

The quantization of the chiral Schwinger model based on the BFT-BFV formalism II

Mu-In Park^{1*}, Young-Jai Park^{1†}, and Sean J. Yoon^{2‡}

¹*Department of Physics and Basic Science Research Institute
Sogang University, C.P.O. Box 1142, Seoul 100-611, Korea*

and

²*LG Corporate Institute of Technology, Seoul 137-140, Korea*

Abstract

We apply an improved version of Batalin-Fradkin-Tyutin (BFT) Hamiltonian method to the $a = 1$ chiral Schwinger Model, which is much more nontrivial than the $a > 1$ one. Furthermore, through the path integral quantization, we newly resolve the problem of the non-trivial δ function as well as that of the unwanted Fourier parameter ξ in the measure. As a result, we explicitly obtain the fully gauge invariant partition function, which includes a new type of Wess-Zumino (WZ) term irrelevant to the gauge symmetry as well as usual WZ action.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Ef, 11.15Tk

*Electronic address: mipark@physics.sogang.ac.kr

†Electronic address: yjpark@ccs.sogang.ac.kr

‡Electronic address: yoonsj@mail.lgcit.com

I. INTRODUCTION

After Dirac's pioneering work [1] on the constraint system, Batalin, Fradkin, and Vilkovsky (BFV) [2] had proposed a new kind of quantization method for constraint systems, which is particularly powerful for deriving a covariantly gauge-fixed action in configuration space. This BFV formalism has been applied to several interesting models [3] including the bosonized Chiral Schwinger Model (CSM) [4]. After BFV's work, Batalin-Fradkin-Tyutin (BFT) [5,6] had generalized this method for systems with only second class or both classes of constraints. Recently, several authors have systematically applied the BFT Hamiltonian method to several Abelian second class systems [7-9] finding the new type of an Abelian Wess-Zumino (WZ) action. After their works, we have also quantized other several interesting models [10,11] by using this BFT formalism. However, these works [7-11] are mainly based on the systematic, but somewhat cumbersome construction of the first class Hamiltonian as a solution of commuting with the first class constraints.

Very recently, we have improved the usual BFT method by obtaining the desired first class Hamiltonian from the canonical Hamiltonian just by replacing the original fields with BFT physical fields for the several models [12,13] including the $a > 1$ case of the CSM having two second class constraints[14]. Here, these BFT fields are obtained from the requirement of commuting with the first class constraints. On the other hand, up to now previous works of the highly nontrivial $a = 1$ CSM having four second class constraints, which is in contrast with the simple $a > 1$ CSM having only two second class ones, are still problematic in this direction [7, 11]. The final quantum theory in those works seems not to have the prevailing gauge symmetry contrast to the $a > 1$ case although we make the second class constraint system into the first class one following BFT method.

In this paper, we shall newly resolve this unsatisfactory situation of the $a = 1$ CSM based on our improved BFT method[12-14] and the non-trivial application of the recently proposed technique of covariant path integral evaluation [3]. In fact, we find that the final quantum action in a much simpler form and the final configuration space partition function

has the prevailing gauge symmetry contrast to the previous works [7, 11] in conformity with the effectively first class constraint structure of the model.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In section II, we convert all second class constraints of the bosonized CSM with $a = 1$ into the effectively first class constraints according to the usual BFT formalism. In section III, according to our recently improved BFT method, we then directly obtain the desired first class Hamiltonian from the canonical Hamiltonian by simply replacing the original fields with the corresponding BFT physical variables defined in the extended phase space and recover the Dirac bracket (DB) in the original phase space. In section IV, we obtain the first class quantum Lagrangian, which includes new type of WZ (NWZ) action which can not be obtained in the usual Faddeev-Popov like path integral framework [16] as well as the well known WZ terms, and the final theory has the prevailing gauge symmetry contrast to the previous works [7, 11]. This becomes possible by resolving the problem of the non-trivial δ -function in the measure part, which exists in the previous works [7,11]. Furthermore, according to our procedure, the Fourier parameter ξ introduced when exponentiating the delta function $\delta(\tilde{\Omega}_2)$ is also disappeared in the final result. Section V is devoted to conclusion.

II. CONSTRUCTION OF FIRST CLASS CONSTRAINTS

As is well-known, the (fermionic) CSM model is equivalent to the following bosonized action

$$S_{CSM} = \int d^2x \left[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + eA_\nu(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu})\partial_\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}ae^2A_\mu A^\mu \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1,-1)$, $\epsilon^{01} = 1$, and a is a regularization ambiguity, which is not uniquely determined by the different procedures for calculating the fermionic determinant [4]. Depending on the value of a , three different mass spectrums are expected : massless harmonic excitations ($a = 1$), massive vector meson with massless harmonic excitations ($a > 1$), tachyonic excitations ($a < 1$). In addition, these differences are reflected also in the constraint

structures: four second class constraints ($a = 1$) or two second class ones ($a > 1$), where we neglect the non-unitary case of $a < 1$. Because of the doubling of the number of constraints, $a = 1$ CSM was highly non-trivial, and thus previous works in the BFT Hamiltonian method were still problematic. Since resolving the unsatisfactory situation of $a = 1$ CSM is our main issue, we will only consider $a = 1$ CSM from now on.

In the case of $a = 1$, the action (1) has the gauge anomaly $\delta S_{CSM} = -\int d^2x e^2 \Lambda^* F$ under the gauge transformation $\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \Lambda$, $\delta \phi = -e\Lambda$ where $*F = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}$, dual to $F^{\mu\nu}$. The canonical momenta are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^\mu &= (0, \dot{A}_1 - \partial_1 A_0), \\ \pi_\phi &= \dot{\phi} + e(A_0 - A_1),\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where the overdot denotes the time derivative. Following Dirac's standard procedure [1,17], one finds one primary constraint

$$\Omega_1 \equiv \pi^0 \approx 0,\tag{3}$$

and three secondary constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_2 &\equiv \partial_1 \pi^1 + e\pi_\phi + e\partial_1 \phi + e^2 A_1, \\ \Omega_3 &\equiv e^2 \pi^1, \\ \omega_4 &\equiv e^4(A_0 - A_1) + e^2 \partial_1 \pi^1 - e^2 \Omega_2.\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

These constraints are obtained by conserving the constraints with respect to the total Hamiltonian

$$H_T = H_c + \int dx u \Omega_1,\tag{5}$$

where u denotes a Lagrange multiplier. Here H_c is the canonical Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}H_c = \int dx \left[\frac{1}{2}(\pi^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\pi_\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 \phi)^2 + e(\pi_\phi + \partial_1 \phi)A_1 \right. \\ \left. + e^2(A_1)^2 - A_0 \Omega_2 \right].\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

By fixing the Lagrange multiplier u as follows

$$u = \partial_1 A_0 - \frac{1}{e^4} \partial_1 \omega_4 + \frac{2}{e^2} \Omega_3, \quad (7)$$

no further constraints are generated via this procedure. We find that all the constraints are fully second class constraints. In order to carry out simple algebraic manipulations (i.e. avoiding the complication which comes from the derivative term $\{\omega_4(x), \omega_4(y)\} = 2e^6 \partial_1^x \delta(x - y)$), we redefine ω_4 by using Ω_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_4 &\equiv \omega_4 + e^2 \partial_1 \Omega_1 \\ &= e^4 (A_0 - A_1) + e^2 \partial_1 \pi^1 - e^2 \Omega_2 + e^2 \partial_1 \Omega_1. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that the redefined constraint is still second class one in contrast to the Chern-Simons (CS) theories [8,10]. The full set of the constraints $\Omega_i (i = 1, \dots, 4)$ now satisfies the simplified form of the second class constraints algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{ij}(x, y) &\equiv \{\Omega_i(x), \Omega_j(y)\} \\ &= e^4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2e^2 \\ 1 & 0 & -2e^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x - y), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and furthermore which are closed under the time translation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Omega}_1 &= \{\Omega_1, H_T\} = \Omega_2, \\ \dot{\Omega}_2 &= \{\Omega_2, H_T\} = \Omega_3 + 2e^2 \Omega_1, \\ \dot{\Omega}_3 &= \{\Omega_3, H_T\} = \Omega_4 + e^2 \partial_1 \Omega_1, \\ \dot{\Omega}_4 &= \{\Omega_4, H_T\} = (2e^2 \partial_1 \partial_1 - 4e^4) \Omega_1 + e^2 \partial_1 \Omega_2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with the multiplier of (7).

Now, let us introduce new auxiliary fields Φ^i in order to convert the second class constraints Ω_i into first class ones in the extended phase space. Following the usual BFT method [5,6], we require these fields to satisfy

$$\{\mathcal{F}, \Phi^i\} = 0, \quad \{\Phi^i(x), \Phi^j(y)\} = \omega^{ij}(x, y), \quad (11)$$

where ω^{ij} is a constant and antisymmetric matrix and $\mathcal{F} \equiv (A^\mu, \pi_\mu, \phi, \pi_\phi)$ are the variables of the original phase space. Then, the strongly involutive modified constraints $\tilde{\Omega}_i$, which satisfy

$$\{\tilde{\Omega}_i, \tilde{\Omega}_j\} = 0; \quad \tilde{\Omega}_i|_{\Phi=0} = \Omega_i, \quad (12)$$

are formally given by

$$\tilde{\Omega}_i(\mathcal{F}; \Phi) = \Omega_i + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Omega}_i^{(n)}, \quad \tilde{\Omega}_i^{(n)} \sim (\Phi)^n. \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, we could generally take the first order correction terms in the infinite series (13) as follows [5,6]

$$\tilde{\Omega}_i^{(1)}(x) = \int dy X_{ij}(x, y) \Phi^j(y). \quad (14)$$

Then, the first class constraint algebra of $\tilde{\Omega}_i$ imposes the following condition:

$$\Delta_{ij}(x, y) + \int dw dz X_{ik}(x, w) \omega^{kl}(w, z) X_{jl}(y, z) = 0. \quad (15)$$

According to the usual BFT formalism, we can take without any loss of generality the simple solutions as

$$\omega^{ij}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

and

$$X_{ij}(x, y) = e^2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ e^2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^2 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x - y) \equiv X_{ij}^0 \delta(x - y). \quad (17)$$

With this choice, the modified constraints up to first order,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_i = \Omega_i + \tilde{\Omega}_i^{(1)} = \Omega_i + X_{ij}^0 \Phi^j \quad (18)$$

form a strongly first class constraint algebra

$$\{\Omega_i + \tilde{\Omega}_i^{(1)}, \Omega_j + \tilde{\Omega}_j^{(1)}\} = 0. \quad (19)$$

The higher order correction terms $\tilde{\Omega}_i^{(n)}$ ($n \geq 2$) in Eq. (13) automatically vanish as a consequence of the proper choice (16) and (17). Therefore, we have all the first class constraints in the extended phase space with only $\Omega_i^{(1)}$ contributing in the series (13).

III. BFT PHYSICAL VARIABLES AND FIRST CLASS HAMILTONIAN

In this section, we will show that one can easily find the first class Hamiltonian for the extended phase space only by replacing the original fields $\mathcal{F} = (A^\mu, \pi_\mu, \phi, \pi_\phi)$ in the canonical Hamiltonian with the BFT physical variables $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \equiv (\tilde{A}^\mu, \tilde{\pi}_\mu, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\pi}_\phi)$ defined in the extended phase space. In fact, these BFT physical variables $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are obtained as a power series in the auxiliary fields Φ by requiring them to be strongly involutive with the first class constraints

$$\{\tilde{\Omega}_i, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\} = 0. \quad (20)$$

The first order correction terms in Eq. (20) are given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(1)}(x) = - \int dy \int dz \int dw \Phi^j(y) \omega_{jk}(y, z) X^{kl}(z, w) \{\Omega_l(w), \mathcal{F}(x)\}_{(\mathcal{F})}. \quad (21)$$

Here, ω_{jk} and X_{kl} denote the inverse of ω^{jk} and X^{kl} . Furthermore, as a consequence of the proper choice (16) and (17), the higher order correction terms $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(n)}$ ($n \geq 2$) vanish. Hence, the desired BFT physical variables are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^\mu &= A^\mu + \tilde{A}^{\mu(1)} = (A^0 - \partial_1 \Phi^1 - \Phi^2 - \frac{1}{e^2} \Phi^4, A^1 + \partial_1 \Phi^1 + \Phi^2 - \frac{1}{e^2} \partial_1 \Phi^3) \\ \tilde{\pi}^\mu &= \pi^\mu + \tilde{\pi}^{\mu(1)} = (\pi^0 - e^2 \Phi^1, \pi^1 + e^2 \Phi^1 + \Phi^3) \\ \tilde{\phi} &= \phi + \tilde{\phi}^{(1)} = \phi - \frac{1}{e} \Phi^3 \\ \tilde{\pi}_\phi &= \pi_\phi + \tilde{\pi}_\phi^{(1)} = \pi_\phi - \frac{1}{e} \partial_1 \Phi^3. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, the corresponding first class quantities $\widetilde{K}(\mathcal{F}; \Phi)$ for the arbitrary function $K(\mathcal{F})$ can be constructed along similar lines as in the case of the first class variables, by representing it as a power series in the auxiliary fields and requiring $\{\widetilde{\Omega}_i, \widetilde{K}\} = 0$ subject to the condition $\widetilde{K}|_{\Phi=0} = K$. However, instead of seeking such functions by the usual BFT method, we consider a new approach using the novel property [12-14] by noting that any functional of first class BFT fields $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ corresponding to \mathcal{F} will also be first class as follows

$$\widetilde{K}(\mathcal{F}; \Phi) = K(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}), \quad (23)$$

$$\{K(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}), \widetilde{\Omega}_i\} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Now, using this elegant property, we directly find the first class Hamiltonian \widetilde{H}_c from the canonical one H_c only by replacing the original fields with the BFT physical variables as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}_c(\mathcal{F}; \Phi) &= H_c(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \\ &= H_c(\mathcal{F}) + \int dx \left[\Phi^1 \left(-\frac{1}{2} e^4 \Phi^1 - e^2 \Phi^3 - \partial_1 \Phi^4 + e^2 \partial_1 A^0 \right) + \Phi^2 \Phi^4 - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^3 \Phi^3 \right. \\ &\quad + \partial_1 (\partial_1 \Phi^1 + \Phi^2) \widetilde{\Omega}_1 + (\partial_1 \Phi^1 + \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{e^2} \Phi^4) \widetilde{\Omega}_2 + (\Phi^1 + \frac{1}{e^2} \Phi^3) \widetilde{\Omega}_3 \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{e^2} (\partial_1 \Phi^1 + \Phi^2) \widetilde{\Omega}_4 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and by construction, is strongly involutive with the first class constraints (18),

$$\{\widetilde{\Omega}_i, \widetilde{H}_c\} = 0. \quad (26)$$

Note that the modified constraints have already the property (23), i.e., $\widetilde{\Omega}_i(\mathcal{F}; \Phi) = \Omega_i(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}})$. In this way, all the second class constraints $\Omega_i(\mathcal{F})$ are possible to convert into the first class ones $\widetilde{\Omega}_i(\mathcal{F}; \Phi)$ satisfying the boundary conditions $\widetilde{\Omega}_i|_{\Phi=0} = \Omega_i$.

Since in the Hamiltonian formalism the first class constraint system indicates the presence of a local symmetry, this completes the operatorial conversion of the original second class system with Hamiltonian H_c and constraints Ω_i into the first class ones in the extended phase space with Hamiltonian \widetilde{H} and constraints $\widetilde{\Omega}_i$ by using the property (25).

IV. PATH INTEGRAL QUANTIZATION AND QUANTUM LAGRANGIAN

In this section, we consider the partition function of the model in order to extract out the Lagrangian corresponding to \widetilde{H} . To do this, let us identify the new variables Φ^i as a canonically conjugate pairs

$$\Phi^i \equiv \left(\frac{1}{e}\theta, -\rho, -\pi_\rho, e\pi_\theta \right) \quad (27)$$

satisfying Eqs. (11) and (16). Then, our starting partition function is given by the Faddeev-Popov (FP) formula [16] as follows

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\pi^\mu \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\pi_\phi \mathcal{D}\theta \mathcal{D}\pi_\theta \mathcal{D}\rho \mathcal{D}\pi_\rho \prod_{i,j=1}^4 \delta(\widetilde{\Omega}_i) \delta(\Gamma_j) \det | \{ \widetilde{\Omega}_i, \Gamma_j \} | e^{iS}, \quad (28)$$

where

$$S = \int d^2x \left(\pi^\mu \dot{A}_\mu + \pi_\phi \dot{\phi} + \pi_\theta \dot{\theta} + \pi_\rho \dot{\rho} - \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_c \right) \quad (29)$$

with the Hamiltonian density $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_c$ corresponding to the Hamiltonian \widetilde{H}_c of Eq. (25), which is now explicitly expressed in terms of $(\theta, \pi_\theta, \rho, \pi_\rho)$ instead of Φ^i . The gauge fixing conditions Γ_i should be chosen so that the determinant occurring in the functional measure is non-vanishing. Moreover, Γ_i may be assumed to be independent of the momenta so that these are considered as FP type gauge conditions [7-14].

Before performing the momentum integrations to obtain the desired partition function in the configuration space, it seems appropriate to comment on the strongly involutive Hamiltonian (25). If we use the above Hamiltonian, we cannot naturally generate the first class constraints $\widetilde{\Omega}_i$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$) from the time evolution of the primary constraint $\widetilde{\Omega}_1$. In order to avoid this situation, we also use another equivalent first class Hamiltonian without any loss of generality, which only differs from the involutive Hamiltonian (25) by the terms proportional to the first class constraint $\widetilde{\Omega}_i$ as follows

$$\widetilde{H}^* = \widetilde{H}_c + \left(u - \frac{1}{e^2} \partial_1 \Omega_2 \right) \widetilde{\Omega}_1 + \left(\rho - \frac{\pi_\theta}{e} - \frac{1}{e^2} \partial_1 \Omega_1 \right) \widetilde{\Omega}_2 + \left(\frac{\theta}{e} + \frac{\pi_\rho}{e^2} \right) \widetilde{\Omega}_3 + \frac{\rho}{e^2} \widetilde{\Omega}_4. \quad (30)$$

Then, this Hamiltonian \tilde{H}^* automatically generates the first class constraints such that $\{\tilde{\Omega}_1, \tilde{H}^*\} = \tilde{\Omega}_2$, $\{\tilde{\Omega}_2, \tilde{H}^*\} = \tilde{\Omega}_3 + 2e^2\tilde{\Omega}_1$, $\{\tilde{\Omega}_3, \tilde{H}^*\} = \tilde{\Omega}_4 + e^2\partial_1\tilde{\Omega}_1$, $\{\tilde{\Omega}_4, \tilde{H}^*\} = (2e^2\partial_1\partial_1 - 4e^4)\tilde{\Omega}_1 + e^2\partial_1\tilde{\Omega}_2$ in exactly the same way as H_T does Ω_i of (10). There is another reason in using the Hamiltonian \tilde{H}^* instead of \tilde{H}_c . As we have mentioned, all the theories for the extended phase space can be obtained just by replacing the original variables \mathcal{F} with the BFT physical variables $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in the theories for the original phase space. In this point of view, the equations of motion for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ obtained by a) using the equation $\dot{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{H}\}$ and by b) replacing \mathcal{F} with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in the equations of motion for \mathcal{F} should be the same. Interestingly enough, not \tilde{H}_c but \tilde{H}^* realizes this requirement, in other words the latter gives form-invariant equations of motion. Consequently the first-class Hamiltonian \tilde{H}^* for the extended phase space is made unique by requiring that it reproduces the Heisenberg equations of motion. However, we note that \tilde{H}_c and \tilde{H}^* act in the same way on physical states because such states are annihilated by the first class constraints which are the only difference between \tilde{H}_c and \tilde{H}^* .

Now, we consider the following effective phase space partition function

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\pi^\mu \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\pi_\phi \mathcal{D}\theta \mathcal{D}\pi_\theta \mathcal{D}\rho \mathcal{D}\pi_\rho \prod_{i,j=1}^4 \delta(\tilde{\Omega}_i) \delta(\Gamma_j) \det | \{\tilde{\Omega}_i, \Gamma_j\} | e^{iS^*},$$

$$S^* = \int d^2x \left(\pi^\mu \dot{A}_\mu + \pi_\phi \dot{\phi} + \pi_\theta \dot{\theta} + \pi_\rho \dot{\rho} - \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^* \right) \quad (31)$$

We first discuss about the original unitary gauge fixing condition as follows

$$\Gamma_i = \Phi^i = \left(\frac{1}{e}\theta, -\rho, -\pi_\rho, e\pi_\theta \right). \quad (32)$$

Note that this gauge fixing is consistent because when we take the gauge fixing condition $\theta \approx 0$, the condition $\rho \approx 0$ is naturally generated from the time evolution of θ , i.e., $\dot{\theta} = \{\theta, \tilde{H}^*\} = -e\rho \approx 0$, and π_ρ and π_θ are also successively generated from the time evolution of ρ and π_ρ , respectively. Choosing the gauge (32), the partition function is found to be

$$Z_U = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\phi e^{iS_U},$$

$$S_U = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + e A_\nu (\eta^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} e^2 A_\mu A^\mu \right], \quad (33)$$

which is the same result as in the original phase space expressed by the Senjanovic path integral formula [19]. Note that although the Maxwell term appearing in (1) is absent in (33) due to the constraint Ω_3 in (4), the constraints arising from the action (33) are identical to those obtained from (1) and this situation coincides with the previous work [7].

Now, let us return to the partiton function (31). To obtain the partition function in the configuration space, we perform the momentum integrations by taking the proper order for a simpler calculation without any loss of generality. The π^0 , π_θ , and π_ρ integrations are trivially performed by exploiting the delta functions $\delta(\tilde{\Omega}_1) = \delta[\pi^0 - e\theta]$, $\delta(\tilde{\Omega}_4) = \delta[-e^3\pi_\phi - e^3\partial_1\phi + e^4A_0 - 2e^4A_1 - e^4\rho - e^3\pi_\theta + e^3\partial_1\theta]$, and $\delta(\tilde{\Omega}_3) = \delta[e^2\pi^1 + e^3\theta - e^2\pi_\rho]$, respectively. Then, after exponentiating the remaining delta function $\delta(\tilde{\Omega}_2) = \delta[\partial_1\pi^1 + e\pi_\phi + e\partial_1\phi + e^2A_1 + e^2\rho]$ with Fourier variable ξ as $\delta(\tilde{\Omega}_2) = \int \mathcal{D}\xi e^{-i\int d^2x \xi\tilde{\Omega}_2}$, we obtain the partition function

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_I &= \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\xi \mathcal{D}\pi^1 \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\pi_\phi \mathcal{D}\theta \mathcal{D}\rho \prod_{j=1}^4 \delta(\Gamma_j) \det | \{\tilde{\Omega}_i, \Gamma_j\} | e^{iS_I}, \\
S_I &= \int d^2x [\pi^1 \dot{A}_1 + \pi_\phi \dot{\phi} + \dot{\theta}(-\pi_\phi - \partial_1\phi - 2eA_1 + \partial_1\theta - e\rho) \\
&\quad + \dot{\rho}(\pi^1 + e\theta) - \frac{1}{2}(\pi_\phi + \frac{1}{e}\partial_1\pi^1 + \partial_1\theta)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1\phi + \frac{1}{e}\partial_1\pi^1 + \partial_1\theta)^2 \\
&\quad - (eA_1 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_1\pi^1 - 2\partial_1\theta + e\rho)(\pi_\phi + \partial_1\phi + eA_1 + \frac{1}{e}\partial_1\pi^1 + e\rho) \\
&\quad + (A_0 - \xi)\tilde{\Omega}_2]. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the usual way $A_0 \rightarrow A_0 + \xi$ of the evaluation of the above partition function, the unwanted ξ field cannot be removed in the measure part of the partition function. However, we will show that this problem can be resolved by the applying the Fujiwara's technique of the covariant path integral evaluation [3, 15].

The first step is to perform the path integral over A_0 with the gauge fixing condition

$$\Gamma_1 = A_0 \approx 0. \tag{35}$$

The second step is to recover A_0 formally by identifying the auxiliary field ξ with $-A_0$ field. Then, the resultant partition function is given by

$$Z_{II} = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\pi^1 \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\pi_\phi \mathcal{D}\theta \mathcal{D}\rho \prod_{j=2}^4 \delta(\Gamma_j)' \det | \{\tilde{\Omega}_i, \Gamma_j\}' | e^{iS_{II}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{II} = & \int d^2x \left[\pi^1 \dot{A}_1 + \pi_\phi \dot{\phi} + \dot{\theta}(-\pi_\phi - \partial_1 \phi - 2eA_1 + \partial_1 \theta - e\rho) \right. \\
& + \dot{\rho}(\pi^1 + e\theta) - \frac{1}{2}(\pi_\phi + \frac{1}{e}\partial_1 \pi^1 + \partial_1 \theta)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 \phi + \frac{1}{e}\partial_1 \pi^1 + \partial_1 \theta)^2 \\
& - (eA_1 - \frac{1}{e}\partial_1 \pi^1 - 2\partial_1 \theta + e\rho)(\pi_\phi + \partial_1 \phi + eA_1 + \frac{1}{e}\partial_1 \pi^1 + e\rho) \\
& \left. + A_0 \tilde{\Omega}_2 \right]. \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

The determinant of 4×4 matrix in the measure is reduced into that of 3×3 and the prime terms denote that the condition $\Gamma_1 = A_0 \approx 0$ is imposed after calculations. Note that the obtained partition function (36) does not contain the ξ field any more.

Next, we perform the Gaussian integration over π_ϕ and π^1 by considering the FP type gauge [7-14]. The partition function takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{III} &= \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\theta \mathcal{D}\rho \delta(\dot{A}_1 - \partial_1 A_0 + \dot{\rho}) \prod_{j=2}^4 \delta(\Gamma_j)' \det | \{ \tilde{\Omega}_i, \Gamma_j \}' | e^{iS_{III}}, \\
S_{III} &= \int d^2x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} e^2 A_\mu A^\mu + e(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu}) A_\nu \partial_\mu \phi \right. \\
& + e\theta(\dot{A}_1 - \partial_1 A_0) + e\theta \partial_\mu A^\mu - e(\partial_1 \phi + eA_1 + \dot{\phi} + \dot{\theta} - \partial_1 \theta)\rho \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2} e^2 \rho^2 - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\rho}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_1 \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}^2 - \dot{\phi} \dot{\theta} + \partial_1 \phi \partial_1 \theta \right]. \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

The non-trivial δ -function in the measure comes from $\int \mathcal{D}\pi^1 e^{i \int d^2x \pi^1 [F_{01} + \dot{\rho}]}$. Due to this δ function in the measure part, it is difficult to explicitly show that the above theory has the prevailing gauge symmetry as in the previous works[7,11]. Therefore, to obtain the desired theory, we must treat this δ function very carefully. We'll again make use of the Fujiwara's technique[3] to deal with this non-trivial δ function. First, we choose the second gauge

$$\Gamma_2 = \theta \approx 0, \tag{38}$$

and perform the path integral over θ . After that, we exponentiate the non-trivial δ function with the Fourier variable ξ' as $\int \mathcal{D}\xi' e^{-i \int d^2x \xi' [F_{01} + \dot{\rho}]}$ and recover θ by making the identification ξ' with $e\theta$. Then, we obtain the following final partition function

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_F &= \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\theta \mathcal{D}\rho \prod_{j=3}^4 \delta(\Gamma_j)'' \det | \{ \tilde{\Omega}_i, \Gamma_j \}'' | e^{iS_F}, \\
S_F &= S_{CSM} + S_{WZ} + S_{NWZ};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{CSM} &= \int d^2x \left[-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + eA_\nu(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu})\partial_\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}e^2A_\mu A^\mu \right], \\
S_{WZ} &= - \int d^2x e\theta^*F, \\
S_{NWZ} &= \int d^2x \left[-e(\partial_1\phi + eA_1 + \dot{\phi} - \dot{\theta})\rho - \frac{e^2}{2}\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\rho})^2 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Note that the non-trivial δ function from the π^1 integration in the Liouville measure is finally disappeared. The double prime terms denotes that $\Gamma_2 = \theta \approx 0$ is imposed as well as $\Gamma_1 = A_0 \approx 0$ after calculation. The resultant action has not only the well-known WZ term S_{WZ} canceling the gauge anomaly and hence being just the 1-cocycle, but also a new type of WZ term S_{NWZ} , which is irrelevant to the gauge symmetry but is needed to make the second class system into the fully first class one analogous to the case of the CS model [8,10]: In other words, the final action (39) produces the fully first class constraints system

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1 &= \pi_0, \\
\Theta_2 &= \partial_1\pi^1 + e\pi_\phi + e\partial_1\phi + e^2A_1 + e^2\rho, \\
\Theta_3 &= -e^2\theta - e\pi^1 + e\pi_\rho, \\
\Theta_4 &= \pi_\theta - e\rho, \\
\{\Theta_i, \Theta_j\} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

(the slight differences from (18) would be the result of the several redefinitions in the process of evaluation) with the corresponding Hamiltonian

$$H_F = H_c + \int dx \left[e\pi_\phi\rho - \frac{1}{2}\pi_\rho^2 + \frac{e^2}{2}\theta^2 + e\rho(2eA_1 - eA_0) + e\theta\pi^1 + e^2\rho^2 + e\rho\partial_1\phi \right] \tag{41}$$

although the action without S_{NWZ} term does not produce fully first class constraints but only $\det\{\Theta_i, \Theta_j\} = 0$ ($i, j = 1-4$) consistently with the starting Hamiltonian point of view. Furthermore, the final action is invariant under the extended gauge transformations as

$$\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu\Lambda, \quad \delta\phi = -e\Lambda, \quad \delta\theta = -e\Lambda, \quad \delta\rho = 0, \tag{42}$$

where the S_{NWZ} in itself is invariant under the above transformation, which means that this term is not related to the gauge symmetry. The appearance of the gauge symmetry in the

final action is contrast to the previous works [7, 11], where the symmetry is not manifest. On the other hand, the lack of the manifest Lorentz invariance in S_F does not mean the actual non-invariance of the theory. This can be proved by the theorem proving the gauge independence of the partition function [2, 16]. By choosing the unitary gauge ρ as the third gauge Γ_3 in the Eq. (37), the Lorentz non-invariant S_{NWZ} term is removed from the final action, and thus the Lorentz invariance is recovered. Consequently the original *gauge theory* is compatible with Lorentz invariance. By the way, it is important to note that the final action can not be reduced to the original starting action by taking the last gauge condition Γ_4 as θ because this θ is really ξ'/e and is different from the starting auxiliary field θ in Eq. (26) which is removed in Γ_3 and Γ_4 by choosing gauge (37) although this is possible at the beginning step (30) before momentum π_θ and π_ρ integration.

Finally, we note that our final result (39) does not depend on the particular gauge condition Γ_1 and Γ_2 due to the gauge independence of the partition function (29) [2, 16].

V. CONCLUSION

We have quantized the $a = 1$ non-trivial case of the bosonized CSM by using the improved BFT formalism, which has provided the much simpler and transparent insight to the usual BFT method. We have directly obtained the first class involutive Hamiltonian from the canonical Hamiltonian by just replacing the original fields with the BFT physical variables, and reconformed the same relation between the DB defined in the original second class system and the Poisson Brackets defined in the extended phase space as the $a > 1$ case. Furthermore, through the path integral quantization, we have found the corresponding first class quantum Lagrangian including a new type of WZ term as well as the well-known WZ term by resolving the problem of non-trivial delta function, which is only present for the CSM with $a = 1$, and the unwanted Fourier parameter ξ problem in the measure part. Similarly, for the $a > 1$ case we could also easily resolve the ξ -problem in our previous result [14] by applying the same technique used in this paper.

Through further investigation, it will be interesting to apply this newly improved BFT method to non-Abelian cases as well as an Abelian four-dimensional anomalous chiral gauge theory, [18] which seem to be very difficult to analyze within the framework of the original BFT formalism [7–11]. Especially for the latter case, we expect that the results, including the appearance of NWZ term, will be very similar to that of the $a = 1$ of CSM due to the very similar form of the gauge anomaly and constraint structure [18, 20, 21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Y.-W. Kim for helpful discussions. The present study was supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1997, Project No. **BSRI-97-2414**.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dirac P A M 1964 *Lectures on quantum mechanics* (New York: Yeshiba University)
- [2] Fradkin E S and Vilkovisky G A 1975 *Phys. Lett.* **55B** 224
Batalin I A and G. A. Vilkovisky G A 1977 *Phys. Lett.* **69B** 309
I A and Fradkin E S 1986 *Phys. Lett.* **B180** 157
- [3] Fujiwara T, Igarashi Y and Kubo J 1990 *Nucl. Phys.* **B341** 695
Kim Y-W *et al* 1992 *Phys. Rev.* **D46** 4574
- [4] Jackiw R and Rajaraman R 1985 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** 1219
Jackiw R and Rajaraman R 1985 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** 2060(E)
Schaposnik F and Viallet C 1986 *Phys. Lett.* **177B** 385
- [5] Batalin I A and Fradkin E S 1987 *Nucl. Phys.* **B279** 514
- [6] Batalin I A and I. V. Tyutin I V 1991 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A6** 3255
Fradkin E S 1988 *Lecture of the Dirac Medal of ICTP* Miramare-Trieste
- [7] Banerjee R, Rothe H J, and Rothe K D 1994 *Phys. Rev.* **D49** 5438
- [8] Banerjee R 1993 *Phys. Rev.* **D48** R5467
- [9] Banerjee R, Rothe H J, and Rothe K D 1994 *Nucl. Phys.* **B426** 129
- [10] Kim W T and Park Y-J 1994 *Phys. Lett.* **B336** 376
Kim Y-W *et al* 1995 *Phys. Rev.* **D51** 2943
- [11] Cha J H *et al* 1995 *Z. Phys.* **C69** 175
- [12] Kim Y-W *et al* 1997 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 12** 4217
Banerjee R and Barcelos-Neto J 1997 *Nucl. Phys.* **B 499** 453
- [13] Park M-I and Park Y-J 1998 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A13** 2179
Kim Y-W and Rothe K D 1998 *Nucl. Phys.* **B510** 511

- [14] Kim W T *et al* 1997 *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **23** 325
- [15] Park M-I and Park Y-J 1997 *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **31** 802
- [16] Faddeev L D and Popov V N 1967 *Phys. Lett.* **B25** 29
- [17] Girotti H O, Rothe H J, and Rothe K D 1986 *Phys. Rev.* **D33** 514
- [18] Rajaraman R 1987 *Phys. Lett.* **B184** 369
Otto F S, Rothe H J, and Recknagel A 1990 *Phys. Rev.* **D42** 1203
- [19] Senjanovic P 1979 *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)* **100** 227 (1979)
Senjanovic P 1991 *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)* **209** 248(E)
- [20] Witten E 1983 *Nucl. Phys.* **B 223** 422
Percacci R and Rajaraman R 1988 *Phys. Lett.* **B 201** 256
- [21] Kim W T 1992 *et al J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **25** 390