

# Temperature in Fermion Systems and the Chiral Fermion Determinant

Shoichi ICHINOSE <sup>1</sup>

Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory,  
Upton, NY 11973, USA

## Abstract

We give an interpretation to the issue of the chiral determinant in the heat-kernel approach. The extra dimension (5-th dimension) is interpreted as temperature. The 1+4 dim Dirac equation is naturally derived by the Wick rotation for the temperature. In order to define a “good” temperature, we choose those solutions of the Dirac equation which propagate in a fixed direction in the extra coordinate. This choice fixes the regularization of the fermion determinant. The 1+4 dimensional Dirac mass ( $M$ ) is naturally introduced and the relation:  $|4 \text{ dim electron momentum}| \ll |M| \ll \text{ultraviolet cut-off}$ , naturally appears. The chiral anomaly is explicitly derived for the 2 dim Abelian model. Consistent and covariant anomalies appear depending on the choice of propagators.

PACS NO: 11.30.Rd,11.25.Db,05.70.-a,11.10.Kk,11.10.Wx

Key Words: Chiral Fermion, Domain Wall, Overlap Formalism, Regularization, Heat-kernel, Chiral Anomaly

---

<sup>1</sup> On leave of absence from Department of Physics, University of Shizuoka, Yada 52-1, Shizuoka 422, Japan (Address after Nov.6, 1998).  
E-mail address: ichinose@u-shizuoka-ken.ac.jp

# 1 Introduction

Since Dirac introduced the first-order differential operator (Dirac operator) to describe the fermion propagation, we have been provided by a tool to describe rich fermion physics. The wonderful success of QED shows its deep importance. It is, however, true that interesting ambiguities related to the subtlety in its vacuum structure remain with the chiral anomaly problem. In order to define the quantum field theory, we must generally regularize the space-time. Equivalently, we must define the measure for quantum fluctuations. One of fundamental regularizations is lattice. Since its birth, the lattice approach has suffered from the fermion problem known as species doubling. In the necessity of simulating fermions on lattice, a new regularization was found by [1, 2] using an idea of the higher dimensional theory[3]. This approach is called “Domain wall fermions,” and has been well developed by many people [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The most characteristic point of the regularization is the introduction of one extra dimension. In accord with this, a new regularization mass parameter, the fifth dimensional mass  $M$ , appears. It controls the selection of chirality and plays the central role in this regularization scheme.

A continuum analysis has also been done by Narayanan-Neuberger and Randjbar-Daemi-Strathdee[9, 10, 11, 12, 13] in the form of the “Overlap formalism”. Here we present another continuum formalism based on the heat-kernel. In this approach, a “temperature” parameter  $t$  is used. Previously for the elliptic operator,  $t$  is naturally introduced because of the positivity of its eigenvalues. For the Dirac operator, however, the direct application is difficult because of negative eigenvalues. We solve this difficulty by an idea based on the fact: when the temperature is defined in a system, the system evolves in a fixed direction.

The new points found in this formalism are as follows.

1. Both consistent and covariant anomalies appear depending on the choice of solutions in the 1+4 dim Dirac equation.
2. As the extra axis, it should be a half line ( not a line ) like the temperature. ( Otherwise the infra-red cut-off appears in the chiral anomaly, which should be avoided.) This is in close analogy to the domain wall and overlap formalisms.

3. The reason why the “overlap” of  $|+ \rangle$  and  $|- \rangle$  should be taken in the anomaly calculation is manifest. The “overlap” in the partition function corresponds to a “difference” in the effective action.
4. The (+)- and (-)-domains are introduced simply as the result of the property of the continuum Dirac operator  $\hat{D}$ :  $\hat{D}\gamma_5 + \gamma_5\hat{D} = 0$ . This is contrasted with the original formulation of the overlap where the sign of fermion masses distinguishes the two domains.
5. The characteristic limit of the present regularization :  $|k^\mu| \ll |M| \ll 1/t$ , is explained carefully.

## 2 Heat-Kernel Approach

The heat-kernel method has a long history as a tool to define  $\det \hat{D}$  for a differential operator  $\hat{D}$ . Here the cap symbol denotes the operator nature of  $\hat{D}$ . Let us briefly review the properties necessary for the next section. We take a (Euclidean) 2 dim quantum scalar theory  $\phi$  on the background curved space  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . We focus on the partition function and its Weyl anomaly.

$$\mathcal{L}[g_{\mu\nu}, \phi] = \sqrt{g}\phi(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2)\phi \equiv \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}\hat{D}\tilde{\phi}(x) \quad , \quad \hat{D} \equiv -\sqrt[4]{g}\nabla_x^2\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{g}} \quad , \quad \tilde{\phi} \equiv \sqrt[4]{g}\phi \quad (1)$$

$\hat{D}$  is a positive (semi)definite operator. The partition function is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} Z[g] &= \int \mathcal{D}\tilde{\phi} e^{-\int d^2x \mathcal{L}} = (\det \hat{D})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} \ln \hat{D}\} = \exp[\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t\hat{D}}}{t} dt + \text{const}] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here we have used a useful formula for a matrix  $A$ :  $\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}-e^{-tA}}{t} dt = \ln A$ . This is well-defined when all eigenvalues of  $A$  is positive. The heat-kernel is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y; t) &\equiv \langle x | e^{-t\hat{D}} | y \rangle \quad , \\ (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \hat{D}_x)G(x, y; t) &= 0 \quad , \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} G(x, y; t) = \delta^2(x - y) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\langle x|$  and  $|y\rangle$  are in the x-representation of  $\hat{D}$  (Dirac's bra- and ket-vectors respectively). ( This formalism is familiar in statistical mechanics as the "density matrix" [14]. ) The final equation is the boundary condition we take. The parameter  $t$  has two properties: 1) Dimension of  $t$  is (length)<sup>2</sup> ( $\hat{D}$  is the quadratic differential operator.); 2)  $t$  is restricted to positive. The first property shows the distribution in x-space at  $t$  is localized within a distance  $\sqrt{t}$ . The second one reflects the property of heat: heat propagates from the high temperature to the low temperature ( the second law of the thermodynamics). For the positive definite operator  $\hat{D}$ , like the present case, we can naturally introduce the temperature as  $1/t$  using this heat-kernel.

$G(x, y; t)$  is solved by the (weak-field) perturbation:  $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $|h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$ ,  $\hat{D} = -\partial^2 - \vec{V}$ ,  $\vec{V} = -h_{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu - \partial_\nu h_{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\partial^2 h + O(h^2)$  (See [15]) using two ingredients.

(i) Heat Equation without source(Free Equation)

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \partial^2\right)G_0(x - y; t) = 0 \quad , \quad t > 0 \quad , \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} G_0(x - y; t) = \delta^2(x - y) \quad ,$$

$$G_0(x - y; t) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \exp\{-k^2 t + ik \cdot (x - y)\} = \frac{1}{4\pi t} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \quad . \quad (4)$$

(ii) Heat Propagator

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \partial^2\right)S(x - y; t - s) = \delta(t - s)\delta^2(x - y) \quad , \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} S(x - y; t) = \delta^2(x - y) \quad ,$$

$$S(x - y; t) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{dk^0}{2\pi} \frac{\exp\{-ik^0 t + ik \cdot (x - y)\}}{-ik^0 + k^2} = \theta(t)G_0(x - y; t) \quad . \quad (5)$$

We have imposed an appropriate boundary condition above, corresponding to the one in (3). The perturbative solution is given by iterating

$$G(x, y; t) = G_0(x - y; t) + \int d^n z \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds S(x - z; t - s) \vec{V}(z) G(z, y; s) \quad , \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{D} = -\partial^2 - V$ . The lowest order solution of the present 2 dim scalar-gravity theory is given by

$$G(x, x; t) = G_0(0; t) + G_1(x, x; t) + O(h^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4\pi t} \left(1 + \frac{h}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{24\pi} (\partial^2 h - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\mu\nu}) + O(h^2) + O(t) \quad (7) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi t} (\sqrt{g} + O(h^2)) - \frac{\sqrt{g}}{24\pi} (R + O(h^2)) + O(t) \quad , \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

where the Riemann scalar curvature  $R$  is expanded as  $R = \partial^2 h_{\mu\mu} - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\mu\nu} + O(h^2)$ . Introducing a ultra-violet cut-off  $\epsilon (\rightarrow +0)$  for  $t$ -integral in (2), we can regularize  $\Gamma[g] \equiv \ln Z[g]$  as

$$\Gamma^{\text{reg}}[g] = \frac{1}{2} \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \int d^2x \langle x | e^{-t\hat{D}} | x \rangle + \text{const} \quad . \quad (9)$$

Its variation under the scale transformation  $\delta\epsilon = \Delta \cdot \epsilon$  gives us the Weyl anomaly.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Gamma^{\text{reg}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int d^2x G(x, x; \epsilon) \right] \cdot \Delta \\
&= \Delta \cdot \int d^2x \left[ -\frac{1}{48\pi} \sqrt{g} R + \text{cosmological term} \right] \quad . \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

The same result is obtained by the measure change[16, 17, 15].

$$\ln J = \ln \det \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}'}{\partial \tilde{\phi}} = -\text{Tr} \alpha(x) \delta^2(x - y) = -\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \text{Tr} \alpha(x) G(x, y; t) \quad , \quad (11)$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}' = e^{-\alpha(x)} \tilde{\phi}$ . This approach will be taken in the evaluation of the chiral anomaly in Sec.4. The result (10) was exploited in the 2 dim quantum gravity[18].

We point out that the heat propagator  $S(x - y; t)$  has the form of the theta function,  $\theta(t)$ , times the free solution  $G_0(x, y; t)$ . The theta function guarantees the the propagation is going in the fixed direction, that is, forward in the  $t$ -axis. We will take this fact in the choice of boundary conditions.

### 3 Chiral Fermion Determinant

Let us start with 4 dim Euclidean massless Dirac fermion  $\psi$  in the external Abelian gauge field  $A_\mu$ .<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \hat{D} \psi \quad , \quad \hat{D} = i\gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu) \quad . \quad (12)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> We adopt the convention of Ref.[10] for the gamma matrices ( $\gamma_\mu$ ) and the metric in 2 and 4 dim Euclidean space and for those ( $\Gamma_a$ ) in 5 dim Minkowski space.

We can formally express the determinant as in the previous section.

$$\begin{aligned} Z[A] &= \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi e^{-\int d^2x \mathcal{L}} = (\det \hat{D})^{+1} \\ &= \exp\{\text{Tr} \ln \hat{D}\} = \exp[-\text{Tr} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t\hat{D}}}{t} dt + \text{const} ] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Clearly the following things are different from Sec.2: 1) Dimension of  $t$  is length ( $\hat{D}$  is the linear differential operator); 2)  $\hat{D}$  has both positive and negative solutions. Due to 2), the  $t$ -integral is divergent and further regularization is necessary to define  $\det \hat{D}$ . The purpose of this paper is to define the integrand inside the  $t$ -integral above, using the operator  $\hat{D}$  in such a way that the  $t$ -integral is convergent and the property as the determinant is preserved (regularization of the fermion determinant). We will do it in three stages.

Continuing formally a little longer, the heat-kernel  $G(x, y; t) = \langle x | e^{-t\hat{D}} | y \rangle$  satisfies (3). The operator  $\exp\{-t\hat{D}\}$  satisfies, using  $(\gamma_5)^2 = 1$ , the relation

$$\exp\{-t\hat{D}\} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\gamma_5) \exp\{+it\gamma_5\hat{D}\} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - i\gamma_5) \exp\{-it\gamma_5\hat{D}\} \quad . \quad (14)$$

This is valid for any operators which satisfy

$$\gamma_5\hat{D} + \hat{D}\gamma_5 = 0 \quad . \quad (15)$$

In eq.(14),  $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i\gamma_5)$  are Wick-rotated variations of the chiral projection operators  $P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)$ . This hints of the 5 dimensionality behind the discussion.

Here we introduce two new heat-kernels.

$$G_\pm^5(x, y; t) \equiv \langle x | \exp\{\pm it\gamma_5\hat{D}\} | y \rangle \quad , \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mp i\gamma_5\hat{D}\right)G_\pm^5(x, y; t) = 0 \quad . \quad (16)$$

Very interestingly, the above heat equations satisfy the 1+4 dim Minkowski Dirac equation after appropriate Wick rotations for  $t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (i\partial - M)G_+^{5M} &= ie\mathcal{A}G_+^{5M} \quad , \quad (X^a) = (-it, x^\mu) \quad , \\ (i\partial - M')G_-^{5M'} &= ie\mathcal{A}G_-^{5M'} \quad , \quad (X^a) = (+it, x^\mu) \quad , \\ G_+^{5M}(x, y; t) &= \langle x | \exp\{+it\gamma_5(\hat{D} + iM)\} | y \rangle \quad , \\ G_-^{5M'}(x, y; t) &= \langle x | \exp\{-it\gamma_5(\hat{D} + iM')\} | y \rangle \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $\hat{A} \equiv \gamma_\mu A_\mu(x)$ ,  $\hat{\partial} \equiv \Gamma^a \frac{\partial}{\partial X^a}$ , and we have introduced the regularization mass parameter  $M, M' (\rightarrow 0)$  for the next step. The Wick-rotation is taken differently for  $G_+^{5M}$  and  $G_-^{5M'}$ . The sign convention for the 1+4 dim fermion masses formally follows the textbook by Bjorken and Drell[19]. However we do not fix their signs here. In the above equation  $\hat{A}$  in the right-hand sides is purely a 4 dim object. Others are 1+4 dim ones. Hence we obtain a regularized partition function, at the present stage, as

$$\begin{aligned} \ln Z &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + i\gamma_5) \exp\{it\gamma_5 \hat{D}\} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - i\gamma_5) \exp\{-it\gamma_5 \hat{D}\} \right] \\ &= - \lim_{M \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i \frac{\partial}{\partial(tM)}\right) \text{Tr} G_+^{5M}(x, y; t) \\ &\quad - \lim_{M' \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i \frac{\partial}{\partial(tM')}\right) \text{Tr} G_-^{5M'}(x, y; t) \quad .(18) \end{aligned}$$

Here we understand  $G_+^{5M}$  and  $G_-^{5M'}$  are, first calculated in the 1+4 dim( $X^a$ ), and then go back to  $(t, x^\mu)$  by the Wick rotation. Note ‘‘Tr’’ means the 4 dimensional trace (not over the 5-th dimension). The role of  $M, M'$  looks just a technical trick at present. From the usage above, the limiting condition for the parameters ( $M, M' \rightarrow 0$ ) should be taken as

$$|tM| \ll 1 \quad , \quad |tM'| \ll 1 \quad . \quad (19)$$

Next stage of the regularization program is to give solutions to  $G_+^{5M}$  and  $G_-^{5M'}$ , taking into account boundary conditions. We do the calculation in 1+4 dim. The perturbative solution of the 1+4 dim Dirac equation is again given by Ref.[19].

$$\begin{aligned} (i\hat{\partial} - M)G_M^5 &= ie\hat{A}G_M^5 \quad , \\ G_M^5(X, Y) &= G_0(X, Y) + \int d^5 Z S(X, Z)ie\hat{A}(z)G_M^5(Z, Y) \quad , \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

where  $(X^a) = (X^0, X^\mu = x^\mu)$  and  $G_0(X, Y)$  is the free solution and  $S(X, Z)$  is the propagator.

$$(i\hat{\partial} - M)G_0(X, Y) = 0 \quad , \quad (i\hat{\partial} - M)S(X, Y) = \delta^5(X - Y) \quad . \quad (21)$$

Solutions depend on boundary conditions on  $X^0 - Y^0$ . There are four possible ways to go around the positive and negative energy poles in the

$K^0$ -integral in its complex plane. 1)[F-path] The familiar Feynman propagator takes the path: “below” for the negative pole and “above” for the positive one. This treat the positive and negative poles discriminatively and gives the retarded propagator and the advanced propagator. 2)[F'-path] Its opposite choice (“above” for negative and “below” for positive) turns out to be the same regularization. The other pair of choices treats the both poles equally. 3)[Sa-path] The path taken as “above” for the positive and negative poles gives a retarded propagator. 4)[Sb-path] Its counter choice, “below” for both poles, gives an advanced propagator. Let us discuss them separately.

i) Feynman Propagator (F-path,F'-path)

First we consider the Feynman propagator.

$$S_F(X, Y) = \int \frac{d^5 K}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{e^{-iK(X-Y)}}{\mathbb{K} - M + i\epsilon} \quad , \quad \mathbb{K} = \Gamma^a K_a \quad , \quad (22)$$

where  $\epsilon(\rightarrow +0)$  is introduced to define the boundary condition. (Note that  $\epsilon$  is only for specifying the path of the  $K^0$ -integral and should not be regarded as a present regularization parameter.) After the  $K^0$ -integral, we see  $S_F(X, Y)$  is composed of the retarded part and the advanced one in  $X^0$ -axis.

$$\begin{aligned} S_F(X, Y) &= \theta(X^0 - Y^0)G_0^p(X, Y) + \theta(Y^0 - X^0)G_0^n(X, Y) \quad , \\ G_0^p(X, Y) &\equiv -i \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \Omega_+(k) e^{-i\tilde{K}(X-Y)} \quad , \quad \Omega_+(k) \equiv \frac{M + \tilde{K}}{2E(k)} \quad , \\ G_0^n(X, Y) &\equiv -i \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \Omega_-(k) e^{+i\bar{K}(X-Y)} \quad , \quad \Omega_-(k) \equiv \frac{M - \bar{K}}{2E(k)} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where

$E(k) = \sqrt{k^2 + M^2}$ ,  $(\tilde{K}^a) = (E(k), K^\mu = -k^\mu)$ ,  $(\bar{K}^a) = (E(k), -K^\mu = k^\mu)$ .  $k^\mu$  is the momentum in the 4 dim Euclidean space. <sup>3</sup>  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\bar{K}$  are on-shell momenta( $\tilde{K}^2 = \bar{K}^2 = M^2$ ), which correspond to the positive and negative

---

<sup>3</sup> The relation between 4 dim quantities( $x^\mu$  and  $k^\mu$ ) and 1+4 dim ones( $X^a$  and  $K^a$ ):  $(X^a) = (X^0, X^\mu = x^\mu)$ ,  $(K^a) = (K^0, K^\mu = -k^\mu)$ ,  $K_a X^a = K_0 X^0 - K^\mu X^\mu = K_0 X^0 + k^\mu x^\mu$ .



energy states respectively. <sup>4</sup> Hence  $G_0^p(X, Y)$  ( $G_0^n(X, Y)$ ) is constructed by the positive (negative) energy eigenstates (see Ref.[19]).

In analogy to the Sec.2, we should take a solution in such a way that there exists a direction in time. Taking into account the  $t$ -integral convergence, we are uniquely led to the following solution of  $G_+^{5M}$  and  $G_-^{5M}$ . (We take  $M = M'$  for simplicity.)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Retarded solution for } G_+^{5M} : \\ G_0(X, Y) = G_0^p(X, Y) \quad , \quad S(X, Y) = \theta(X^0 - Y^0)G_0^p(X, Y) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Advanced solution for } G_-^{5M} : \\ G_0(X, Y) = G_0^n(X, Y) \quad , \quad S(X, Y) = \theta(Y^0 - X^0)G_0^n(X, Y) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This is the first choice of the 2nd stage regularization. The same regularization is obtained from the opposite choice of path to Feynman propagator ( 2)[F'-path].

ii) Symmetric Propagators (Sa-path, Sb-path)

We have another choices of the  $K^0$ -integral path which is symmetric with respect to positive and negative energy states.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{sym}}^{\text{ret}}(X, Y) &= \theta(X^0 - Y^0)G_0^{\text{p-n}}(X, Y) \quad , \\ G_0^{\text{p-m}}(X, Y) &\equiv -i \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \{ \Omega_+(k)e^{-i\tilde{K}(X-Y)} - \Omega_-(k)e^{i\tilde{K}(X-Y)} \} \\ &= G_0^p(X, Y) - G_0^n(X, Y) \quad , \\ S_{\text{sym}}^{\text{adv}}(X, Y) &= \theta(Y^0 - X^0)G_0^{\text{n-p}}(X, Y) \quad , \\ G_0^{\text{n-p}}(X, Y) &\equiv +i \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \{ \Omega_+(k)e^{-i\tilde{K}(X-Y)} - \Omega_-(k)e^{i\tilde{K}(X-Y)} \} \\ &= -G_0^p(X, Y) + G_0^n(X, Y) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where  $S^{\text{ret}}$  and  $S^{\text{adv}}$  are obtained by taking Sa-path and Sb-path respectively. Using these solutions we obtain the second choice for the 2nd stage regularization.

Symmetric retarded solution for  $G_+^{5M}$  :

---

<sup>4</sup> Useful relations:  $-i\tilde{K}X = -iE(k)X^0 - ikx$ ,  $i\tilde{K}X = iE(k)X^0 - ikx$ ,  $M + \tilde{K} = M + E(k)\gamma_5 + i\not{k}$ ,  $M - \tilde{K} = M - E(k)\gamma_5 + i\not{k}$ .

$$G_0(X, Y) = G_0^{\text{P-n}}(X, Y) \quad , \quad S(X, Y) = \theta(X^0 - Y^0)G_0^{\text{P-n}}(X, Y) \quad (27)$$

Symmetric advanced solution for  $G_-^{5M}$  :

$$G_0(X, Y) = G_0^{\text{n-P}}(X, Y) \quad , \quad S(X, Y) = \theta(Y^0 - X^0)G_0^{\text{n-P}}(X, Y) \quad (28)$$

We will show the cases i) and ii) correspond to, in the chiral anomaly calculation, the consistent and covariant anomalies respectively.

As the final stage of the regularization, we give the condition:

$$\left| \frac{k^\mu}{M} \right| \ll 1 \quad . \quad (29)$$

This condition is called ‘‘fermion zero mode limit’’. This limit plays the role of selecting a definite chirality. In fact in this limit ( $M > 0$  is taken here), the Fourier transform of  $G_0^p$  and  $G_0^n$  is

$$G_0^p(k) \sim \frac{M + \tilde{K}}{2E(k)} \rightarrow \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \quad , \quad G_0^n(k) \sim \frac{M - \tilde{K}}{2E(k)} \rightarrow \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \quad , \quad (30)$$

which indicates the present regularization clearly selects both chiralities in the first choice (of the 2nd stage) and treats them equally in the second choice.

Let us reconsider another condition (19). The phases of  $G_0^p$  and  $G_0^n$  are given by

$$e^{-i\tilde{K}X} = e^{-iE(k)X^0 - ikx} \quad , \quad e^{i\tilde{K}X} = e^{iE(k)X^0 - ikx} \quad . \quad (31)$$

As far as the condition (29) is valid, the condition (19) means  $|E(k)X^0| = |E(k)t| \ll 1$ . The coordinate  $X^0$  becomes irrelevant and the system works in the 4 dim  $x^\mu$ -space (Kaluza-Klein reduction). Furthermore both phases above are proportional to  $e^{-E(k)t} \sim e^{-|M|t}$  after the Wick-rotations ( $X^0 = -it$  for the former,  $X^0 = it$  for the latter). It says the fermion is localized within a distance  $1/|M|$  in the extra axis(‘‘wall’’). We may say both conditions (19) and (29) combined give the reduction to the 4 dimensional theory. Combining two conditions (19) and (29), we obtain

$$|k^\mu| \ll |M| \ll \frac{1}{t} \quad . \quad (32)$$

This relation shows the delicacy in taking the limit in the 1+4 dimensional regularization scheme. Practically  $|M|$  should be appropriately chosen

depending on the regularization scale (lattice size) and the considered momentum-region of 4 dim fermions.

Using (30), we can easily read off the boundary conditions for the free solutions in (24),(25),(27) and (28). They are equal to corresponding full solutions by its construction. Then we obtain the following table of the boundary conditions.

	$G_+^{5M}$ (Retarded)	$G_-^{5M}$ (Advanced)
Limit	$M(X^0 - Y^0) \rightarrow +0, M/ k^\mu  \rightarrow +\infty$	$M(X^0 - Y^0) \rightarrow -0, M/ k^\mu  \rightarrow +\infty$
Feynman	$-i\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\delta^4(x-y)$	$-i\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}\delta^4(x-y)$
Symmetric	$-i\gamma_5\delta^4(x-y)$	$+i\gamma_5\delta^4(x-y)$

Table 1 List of boundary conditions.

## 4 Chiral Anomaly in 2 Dim QED

Let us evaluate the chiral anomaly to check that the present regularization works correctly. For simplicity, a 2 dim Abelian gauge model is taken. The previous formulae are all correct by the replacement:  $\mu$  runs from 1 to 2,  $\int d^4k/(2\pi)^4 \rightarrow \int d^2k/(2\pi)^2$ . It is known that the chiral anomaly is obtained by the measure change due to the chiral transformation of  $\psi$ [16, 17].

$$\delta\psi = i\alpha(x)\gamma_5\psi \quad , \quad |\alpha(x)| \ll 1 \quad . \quad (33)$$

The Jacobian is formally given by

$$\ln J \equiv \ln \det \frac{\partial(\bar{\psi}', \psi)}{\partial(\bar{\psi}, \psi)} = \text{Tr} \{i\alpha(x)\gamma_5\delta^4(x-y)\} \quad . \quad (34)$$

Now we regularize  $\gamma_5\delta^4(x-y)$  by replacing it by the heat-kernels obtained in Sec.3. We have two different choices corresponding to i) and ii) of Sec.3. In this section we take  $M > 0$ .

i) Feynman path ( Consistent anomaly )

First we consider the case of Feynman propagator. Taking into account the boundary conditions in Table 1, we should take

$$J = \lim_{Mt \rightarrow +0, M/|k^\mu| \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Tr} i\alpha(x)i(G_+^{5M}(X, Y) - G_-^{5M}(X, Y)) \quad . \quad (35)$$

Only the 1-st order (with respect to  $A_\mu$ ) perturbation contributes. The first term is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_+^{5M}|_A &= \int_0^{X^0} dZ^0 \int d^2Z G_0^p(X, Z) i e A(z) G_0^p(Z, Y) \\
&= \int_0^{X^0} dZ^0 \int d^2z \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times (-i) \frac{M + \tilde{K}}{2E(k)} i e A(z) (-i) \frac{M + \tilde{K}}{2E(l)} e^{-i\tilde{K}(X-Z)} e^{-i\tilde{L}(Z-Y)} \quad . \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have made an important assumption about the extra axis: the axis is a half line ( not a line ) like the temperature ( $t$ ) axis of Sec.2. <sup>5</sup> Instead of  $z$ , we take a shifted variable  $z'$  ( we do not change  $Z^0$ ), and expand  $A_\mu(z)$  around the “center”  $(x + y)/2$ .

$$z = z' + \frac{x + y}{2}, \quad A_\mu(z) = A_\mu\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) + \partial_\alpha A_\mu|_{\frac{x+y}{2}} \cdot z'^\alpha + O(z'^2) \quad . \quad (37)$$

The second term,  $\partial_\alpha A_\mu$ , contributes to the anomaly. The final relevant term, after the the momentum integrals in  $X^0$ -space( $Y^0 = 0$ ), turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Tr } \alpha(x) G_+^{5M}|_A \sim \int d^2x \alpha(x) \left(-\frac{i}{8\pi}\right) e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu \\
&\times M X^0 \int_0^\infty ds \frac{s(s^2 + 2)}{(s^2 + 1)^{3/2}} \sin(M X^0 \sqrt{s^2 + 1}) \rightarrow \int d^2x \alpha(x) \left[-\frac{i}{8\pi} e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu\right] \quad , (38)
\end{aligned}$$

as  $M X^0 \rightarrow +0$ . In this case, we can also obtain the same result in the Wick-rotated  $t$ -space( $X^0 = -it, Y^0 = 0$ ).

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr } \alpha(x) G_+^{5M}|_A &\sim \int d^2x \alpha(x) \left(-\frac{i}{8\pi}\right) e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu \times M t \int_0^\infty ds \frac{s(s^2 + 2)}{(s^2 + 1)^{3/2}} e^{-M t \sqrt{s^2 + 1}} \\
&\rightarrow \int d^2x \alpha(x) \left[-\frac{i}{8\pi} e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu\right] \quad (M t \rightarrow +0) \quad . (39)
\end{aligned}$$

$G_-^{5M}$  is evaluated similarly and gives the same result except the sign. The final chiral anomaly is

$$\frac{\delta J}{\delta \alpha(x)} = +\frac{i}{4\pi} e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu \quad . \quad (40)$$

---

<sup>5</sup> If we take the extra axis as a line, we have to introduce an *infrared* cut-off,  $L$ , in the  $Z^0$ -integral in (36), and the final anomaly result depends on it.

which is equal to the consistent anomaly.

ii) Symmetric path ( Covariant anomaly )

The second choice of Sec.2 gives us another regularization.

$$J = \lim_{M(X^0-Y^0) \rightarrow +0, M/|k^\mu| \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Tr } i\alpha(x) iG_+^{5M}(X, Y) \\ + \lim_{M(X^0-Y^0) \rightarrow -0, M/|k^\mu| \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Tr } i\alpha(x) (-i)G_-^{5M}(X, Y) \quad . \quad (41)$$

The first one takes care of  $X^0 > Y^0$  case and the second for  $X^0 < Y^0$ . The first term is evaluated as

$$G_+^{5M}|_A = \int_0^{X^0} dZ^0 \int d^2Z (G_0^p(X, Z) - G_0^n(X, Z)) i e A(z) (G_0^p(Z, Y) - G_0^n(Z, Y)) \\ = \int_0^{X^0} dZ^0 \int d^2z \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \\ \times (-i) \left( \frac{M + \tilde{K}}{2E(k)} e^{-iE(k)(X^0-Z^0)} - \frac{M - \tilde{K}}{2E(k)} e^{iE(k)(X^0-Z^0)} \right) i e A(z) \\ \times (-i) \left( \frac{M + \tilde{K}}{2E(l)} e^{-iE(l)(Z^0-Y^0)} - \frac{M - \tilde{K}}{2E(l)} e^{iE(l)(Z^0-Y^0)} \right) e^{-ik(x-y)-il(z-y)} \quad .(42)$$

As in i), after expanding  $A(z) = A(z' + (x+y)/2)$ , we are led to the following one, as the relevant part for the anomaly,

$$\text{Tr } \alpha(x) G_+^{5M}|_A \sim \\ \int d^2x \alpha(x) \left( -\frac{i}{4\pi} \right) e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu \times M X^0 \int_0^\infty ds \frac{s(s^2+2)}{(s^2+1)^{3/2}} \sin(M X^0 \sqrt{s^2+1}) \\ \rightarrow \int d^2x \alpha(x) \left[ -\frac{i}{4\pi} e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu \right] \quad (M X^0 \rightarrow +0) \quad , \quad (43)$$

where we have done the  $k^\mu$ -integral in  $X^0$ -coordinate. In comparison with the previous one, we cannot take the  $t$ -coordinate. Adding the  $G_-^{5M}$  contribution, we obtain

$$\frac{\delta J}{\delta \alpha(x)} = +\frac{i}{2\pi} e \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu \quad . \quad (44)$$

which is twice of the previous result (40) and is the covariant form of the chiral anomaly.

## 5 Conclusion

We have presented a thermo-dynamical view of the chiral determinant problem. The temperature is naturally introduced based on an idea: there exists a fixed direction in the movement of the thermo-dynamical system. The temperature is interpreted as the 5-th (extra) dimension after the Wick rotation. Choosing the “directed” Dirac fermion propagation in the 1+4 Minkowski space, we fix the regularization. Two kinds of regularization appear depending on the choice of solutions: Feynman path gives the consistent anomaly, whereas the “symmetric” path gives the covariant one.

As for the correspondence to the overlap formalism we point out some comments.

1.  $G_+^{5M}$  and  $G_-^{5M'}$  correspond to the “ $|+ \rangle$  domain” and “ $|- \rangle$  domain”. Their solutions describe the left,  $(1 + \gamma_5)/2$ , and the right,  $(1 - \gamma_5)/2$ , chiral fermions in the limit. If we change the sign of the masses, their chiralities change each other. This corresponds to the definition of  $|\pm \rangle$  in the overlap formalism.
2. The relation (35), in the Feynman path regularization, clearly says that the “ $|+ \rangle$ -domain” and “ $|- \rangle$ -domain” are both necessary to regularize  $-i\gamma_5\delta^4(x - y)$ . This is the present understanding why the overlap is necessary to give the correct anomaly.

The results based on our interpretation are consistent with others. We hope the present approach helps to further development of chiral fermions on lattice.

### Acknowledgment

The author thanks M. Creutz for continually helping him at all stages of this work. Without his insight this work could not be finished. The author also thanks A. Soni for their latest information about the lattice simulation using the domain wall. Finally he thanks the hospitality at the Brookhaven National Laboratory where this work has been done.

## References

- [1] D.B.Kaplan,Phys.Lett.**B288**(1992)342
- [2] K.Jansen,Phys.Lett.**B288**(1992)348
- [3] C.G.Callan and J.A.Harvey,Nucl.Phys.**B250**(1985)427
- [4] M.Creutz and J.Horváth,Phys.Rev.**D50**(1994)2297
- [5] M.Creutz,Nucl.Phys.B(Proc.Suppl.)**42**(1995)56
- [6] V.Furman and Y.Shamir,Nucl.Phys.**B439**(1995)54
- [7] T.Blum and A.Soni,Phys.Rev.**D56**(1997)174
- [8] T.Blum and A.Soni,Phys.Rev.Lett.**19**(1997)3595
- [9] R.Narayanan and H.Neuberger,Nucl.Phys.**B412**(1994)574
- [10] R.Narayanan and H.Neuberger,Nucl.Phys.**B443**(1995)305
- [11] Randjbar-Daemi and J.Strathdee,Nucl.Phys.**B443**(1995)386
- [12] Randjbar-Daemi and J.Strathdee,Phys.Lett.**B348**(1995)543
- [13] Randjbar-Daemi and J.Strathdee,Phys.Lett.**B402**(1997)134
- [14] R.P.Feynman,*Statistical Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley Pub.Co., Menlo Park,1972)
- [15] S.Ichinose and N.Ikeda,BNL-preprint, Univ.of Shizuoka preprint, US-98-07, hep-th/9810256, “Weyl Anomaly in Higher Dimensions and Feynman Rules in Coordinate Space
- [16] K.Fujikawa,Phys.Rev.Lett.**42**(1979)1195;**44**(1980)1733; Phys.Rev.**D21**(1980)2848; **D22**(E)(1980)1499.
- [17] S.Ichinose and N.Ikeda,Phys.Rev.**D53**,5932(1996).
- [18] A.M.Polyakov,Mod.Phys.Lett.**A2**(1987)893

- [19] J.D.Bjorken and S.D.Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964); *Relativistic Quantum Fields* (ibid., 1965).