

# WEYL'S LAW WITH ERROR ESTIMATE

Sultan Catto<sup>1</sup>

*Physics Department*

*Baruch College and The Graduate School and University Center*

*17 Lexington Avenue*

*New York, NY 10010*

*and*

*Physics Department, The Rockefeller University*

*1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021-6399*

*cattos@rockvax.rockefeller.edu*

Jonathan Huntley<sup>2</sup>, Nam Jong Moh and David Tepper<sup>3</sup>

*Mathematics Department, Baruch College*

*New York, NY 10010*

*huntley@gursey.baruch.cuny.edu*

*nj@gursey.baruch.cuny.edu*

*tepper@gursey.baruch.cuny.edu*

## Abstract

Let

$$X = Sl(3, Z) \backslash Sl(3, R) / SO(3, R).$$

Let  $N(\lambda)$  denote the dimension of the space of cusp forms with Laplace eigenvalue less than  $\lambda$ . We prove that

$$N(\lambda) = C\lambda^{\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)} + O(\lambda^2)$$

where  $C$  is the appropriate constant establishing Weyl's law with a good error term for the noncompact space  $X$ .

The proof uses the Selberg trace formula in a form that is modified from the work of Wallace and also draws on results of Stade and Wallace and techniques of Huntley and Tepper. We also, in the course of the proof, give an upper bound on the number of cusp forms that can violate the Ramanujan conjecture.

## 1 Introduction and statement of results

The study of the spectrum of the Laplacian on spaces has been a subject of great interest in this century. This spectrum has applications to physics, geometry and number theory. The first important result was obtained by Weyl [1], who showed that for a bounded region in  $R^n$  with reasonable boundary conditions, the following holds

$$N(\lambda) \sim C\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Here  $N(\lambda)$  denotes the dimension of the space of eigenvalues of the Laplacian with eigenvalue less than  $\lambda$ . Also,  $C$  is a constant that depends only on  $n$ , the dimension of the space. This result is known as Weyl's law. It is, for many purposes, useful to have an error term for the asymptotic result. For the case of bounded domains studied by Weyl, Courant [2] obtained the result

$$N(\lambda) = C\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} + O(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \log \lambda).$$

The proofs of these results use the technique of Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing, and the fact that the eigenvalues can be explicitly computed for cubes.

For compact manifolds, Minakshisundaram and Pleijel [3] use estimates on the heat kernel to derive Weyl's result. Using the wave equation Hörmander [4] obtains this result with the error term  $O\left(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)$ . He does this by analyzing the wave equation.

For noncompact manifolds Weyl's law is in general false. There is continuous spectrum that does not allow the use of the above technique in their original form. However, if the space is a finite volume symmetric space, then other techniques are available. For symmetric spaces associated to  $Sl(2, R)$  and a discrete group of isometries  $\Gamma$  Selberg's trace formula [5] can be used to obtain that Weyl's law is true if one adds an extra term that accounts for the continuous spectrum. Also, a reasonable error term can be obtained. Moreover, if  $\Gamma$  is a congruence group of  $Sl(2, R)$  the additional term is smaller than the term accounting for the square integrable eigenfunctions, so Weyl's law holds. Actually, slightly more is true. We are now considering noncompact spaces, and so the spaces have cusps. With a finite number of exceptions all of the square integrable eigenforms are cusp forms, meaning that they vanish at the cusps. (An equivalent definition involving the vanishing of certain integrals can be given.)

The space that is of interest to us in this paper is

$$X = SL(3, Z) \backslash Sl(3, R) / SO(3, R).$$

One would expect for this space that similar results hold. Stade and Wallace [6], using the version of the trace formula for  $X$  derived by Wallace [7], show that for this space Weyl's law holds. The proof consists of using Gaussians as test functions to obtain the asymptotic behavior at small time for the trace of the heat kernel. The result then follows from a standard Tauberian Argument.

In this paper we show how this result can be combined with a different set of test functions to obtain an error estimate for Weyl's law. We state this explicitly.

**Theorem 1.1:** Let

$$X = Sl(3, Z) \backslash Sl(3, R) / SO(3, R)$$

Let  $N(\lambda)$  denote the dimension of the space of cusp forms on  $X$  with

Laplace eigenvalue less than  $\lambda$ . Then

$$N(\lambda) = C\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} + O(\lambda^2)$$

with

$$C = \frac{\text{Vol}(X)}{(4\pi)^{(\frac{5}{2})}\Gamma(\frac{7}{2})}.$$

The constant given is the constant that one expects for Weyl's law. It depends only on the dimension of the space, and the particular space. The proof will be obtained by estimating the number of cusp forms with Laplace eigenvalue between  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda + 1$ . We should note that cusp forms are also eigenvalues of a third order differential operator as  $Sl(3, R)$  has rank 2. We will not need this operator. Our test functions will not be Gaussians, but rather the translations of functions that approximate the characteristic function of a disc. In the course of the proof we will give an estimate on the number of cusp forms that come from representations that violate the Ramanujan conjecture, which in representation theory language states that all cusp forms arise from principal series representations. This set is conjectured to be empty, but very little is known about it. In [8], it is shown that no "small" eigenvalues exist; however this does not prove the Ramanujan conjecture in this case. For this reason it seems worthwhile to state this result as a theorem.

**Theorem 1.2:** The dimension of the space of cusp forms with Laplace eigenvalue less than  $\lambda$  that violate the Ramanujan conjecture is  $O(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}})$ .

The Section 2 of this article will contain definitions and notations, and we will write down the trace formula in a form that is useful for our purposes. This is really just a changing of variables from the formula in [6]. In Section 3 the theorems are proved.

## 2 Notations and the Selberg Trace Formula

We will let  $\lambda$  denote the eigenvalue corresponding to an  $L^2$  eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $X$ . One may further assume that the

eigenfunction is actually a joint eigenfunction of the entire ring of invariant differential operators, as that is a commutative ring. We make no explicit use of the other independent operator (there are two as  $X$  has rank two), however when using the Selberg trace formula we are implicitly using the fact we are working with automorphic forms, which are joint eigenvalues of the entire ring. It is known that except for the constant function, any such eigenfunction is a cusp form.

The fact that the eigenfunctions are actually eigenfunctions of two operators makes it useful, and for the purpose of using the trace formula, necessary, to write  $\lambda$  in terms of two parameters. There are several ways to do this, each with their own advantages. There is the representation theory notation, in which the tempered representation, (those induced from unitary characters, also those which would not violate the Ramanujan conjecture, also those whose matrix coefficients are in  $L^{2+\epsilon}$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ) are parametrized by two purely imaginary numbers. The other representations are parametrized by pairs of complex conjugate numbers with small, explicitly bounded, real part. As we will not need this we will not go into more detail.

In [6] two parameters  $s$  and  $t$  are used to describe the eigenvalues. In this notation  $\lambda = s(1-s) + \frac{1}{3}t(1-t)$ . This notation works well for them as they write the trace formula in terms of Helgason transforms [9] and harmonic analysis on groups.

We, however, find it more convenient to work with a formula that relates a function in two variables to its Euclidean Fourier transform. We then have at our disposal all of the tools of classical harmonic analysis, and this will make it easier to perform our analysis with a greater value of test functions as we will not as in [6] have to explicitly evaluate Fourier transforms. We use parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are related to the earlier parameters by  $\alpha = -i(s - \frac{1}{2}), \beta = -i(t - \frac{1}{2})$ . We also change the metric so that our  $\lambda$  corresponds to  $\frac{\lambda}{3}$  in the notation of [6]. This makes no real difference, as this change in the number of cusp forms will be accounted for by the change of volume that it creates. In this notation we have  $\lambda = 3\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 1$ . Also, from representation theory it is known that either both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real or  $-Im\sqrt{3}\alpha = Im\beta, \frac{1}{6} < Re\sqrt{3}\alpha = Re\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is also convenient to make the following change of notation from that in [6]. On page 243, at the beginning of the second section, diagonal matrices  $a$  are introduced. They are diagonal matrices ,i.e. elements of the group  $A$  of diagonal matrices in  $Sl(3, R)$ . A given  $a$  is described as  $a = diag(a_1, a_2, (a_1 a_2^{-1})$ .

Here we have  $a_i > 0$ . Replacing  $a_i$  by  $e^{b_i}$  we obtain a pair of real numbers. We use this to replace the Helgason transforms, that are essentially Mellin transforms in [6] by Fourier transforms.

We now state the trace formula in the form that we will use.

**Proposition 2.1:** Let  $g$  be a smooth, compactly supported function on  $R^2$  such that its Fourier transform  $\hat{g}$  is Weyl invariant. Let  $(s_n, t_n)$  denote the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  that correspond to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  corresponding to a square integrable automorphic form. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}(s_n, t_n) = (ID) + (HYP) + (LOX) + (PAR)$$

where the 4 terms on the right are as follows.

$$(ID) = \frac{\text{vol}X}{(4\pi)^{\frac{5}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{7}{2})} \int_{R^2} \hat{g}(s, t) t(t-3s)(t+3s) \tanh \pi t \tanh \frac{\pi(t+3s)}{2} \tanh \frac{\pi(t-3s)}{2} ds dt$$

$$(HYP) = \sum_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 = 1} \text{Re}[Z(\epsilon_1)] \text{Cl}[Z(\epsilon_1)] |\epsilon_1^2 \epsilon_2| [(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3)]^{-1} g(\log \epsilon_1, \log \epsilon_2)$$

$$(LOX) = \sum_{(r, \theta)} \frac{|\ln r_0| \text{Cl}[Z(r)]}{1 - 2r^{-3} \cos \theta + r^{-6}} \int_{R^2} \hat{g}(s, t) \frac{r^{1-s} e^{-2\theta \text{Im}(t)}}{1 + e^{-2\pi \text{Im}(t)}} ds dt$$

$$(Par) = A_1 \hat{g}(0, 0) + A_2 \hat{g}\left(-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + A_3 \hat{g}\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

We must explain the meaning of the terms. The spectral and the term (ID) are self explanatory, and in (PAR) the  $A_i$  are constants that need not be computed for our purposes. For the term (HYP), the sum is over triples  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$  where the  $\epsilon_i$  are real  $|\epsilon_1| > |\epsilon_2| > |\epsilon_3|$  and there is, by definition,

a hyperbolic matrix in  $Sl(3, Z)$  with  $\epsilon_i$  as its eigenvalues. The  $\epsilon_i$  give rise to a number field, and  $\text{Reg}$  denotes the regulator, and  $\text{CL}$  the narrow class number. We also note that the logarithms occur in the function  $g$  because of our earlier change of variable  $a = e^b$ , that allowed us to work only with Fourier transforms. Also the lack of a dependence on  $\epsilon_3$  is illusory, as the product of the  $\epsilon_i$  is 1. In the term (LOX), the sum is over pairs  $(r, \theta)$  with  $r > 0, r \neq 1, 0 < \theta \leq \pi$  such that some matrix in  $Sl(3, Z)$  has eigenvalues  $r^{-2}, re^{i\theta}, re^{-i\theta}$ . If we denote the matrix by  $g$ , then  $r_0$  is such that the centralizer of  $g$  has generator conjugate to  $\text{diag}(r_0^{-2}, r_0 e^{i\theta}, r_0 e^{-i\theta})$ . Finally, we noted that the test functions must be Weyl invariant. This is a generalization of the fact that for the classical trace formula, the test functions must be even. In our notation if we let  $\sqrt{3}s$  denote the  $x$ -axis and  $t$  the  $y$ -axis, then two points are related by the Weyl group if

$$(\sqrt{3}s_1, t_1) = (e^{\frac{n\pi i}{3}} \sqrt{3}s_2, e^{\frac{n\pi i}{3}} t_2)$$

This is simply a translation of representation theory facts into our notation.

The proof of the proposition is simply a restating of Proposition 3.1 combined with proposition 4.1 in [6] and the change of variables described above.

### 3 Proof of Theorem

Weyl's law has already been established; thus to prove theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to estimate the number of cusp forms with Laplace eigenvalue between  $\lambda - 1$  and  $\lambda$ . We shall now proceed to do this. Actually we will estimate the cusp forms with Laplace eigenvalue between  $\lambda - 2\sqrt{\lambda} + 1$  and  $\lambda$ . In the course of the proof we will also prove Theorem 1.2.

We note that there are two ways that such an eigenvalue can exist. We can, in our parameters, assume  $S$  and  $T$  are real and have

$$\lambda - 2\sqrt{\lambda} + 1 \leq 3S^2 + T^2 \leq \lambda.$$

(Recall  $\lambda = 3\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 1$ ). We may also have  $S$  and  $T$  complex, and satisfying the restrictions given in Section 2, namely

$$-Im \sqrt{3}S = Im T$$

$$\frac{1}{6} < \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{3}S = \operatorname{Re} T < \frac{1}{2}$$

Actually, the complex parameters may be related to the above restriction by a Weyl transformation as described in section 2.

We will handle the two situations separately, in both cases the trace formula will be the main tool.

We first consider the case of real parameters. We will actually estimate the number of eigenvalues with  $\lambda - 2\sqrt{\lambda} + 1 \leq 3S^2 + T^2 \leq \lambda$ . This is obviously sufficient. The point is that these inequalities describe an annulus of inner radius  $\sqrt{\lambda} - 1$  and outer radius  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  if along the  $x$ -axis we put  $\sqrt{3}S$  and along the  $y$ -axis we put  $T$ .

We would like to put into the trace formula  $\hat{g}$  equal to the characteristic function of the annulus. This is clearly not a legitimate test function so we must approximate it. We start with a function  $\hat{g}$  such that  $g_0$ , its inverse Fourier transform, is compactly supported, smooth, radially symmetric and nonnegative. This will mean that  $\hat{g}_0$  is Schwarz class and extends to an analytic function of two complex variables with exponential growth. We may also choose our functions so that

$$\hat{g} > 0$$

$$1 < \hat{g}_0 < 2$$

if

$$3S^2 + T^2 < 1$$

and

$$\hat{g}_0 < \frac{2}{(3S^2 + T^2)^k}$$

for

$$3S^2 + T^2 > 1$$

Here  $k$  may be taken to be a large positive constant. We may also assume that  $\hat{g}_0 > 0$  for purely imaginary values of  $S$  and  $T$ . Standard arguments in Fourier analysis guarantee that such a function exists.

For our test function, we choose translates of  $\hat{g}_0$  such that the point  $(0, 0)$  is translated to a point  $(S_0, T_0)$  that is in the annulus. We then sum over



enough translates to cover the entire annulus. This will take no more than the smallest integer greater than  $4\pi\sqrt{\lambda}$  translates. Here by cover, we mean that we want the test function to be at least one in the annulus. By the positivity of  $\hat{g}_0$  we see that if we estimate the contribution to the spectral side of the equation for any given translate, we obtain an estimate for the test function by summing the estimates of the translates. We now let  $(S_0, T_0)$  be a point in the annulus and we let

$$\hat{g}_{(S_0, T_0)}$$

be the translate of  $\hat{g}_0$  that is centered at  $(S_0, T_0)$ , combined with its five Weyl transform related functions, so that it approximates six characteristic functions.

**Lemma 3.1:**

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}_{(S_0, T_0)}(s_n, t_n) = O(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

when  $s, t \in R$ .

Remark: By summing over the  $O(\sqrt{\lambda})$  such functions in the lemma we will have that real  $(S, T)$  contribute  $O(\lambda^2)$  to the spectral side of the equation, proving part of the theorem.

Proof: We must obtain estimates for the terms on the right hand side of the trace formula.

We first analyze the identity term. The contribution of this term with the original function  $\hat{g}_0$  is clearly  $O(1)$ . The translation to the point  $(\sqrt{3}S_0, T_0)$  will be  $O(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}})$ , as the integrand is up to very small error, approximating by overestimating a cubic polynomial multiplied by twice the characteristic function of a circle of radius one. Of course, we are using the rapid decay of our test functions outside of a circle of radius one.

The hyperbolic term involves the inverse Fourier transform of

$$\hat{g}_{(\sqrt{3}S_0, T_0)}.$$

As

$$\hat{g}_{(\sqrt{3}S_0, T_0)}$$

is just a translation of  $\hat{g}_0$ , this function will simply be  $g_0$  multiplied by a complex exponential. It is clear that from the compact support of  $g_0$  it gives an  $O(1)$  contribution, as we only really have a finite sum. The same will be true for such a function multiplied by a complex exponential. We thus have an  $O(1)$  contribution.

The loxodromic term is dominated in the annulus, up to some constant, by the test function given in [6], when the Gaussian chosen is still greater than  $\frac{1}{e}$  in the annulus. The decaying part of the test functions can be easily estimated as we may choose  $k$  in our original assumptions arbitrarily large. We thus get a  $O(\lambda)$  contribution for each

$$\hat{g}_{(\sqrt{3}S_0, T_0)}$$

The parabolic terms give us  $O(1)$  for each  $\hat{g}_{(\sqrt{3}S_0, T_0)}$  as we are evaluating at individual points, and as we translate the function the result gets even smaller due to the rapid decay of the original test function.

We thus have proved Lemma 3.1.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will need to prove Theorem 1.2.

We now assume that  $S$  and  $T$  are not real, but satisfy the other possible conditions, described above. We will once again use translates of  $\hat{g}_0$ . This time we will translate so that we cover the lines  $T = 0$ ,  $T = 3S$ ,  $T = -3S$  up to the point  $3\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 1 < \lambda$ . We will need  $O(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})$  such translates. We once again use the trace formula. For all terms but the identity, the arguments are identical to those previously used. The identity term now gives a better estimate. We now are only working with what is approximately a quadratic polynomial, as one of  $t$ ,  $(t - 3s)$ ,  $(t + 3s)$  does not go to infinity as the parameters go to infinity. We thus get an  $O(\lambda)$  contribution from each  $\hat{g}_{(\sqrt{3}S_0, T_0)}$  yielding an over all contribution of  $O(\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}})$ . This proves theorem 1.2 and thus we have proved theorem 1.1. Once again, it should be emphasized that we actually expect that the contribution from the nonreal  $S$  and  $T$  to only consist of the constant function.

We conclude by remarking that a more refined analysis of the terms is likely to be possible. This would allow us to use the techniques in [10] to prove Weyl's law directly and to perform the refined local analysis found there, leading to "local" Weyl's laws and more precise estimates. In particular, our results show that "on average" every ball centered at  $(\sqrt{3}S_0, T_0)$ , with radius less than  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  has approximately  $C\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}$  where  $C$  is a constant depending on

the ball. Also we expect to improve the estimates slightly. These issues will be discussed elsewhere.

(1). Work supported in part by DOE contracts No. DE-AC-0276-ER 03074 and 03075; NSF Grant No. DMS-8917754; and PSC-CUNY Research Award Nos. 6-6(6407,7418,8445).

(2),(3). Authors also acknowledge support for this research from the Research Foundation of CUNY.

## References

- [1] H. Weyl. Das Asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linear partieller Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.* 71(1911)441-469.
- [2] R. Courant. Über die Eigenwerte bei den Differentialgleichungen der Mathematischen Physik. *Math. Zeit.* 7, 1920, 1-57.
- [3] S. Minakshisundaram. A. Pleijel. Some properties of the eigenvalue of the Laplacian. *J. Diff. Geom.* 1(1967), 43-69.
- [4] L. Hörmander. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. *Acta Math.* 121(1968)193-218.
- [5] A. Selberg. Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian space with applications to Dirichlet series. *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, 20(1956), 47-87.
- [6] E. Stade, D. Wallace. Weyl's Law for  $Sl(3, Z) \backslash Sl(3, R) / So(3, R)$ . *Pacific J. of Math.* Vol. 173, No. 1(1996) 241-261.
- [7] D. Wallace. The Selberg Trace Formula for  $Sl(3, Z) \backslash Sl(3, R) / So(3, R)$ , *Trans. American Math. Society.* Vol. 345, No. 1(1994) 1-36
- [8] S. Catto, J. Huntley, J. Jorgensen and D. Tepper. On an analogue of the Selberg's eigenvalue conjecture for  $Sl(3, Z)$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), pp.3455-3459.
- [9] A. Terras. *Harmonic Analysis on Symmetric Spaces and Applications.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [10] J. Huntley, D. Tepper. A local Weyl's law, the angular distribution and multiplicity of cusp forms on product spaces. *Trans. of American Math. Society.* 330 Number 1(1992)97-110.