

Deformation of Schild String

R.KURIKI[†], S.OGUSHI^{††} and A.SUGAMOTO[♣]

Ochanomizu University, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science

1-1 Otsuka 2, Bunkyo-Ku Tokyo 112-0012 JAPAN

[†]r-kuriki@cc.ocha.ac.jp, JSPS Research Fellow

^{††}g9970503@edu.cc.ocha.ac.jp, JSPS Research Fellow

[♣]sugamoto@phys.ocha.ac.jp

corresponding author

Rie Kuriki

Ochanomizu University, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science

1-1 Otsuka 2, Bunkyo-Ku Tokyo, 112-0012 JAPAN

TEL : 03 5978 5323

FAX : 03 5978 5898

e-mail : r-kuriki@cc.ocha.ac.jp

Abstract

We attempt to construct new superstring actions with a D -plet of Majorana fermions $\psi_A^{\mathcal{B}}$, where \mathcal{B} is the D dimensional space-time index and A is the two dimensional spinor index, by deforming the Schild action. As a result, we propose three kinds of actions: the first is invariant under $N = 1$ (the world-sheet) supersymmetry transformation and the area-preserving diffeomorphism. The second contains the Yukawa type interaction. The last possesses some non-locality because of bilinear terms of $\psi_A^{\mathcal{B}}$. The reasons why completing a Schild type superstring action with $\psi_A^{\mathcal{B}}$ is difficult are finally discussed.

Keywords Schild string, $N = 1$ two dimensional superspace, deformation

April 1999

OCHA-PP-126

1 Introduction

Recently the space-time uncertainty relation which concerns possible matrix representations of spatial and temporal co-ordinates is one of the highlighting topics in string theory. The non-commutativity of co-ordinates gives rise to a distrust of the canonical quantization in which only a variable for time carries an intrinsic meaning, as compared with the other variables for space. In other words, when one applies the canonical formulation to a theory in which the Lorentz covariance is an important criterion, at least one feels inconvenience of the quantization method based on the time-energy uncertainty principle because the covariance is not manifest in the Hamiltonian formulation.

There are some proposals for the development of new quantization methods beyond the canonical one from the motivation above mentioned. Eguchi suggested a novel method to treat the dual-string theory and derived a diffusion equation where the area, $d\tau d\sigma$, parametrizing the world sheet, was regarded as the evolution parameter [1]. Nambu also considered a generalization of the Hamiltonian formulation in which both spatial and temporal variables were dealt with on the same stage [2][3]. In his discussion, the following bracket plays an essential role:

$$\{ X^{\mathcal{A}}, X^{\mathcal{B}} \} = \epsilon^{mn} \partial_m X^{\mathcal{A}} \partial_n X^{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (1)$$

where m, n represents two dimensional vector index, ϵ^{mn} is a two dimensional antisymmetric tensor and $X^{\mathcal{A}}$ are the bosonic string co-ordinates. The index \mathcal{A} denotes the vector index of the embedding space. One simply confirms that (1) possesses the same properties as the Poisson bracket. The Schild string [4] described by the square of (1) was used as an available example in [3]. In the virtue of (1), Nambu found a link between the Schild string and the Abelian Yang-Mills theory. One of the authors (A.S.) tried to apply Nambu's work [3] to the quantization of any other extended objects than strings, such as membranes [5]. Moreover his several works about the application of [3] are summarized in [6].

Our future purpose is to extend the Nambu's work above [3] to a supersymmetric one. We expect that such supersymmetrization will be useful when we will investigate super Yang-Mills (*SYM*) theories by applying a kind of Nambu's procedure. In the view of low energy

effective theory for string and in the more fascinating sense between the M -theory and type IIA superstring, the angle of many theoretical physicists points to SYM theories. For example, there is an attractive conjecture suggested by T.Banks, W.Fischler, S.H.Shenker and L.Susskind [7]; an equivalence between the 11 dimensional M -theory and the $U(N)$ SYM theory. As a candidate for a constructive definition of string theory, a matrix model like the Green-Schwarz (GS) action of type IIB superstring in the Schild gauge is also proposed in [8]. It is well-known that one of the big and essential problems in elementary particle physics is the QCD color confinement in four dimensions. One needs some dimensional reductions when one applies results from string theory to understand the QCD . The properties of supersymmetries would be changed via dimensional reductions. Hence it is significant to construct a new string model which is liked to a SYM theory *à la* Nambu, but would have different supersymmetry from the Schild gauge GS string. In order to develop the quantum theory of such a new string model in comparison with lower dimensional QCD , for instance supersymmetric QCD in $1+1$ dimensions [9], a super extension of [3] will be necessary.

In this letter, we will try to build three kinds of Schild type string actions with a D -plet of Majorana fermions $\psi_A^{\mathcal{B}}$, ($\mathcal{B} = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$) transforming in the vector representation of $SO(D-1, 1)$. The capital A denotes world-sheet spinor $SO(1, 1)$ indices. Moreover we make a comparison between one of these actions and two dimensional $U(N)$ SYM action. Remember that the two dimensional SYM action is not described by ten-dimensional SYM like action. The action in two dimensions includes the Yukawa type interaction $\phi \bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi$ which is naively required to preserve the supersymmetry in three dimensions. Here ϕ is a scalar field and Ψ is a Majorana field both in the adjoint representation. We will choose the supermultiplet of the Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond (NSR) superstring model as our starting point. The multiplet does not contain a space-time scalar. Maybe we would need some gauge fixing to artificially assemble a field like the adjoint scalar. From such a reason we recognize that constructing such a kind of Schild type action is not straightforward for the NSR superstring. We will discuss the difficulties in the last section.

2 Three deformations

Firstly let us recall the Schild string [4] [10]

$$S_0 = \int d^2x \left[\frac{\Gamma}{e} \{ X^{\mathcal{A}}, X^{\mathcal{B}} \}^2 + \Delta e \right], \quad (2)$$

where e is an auxiliary field transforming as a scalar density under two dimensional diffeomorphisms ($Diff_2$) and $X^{\mathcal{A}}$, $\mathcal{A} = 0, 1, \dots, 3$ are co-ordinates for a string which is propagating in four space-time dimensions. Here Γ and Δ are arbitrary constants¹. The action (2) is invariant under $Diff_2$ and is classically equivalent to the Nambu-Goto string up to the equation of motion for e .

Supersymmetry can be made obvious by formulating the theory in the $N = 1$ two dimensional superspace in which the world sheet coordinates x^m is supplemented by the fermionic coordinates θ^μ , where μ is the index for chiralities, $\mu = 1, 2$. Let us substitute a scalar superfield $Y^{\mathcal{A}}$ for a string co-ordinate $X^{\mathcal{A}}$ in (2):

$$Y^{\mathcal{A}} = X^{\mathcal{A}} + i\bar{\theta}\psi^{\mathcal{A}} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta B^{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (3)$$

where and throughout in the present letter we use the same notations as the reference [11] for the representation of two dimensional Clifford algebra. $\psi^{\mathcal{A}}$ is two-component Majorana spinor field and $B^{\mathcal{A}}$ is an auxiliary field. In the virtue of $B^{\mathcal{A}}$ the algebra of supersymmetry transformation is closed off the mass-shell. Furthermore we change d^2x to $d^2x d^2\theta$ and fix $e = 1, \Delta = 0$ in (2). By integrating super co-ordinates θ^μ , (2) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \Gamma \int d^2x d^2\theta \{ Y_{\mathcal{A}}, Y_{\mathcal{B}} \}^2 \\ &= -2\Gamma \int d^2x [2i \{ X_{\mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{B}} \} \{ X^{\mathcal{A}}, B^{\mathcal{B}} \} + \{ X_{\mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{B}} \} \{ \bar{\psi}^{\mathcal{A}}, \psi^{\mathcal{B}} \} \\ &\quad + \{ \bar{\psi}_{\mathcal{B}}, X_{\mathcal{A}} \} \{ \psi^{\mathcal{B}}, X^{\mathcal{A}} \} - \{ \bar{\psi}_{\mathcal{A}}, X_{\mathcal{B}} \} \{ \psi^{\mathcal{B}}, X^{\mathcal{A}} \}], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

¹The action (2) fixed by $e = 1$ and $\Delta = 0$ corresponds to the original string by Schild [4]:

$$S_{Schild} = \Gamma \int d^2x \{ X^{\mathcal{A}}, X^{\mathcal{B}} \}^2.$$

The gauge symmetry then is the area-preserving diffeomorphisms which are characterized by the following equation:

$$\partial_n \epsilon^n = 0,$$

where ϵ^n is the infinitesimal parameter of $Diff_2$.

which is invariant under the area-preserving diffeomorphism as the result of $e = 1$ and the global $N = 1$ supersymmetry. Notice that the auxiliary field B^A becomes a dynamical variable in (4). From $\delta S_1/\delta B^A = 0$, we find

$$\{\{X^A, X^B\}, X_C\} = 0, \quad (5)$$

which is the equation of motion for X^A derived from the original Schild action. Hence one can expect that (4) contains a part of the Schild string dynamics. However the equation of motion for X^A derived from (4) also contains the auxiliary field B^A :

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\{X_A, \{X_C, B^A\}\} + i\{X_A, \{X^A, B_C\}\} - i\{B^A, \{X_C, X_A\}\} \\ & -\{X_A, \{\bar{\psi}_C, \psi^A\}\} + \{\bar{\psi}_A, \{\psi^A, X_C\}\} - \{\bar{\psi}_A, \{\psi_C, X^A\}\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

To solve this equation is not so trivial, it might be possible if we impose a kind of canonical commutation relation with respect to the bracket $\{ , \}$ among fields. The equation of motion for ψ_μ^C is

$$\{\{X_C, X_A\}, \psi_\mu^A\} - \{\{X^A, \psi_{C\mu}\}, X_A\} + \{\{X_C, \psi_\mu^A\}, X_A\} = 0. \quad (7)$$

In this formulation, equations of motion are quite symmetrically expressed among X^A, ψ^A and B^A .

The *NSR* superstring is described in $N = 1$ superconformal flat superspace [11][12] as:

$$S_{NSR} = \int d^2x d^2\theta E D_\alpha Y^A D^\alpha Y_A, \quad (8)$$

where D_α is the covariant derivative for the local supersymmetry and the index α denote the chiralities of two dimensional spinor. E is the superdeterminant of the supervierbein [14] and it is a scalar density in the superspace. The supervierbein has been found in [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} E_m^a &= e_m^a + i\bar{\theta}\gamma^a\chi_m + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta e_m^a A, \\ E_m^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}\chi_m^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\theta^\mu(\gamma_5)_\mu^\alpha\omega_m - \frac{1}{4}\theta^\mu(\gamma_m)_\mu^\alpha A - \frac{3i}{16}\bar{\theta}\theta\chi_m^\alpha A - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta(\gamma_m)^{\alpha\beta}\phi_\beta, \\ E_\mu^a &= i\theta^\lambda(\gamma^a)_{\lambda\mu}, \\ E_\mu^\alpha &= \delta_\mu^\alpha - \frac{i}{8}\bar{\theta}\theta\delta_\mu^\alpha A, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where e_m^a is the vierbein and χ_m^α is the Rarita-Schwinger field ². The supergauge transformation of the Rarita-Schwinger field contains an auxiliary field, A [11].

The invariance of (8) means $D_\alpha Y^\mathcal{B} D^\alpha Y_\mathcal{B}$ is a scalar in the superspace. By integrating the super co-ordinates, we have the action of *NSR* superstring [13].

Next let us consider the following action by keeping the gross structure of (2) and supersymmetrizing it,

$$S_2 = \int d^2x d^2\theta \left\{ \frac{\Gamma}{E} \det h_{\alpha\beta} + \Delta E \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = D_\alpha Y^\mathcal{C} D_\beta Y_\mathcal{C}. \quad (11)$$

The determinant of $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is represented by a bracket:

$$\det h_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} [Y^\mathcal{A}, Y^\mathcal{B}]^2, \quad (12)$$

where

$$[Y^\mathcal{A}, Y^\mathcal{B}] = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha Y^\mathcal{A} D_\beta Y^\mathcal{B} = D_\alpha Y^\mathcal{A} D^\alpha Y^\mathcal{B}. \quad (13)$$

Note that spinor indices, α and β , are raised and lowered by $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$. The $\det h_{\alpha\beta}$ is a scalar in the superspace, so that local symmetries of (10) are restricted to a volume-preserving diffeomorphism-like transformation in the superspace, in which the transformation parameters ξ^M satisfies

$$\partial_M \xi^M = 0. \quad (14)$$

Generally speaking, for a scalar density G transforming as $\delta G = \partial_n(\epsilon^n G)$, and a scalar I transforming as $\delta I = \epsilon^n \partial_n I$, I^2/G behaves under *Diff*₂ as

$$\delta\left(\frac{I^2}{G}\right) = -\frac{2}{G} \partial_n \epsilon^n I^2 + \partial_n \left(\epsilon^n \frac{I^2}{G}\right). \quad (15)$$

²Here one does not need explicit expressions, for ω_m and ϕ^β which are defined in [11], in which ϕ^β is expressed by ψ^β .

Thus if the infinitesimal group parameter ϵ^n satisfies $\partial_n \epsilon^n = 0$, then $\delta\{f d^2x I^2/G\}$ becomes a surface term. In the above example (10), taking $G = E$ and $I = [Y^A, Y^B]$, we can understand (14). The parameters ξ^M have the following expansions [11]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi^m &= f^m - i\bar{\zeta}\gamma^m\theta + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\zeta}\gamma^n\gamma^m\chi_n, \\
\xi^\mu &= \zeta^\mu - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\theta}\gamma^m\zeta\chi_m^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\theta^\lambda(\gamma_5)_{\lambda\mu}l - \frac{i}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta(\gamma_5\gamma^m)^{\mu\beta}\zeta_\beta\omega_m - \frac{1}{8}\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\zeta}\gamma^n\gamma^m\chi_n\chi_m^\mu, \\
L &= l - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\zeta}\gamma_5\theta A - i\bar{\zeta}\gamma^m\theta\omega_m + \frac{i}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\zeta}\gamma^m\gamma_5\chi_m A + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\zeta}\gamma^n\gamma^m\chi_n\omega_m,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where parameters, f^m , ζ^μ and l in the first terms correspond to those of $Diff_2$, local supersymmetry transformations and local Lorentz transformations, respectively. Notice that (14) implies four conditions on the five independent parameters, f^m , ζ^μ and l . By specifically expanding (14) in terms of θ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_m f^m + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\chi}_m\gamma^m\zeta &= 0, \\
i\partial_m(\gamma^m\zeta)_\alpha + \frac{i}{2}(\gamma_5\gamma^m\zeta)_\alpha\omega_m + \frac{1}{4}\chi_{m\alpha}\bar{\zeta}\gamma^n\gamma^m\chi_n &= 0, \\
\partial_m(\bar{\zeta}\gamma^n\gamma^m\chi_n) &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Terms containing the supergravity multiplet obstruct the locality of solutions for (17), so that all gauge degrees of freedom of $Diff_2$ and local supersymmetry except local Lorentz symmetry have been that can not be moved freely. Only gauge parameter l of local Lorentz transformation, is still movable since γ^5 matrix is trace-less, namely $(\gamma^5)_\mu^\mu = 0$. By integrating the super coordinates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &= \int d^2x \frac{\Gamma}{e} [B_A B^B \bar{\psi}_B \psi^A - B^2 \bar{\psi} \psi + \frac{3i}{2} B^A \bar{\psi} \psi \bar{\chi}_m \gamma^m \psi_A - 2B^A \bar{\psi}_A \gamma^n \psi^B \partial_n X_B \\
&\quad + (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2 (\frac{3i}{8} A + \frac{1}{2} g^{nm} \bar{\chi}_n \chi^m + \frac{1}{16e} \epsilon^{nm} \bar{\chi}_m \gamma^5 \chi_n) \\
&\quad + \bar{\psi} \psi (i\bar{\psi}^B \gamma^m \partial_m \psi_B + g^{nm} \partial_n X_A \partial_m X^A - \frac{5i}{2} g^{mn} \bar{\chi}_n \psi^A \partial_m X_A + \frac{i}{2e} \epsilon^{ml} \partial_m X_A \bar{\psi}^A \gamma^5 \chi_l) \\
&\quad - \bar{\psi}^A \psi^B g^{mn} \partial_m X_A \partial_n X_B + \frac{1}{e} \{X_A, X^B\} \bar{\psi}_A \gamma_5 \psi^B] \\
&\quad - \int d^2x \frac{\Delta}{2} (\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{mn} \bar{\chi}_m \gamma_5 \chi_n + ieA).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The auxiliary field A appears in (18) because the superconformal symmetry defined by Weyl symmetry plus fermionic symmetry has been perfectly restrained. The quadratic terms of the

fermionic matter can be removed from (18) by using

$$\frac{\delta S_2}{\delta A} = \frac{3i\Gamma}{8e}(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 - \frac{\Delta i}{2}e = 0. \quad (19)$$

The emergence of the Yukawa type interaction, for instance $\epsilon^{mn}\chi_m\bar{\chi}_n\gamma^5\chi_n$, is one of the interesting features in (18). Remember that when one performs a dimensional reduction of the three dimensional *SYM* theory, one finds such a Yukawa type interaction in the dimensional reduced lower dimensions. It is the remnant of supersymmetry in the higher dimensions.

The intuitive extension of the Schild string (2) may be

$$S_3 = \int d^2x d^2\theta \left[\frac{\Gamma}{E} \text{sdet } h_{MN} + \Delta E \right], \quad (20)$$

rather than (10). One may define the induced metric of the superfield propagating in the superspace as

$$h_{MN} = \partial_M Y^C \partial_N Y_C. \quad (21)$$

The action (20) possesses, however, a pathological behavior because of the superdeterminant [14]. It becomes a non-local functional as,

$$S_3 = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{\{\bar{\psi}\psi\}^2} \dots \dots \dots \right]. \quad (22)$$

Therefore a something new device, for example the condensation of fermion field $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \neq 0$ seems to be introduced in order to extract an interesting result from (20).

3 Summary and discussions

We have presented three kinds of deformations for the Schild string in the virtue of $N = 1$ two dimensional superspace. The first (4) which is invariant under $N = 1$ supersymmetry transformation and the area-preserving diffeomorphism seems to include the dynamics of the Schild string as we may associate (5) with this. The second (18) contains the Yukawa type interaction which reminds us two dimensional *SYM* theory reduced from three dimensions. The last (22) which is a non-local functional is formed by the induced metric of superfield.

Next we remark on the difference between the Schild gauge GS superstring action [8] and deformed actions in this letter. First remember that in [15] Nambu treated $\{ X_A, X_B \}^2$ as the square of the gauge field strength, F_{AB}^2 under some restrictions. If one can consistently delineate new Schild action of the NSR superstring, then the action would look like one of SYM theories³. The action of the Schild gauge GS superstring [8] is very similar to the rough structure of SYM action⁴:

$$S_{SY} = \int d^D x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F^2 + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi \right), \quad (23)$$

where ψ is the representation of Clifford algebra in the D space-time dimensions. To hold supersymmetries of (23), spatial-temporal dimension must be only $D = 3, 4, 6$ and 10 [13]. In order to keep the two-dimensional supersymmetry, one needs pseudo scalar and the Yukawa interaction in the action as we have described in the introduction. Therefore it is suitable conclusions that (4), (18) and (20) are not reduced to the original Schild string by usual bosonic truncation. Completing the two dimensional SYM type action by superstring fields may not be straightforward as compared with the GS string case [8].

Next we would like to comment on (18). Some terms in (18) may be viewed as the Yukawa coupling with the bound states $(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2$ which are formed under the condensation of the fermionic fields. Let us take notice of the symmetries of (18). Its gauge symmetries have been completely fixed except for local Lorentz symmetry. We would like to improve the whole violation of local symmetries which reside in the NSR superstring to a partial break. The partial break of the symmetries is rather desirable disposition. Because if one can complete a new Schild type action of the NSR superstring, then it should correspond to a ‘Nambu-Goto superstring’. Remember that in the Nambu-Goto string the Weyl symmetry is not surviving though it is alive in the Polyakov string. Therefore to preserve the supersymmetry of the theory, the fermionic symmetry of $\chi_m \rightarrow \chi_m + \gamma_m \eta$ with η an arbitrary Majorana spinor and the supersymmetry should be partially restricted.

³ One of authors (R.K.) would like to thank Prof.Y.Kitazawa for pointing out this.

⁴ In [8] the authors studied not only the kinematical properties of this but also the dynamical one. They showed a matrix model like this can be regarded as the continuum limit of the large- N reduced model of 10-dimensional SYM theory.

Ultimately we close this letter with the following remarks : *what are impediments in constructing new Schild type superstring action from the NSR superstring action with vector spinor fields $\psi_\mu^{\mathcal{B}}$?*

- The kinematical properties of the Nambu-Goto string is equivalent to the Schild string (2) up to the equation of motion for the auxiliary field e . Remember that the Nambu-Goto string is derived from the Polyakov string by using the equation of motion for metric fields g_{mn} which are not dynamical variables at the classical level. We can expect that a kind of Schild superstring which we have tried to find in this letter has some relation with a kind of Nambu-Goto superstring which would be made from the *NSR* superstring up to equations of motion for some auxiliary fields, as the Schild string. So far we have known, such a superstring action like the Nambu-Goto string, that is to say, a kind of Nambu-Goto superstring with the D -plet of Majorana fermions $\psi_\mu^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not yet completed. The hardship seems to be as follows ⁵. The action of the *NSR* superstring contains zweibein fields e_m^a and Rarita-Schwinger fields $\chi_{n\alpha}$ as non-dynamical fields at the classical level. When one tries to find such a Nambu-Goto superstring, one should eliminate the non-dynamical supergravity multiplet by using their equations of motion. One can easily derive these which are, however, non-linear equations. Hence to find their local solutions are not generally trivial. To search some gauge fixing condition in which the equations become linear would be a helpful subject. For example if we choose $e_m^a = \delta_m^a$ on the equation of motion for χ_m ⁶, then the Rarita-Schwinger fields are represented by the matter fields as

$$\chi_m = 4i\psi^{\mathcal{A}}\partial_m X_{\mathcal{A}}/\bar{\psi}^{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}. \quad (24)$$

In the derivation, we have used the equation of motion for χ_l ,

$$-i\gamma^m\gamma^l\psi^{\mathcal{B}}\partial_m X_{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{\mathcal{B}}\psi_{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^n\gamma^l\chi_n = 0. \quad (25)$$

⁵One of the authors (R.K.) is inclined to the private communications several years ago with Prof. N.Ishibashi [16] on the relation between *NSR* superstring and supersymmetrized Nambu-Goto string.

⁶ Here we would like to give just a rough discussion to intuitively show the non-linearity.

As one recognizes from this simple case, it is possible that such non-local functions will appear in the expression of a Nambu-Goto superstring. The non-local functions possibly correspond to that in the third deformation (22).

- As we have described in the third paragraph of this section, fermionic symmetries in the *NSR* superstring are partially broken in the Schild superstring. The breaking of the symmetries may be performed by some gauge fixing procedure in which the fields of *NSR* superstring are consistently related with the adjoint scalar as well as other Yang-Mills multiplet, as we have written in the introduction. Furthermore the gauge fixing conditions should represent linear equations of motion of supergravity multiplet as we have expressed in the above.
- Creating some kind of Schild superstring with space-time spinors may not be troublesome. One finds the super version of the constituent $\partial_m X^A$ of the induced metric, $\partial_m X^A \partial_n X_A$, as

$$\Pi_m^A \equiv \partial_m X^A - \bar{\theta}_{\bar{A}} \Gamma^A \partial_m \theta^{\bar{A}}, \quad (26)$$

where $\bar{A} = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and θ refers to a space-time spinor [13]. Expression (26) is invariant under N global space-time supersymmetries. For the vector spinor ψ_μ^c , one can not invent a world-sheet supersymmetric constituent as Π_m^A . Because it should carry the canonical dimension one, a space-time index and a world-sheet vector index. One can not form such a quality by combining the supermultiplet of the *NSR* superstring ⁷.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Prof.Y.Kitazawa for reading the original manuscript which was titled ‘Metamorphoses of Schild String’ and his useful discussion.

References

⁷ The vector spinor has the canonical dimension 1/2. For example, such thing $\bar{\psi}^A \psi_B \partial_m X_A$ has the canonical dimensions two.

- [1] T. EGUCHI, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***44**, 126 (1980), *New Approach to the Quantized String Theory*.
- [2] Y. NAMBU, *Phys. Rev.***D7**, 2405 (1973), *Generalized Hamiltonian Dynamics*.
- [3] Y. NAMBU, *Phys. Lett.***B92**, 327 (1980), *Hamilton-Jacobi Formalism for strings*.
- [4] A. SCHILD, *Phys. Rev.***D16**, 1722 (1977), *Classical null strings*.
- [5] A. SUGAMOTO, *Nucl. Phys.***B215**, 381 (1983), *Theory of Membranes*.
- [6] A. SUGAMOTO, *hep-th/9611051*, *Old-Fashioned Dualities Revisited*.
- [7] , T. BANKS, W. FISCHLER, S. H. SHENKER, L. SUSSKIND, *Phys. Rev.***D55**, 5112 (1997), *M Theory As A Matrix Model: A Conjecture*.
- [8] N. ISHIBASHI, H. KAWAI, Y. KITAZAWA, A. TSUCHIYA, *Nucl. Phys.***B498**, 467 (1997), *A Large-N Reduced Model as Superstring*.
- [9] Y. MATSUMURA, N. SAKAI AND T. SAKAI, *Phys. Rev.***D52**, 2446 (1995), *Mass Spectra of Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories in 1+1 Dimensions*.
- [10] T. YONEYA, *Prog. Theor. Phys.***97**, 949 (1997), *Schild Action and Space-Time Uncertainty Principle in String Theory*.
- [11] P S HOWE, *J. Phys.***A12**, 393 (1978), *Super Weyl transformations in two dimensions*.
- [12] P S HOWE, *Phys. Lett.***B70**, 453 (1977), *Superspace and the spinning string*.
- [13] M. B. GREEN, J. H. SCHWARZ AND E. WITTEN, *Superstring theory, Cambridge University Press (1987)*.
- [14] P. VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN, *Phys. Rep.***68**, 189 (1981), *Supergravity*.
- [15] Y. NAMBU, *Phys. Lett.***B102**, 149 (1981), *Effective Abelian Gauge Fields*.
- [16] N. ISHIBASHI, *private communication*.