

Geometrodynamical Formulation of Two-Dimensional Dilaton Gravity and the Quantum Birkhoff Theorem

Marco Cavaglia*

*Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik
Albert-Einstein-Institut
Schlaatzweg 1, D-14473 Potsdam, Germany*

Abstract

General two-dimensional pure dilaton-gravity can be discussed in a unitary way by introducing suitable field redefinitions. The new fields are directly related to the original spacetime geometry and in the canonical picture they generalize the well-known geometrodynamical variables used in the discussion of the vacuum dilatonic black hole. So the model can be quantized using the techniques developed for the latter case. The resulting quantum theory coincides with the quantum theory obtained imposing the Birkhoff theorem at the classical level.

Two-dimensional pure dilaton-gravity (DG) is described by the action

$$S = \int_{\Sigma} d^2x \sqrt{-g} [U(\phi)R(g) + V(\phi) + W(\phi)(\nabla\phi)^2], \quad (1)$$

where U , V , and W are arbitrary functions of the dilaton ϕ , and R is the two-dimensional Ricci scalar. The model based upon Eq. (1) has been extensively investigated both from the classical and quantum points of view because of its connection to string theory, reduced models, black holes, and membrane physics. (For short reviews and references see e.g. [1, 2, 3] and the contribution of V. de Alfaro in this volume.) Classically one may choose $U(\phi) = \phi$ and locally set $W(\phi) = 0$ by a Weyl-rescaling of the metric. (Throughout the paper we shall make this choice for simplicity.) So different choices of $V(\phi)$ identify different theories. Remarkable examples are the CGHS model [4] ($V = \text{const}$), the Jackiw-Teitelboim model ($V = \phi$), and the four-dimensional spherically-symmetric Einstein gravity ($V \propto \phi^{-1/2}$).

*Electronic address: cavaglia@aei-potsdam.mpg.de

The model described by Eq. (1) is completely classically integrable for any choice of the potential $V(\phi)$. Integrability has been discussed by V. de Alfaro in his talk so here I shall not enter details. Let me just recall that both the metric and the dilaton can be expressed in terms of a free field and one invariant parameter which is a local integral of motion independent of the coordinates [1]. This property does not depend from the choice of the dilatonic potential. Classical solutions can be written in a closed form without specifying $V(\phi)$.

Although the classical properties of the model based upon Eq. (1) are well-known, not much is known about its quantization: only the CGHS model and the vacuum dilatonic black hole have been investigated in depth. The two most fruitful attempts to construct the quantum theory of dilaton-gravity are described in Refs. [5, 6] and Ref. [7] respectively.

The first approach, used for the CGHS model, is based on a canonical transformation mapping the original system into a system described by free fields. Then the theory is quantized in the free field representation. The main problem of this approach is that the new canonical variables are not directly related to the original spacetime geometry and important physical quantities, as the mass, cannot be expressed in terms of the new fields [6]. Further, it is not clear how to generalize the canonical transformation for an arbitrary dilatonic potential.

The “geometrodynamical approach” was originally developed by Kuchär for the canonical description of the Schwarzschild black hole [8]. This approach uses variables that are related to the spacetime geometry and does not make use of the field redefinitions of Refs. [5, 6]. Only the vacuum dilatonic black hole has been quantized using this formalism. Indeed, it is not clear how to formulate a geometrodynamical approach without choosing the functional form of the dilatonic potential. On the other hand, the classical properties of the model described by Eq. (1) do not depend on the choice of $V(\phi)$ and a single description, irrespective of the potential chosen, does indeed exist. So at this stage a main question arises: can we describe by a unique formalism, i.e. without specifying the potential $V(\phi)$, the quantum version of the model based upon Eq. (1)?

In this short contribution we answer positively to this question and derive an explicit canonical transformation to geometrodynamical variables for any choice of the dilatonic potential. This is performed both at the Lagrangian and canonical levels. Once this has been done, the quantization is straightforward. The theory is reduced to quantum mechanics and wave functions coincide with those that are found imposing first the Birkhoff theorem and then the quantization algorithm as V. de Alfaro has outlined in a previous section of this conference. (See also Refs. [9] for the case $V \propto \phi^{-1/2}$).

In Ref.[1] Filippov finds a Bäcklund-like transformation mapping the dilaton and the metric into a free field and a local conserved quantity

$$M = N(\phi) - \nabla_\rho \phi \nabla^\rho \phi, \quad (2)$$

where $N(\phi) = \int^\phi d\phi' V(\phi')$. Here we show that the action can be written

$$S = \int_{\Sigma} d^2x \sqrt{-g} \frac{\nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla^{\mu}M}{N(\phi) - M} + S_{\partial\Sigma}, \quad (3)$$

where $S_{\partial\Sigma}$ is a surface term and ∇ represents the covariant derivative w.r.t. the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The key of the proof is the observation that in two-dimensions R can be written

$$\frac{R}{2} = \nabla_{\mu} \left(\frac{\nabla^{\mu}\nabla^{\nu}\chi\nabla_{\nu}\chi - \nabla_{\nu}\nabla^{\nu}\chi\nabla^{\mu}\chi}{\nabla_{\rho}\chi\nabla^{\rho}\chi} \right), \quad (4)$$

where χ is an arbitrary scalar field. (Equation (4) follows from the commutator of covariant derivatives and from the definition of the two-dimensional Riemann tensor after some algebraic manipulation.) Differentiating Eq. (2), and choosing $\chi = \phi$, both $V(\phi)$ and R can be written as functions of M and $\nabla_{\mu}\phi$. Finally, by an integration per parts we find Eq. (3).

Equation (3) has the same number of d.o.f. of the original action. This can be checked using the ADM metric parametrization $ds^2 = \rho[(\alpha dx_0)^2 - (\beta dx_0 - dx_1)^2]$, where α and β play the role of the lapse function and of the shift vector respectively. Field equations derived from Eq. (3) are equivalent to those obtained from Eq. (1)

$$(\nabla_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)} - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\sigma}\nabla^{\sigma})\phi + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}V(\phi) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$R + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0. \quad (6)$$

(Note that Eq. (6) is automatically satisfied if Eq. (5) is satisfied provided that $\nabla_{\rho}\phi\nabla^{\rho}\phi \neq 0$. By requiring that the fields and their derivatives are continuous – see later – Eq. (6) implies Eq. (5) everywhere.) Varying the action (3) we obtain

$$\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\phi - V(\phi) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla_{(\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu)}M - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\sigma}\phi\nabla^{\sigma}M = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}M\nabla^{\mu}M + \nabla_{\nu}\phi\nabla^{\nu}\phi\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}M = 0. \quad (9)$$

Equation (7) corresponds to the trace of Eq. (5). Moreover, differentiating Eq. (2) it follows that Eqs. (8-9) are satisfied if Eq. (5) is satisfied because Eq. (5) implies $\nabla_{\mu}M = 0$. The converse latter statement is also true provided that $\nabla_{\rho}\phi\nabla^{\rho}\phi \neq 0$. Further, when this condition holds Eq. (8) implies $\nabla_{\mu}M = 0$. So by requiring the continuity of the fields one obtains that Eqs. (7-9) and (5-6) are equivalent. This statement can also be directly checked using the ADM metric parametrization. Solving the field equations in the gauge $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ and using the null coordinates $u = (x_0 + x_1)/2, v = (x_0 - x_1)/2$ we find

$$M = \text{constant}, \quad \rho = [N(\phi) - M]\partial_u\psi\partial_v\psi, \quad (10)$$

where ψ is a harmonic function related to ϕ by the equation $d\psi/d\phi = [N(\phi) - M]^{-1}$. Equation (10) coincides with the solution derived from Eq. (1) [1]. Equation

$\nabla_\rho \phi \nabla^\rho \phi = 0$ identifies the horizon(s) of the metric. So the request of continuity introduced below Eqs. (7-9) amounts to require the continuity of fields across the horizon(s).

The canonical formalism is an essential step to quantize the model. Starting from Eq. (3) and using the ADM metric parametrization the action can be cast in the Hamiltonian form. The super-Hamiltonian and the super-momentum are

$$\mathcal{H} = [N(\bar{\phi}) - M]\pi_{\bar{\phi}}\pi_M + [N(\bar{\phi}) - M]^{-1}\bar{\phi}'M', \quad \mathcal{P} = -\bar{\phi}'\pi_{\bar{\phi}} - M'\pi_M, \quad (11)$$

where $\pi_{\bar{\phi}}$ and π_M are the conjugate momenta to $\bar{\phi} \equiv \phi$ and M respectively and primes represent derivatives w.r.t. x_1 . Eventually, the canonical action must be complemented by a boundary term at the spatial infinities. This can be done along the lines of Refs. [8, 7]. The resulting boundary term is of the form $S_b = \sum_b \int dx_0 M_b \alpha_b$, where $M_b \equiv M(x_0, x_1 = \text{boundary})$ and $\alpha_b(x_0)$ parametrize the action at boundaries. The canonical chart $(\bar{\phi}, \pi_{\bar{\phi}}, M, \pi_M)$ can be related to the original canonical variables $(\phi, \pi_\phi, \rho, \pi_\rho)$:

$$M = N(\phi) - \frac{\rho^2 \pi_\rho^2 - \phi'^2}{\rho}, \quad \pi_M = \frac{\rho^2 \pi_\rho}{\rho^2 \pi_\rho^2 - \phi'^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\bar{\phi} = \phi, \quad \pi_{\bar{\phi}} = \pi_\phi - \frac{\rho^2 \pi_\rho}{\rho^2 \pi_\rho^2 - \phi'^2} \left[V(\phi) + 2\pi_\rho \left(\frac{\phi'}{\rho \pi_\rho} \right)' \right]. \quad (13)$$

After some tedious calculations one can check that the only non-vanishing Poisson brackets at equal time x_0 are

$$[M(x_0, x_1), \pi_M(x_0, x_1')] = \delta(x_1 - x_1'), \quad [\bar{\phi}(x_0, x_1), \pi_{\bar{\phi}}(x_0, x_1')] = \delta(x_1 - x_1'), \quad (14)$$

so Eqs. (12-13) define a canonical map.

The canonical field equations and the constraints can be easily solved. $\mathcal{H} = 0$ and $\mathcal{P} = 0$ are solved by $\pi_{\bar{\phi}} = 0$, $M' = 0$. Clearly, this solution has the same physical content of Eqs. (10). The second Eq. (10) is a direct consequence of the canonical field equations.

Using the new canonical chart the Dirac quantization of the model is straightforward. $\pi_{\bar{\phi}} = 0$ implies that the wave function do not depend on $\bar{\phi}$. Finally, in the M representation the eigenfunction of $M(x_1)$ with eigenvalue m is $\Psi(M(x_1); x_0) = \chi(m, x_0) \delta(M(x_1) - m)$, where $\chi(m, x_0)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation with reduced Hamiltonian given by the boundary action. This completes the quantization of the model.

Let us conclude with few remarks. We have derived a canonical transformation to geometrodynamical variables that generalizes the transformation of Ref. [7] to any DG model. The general DG action can be cast into the form (3) and the system can be described both in the canonical and Lagrangian framework using the new variables. This is a new and interesting result that may open the way to sundry applications. Thermodynamics and functional quantization of the model starting

from Eq. (3) are two remarkable examples. The quantization of the general DG model becomes straightforward in the new variables and the resulting Hilbert space coincides with the Hilbert space obtained imposing the Birkhoff theorem at the classical level. Quantum field theory reduces to quantum mechanics and the model exhibits at the quantum level the Birkhoff theorem.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the organizers of the *XI Conference on Problems of Quantum Field Theory* for hospitality and financial support. I am indebted to Vittorio de Alfaro and Alexandre T. Filippov for many interesting discussions about the subject of this paper. This work has been supported by a Human Capital and Mobility grant of the European Union, contract no. ERBFMRX-CT96-0012.

References

- [1] A.T. Filippov, in: *Problems in Theoretical Physics*, Dubna, JINR, June 1996, p. 113; *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A11**, 1691 (1996); *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A12**, 13 (1997).
- [2] R. Jackiw, in: *Procs. of the Second Meeting on Constrained Dynamics and Quantum Gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.)* **B57**, 162 (1997).
- [3] M. Cavaglià, in: *Procs. of the Sixth International Symposium on Particles, Strings and Cosmology (PASCOS-98)* (World Scientific, Singapore, in press); *Procs. of the Conference "Particles, Fields & Gravitation '98* (AIP, Woodbury, NY, in press); an updated collection of papers on lower-dimensional gravity can be found at the web page <http://www.aei-potsdam.mpg.de/mc-cgi-bin/ldg.html>.
- [4] C. Callan, S. Giddings, J. Harvey and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 1005 (1992).
- [5] E. Benedict, R. Jackiw, and H.-J. Lee, *Phys. Rev.* **D54**, 6213 (1996); D. Cangemi, R. Jackiw, and B. Zwiebach, *Ann. Physics (N.Y.)* **245**, 408 (1995); K.V. Kuchař, J.D. Romano, and M. Varadarajan, *Phys. Rev.* **D55**, 795 (1997).
- [6] M. Cavaglià, V. de Alfaro, and A.T. Filippov, e-print archive: hep-th/9704164; *Phys. Lett.* **B424**, 265 (1998) (extended version in e-print archive: hep-th/9802158); see also the contribution by V. de Alfaro in this volume.
- [7] M. Varadarajan, *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, 7080 (1995).
- [8] K.V. Kuchař, *Phys. Rev.* **D50**, 3961 (1994).

- [9] M. Cavaglià, V. de Alfaro, and A.T. Filippov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D4**, 661 (1995); *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D5**, 227 (1996).