d}{2})\Gamma(\Delta)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}(\Delta - p)} \Gamma(\Delta - \frac{d}{2}). \quad (51)$$

The conformal dimension  $\Delta$  is given by

$$\Delta = \lambda + d = \nu + \frac{d}{2}. \quad (52)$$

The results agree with the known values for massless  $p$ -form case when  $m$  vanishes[17].

For massive vectors[15, 16] and antisymmetric tensors[22] these are also in good agreement with the known results.

## Acknowledgement

This work is supported in part by NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korea Research Foundation, 1997, and in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program of the Ministry of Education, Korea, BSRI-97-2436.

## Appendix

Consider a  $D$ -dimensional (pseudo-)Riemannian space with a metric tensor  $g_{ij}$ . For a given differential  $p$ -form  $\omega = \frac{1}{p!}\omega_{i_1\dots i_p}dx^{i_1}\dots dx^{i_p}$  and one form  $\eta = \eta_i dx^i$  we define the contraction of  $\omega$  by  $\eta$  in the following way

$$\eta \rfloor \omega = \frac{1}{p!}\eta_i \omega_{j_1\dots j_p} g^{ij_1} dx^{j_2}\dots dx^{j_p} - \frac{1}{p!}\eta_i \omega_{j_1\dots j_p} g^{ij_2} dx^{j_1} dx^{j_3}\dots dx^{j_p} + \dots \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Similarly we define

$$\omega \lrcorner \eta = \frac{1}{p!}\omega_{j_1\dots j_p} \eta_i g^{j_p i} dx^{j_1}\dots dx^{j_{p-1}} - \frac{1}{p!}\omega_{j_1\dots j_p} \eta_i g^{j_{p-1} i} dx^{j_1}\dots dx^{j_{p-2}} dx^{j_p} + \dots \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The dual  ${}^*\omega$  of  $\omega$  is a  $D - p$  form defined by

$${}^*\omega = \frac{1}{p!}\omega_{i_1\dots i_p} dx^{i_p} \rfloor \dots \rfloor dx^{i_1} \rfloor v, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where  $v$  is the volume  $D$ -form given by

$$v = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \dots dx^D. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

It is easy to show that

$${}^*(dx^{i_1}\dots dx^{i_p}) = \sum_{j_{p+1}<\dots<j_D} g^{i_1 j_1}\dots g^{i_p j_p} \epsilon_{j_1\dots j_p j_{p+1}\dots j_D} dx^{j_{p+1}}\dots dx^{j_D}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where  $\epsilon_{j_1\dots j_D}$  is the usual  $D$ -dimensional permutation symbol defined by  $\epsilon_{1\dots D} = 1$ . Another useful relation is

$$dx^i \wedge {}^*(dx^{i_1}\dots dx^{i_p}) = {}^*(dx^{i_1}\dots dx^{i_p} \lrcorner dx^i). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

## References

- [1] J. Maldacena, “The Large  $N$  Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity,” [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [2] S.-J. Rey and J. Yee, “Macroscopic Strings As Heavy Quarks Of Large  $N$  Gauge Theory And Anti-de Sitter Supergravity,” [hep-th/9803002](#); S.-J. Rey, S. Theisen and J. Yee, “Wilson-Polyakov Loop at Finite Temperature in Large  $N$  Gauge Theory and Anti-de Sitter Supergravity,” [hep-th/9803135](#); A. Brandhuber, N. Itzaki, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, “Wilson Loops in the Large  $N$  Limit at Finite Temperature,” [hep-th/9803137](#).
- [3] E. Witten, “Baryons And Branes In Anti de Sitter Space,” [hep-th/9805112](#).
- [4] G. T. Horowitz and R. Myers, “The AdS/CFT Correspondence and a New Positive Energy Conjecture for General Relativity,” [hep-th/9808079](#)
- [5] E. Teo, “Black hole absorption cross-sections and the anti-de Sitter-conformal field theory correspondence,” [hep-th/9805014](#); M. Bañados, A. Gomberoff and C. Martínez, “Anti-de Sitter space and black holes,” [hep-th/9805087](#), and references there in.
- [6] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” [hep-th/9802109](#).
- [7] E. Witten, “Anti de Sitter Space And Holography,” [hep-th/9802150](#).
- [8] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, “Conformal Field Theory Correlators from Classical Scalar Field Theory on  $AdS_{d+1}$ ”
- [9] I. Ya. Aref’eva and I. V. Volovich, “On Large  $N$  Conformal Theories, Field Theories in Anti-De Sitter Space and Singletons,” [hep-th/9803028](#).

- [10] I. Ya. Aref'eva and I. V. Volovich, "On the Breaking of Conformal Symmetry in the AdS/CFT Correspondence," [hep-th/9804182](#).
- [11] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli "Correlation functions in the  $CFT_d/AdS_{d+1}$  correspondence," [hep-th/9808006](#).
- [12] G. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, "On the origin of the supergravity boundary terms in the AdS/CFT correspondence," [hep-th/9806216](#)
- [13] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, "On Four Point functions in the CFT/AdS Correspondence," [hep-th/9807097](#).
- [14] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli "Comments on 4-point functions in the  $CFT_d/AdS_{d+1}$  correspondence," [hep-th/9808006](#).
- [15] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, "Conformal Field Theory Correlators from Classical Field Theory on Anti-de Sitter Space II. Vector and Spinor Fields," [hep-th/9805145](#).
- [16] W. S. Yi, "Coordinate-space holographic projection of fields and an application to massive vector fields," [hep-th/9808051](#).
- [17] W. S. Yi, "Generating functionals of correlation functions of  $p$ -form currents in AdS/CFT correspondence," [hep-th/9809132](#)
- [18] R. Leight and M. Rozali, "The large  $N$  limit of the (2,0) superconformal field theory," [hep-th/9803068](#)
- [19] M. Henningson and K. Sfetsos, "Spinors and the AdS/CFT correspondence," [hep-th/9803251](#).
- [20] S. Corley, "The Massless Gravitino and the AdS/CFT correspondence," [hep-th/9808184](#)

- [21] A. Volovich, “Rarita-Schwinger Field in the AdS/CFT correspondence,”  
[hep-th/98090090](#)
- [22] G. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, “Antisymmetric tensor field on  $AdS_5$ ,”  
[hep-th/9807046](#), to appear in Phys. Lett. B.
- [23] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, “The Graviton in the AdS-CFT correspondence:  
Solution via the Dirichlet Boundary value problem,” [hep-th/9810151](#)