

# HIGHER DIMENSIONAL TAUB-BOLT SOLUTIONS AND THE ENTROPY OF NON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

Marika Taylor-Robinson \*

*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,  
University of Cambridge, Silver St., Cambridge. CB3 9EW*

(September 17, 2018)

## Abstract

We discuss the action of a circle isometry group on non compact Euclidean Einstein manifolds. We discuss approaches to a decomposition of the action and entropy for non compact manifolds in terms of the characteristics of the orbit space of a suitable isometry. There is entropy associated with non trivial cohomology of the orbit space of the isometry, and we consider a class of non compact solutions for which such contributions do not vanish. To obtain suitable solutions we generalise the Bais-Batenburg construction of higher dimensional Taub-Nut type solutions to obtain the corresponding bolt solutions. We consider the generalisations to non compact solutions of gravity coupled to scalar and gauge fields.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.65.+e, 04.70.Dy

Typeset using REVTeX

---

\*E-mail: mmt14@damtp.cam.ac.uk

## I. INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper [1] we considered a classification scheme of  $d$  dimensional Euclidean Einstein compact manifolds based on the existence of a one parameter isometry group, in terms of characteristics of the orbit space of the isometry action. This work generalised the classification scheme of four dimensional manifolds presented in [2] and extended the discussions on fixed point sets of isometries of [3], [4] and [5].

The main object of our classification scheme was to extend the geometric interpretation of the entropy in terms of fixed point sets to general dimensions. What we found was that  $(d - 2)$  dimensional bolts have an intrinsic entropy related to their volume. There are additional contributions to the entropy associated with non trivial cohomology of the orbit space; when the  $(d - 3)$  cohomology of this space is trivial we may interpret the entropy contributions as generalised nut contributions from each fixed point set.

Having discussed the action of isometries on Euclidean Einstein manifolds, it was natural to consider the extensions to Euclidean solutions of Einstein gravity coupled to scalar and gauge fields. We found that the same decomposition of the action held, but that if the “electric” part of the gauge field was non vanishing, there would be an additional term in the action dependent on this part of the field. In this context, “electric” means that if we consider the action of an isometry  $\partial_\tau$  the  $\mathcal{H}_{\tau\dots}$  components of the gauge field are non zero. If we analytically continue the solution and  $\tau$  is interpreted as an imaginary time coordinate, this part of the gauge field will indeed be electric.

In this paper, we discuss non compact Euclidean Einstein manifolds admitting at least a circle isometry group. The treatment of non compact solutions in this way is much more subtle for several reasons. Firstly, one must define all thermodynamic quantities with reference to a background, and, secondly, unlike compact solutions, one has to identify an appropriate temperature before one can define the entropy. That is, we must work within a canonical (or grand canonical) ensemble. What we find is that although we can find a partial decomposition of the characteristics of the isometry action, there is in general a surface term on the boundary term at infinity which is left over. This surface term will be related to the energy and angular momentum of the Lorentzian solution with respect to the appropriate background.

For compact manifolds, there is no preferred isometry with respect to which we should decompose the action. Using different circle subgroups will give different fixed point set contributions, but the total action will be the same whichever circle subgroup we consider. Of course, if we consider a Lorentzian continuation, it may be more natural, and more physically meaningful, to consider the action of a time Killing vector.

For non compact manifolds, although we can define a decomposition of the action in terms of the characteristics of any circle subgroup, the boundary terms at infinity will only have a natural physical interpretation as the energy and angular momentum if one uses an isometry which has null fixed point sets in the Lorentzian continuation. We then postulate that the action takes the form

$$S_E = \beta E - \beta \omega_i J_i - S_f, \tag{1}$$

where  $\beta$  is the periodicity of the generator of the horizons,  $E$  is the energy and  $\omega_i, J_i$  are the angular velocity and momentum. Within a canonical ensemble, we would then interpret

the quantity  $S_f$  as the entropy; in higher dimensions it can be expressed in a similar way to that for compact manifolds [1], except that one must consider the action of a suitable Killing vector. For black  $p$ -branes, the entropy is related to the volumes of the horizons but in general there will be other contributions arising from non-trivial cohomology of the orbit space.

We do not attempt to show that the surface terms at infinity can be related to the mass and angular momentum for a general solution. Instead we consider a class of static solutions having a single fixed point set on the Euclidean section which exhibits non trivial nut behaviour. In four dimensions, the solution that we will consider is the Taub-Bolt solution [6], within a background Taub-Nut solution. In higher dimensions, the analogues of the Taub-Nut solutions had been constructed previously (the Bais-Battenburg monopole solutions [7]) and we discuss here the construction of the corresponding analogues to the Taub-Bolt solution. Given such solutions and backgrounds, it is straightforward to relate the surface terms to the energy, and explicitly demonstrate that the action can be decomposed as above.

Thus we find that these non compact solutions admitting Dirac strings have an entropy with respect to an appropriate background which is related not only to the  $(d - 2)$  volumes of fixed point sets, but also to the nut behaviour of the fixed point set. Since one cannot have such contributions for asymptotically flat spacetimes, one might question the physical relevance of this result. However such spacetimes appear as exact backgrounds in string theory and should perhaps not be neglected. One can in addition argue that these solutions are asymptotically flat in the sense that all components of the Riemann tensor fall off sufficiently quickly at infinity. Such solutions could also be pair created, so that there is no net Dirac string singularity.

It is natural to consider the extensions to solutions of Einstein gravity coupled to appropriate gauge and scalar fields. Again we find that the decomposition of the volume term is unaffected, except when there is an electric gauge field when we will obtain an additional integral left over. For black brane solutions, one can relate this term to the electric charge and gauge potential at infinity; the physical interpretation is that one considers only variations of the gauge field which leave the electric charge unchanged.

However, for more general solutions, one cannot necessarily define an electric (or magnetic) charge; there may be no topologically non trivial surfaces over which we can integrate the appropriate forms. The additional term in the action depends on the electric part of the field, and is well defined, but cannot be related to a surface integral. Nevertheless the entropy for such solutions can be defined if we interpret this term as a constraint.

As an example of such behaviour we could consider the Israel-Wilson metrics of [8] but instead we consider Euclidean sections of four dimensional heterotic string theory which are obtained from the Taub-Nut solutions by T-duality transformations [9]. Such solutions are of interest since they are again exact backgrounds in string theory, obtained by applying appropriate duality transformations to the Taub-Nut solutions. We show that the entropy can be defined, although the integrals over the gauge fields cannot be expressed in a simple form.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In §II we review the main results of our previous paper. In §III we discuss the action of non-compact solutions and the choice of background.

In §IV we construct higher dimensional analogues of the four dimensional Taub-Bolt solution, and in §V we calculate the action with respect to an appropriate background. We discuss the analytic continuation of the Bais-Batenburg solutions in §VI.

In §VII, we consider the thermodynamics of non-compact solutions, and in §VIII we generalise the discussion to solutions of gravity coupled to scalar and gauge fields. In §IX we discuss the application of these arguments to dyonic Taub-Nut solutions, and we give our conclusions in §X.

## II. PROPERTIES OF SYMMETRIES

We will consider solutions of the Euclidean action of  $d$  dimensional Einstein gravity

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} [R_d - m] - \frac{1}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\partial M} d^{d-1} x \sqrt{b} (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_0), \quad (2)$$

where  $\hat{g}$  is the determinant of the  $d$  dimensional metric and  $R_d$  is the Ricci scalar. The  $d$  dimensional oriented manifold  $M$  will in general have a  $(d-1)$  dimensional boundary at infinity which we denote as  $\partial M$ .  $m$  is related to the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  as  $m = (d-2)\Lambda$ , and  $G_d$  is the  $d$ -dimensional Newton constant.  $\mathcal{K}$  is the extrinsic curvature of the boundary, and  $\mathcal{K}_0$  is the curvature of the boundary of the background with respect to which we must measure thermodynamic quantities of non compact solutions.

Many solutions of interest admit continuous symmetry groups of at least two parameters, and we assume here the existence of at least a one parameter group. A solution admitting a Killing vector  $k$  with closed orbits can be written in terms of  $(d-1)$  dimensional fields, which we refer to as the dilaton  $\phi$ , gauge potential  $A_i$  and metric  $g_{ij}$ , as

$$ds^2 = e^{\frac{-4\phi}{\sqrt{d-2}}} (dx^d + A_i dx^i)^2 + e^{\frac{4\phi}{(d-3)\sqrt{d-2}}} g_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (3)$$

where we take the Killing vector to be  $\partial_{x^d}$  of period  $\beta = 2\pi\mu$ . The volume term in the action can be expressed in terms of the lower dimensional fields as

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d-1}} \int_{\Sigma} d^{d-1} x \sqrt{g} \left[ R - m e^{\frac{4\phi}{\sqrt{d-2}(d-3)}} - \frac{4}{d-3} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{-4\sqrt{d-2}}{d-3}\phi} F^2 \right], \quad (4)$$

where  $G_d = \beta G_{d-1}$ . We refer to the  $(d-1)$  dimensional manifold we obtain after dividing out by the  $U(1)$  isometry as  $\Sigma$  with  $(d-2)$  dimensional boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ . Even if the original  $d$  dimensional manifold has no boundary, the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional boundary obtained by dividing out by the circle action will have boundaries at the fixed points of the circle action; the total boundary consists of the set of boundaries around each fixed point set plus the dimensional reduction of the original boundary.

If the isometry generated by the Killing vector has fixed point sets, then the metric  $g_{ij}$  will be singular at these points. Denote by  $\mu_\tau : M \rightarrow M$  the action of the group where  $\tau$  is the group parameter. At a fixed point, the action of  $\mu_\tau$  on the manifold  $M$  gives rise to an isometry  $\mu_\tau^* : T_p(M) \rightarrow T_p(M)$  where  $\mu_\tau^*$  is generated by the antisymmetric tensor  $k_{M;N}$ . Vectors in the kernel  $V$  of  $k_{M;N}$  leave directions in the tangent space at a fixed point invariant under the action of the symmetry. The image of the invariant subspace of  $T_p(M)$

under the exponential map will not be moved by  $\mu_\tau$ , and so will constitute a submanifold of fixed points of dimension  $p$  where  $p$  is the dimension of the kernel of  $V$ . Since the rank of an antisymmetric matrix must be even, the dimension of the invariant subspace may take the values  $0, 2, \dots, d$  for  $d$  even, and  $0, \dots, (d-1)$  for  $d$  odd. If the fixed point set is decomposed into connected components, each connected component is a closed totally geodesic submanifold of even codimension [10].

We briefly mention here examples of complete non-singular Einstein manifolds which are of interest physically. In [1] we considered various homogenous compact Einstein manifolds of positive curvature. The only non-compact Einstein manifolds that we will consider here are those which are Ricci-flat; solutions of negative curvature were recently discussed in [11]. The simplest examples of non-compact complete metrics admitting at least a one parameter isometry group are the generalised Kerr-Newman solutions, constructed in [12], characterised by the mass and  $[(d-1)/2]$  rotation parameters (where  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ ). The general Euclidean solution has an isometry group  $U(1) \times SO(2)^{[(\frac{d-1}{2})]}$ , which is enhanced to  $U(1) \times SO(d-1)$  in the Schwarzschild limit. Although the Lorentzian interpretation of these solutions is usually taken to be rotating black holes in general dimensions, an interpretation in terms of nucleation of magnetic  $p$ -branes has also been discussed recently [4].

Asymptotically flat solutions are the most physically relevant solutions, but more general non-compact vacuum solutions are known. In four dimensions examples include the Taub-Nut and Taub-Bolt solutions, and we will consider higher dimensional generalisations in §IV and §V. In later sections of the paper, we will also consider solutions of Einstein gravity coupled to scalar and gauge fields. Once again we will mostly be interested in solutions of the black hole or generalised Taub-Nut types.

In our previous paper we rewrote the action in terms of the lower dimensional fields and an effective potential which we defined. For compact manifolds without boundary we were then able to obtain an expression for the action entirely in terms of the properties of the fixed point sets. That is, we expressed the volume term in the action as

$$S_E = - \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} - \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_\Sigma F \wedge \bar{G}, \quad (5)$$

where  $V_a$  is the  $(d-2)$  dimensional volume of the  $a$ th fixed point set, and  $\bar{G}$  is a  $(d-3)$  form which is related to the dual  $G$  of Kaluza-Klein gauge field  $F = dA$  in the  $(d-1)$  dimensional metric, as  $G = f\bar{G}$  where

$$f = \exp\left(\frac{4\phi\sqrt{d-2}}{(d-3)}\right). \quad (6)$$

For a generic manifold  $M$  the form of the cohomology contributions can be quite complex but one obtains simplifications when  $M$  is a non trivial or trivial radial extension of a  $U(1)$  bundle over a base manifold. The latter class of manifolds includes complex projective spaces and solutions related to cosmological black hole pair creation.

Now for compact manifolds the entropy is minus the action [2], and thus (5) gives the decomposition of the entropy in terms of the boundary volume and cohomology of the orbit space. In the context of the no boundary proposal, we can use this decomposition to define

the entropy, and hence the probability of a process occurring, in terms of the isometries of the original Euclidean manifold.

We extended these ideas to compact solutions of theories involving not only the graviton, but also other fields. We considered a generic action of the form

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} [R - e^{-b\Phi} m - (\partial\Phi)^2 - e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^2], \quad (7)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the dilaton, and  $\mathcal{H}_{p+1}$  is a  $(p+1)$  form. Depending on the values of  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $p$ , this gives the appropriate action for Einstein-Maxwell theories coupled to a dilaton, and for particular limits of supergravity theories. Upon dimensional reduction, we obtain a  $(d-1)$  dimensional  $(p+1)$  form  $\mathcal{H}_m$  and a  $(d-1)$  dimensional  $p$  form  $\mathcal{H}_e$ . We call the former the “magnetic” part of the field, and the latter the “electric” part of the field. The reason for this terminology is that if one analytically continues the solution, and interprets the Killing direction as the imaginary time, the resulting gauge fields are electric and magnetic.

In the context of the no boundary proposal, the  $(d-1)$  dimensional gauge field arising from the metric must vanish if a Lorentzian evolution is to exist, since otherwise the Lorentzian and Euclidean metrics could not both be real. This then implies that the imaginary time Killing vector has only  $(d-2)$  dimensional fixed point sets, which we interpret as horizons in the Lorentzian continuation.

For pure magnetic fields, we found that the action could be decomposed in terms of only the fixed point sets of the imaginary time Killing vector, but that for pure electric fields there was an additional volume term

$$S_E = -\sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^2. \quad (8)$$

That is, the action for the solution depends not only on the fixed point sets, but also on a volume integral of the gauge field. In the cosmological context, one adds another term on the initial value hypersurface which exactly cancels this volume integral; the interpretation is that one includes only solutions of the same charge in the thermodynamical ensemble.

We will now consider approaches to decomposing the action and entropy of non compact solutions of both Einstein gravity and gravity coupled to other fields in terms of the action of an appropriate isometry.

### III. NON-COMPACT SOLUTIONS

Since many interesting Einstein manifolds are non-compact, such as Euclidean black hole and monopole solutions, it would be useful if there existed a similar decomposition of the action in terms of the orbit space characteristics for non-compact solutions. One would not however expect the action to be expressed solely in terms of these properties of this orbit space, since this would imply that the action vanishes when the circle action is trivial which is not necessarily the case for  $d > 4$ . For the compact case we have excluded the possibility of flat circle factors, and thence the action can be decomposed solely in terms of the orbit space.

One can in fact obtain a decomposition of the Euclidean action, at least in part, using the action of an isometry. As usual we consider a  $d$  dimensional manifold  $M$  with a boundary  $\partial M$  which admits at least a circle isometry group. Dimensional reduction along closed orbits of the Killing vector then gives a  $(d - 1)$  dimensional manifold  $\Sigma$  whose boundary can be decomposed into the boundaries of the fixed point sets  $\partial\Sigma_f$  and the dimensional reduction of the original boundary at infinity  $\partial\Sigma_\infty$ . Now the total volume term in the action is

$$S_E^{vol} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} R; \quad (9)$$

$$= -\frac{\beta}{8\pi G_d} \int_\Sigma H_D = 0 \quad (10)$$

where we use the decomposition of the volume term given in [1]. The  $(d - 1)$  form is defined by

$$H_D = \frac{2}{\sqrt{d-2}} d(*d\phi) + \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \bar{G}. \quad (11)$$

Let us firstly assume that the  $(d - 3)$  cohomology of  $\Sigma$  is trivial; then  $\bar{G}$  is globally exact, and we can convert the volume integral into an integral over the boundaries of  $\Sigma$

$$S_E^{vol} = -\frac{\beta}{8\pi G_d} \left\{ \int_{\partial\Sigma_f} J_D + \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} J_D \right\} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where the dilation current is defined by  $H_D = dJ_D$ . We have imposed the condition that the solution satisfies the field equations and so the fixed point set and boundary terms are equal and opposite. It is convenient to define the directions of the normals so that

$$S_E^{vol} = \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \sum_a \int_{M_a^{d-2}} F \wedge \Psi - \frac{\beta}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} J_D^i d\sigma_i. \quad (13)$$

That is, we here define normal vectors pointing out of the manifold to be positive, and those pointing into the manifold to be negative. Then the total action can be written as

$$S_E = \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \sum_a \int_{M_a^{d-2}} F \wedge \Psi + S_{boundary}, \quad (14)$$

where the new boundary term is given by

$$S_{boundary} = -\frac{\beta}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} J_D^i d\sigma_i - \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\partial M} d^{d-1} x \sqrt{b} (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_0), \quad (15)$$

and we have added in the surface geometry term. Let us now consider the question of the background. Since the total volume term vanishes, we usually match the solutions on the boundary at infinity, and subtract the background boundary term from that of the solution in which we are interested. Here however when we decompose the volume term we should do the same for the background; that is, we should express the volume term for the background in terms of the fixed point sets in the background and an integral of the background dilation current over the boundary.

For most physical solutions, such as black holes, one takes the background to be flat space, for which the dilation current, and hence the volume term decomposition, is trivial. For more general solutions, such as those of the Taub-Nut type, the background will not be flat, and we may need to subtract fixed point set contributions from the background.

One might be concerned that in many solutions the dilaton field approaches a constant value at infinity, and that a constraint should be imposed to ensure that this is so. However, it is the choice of background and the matching of the geometries on the boundary that will impose this constraint.

In the general case, when the periods of  $\bar{G}$  are non-zero, one cannot express the action simply in terms of boundary contributions. Splitting the  $(d-1)$  form into dilaton and gauge field parts, we can however express the action as

$$S_E^{vol} = \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\Sigma} F \wedge \bar{G} - \frac{\beta}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma_{\infty}} (\partial^i \phi) d\sigma_i, \quad (16)$$

where we must subtract the appropriate background quantities. We must choose the background such that the  $d$  dimensional metrics match to appropriate order on the boundary at infinity. This implies that the  $(d-1)$  forms  $F \wedge \bar{G}$  must match between solution and background to sufficiently high order at infinity that one can regard the contribution from this term in the integral as arising from inside the manifolds.

In general, the boundary term is not zero and the action cannot be expressed solely in terms of the volume term. One can see immediately that this must be so by considering two simple examples. Firstly, as we said above, one can take any Ricci-flat Euclidean solution cross a flat circle direction and reduce along the circle; the action in general does not vanish, but the action of the isometry is trivial. Secondly, one could take the  $d$  dimensional Schwarzschild solution; the imaginary time Killing vector has a single  $(d-2)$  dimensional fixed point set at the horizon, but the action is not one quarter of the volume of the event horizon except in four dimensions. At a physical level, one would expect the entropy, and not the action, to be related to fixed point sets of an appropriate Killing vector.

In special cases, such as the four dimensional Schwarzschild solution with the Killing vector being the imaginary time direction, the sum over fixed point sets will be equal to the surface geometry term and we can express the action in terms of only the fixed point sets. We can make further progress in decomposing the action in terms of the properties of the orbit space, but before we do so we will consider a class of solutions which are not asymptotically flat, the higher dimensional generalisations of the four dimensional Taub-Nut and Taub-Bolt solutions.

#### IV. BAIS-BATENBURG SOLUTIONS

A class of instanton solutions was constructed in [7] by radially extending circle bundles over homogeneous Kähler manifolds. In the case of non-trivial bundles, the solutions are only regular at the origin if the Kähler manifold  $M_K$  is a complex projective space, the simplest example being four dimensional Taub-Nut. The trivial bundles give rise to regular Euclidean black hole solutions with the topology of  $R^2 \times M_K$ . More general examples of

inhomogeneous metrics on complex line bundles were constructed in [13], with the higher dimensional Taub-Nut solutions being particular examples.

Here we discuss the generalisation of the four-dimensional Taub-Bolt solution, and its relation to the Bais-Batenburg monopole solution. We also consider the relationship between the latter and solutions obtained by taking integral powers of the Hopf bundle over complex projective spaces.

The form of the metric for a solution of real dimension  $(2n + 2)$  is

$$ds^2 = A(r)^2 dr^2 + B(r)^2 (d\tau + A)^2 + C(r)^2 ds_{2n}^2, \quad (17)$$

where if  $g_{ij}$  denotes the metric on the base manifold, the Ricci curvature is taken to be  $R_{ij} = \lambda g_{ij} = 2g_{ij}$ . The functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C(r)^2 &= 2(r^2 - q^2); & A(r)B(r) &= 2q; \\ B(r)^2 &= \frac{4q^2 r}{(r^2 - q^2)^n} \left[ \int_q^r \frac{(s^2 - q^2)^n}{s^2} ds - \alpha \right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

with  $\alpha$  an integration constant and the range of the radial coordinate limited to  $r$  greater than  $q$ . Note that our conventions differ slightly from those in [7]; the reasons for our choices will become clear later. Now it was stated in [7] that no regular solutions exist unless this integration constant is set to zero, since if one looks at the behaviour of the proper length of the circle direction it blows up as  $r \rightarrow q$ , implying that the curvature diverges here.

However, provided one takes the integration constant to be positive, then there will exist an  $r_0 > q$  at which the proper length of the circle direction degenerates to zero. That is, there exists a solution  $r_0 > q$  to

$$\int_q^{r_0} \frac{(s^2 - q^2)^n}{s^2} ds - \alpha = 0, \quad (19)$$

and we can define the radial coordinate to extend from  $r_0$  to  $\infty$ . The bundle structure breaks down at  $r_0$  in that the radius of the circle goes to zero, and seals off the boundary; it is this which ensures that the manifold is regular and geodesically complete.

This follows if we express the integral as

$$\int_q^r \frac{(s^2 - q^2)^n}{s^2} ds = \frac{1}{r} p_{2n}(r), \quad (20)$$

where  $p_{2n}(r)$  denotes a polynomial of order  $2n$ , of which the only properties we need to know are that

$$p_{2n}(q) = 0, \quad p_{2n}(r > q) > 0. \quad (21)$$

Thus, for any positive  $\alpha$ , there must exist at least one solution of  $p_{2n}(r_0) = \alpha r_0$ , with  $r_0 > q$ . Since  $p_{2n}(r)$  is also a monotonically increasing function for  $r > q$ , there exists precisely one solution  $r_0$  for each value of  $\alpha$ .

If we take  $\alpha$  to be zero, the Killing vector  $\partial_\tau$  will have a nut point singularity at the origin of the coordinate system  $r = q$ . If however  $\alpha$  is not zero, then there will be a bolt

of dimension  $(d - 2)$  at the corresponding origin of the coordinate system  $r = r_0$ . Hence, it is easy to see that the latter solutions are likely to be the higher dimensional analogues of the Taub-Bolt solution in four dimensions. One can extend the analysis of [7] to show that the solutions of general  $\alpha$  are regular at the origin of the coordinate system only if the base manifold is a complex projective space.

However, non-singular solutions cannot be defined for all positive  $\alpha$ ; only for a subset of parameters will conical singularities at the origin be eliminated. The periodicity of the circle direction is determined by looking at the behaviour of the metric in the vicinity of the fixed point set. For the nut solution, in the vicinity of the fixed point, we can bring the metric into the form

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{(n+1)^2} d\tau^2, \quad (22)$$

where we consider a two-dimensional subspace obtained by fixing the coordinates on the projective space. Evidently regularity at the nut then requires

$$\beta = 2\pi(n+1). \quad (23)$$

Now in [13] the periodicity of a regular solution of this type was found to be

$$\beta = \frac{4\pi p}{k\lambda}, \quad (24)$$

where  $p$  is an integer such that the Chern class of the tangent bundle evaluated on  $H_2(M_K, Z)$  is  $Z \cdot p$ ,  $k$  is an arbitrary integer and  $\lambda$  is the curvature of the base manifold, as defined previously. For a complex projective space,  $p = (n+1)$  and so our answer is in agreement with this general formula with  $k = 1$ . The nut manifold is topologically  $R^{2n+2}$  with the length of the Hopf circles asymptotically tending to a constant, whilst the base expands.

For the bolt solutions, the metric in the vicinity of the fixed point set  $r = r_0$  takes the form

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \left(\frac{q}{r_0}\right)^2 d\tau^2, \quad (25)$$

where we again consider a two-dimensional subspace. If we suppose that the periodicity of the circle direction is

$$\beta = \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{k}, \quad (26)$$

then conical singularities at the bolt are eliminated provided that  $r_0 = q(n+1)/k$ . In addition, for the solution to be regular we require that the proper length of the circle direction degenerates to zero for some  $r_0 > q$  and so it follows that solutions exist for  $k < (n+1)$ . In fact, there also exists a non-singular solution in the limit that  $k = (n+1)$ , as we might expect.

The solution for which  $k = 1$  is in some sense singled out, because the periodicity of the circle direction is identical to that in the nut solution. In physical terms this will mean

that the Dirac string type behaviour associated with the bolt is identical to that in the nut solution; the manifold is again the first power of the Hopf bundle over the base manifold. In the limit that  $n = 1$  we return to the well-known Taub-Bolt solution first constructed by Page [6]. Note that neither the generalised Taub-Nut nor the generalised Taub-Bolt solution are asymptotically locally flat unless  $n = 1$ ; we shall return to this point later. For more general  $k$ , we obtain solutions which are the  $k$ th power of the Hopf bundle over the fixed point set.

If  $k = 0$  the solution degenerates to a trivial bundle over the base manifold which is equivalent to a generalised Euclidean black hole solution. We can show this by taking the limit  $k, q \rightarrow 0$ , with  $r_0$  finite. After a little manipulation we find

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^{2n-1}\right)d\tau^2 + \frac{dR^2}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^{2n-1}\right)} + R^2 d\bar{s}_{2n}^2, \quad (27)$$

where we have rescaled the metric on the base manifold so that  $R_{ij} = (2n - 1)g_{ij}$  and the radius at infinity is one. Note that although in the non-degenerate solution we required the base manifold to be a complex projective space for regularity we can drop this condition for the degenerate solutions, since we can certainly take it to be a sphere. In fact one can show that any compact Einstein base manifold will give a regular solution [7].

As stated above, there is also an appropriate limit in which we can obtain a regular metric from the  $k = (n + 1)$  solution; this was shown for  $n = 1$  in [6]. Firstly, we choose

$$(r_0^2 - q^2) = a^2, \quad (28)$$

where  $a^2$  is an arbitrary positive constant. Now regularity of the solution requires that  $2\pi r_0/q = 2\pi$ , and thus we must take both  $r_0$  and  $q$  to infinity. One then defines

$$R^2 = (2n + 2)(r^2 - q^2), \quad (29)$$

which implies that as we take the limit that  $q \rightarrow \infty$  the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{4R^2}{(2n + 2)^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^{2n+2}\right)(d\tau + A)^2 + \frac{dR^2}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^{2n+2}\right)} + \frac{R^2}{2(n + 1)} ds^2. \quad (30)$$

where the periodicity of the circle direction is  $2\pi$ . Now for  $n = 1$  this metric is easily recognisable as the Eguchi-Hanson metric. In fact for  $n > 1$  the metric coincides with that given by Calabi [14] and others [15]. In addition, for this solution, the integers  $k$  and  $p$  which we defined previously are the same which is precisely the condition required for the solution to be Kähler and to take this simple form [13]. Note that this solution is asymptotically locally Euclidean for all  $n$ .

Going back to the  $k = 1$  solution, the generalised Taub-Bolt solution, the behaviour of the metric close to the bolt is the same as the behaviour close to the  $(d - 2)$  dimensional bolt in  $CP^{n+1}$ . One can express the metric for the latter as [1]

$$ds^2 = 2(n + 1)\Lambda^{-1} \{d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta (d\tau - A)^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\bar{s}_{2(n-1)}^2\}, \quad (31)$$

with endpoints at  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta = \pi/2$ . We choose the normalisation of the metric on  $CP^{n-1}$  such that  $R_{ij} = 2ng_{ij}$ , and  $dA$  can be chosen as the Kähler form on  $CP^{n-1}$ . Then the resulting metric is isometric to the standard Fubini Study metric on  $CP^n$ . In particular, the Killing vector  $\partial_\tau$  has a nut at the “origin”  $\theta = 0$  and a  $CP^{n-1}$  bolt at “infinity”  $\theta = \pi/2$ .

Now in the neighbourhood of the fixed point set in the bolt solution, the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}\right)^2(d\tau + A)^2 + 2n(n+2)q^2 ds_{2n}^2, \quad (32)$$

with the scale of the metric on the base manifold being  $R_{ij} = 2g_{ij}$  whilst in the neighbourhood of the  $(d-2)$  bolt in  $CP^{n+1}$  the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = 2(n+2)\Lambda^{-1}\{d\rho^2 + \rho^2(d\tau + A)^2 + d\bar{s}_{2n}^2\}, \quad (33)$$

with the scale of the metric in the base manifold being  $R_{ij} = 2(n+1)g_{ij}$ . So after rescaling the metric on the base manifold we find that the circle directions have the same periodicity.

However, the isometry in  $CP^{n+1}$  also has a nut fixed point at  $\theta = 0$ , and so the topology of the Taub-Bolt is that of  $(CP^{n+1} - \{\text{point}\})$  which we as usual denote as  $(CP^{n+1} - \{0\})$ . Thus the manifold has an Euler number of  $\chi = n+1$ . We would of course expect this behaviour as an extension of the well-known behaviour for  $n = 1$  [16]. The solutions for general  $k$  have topology  $(CP^{n+1} - \{0\})/Z_k$  and again have Euler number  $\chi = n+1$ .

## V. ACTION OF GENERALISED BOLT SOLUTIONS

It is interesting to calculate the action for the generalised bolt solutions. To find the action of the generalised Taub-Bolt solution for which  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we must match it to an appropriate background; the natural choice is the  $\alpha = 0$  solution. That is, we match the solution to a background with an equivalent Dirac string type singularity, a background with equivalent magnetic behaviour.

Now the natural way to match the bolt geometry to the nut geometry on an arbitrary surface is to rescale the nut parameter in the nut solution. That is, we let  $q \rightarrow mq$  so that the form of the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = B_0^2(mq)(d\tau + A)^2 + A_0^2(mq)dr^2 + (r^2 - m^2q^2)ds_{2n}^2, \quad (34)$$

where we denote with subscripts the background quantities evaluated with  $\alpha = 0$ . The constant  $m$  is defined so that the induced metric on a surface of arbitrary large radius  $R_\infty$  matches to sufficient order, sufficient being up to terms of order  $1/R_\infty^{2n-1}$ . Terms of higher order need not match since they do not contribute to the action. The choice of  $m$  required is

$$m^2 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(2n-1)}{R_\infty^{2n-1}}\right). \quad (35)$$

It is straightforward to show that the proper lengths of the circle at infinity then match up to the requisite order by expanding the polynomials  $B_0^2(mq)$  and  $B_\alpha^2(q)$ . Retaining only terms up to the requisite order, the former is

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0^2(mq) &\simeq \frac{4q^2}{(R_\infty^2 - q^2)^n} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(2n-1)}{R_\infty^{2n-1}}\right) p_{2n}(R_\infty, q) \\
&\simeq \frac{4q^2}{(R_\infty^2 - q^2)^n} (p_{2n}(R_\infty, q) - \alpha R_\infty),
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

which is equivalent to  $B_\alpha^2(q)$ .

The bolt term in the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}\sqrt{c} &= \frac{1}{A_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (C_\alpha^{2n} B_\alpha \sqrt{g_{2n}}); \\
&\simeq 2^n q \sqrt{g_{2n}} (\gamma - \alpha),
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where in the latter expression we give only the single term which is independent of the radius at infinity and  $\sqrt{g_{2n}}$  is the volume element on the base manifold. The quantity  $\gamma$  is defined as the term independent of  $R_\infty$  in the expression

$$\left[ \left( \int_q^{R_\infty} \frac{(s^2 - q^2)^n}{s^2} ds \right) \right]. \tag{38}$$

The corresponding term in the nut background is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_0\sqrt{c} \simeq 2^n q \sqrt{g_{2n}} (\gamma), \tag{39}$$

where we again give only the constant term. The forms of the bolt solution and its background indicate that the divergent terms will cancel, and it can be verified explicitly that this is the case. Then the total action is given by the simple expression

$$S_E = \frac{q(n+1)}{4G_d} \alpha \bar{V}_{2n}, \tag{40}$$

where  $\bar{V}_{2n}$  is the volume of the base manifold, in a (rescaled) metric such that  $R_{ij} = g_{ij}$ . Evidently the restriction to positive  $\alpha$  ensures that the action is positive.

Let us show now that our general calculation does give the correct answer for the action of the four dimensional Taub-Bolt solution with respect to the Taub-Nut solution. In four dimensions, the scalar function takes the form

$$B(r)^2 = \frac{4q^2}{r^2 - q^2} [r^2 - 2qr + q^2 - \alpha r]. \tag{41}$$

Since for the Bolt solution, the fixed point lies at  $r_0 = 2q$ , we find  $\alpha = q/2$ . This is in agreement with the form of the Taub-Bolt metric given in [6] and [2]. We can then calculate the action as

$$S_E = \frac{\pi q^2}{G_4}, \tag{42}$$

which is in agreement with the calculation of [17]. It is interesting to note that this is a solution for which the action *can* be expressed solely in terms of the fixed point sets. Evaluating the potentials  $\Psi$  explicitly, it is easy to show that one can choose them to vanish

on the boundary; then the only remaining parts of the dilation current are the dilaton terms. Using the expression for this part of the current (11), one can then show that the net boundary contribution is zero and the action is given by the sum over the fixed point sets.

Since the solutions are Ricci-flat, they have an interpretation as generalised monopoles when we add a flat time direction and consider a Kaluza-Klein type reduction along the circle isometry. The  $n = 1$  monopoles are of course well-known [18] and [19]. One might think that the action would in some sense determine the probability of nucleation of a generalised “bolt” monopole within a background “nut” monopole. There are however several objections to this interpretation. Firstly, as was pointed out in [4], one can argue that these objects should not exist in isolation, since the monopoles cannot be regarded as circle bundles over flat space asymptotically, except for the Taub-Nut and Taub-Bolt solutions.

Secondly, to determine whether generalised Taub-Bolt can decay into generalised Taub-Nut we turn to cobordism theory. That is, following the methods of [20] we glue together these manifolds at infinity and ask whether there exists a cobordism which preserves the Pontryagin and Stiefel-Whitney numbers. If it does not, we conclude that even though the solutions have the same behaviour at infinity, generalised Taub-Bolt cannot decay into generalised Taub-Nut.

Now for  $n$  odd we know that the bolt solution does not admit a spin structure, since  $CP^{n+1}$  only admits a spin structure when  $n$  is even (see for example [21]). So the cobordism is necessarily excluded by the non-preservation of the second Stiefel-Whitney number. For  $n$  even one can show that the Pontryagin numbers are not conserved and hence the decay is still excluded. So, although the generalised bolt monopole has a well-defined higher mass than the Bais-Batenburg monopole, it cannot decay into the latter.

Of course the cobordism arguments do not exclude the possibility that the bolt solutions decay into nut solutions plus a solution with zero magnetic behaviour and compensating Stiefel-Whitney and Pontryagin numbers. If one assumes that neither type of monopole exists in isolation one cannot exclude the possibility of pair creation of the bolt type monopoles, such that the net Dirac string type singularity vanishes. Pair creation of the Bais-Batenburg monopoles is certainly known [4].

We mention here that the appropriate background for the generalised Eguchi-Hanson metric is any other such metric with parameter  $a'$ ; such a background gives the required magnetic behaviour, and the parameter is arbitrary. The action of the solution with respect to the background vanishes; we would expect this, since if we scale the metric by a factor  $b$ , the action scales by some (dimension dependent) positive power of  $b$ . For the solutions such that  $1 < k < (n + 1)$ , there appears to be no appropriate background with respect to which we can evaluate the action.

## VI. LORENTZIAN CONTINUATION OF BAIS-BATENBURG SOLUTIONS

We have so far considered the Euclidean section of the Bais-Batenburg solutions. The usual Lorentzian interpretation is to take the product with a flat time direction, but we can also continue the four dimensional Euclidean solutions to obtain four dimensional Lorentzian Taub-Nut solutions. This analytic continuation is also possible for the higher dimensional

solutions. Starting with the Euclidean metric, to obtain the Lorentzian solution, one should let the “nut” and “mass” parameters become imaginary. Let us firstly analytically continue  $q \rightarrow iq$ . Then

$$B(r)^2 \rightarrow -\frac{4q^2 r}{(r^2 + q^2)^n} \left\{ \int^r \frac{(s^2 + q^2)^n}{s^2} ds - \int^{iq} \frac{(s^2 + q^2)^n}{s^2} ds - \bar{\alpha} \right\}, \quad (43)$$

where the first integral in the brackets is pure real. The latter two terms are pure imaginary, since in the Euclidean solution they depend on the nut parameter as  $q^{2n-1}$ . Since these terms vary with the radius as  $r^{1-2n}$ , they determine the mass, which we must also analytically continue to obtain a real Lorentzian evolution. The resulting solution is

$$ds^2 = B_l(r)^2 (d\tau + A)^2 + A_l(r)^2 dr^2 + (r^2 + q^2) d\bar{s}_{2n}^2, \quad (44)$$

where  $A_l^2 B_l^2 = -4q^2$  and

$$B_l^2 = -\frac{4q^2 r}{(r^2 + q^2)^n} \left\{ \int^r \frac{(s^2 + q^2)^n}{s^2} ds - \int^q \frac{(s^2 - q^2)^n}{s^2} ds - \alpha \right\}. \quad (45)$$

The periodicity of the time coordinate is still fixed at  $\beta = 2\pi(n+1)$  to ensure that the Dirac string singularity is removable. In the Euclidean solution, regularity at the origin, the fixed point, required that  $\alpha$  could only take two values, zero and one other fixed non-zero value. We can however obtain a regular Lorentzian solution with any value of  $\alpha$ .

The polynomial  $B_l^2$  has zeroes at two values of  $r$ , which correspond to horizons. We can demonstrate this as follows. The term in brackets in (45) can be expressed in the form

$$\frac{1}{r} (\delta(r) - aq^{2n-1}r - bq^{2n}) \quad (46)$$

where  $a, b$  are (positive definite) constants which are determined, and  $\delta(r)$  is an even polynomial of order  $2n$  having positive coefficients. Then for all  $q$  and  $\alpha$  (46) will have two roots, one at positive  $r$  and the other at negative  $r$ ; these define the zeroes of the polynomial.

The roots cannot coincide unless  $q = 0$  and  $aq^{2n-1}$  is finite, which corresponds to the limiting case of a black hole solution for which the bundle over the base manifold is trivial. It is straightforward to demonstrate that one can find suitable coordinates such that the metric is non-singular at these points, and that they are null horizons. In analogy to the four dimensional solution, one would expect that the interior region  $r_- < r < r_+$  has an interpretation as a cosmological solution for a universe with the spatial topology of a  $U(1)$  bundle over  $CP^n$ ; this is indeed so.

As in the four dimensional solutions (discussed in [22]), the region  $r_- < r < r_+$  has no closed timelike curves, but there are for  $r < r_-$  and for  $r > r_+$ . One family of null geodesics crosses both horizons  $r = r_-$  and  $r = r_+$ , but the other family spirals round near these surfaces and is incomplete. The surfaces which are the surfaces of transitivity of the isometry group are spacelike surfaces in the region  $r_- < r < r_+$  and are timelike for  $r > r_+$  and  $r < r_-$ . The two surfaces of transitivity  $r = r_-$  and  $r = r_+$  are null surfaces and they form Cauchy horizons of any spacelike surface contained in the region  $r_- < r < r_+$ , because there are timelike curves in the regions  $r < r_-$  and  $r > r_+$  which do not cross  $r = r_-$  and

$r = r_+$  respectively. The region of spacetime  $r_- \leq r \leq r_+$  is compact yet there are timelike and null geodesics which remain within it and are incomplete.

Note that when we analytically continue the nut solutions, we find that the former fixed point sets are now contained within the null surfaces and are non singular points within the spacetime. This is in contrast to black hole solutions for which the fixed points in the Euclidean solution correspond directly to horizons within the Lorentzian solution. One could regard the event horizon as the blowing up of the fixed point surface in the Euclidean solution; we will then interpret the entropy of the spacetime as being contained within this surface.

## VII. THERMODYNAMICS OF NON-COMPACT SOLUTIONS

For compact solutions, we have considered only fixed point sets which are in some sense at the boundaries of the  $d$  dimensional manifold. That is, the fixed point sets arise as non singular origins of coordinate systems which we use to cover the manifold. We might ask whether it is possible to have fixed point sets of an isometry which are not origins of coordinate systems. There are two objections to this possibility for compact manifolds. Firstly, such fixed point sets are likely to be associated with physical singularities, rather than removable coordinate singularities. Secondly, one would not expect a submanifold through which one can pass freely back and forth to a different part of the manifold to be associated with entropy.

For non compact manifolds, the implications are slightly more subtle. It is possible for a fixed point set to be non compact; the obvious example is an acceleration horizon. Such a fixed point set need not be an origin of a coordinate system as such, but is still associated with entropy and a temperature. Although we have not discussed non compact fixed point sets specifically, the analysis given here still applies. For example, although the volume of the acceleration horizon may be infinite, a suitable background will have a corresponding horizon which is also infinite in extent, and the finite difference between the volumes is the quantity which will be physically significant.

If however one has a compact fixed point set embedded in a non compact manifold, such a fixed point set can only be directly associated with entropy if it is a boundary of the non-compact manifold. If one can pass freely back and forth across the fixed point set into a different part of the manifold, there can be no entropy associated with this fixed point set. One could consider a Euclidean Kerr solution, with conventional Lorentzian interpretation as a rotating black hole; the fixed point set of the imaginary time Killing vector determines neither the entropy nor the temperature. The physically significant Killing vector determining these quantities is the isometry which has a fixed point set at the inner boundary of the Euclidean manifold.

In §III we gave a decomposition of the Euclidean action if a non compact solution partially in terms of the action of the isometry. This decomposition is valid whatever the choice of Killing vector when the symmetry group is more than one dimensional. However a particular choice of Killing vector will be usually be singled out; for the Kerr solution, it is the Killing vector that has a zero on the inner boundary. One expects this fixed point set to be associated with entropy, and it is hence useful to decompose the action in terms of this isometry.

Suppose we then consider the Lorentzian continuation of such a solution. The periodicity of the isometry that has a zero on the inner boundary in general determines the temperature of the Lorentzian solution, provided that the choice of Lorentzian continuation is such that the inner boundary is null. This will usually require that the generator of the horizon contains the time Killing vector. Note that we assume that the spacetime admits a Killing vector which is timelike at infinity; without such a Killing vector one cannot have a (precise) definition of energy. If on the other hand we consider a continuation such that the inner boundary remains spacelike, but a non-compact fixed point set becomes null, the periodicity of the isometry generating this fixed point set will determine the temperature.

Again a good example is a Euclidean Kerr solution. One usually analytically continues the solution to obtain a Lorentzian rotating black hole. Under such a continuation, the inner boundary to the Euclidean solution becomes a null horizon, and the periodicity of the isometry which leaves this surface fixed determines the temperature of the black hole. One can however find another analytic continuation; the resulting Lorentzian solution has an interpretation as pair creation of monopoles within a magnetic background [4]. In this continuation the fixed point set which becomes null is an acceleration horizon whose temperature is again determined by the periodicity of the isometry on the Euclidean section.

Once we have chosen the analytic continuation we can decompose the action in terms of the isometry which admits null fixed point sets in the Lorentzian evolution. The periodicity of this isometry then defines the temperature as  $\beta = 1/T$ . In §III we gave one decomposition of the action in terms of the fixed point sets of the isometries. The more natural decomposition is perhaps to reverse the choice of normal directions so that

$$S_E^{vol} = - \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} - \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\Sigma} F \wedge \bar{G} - \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma_{\infty}} (\partial\phi^i) d\sigma_i. \quad (47)$$

One might then expect that the surface terms at infinity are related to the energy and rotation of the solution, and that the total action can be written as

$$S_E = \beta E - \beta \sum_i \omega_i J_i - \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} - \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\Sigma} F \wedge \bar{G}, \quad (48)$$

where  $E$  is the energy,  $J_i$  are independent conserved angular momenta and  $\omega_i$  are angular velocities. The conserved quantities must be defined with respect to suitable backgrounds, and we must also subtract background quantities from the sum over fixed point sets, and integral over the gauge field. The justification for such an expression arises from introducing a grand canonical ensemble, and interpreting the action as a thermodynamic potential. The entropy would then be given by

$$S = \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\Sigma} F \wedge \bar{G}. \quad (49)$$

When  $\bar{G}$  has trivial periods, we can interpret the entropy solely in terms of the fixed point sets as

$$S = \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \sum_a \int_{M_a^{d-2}} F \wedge \Psi. \quad (50)$$

This expression is of course well known for  $(d - 2)$  dimensional fixed point sets, and is the same as for compact solutions [1], except that we cannot consider just any fixed point sets, but rather only those that can be surrounded by a (compact) null  $(d - 2)$  dimensional hypersurface or those that are a non-compact null  $(d - 2)$  dimensional hypersurface.

One might question whether constraint terms relating to nut charge, or more generally to non-trivial cohomology of  $\Sigma$ , should be included in the path integral. However, like magnetic charge, nut charge is fixed by the boundary conditions; the path integral runs over metrics with given boundary conditions but the same nut behaviour. On the other hand, they can have any mass, and so the sum is weighted by a factor  $\exp(-\beta H)$ .

It is not obvious how one could verify that the surface terms give the entropy and angular momentum in general without considering specific types of solutions. Since for a generic solution and background, the definition of the energy is highly non-trivial [24], one would not expect it to be trivial to relate the surface integral to the energy. We can however demonstrate that this expression holds for the generalised Bais-Batenburg solutions discussed in §IV, for which the angular momentum vanishes. We could of course also consider the rotating generalisations of these solutions; the  $n = 1$  solutions were constructed in [23]. Consistency with the known expressions for static and rotating black holes in general dimensions, as we take the nut parameter to zero and rotate the solution, would then imply the general result.

One would expect to be able to define the mass of the bolt solution with respect to that of the nut solution on a surface of constant time by looking at the behaviour of the  $r^{1-2n}$  term in  $B_l(r)^2$ , where we rescale  $B_l(r)^2$  so that the circle at infinity has unit radius. The normalisation of the mass is fixed from that of the action; for a Schwarzschild solution with the  $\hat{g}_{tt}$  term in the metric being  $\mu r^{1-2n}$  the mass with respect to a background of  $\mu = 0$  is

$$M = \frac{2nV_{2n}}{16\pi G_d}\mu, \quad (51)$$

where  $V_{2n}$  is the volume of the base manifold, usually taken to be a sphere.

Although one might be concerned about the validity of defining the mass for a solution with such Dirac strings in this way, one can verify that this approach in fact gives the same answer that one gets by taking into account the non trivial fibration of the time coordinate. That is, we find that the mass of the generalised bolt solution with respect to the generalised nut solution is

$$M = \frac{2n\alpha}{16\pi G_d}\bar{V}_{2n}, \quad (52)$$

where  $\bar{V}_{2n}$  is the volume of the base manifold in the rescaled frame as defined in §V. Since the periodicity of the circle coordinate is given by  $\beta = 4\pi q(n + 1)$  when we choose the radius of the circle at infinity to be one, there is a relationship between the action and the mass

$$S_E = \frac{\beta M}{2n}. \quad (53)$$

We would indeed expect this. The action  $S_E$  is given by  $k\beta^{2n}$ , with  $k$  a constant, since dimensional analysis requires that  $\alpha$  is proportional to  $\beta^{2n-1}$ . Then, introducing a canonical ensemble, the mass is given by

$$M = \frac{\partial S_E}{\partial \beta} = 2nk\beta^{2n-1}, \quad (54)$$

which implies the relation (53).

We will now demonstrate the relationship between the integral of the dilation current over the boundary at infinity and the mass. The integral of the  $(F \wedge \Psi)$  term in the dilation current over the boundary at infinity does not contribute; as one might expect, the integral taken over a surface  $r = R$  in the solution with respect to an appropriate background falls off as  $1/R^p$ , where  $p$  is positive, but the proof is slightly subtle. The effective  $(d-1)$  dimensional Einstein frame metric is

$$ds_{d-1}^2 = B(r)^{\frac{2}{d-3}} \{A(r)^2 dr^2 + (r^2 - q^2) d\bar{s}_{2n}^2\}, \quad (55)$$

with the  $(d-1)$  dimensional two form being  $F = dA$ . The  $(d-3)$  form is defined by

$$G = 2qB^{-\frac{2}{d-3}}(r^2 - q^2)^{n-2}(*F), \quad (56)$$

where we take the dual in the metric on the base manifold. Using the defining relation for the potential,

$$d\Psi \propto \left\{ \frac{(p_{2n}(r) - \alpha r)}{(r^2 - q^2)^2} \right\} (*F), \quad (57)$$

where we omit constant factors for simplicity. Note that we can omit the scaling factor  $m^2$  for the background since it will not contribute to terms of sufficiently high order to be of interest here. To evaluate the integral on a surface of constant  $r$ , we require only the  $\Psi_{i_1 \dots i_{2n}}$  components of  $\Psi$ , where  $x_{i_j}$  are coordinates on the base manifold. These are found from

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{i_1 \dots i_{2n}} &\propto \left\{ \int \frac{(p_{2n}(r) - \alpha r)}{(r^2 - q^2)^2} dr \right\} (*F)_{i_1 \dots i_{2n}}; \\ &\propto \left\{ a_{2n-3} r^{2n-3} + a_{2n-5} r^{2n-5} + \dots - \frac{\alpha}{2r^2} + \dots \right\} (*F)_{i_1 \dots i_{2n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where  $a_i$  is the coefficient of the term in  $r^i$ . Note that since the polynomial  $p_{2n}(r)$  is proportional to  $(r-q)^{n+1}$ , the integrand is indeed finite at  $r = q$  in the background. In both the solution and the background the potential diverges at infinity unless  $n = 1$ ; however, the leading order contribution to the integral is

$$\int_{r=R} F \wedge \Psi_\alpha - \int_{r=R} F \wedge \Psi_0 \propto \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2R^2} \right) \int_{M_K} F \wedge (*F), \quad (59)$$

which vanishes in the limit that  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Even though  $F$  and  $*F$ , as the unique two and  $2(n-1)$  forms on the base manifold respectively, have Dirac string type singularities, the integral is well defined, and is proportional to the volume of the base manifold. Note that we have assumed that the integration constant in (58) matches between solution and background.

Thus in integrating the dilation current over the boundary at infinity we are left with the term

$$S_E^{dil} = -\frac{\beta}{4\pi G_d \sqrt{d-2}} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} (n \cdot \partial\phi) \sqrt{c}. \quad (60)$$

Using the form of the metric, we can express this as

$$\begin{aligned} S_E^{dil} &= -\frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} \sqrt{\bar{g}} \left\{ \frac{1}{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (B^2) - \frac{1}{A_0 B_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (B_0^2) \right\}_{r=R}; \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma_\infty} \sqrt{\bar{g}} R^{2n} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( -\frac{\alpha}{r^{2n-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{2n}}\right) \right) \right\}_{r=R}; \\ &= \frac{\beta\alpha}{16\pi G_d} \bar{V}_{2n} (2n-1); \\ &= \frac{\beta M}{2n} (2n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Adding the dilation current term to the surface gravity term, we find that the action can be expressed as

$$S_E = \beta M - \frac{\beta}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma_f} J_D^i d\sigma_i. \quad (62)$$

This implies that the entropy of the bolt solution with respect to the background nut solution is

$$S = \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \sum_a \int_{M_a^{d-2}} F \wedge \Psi, \quad (63)$$

where we are implicitly subtracting the background quantities. Now using the simple cohomology structure of the base manifold to evaluate the expression (63) we find that

$$S = \frac{(n(n+2)q^2)^n}{4G_d} \bar{V}_{2n} - \frac{(n+1)q^3}{G_d} \bar{V}_{2n} [\Psi_b - \Psi_n], \quad (64)$$

where  $\Psi_{b,n}$  are the potentials evaluated at the fixed point sets, such that

$$\Psi_b - \Psi_n = \left[ \int_q^{q(n+1)} \frac{p_{2n}(r)}{(r^2 - q^2)^2} dr + \frac{\alpha}{n(n+2)q^2} \right]. \quad (65)$$

It is non trivial to demonstrate that the total entropy is indeed given by (61); one needs to use the power series expansion for the polynomial.

One might wonder whether it were possible to match the nut solutions to backgrounds which have no fixed point sets but the requisite Dirac string behaviour. If one could, one could define the entropy and mass of the nut solution with respect to this background, although the background would not be a solution of the field equations. This is certainly possible for the four dimensional Taub-Nut solution; the definition of the mass of the Kaluza-Klein monopole with respect to such a background was discussed in [24]. One takes the background to be

$$ds_4^2 = (d\tau + 2q \cos\theta d\psi)^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad (66)$$

which is the asymptotic form of the Taub-Nut metric. Although this background is not flat, it is asymptotically locally flat in the sense that the Ricci tensor falls off as  $1/r^2$ , and the volume term in the action still vanishes. One can then define the mass and entropy of Taub-Nut with respect to this background as  $q/G_4$  and  $4\pi q^2/G_4$ . However for  $n > 1$  solutions the energies and actions defined with respect to such backgrounds are not finite; the divergent terms do not cancel, and such backgrounds are not appropriate.

### VIII. CHARGE DEFINITION

We will now consider the generalisation to gauge field theories. Let us take a generic action of the form

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} [R - (\partial\Phi)^2 - e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^2], \quad (67)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the  $d$  dimensional dilaton, and  $\mathcal{H}_{p+1}$  is a  $(p+1)$  form, with the constant  $a$  in general being dependent on  $d$  and  $p$ . Using the equations of motion we can express the volume term as

$$S_E = \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left[ \frac{p}{(d-2)} e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^2 \right]. \quad (68)$$

We will be interested in those solutions for which the metric is the radial extension of a bundle over a homogeneous space. If the bundle is trivial, we will obtain black hole solutions, whilst non-singular solutions with non-trivial bundle will be Israel-Wilson type metrics [8].

In our previous paper [1] we assumed that the  $(d-1)$  dimensional gauge field vanishes for compact manifolds with non-trivial gauge fields in  $d$  dimensions. When we consider non compact solutions, we must however relax this condition, since it is certainly possible to find a suitable Lorentzian continuation on which all fields are real, by analytically continuing the mass, nut parameter and gauge fields. Indeed the exclusion of  $(d-1)$  dimensional gauge fields on the Euclidean section corresponds to vanishing angular momentum in the Lorentzian continuation. Thus it is important to consider the decomposition of the gauge field term for such solutions.

We consider a metric of the form (3), where we interpret the Killing vector as imaginary time. Let the ‘‘electric’’ part of the  $(p+1)$  form be  $\mathcal{H}_{(e)i_1 \dots i_p} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\tau i_1 \dots i_p}$ , and the ‘‘magnetic’’ part of the  $(p+1)$  form be  $\mathcal{H}_{(m)i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{i_1 \dots i_{p+1}}$ . So we can rewrite the integral of the  $(p+1)$  form in terms of the  $(d-1)$  dimensional fields as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^2 &\rightarrow \beta \int_{\Sigma} d^{d-1} x \sqrt{g} e^{-a\Phi} \left\{ e^{-\frac{4\phi(d+p-2)}{\sqrt{d-2}(d-3)}} (p+1) \mathcal{H}_e^2 \right. \\ &\left. + e^{-\frac{4p\phi}{\sqrt{d-2}(d-3)}} \left[ (p+1) \mathcal{H}_e^2 A^2 + ((p+1) \mathcal{H}_e \cdot A)^2 + \mathcal{H}_m^2 + ((\mathcal{H}_e \cdot A) \cdot \mathcal{H}_m) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

This decomposition includes couplings between the Kaluza-Klein gauge field and the  $(d-1)$  dimensional  $p$  form and  $(p+1)$  form, but all but one term can be replaced by the dilation current, since its divergence is

$$D_i J_D^i = -\frac{p}{d-2} e^{-\alpha\Phi} e^{-\frac{4p\phi}{\sqrt{d-2}(d-3)}} [(p+1)\mathcal{H}_e^2 A^2 + \dots] \\ -\frac{(d+p-2)}{d-2} (p+1) e^{-\alpha\Phi} e^{-\frac{4\phi(d+p-2)}{\sqrt{d-2}(d-3)}} \mathcal{H}_e^2, \quad (70)$$

where the dots indicate the remainder of the terms contained in square brackets in (69). Thus we can write the volume term in the on shell action as

$$S_E = -\frac{\beta}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\Sigma} D_i J_D^i + \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{-\alpha\Phi} ((p+1)g_{\tau\tau}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_e^2), \quad (71)$$

where in the latter expression we define  $\mathcal{H}_e^2$  in the original  $d$  dimensional metric. Now, for the class of metrics that we are considering, black hole solutions and generalised Taub-Nut solutions, the dilation current and surface gravity terms reduce to terms involving the mass and sums over fixed point sets as previously. That is,

$$S_E = \beta M - S_f + \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{-\alpha\Phi} ((p+1)g_{\tau\tau}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_e^2), \quad (72)$$

where  $S_f$  is the usual sum over fixed point sets.

Now (72) certainly implies the well known results for electric and magnetic dilatonic black holes. If the gauge field is pure magnetic, then the action can be expressed as

$$S_E = \beta M - \frac{A_2}{4G_4}, \quad (73)$$

where  $A_2$  is the area of the horizon and we restrict to four dimensions since magnetic charge is not defined in higher dimensions. If the gauge field is pure electric, and the bundle over the base manifold is trivial, then the integral in (72) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{-\alpha\Phi} \bar{F}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi G_d} \int_{\partial M} d^{d-1} x \sqrt{\bar{b}} e^{-\alpha\Phi} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu} n_{\mu} \bar{A}_{\nu}, \quad (74)$$

where  $n_{\mu}$  is the normal to the boundary. We can use the field equations to convert the volume term to a surface term provided that there are no Dirac string singularities. An appropriate choice of gauge is such that  $\bar{A}_{\mu}$  vanishes on the inner boundary, and relating the integral over the boundary to the charge we find that

$$S_E = \beta M - \beta q \chi - \frac{V_h}{4G_d}, \quad (75)$$

where  $\chi$  is the gauge potential at infinity,  $q$  is the (suitably normalised) charge and  $V_h$  is the volume of the horizon. As usual, we can introduce a boundary term in the action for an electric solution [25], so that the actions for magnetic and electric black holes are equal for equal charge in four dimensions. Note that although the differential form of the first law of black hole dynamics does depend not only on the charges, but also on the scalar fields, the integrated version is independent of these fields, and we would not expect to see any such contributions appearing in the action.

We should note that for a black  $(p-1)$ -brane solution of topology  $R^{p+1} \times S^{d-p-1}$  carrying electric charge with respect to the  $(p+1)$  form the integral over the gauge field reduces to

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^2 = \frac{(p+1)}{4\pi G_d} \int_{\partial M} (*e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}) \wedge \mathcal{B}_p = -\beta \left( \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i \right) B_\infty \varrho, \quad (76)$$

where the charge per unit area of the  $(p-1)$ -brane is defined according to the convention

$$\varrho = \frac{(p+1)}{8\pi G_d} \int_{S^{d-p-1}} (*e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}), \quad (77)$$

and  $\beta_i$  are the dimensions of the  $(p-1)$ -brane. The gauge potential is chosen to vanish on the horizon, and takes the form

$$\mathcal{B}_p = B_\infty dt \wedge \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} dx^i, \quad (78)$$

at the boundary at infinity, where the  $x^i$  are longitudinal coordinates on the brane. Thence

$$S_E = \beta M - S_f - \beta Q B_\infty, \quad (79)$$

where  $Q$  is the total charge of the  $(p-1)$ -brane, and  $M$  is the total mass. Then using the explicit expression for the action we can show that

$$\left( \frac{d-3}{d-2} \right) \beta M = S_f + \left( \frac{d-2-p}{d-2} \right) \beta Q B_\infty, \quad (80)$$

which is the generalisation [26] of the Smarr law for static electric black branes, as required.

If the bundle over the homogenous manifold is trivial, the two form term can be expressed in terms of the charge and horizon potential. If however the  $(d-1)$  dimensional gauge field is non trivial, the charge is not well defined. That is, one usually requires a  $(d-2)$  dimensional sphere at infinity over which we integrate the dual of the gauge field in order to define electric charge. Even if we consider radially extended bundles over other types of homogeneous manifolds, such as projective spaces, one can define a charge by integrating the dual field over a  $(d-2)$  dimensional boundary at infinity, unless the bundle over the homogenous manifold is non trivial, in which case there will be no topologically suitable surfaces over which we can integrate.

Thus we must replace the charge and potential term which can only be defined for radially extended trivial bundles with an appropriate volume integral of the gauge field. Although the surface integral used to evaluate the electric charge is not well defined since there do not extend topologically suitable boundaries over which one can integrate, the volume integral is well defined.

Now for solutions of the equations of motion derived from the action (67) we can derive the equivalent of the Smarr law for black holes. Assuming that the solution is static,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{d-3}{d-2} \right) \beta M = S_f + \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \left( \frac{p}{d-2} \right) e^{-a\Phi} \mathcal{H}_{p+1}^2 \\ - \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_M d^d x \sqrt{\hat{g}} (p+1) e^{-a\Phi} g_{\tau\tau}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_e^2. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

We can thence use the well defined volume integral to extend the decomposition of the action to non trivial topologies in which one cannot define electric (or magnetic) charges. Note that although one can formally express the volume term for the solution in this way one cannot guarantee that each term is finite, but the difference between the solution and background contributions should be finite if there is exist a sensible thermodynamic interpretation.

## IX. DYONIC TAUB-NUT SOLUTIONS

To illustrate the results of the previous section, we are interested in solutions which are not asymptotically flat, for which the gauge fields are non trivial but the charge is not well defined. We could also use the Israel Wilson family of solutions to discuss such behaviour, but will instead consider the Euclidean sections of Taub-Nut dyon solutions constructed as solutions to an effective action of four dimensional heterotic string theory. The (truncated) action takes the form

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int_M d^4x \sqrt{\hat{g}} [R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{8}e^{-\Phi}\bar{F}^2 - \frac{1}{12}e^{-2\Phi}H^2], \quad (82)$$

where as usual  $\Phi$  is the dilaton,  $\bar{F}$  is a two form and  $H$  is a three form field. Enforcing the on shell conditions and including the surface term one finds that the action is

$$S_E = \frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int_M d^4x \sqrt{\hat{g}} (\frac{1}{8}e^{-\Phi}\bar{F}^2 + \frac{1}{6}e^{-2\Phi}H^2) - \frac{1}{8\pi G_4} \int_{\partial\Sigma} (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_0)\sqrt{c}. \quad (83)$$

Note that the Chern-Simons term in the definition of the three form  $H = dB + \omega(\bar{A})$ , where

$$\omega_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{A}_\mu\bar{F}_{\nu\rho} + \bar{A}_\nu\bar{F}_{\rho\mu} + \bar{A}_\rho\bar{F}_{\mu\nu}), \quad (84)$$

will not affect our decomposition of the action, since at no stage did we assume either that  $H$  is locally exact or that  $d * H = 0 = d * \bar{F}$ . The class of solutions that we consider was constructed in [9] from  $O(1,1)$  T-duality transformations on the Lorentzian Taub-Nut solutions. The Lorentzian fields are

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\frac{f_1}{f_2}(dt + q(x+1)\cos\theta d\psi)^2 + \frac{f_2}{f_1}dr^2 + f_2(r^2 + q^2)d\Omega_2^2; \\ \bar{A}_t &= \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\frac{(1 - f_1)}{f_2}; \\ \bar{A}_\psi &= -2\frac{f_1}{f_2}\sqrt{x^2 - 1}q\cos\theta; \\ B_{t\psi} &= \frac{f_1}{f_2}(x - 1)q\cos\theta; \\ e^{-\Phi} &= f_2, \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

where we give the Einstein frame metric. The functions are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1 - 2\frac{Mr + q^2}{(r^2 + q^2)}; \\ f_2 &= 1 + (x - 1)\frac{Mr + q^2}{(r^2 + q^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

The parameter  $x$  is a boost such that  $x^2 \geq 1$ , and the time coordinate must be identified with a period  $4\pi q(x+1)$  to avoid Dirac string singularities. To find the Euclidean section of the original family of solutions, we must let the nut parameter  $q$  become imaginary whilst letting the mass  $M$  remain real. Taking the same approach here we find that

$$ds^2 = \frac{F_1}{F_2}(d\tau + q(x+1)\cos\theta d\psi)^2 + \frac{F_2}{F_1}dr^2 + F_2(r^2 - q^2)d\Omega_2^2;$$

$$\bar{A}_\tau = i\bar{A}_t; \bar{A}_\psi \rightarrow i\bar{A}_\psi; B_{\tau\psi} = -B_{t\psi} \quad (87)$$

where the functions  $F_1, F_2$  are found by continuing the parameters of (86). Requiring the Dirac string singularity to be removable implies that  $\tau$  must be identified with a periodicity  $\beta = 4\pi q(x+1)$  in both the Euclidean and the Lorentzian solutions. Regularity at the origins, the fixed point sets, then requires that  $M$  must take the values  $q$  or  $5q/4$  in the Euclidean solution, as in the usual Taub-Nut and Taub-Bolt solutions. The fixed points of both regular solutions exhibit the same behaviour as in the usual solutions.

There will also be an appropriate limit in which the nut parameter  $q$  vanishes but the mass does not; one then obtains black hole solutions, and can take bundle over the base manifold to be trivial. These are precisely the family of extreme solutions considered in [26]. Note that the boosted solutions do not permit interpretations as monopoles; the Lorentzian solutions obtained by the addition of a flat time direction are complex.

Usually when one has an electrically charged solution the electric part of the field becomes imaginary in the Lorentzian continuation, and the magnetic part of the field remains real. Here we find that the one form potential is pure imaginary but the two form is pure real. This is because we have let not only the time coordinate, but also the nut parameter, become pure imaginary in the Euclidean continuation.

We should also mention that the Euclidean solution for which  $x = -1$  is singled out. For this particular choice, the non trivial fibration of the imaginary time coordinate over the spatial two sphere is lost, and the topology of surfaces of constant  $r$  is simply  $S^1 \times S^2$ . One obtains this effect by allowing for discrete duality transformations; however, one then finds that there exist curvature singularities in the Lorentzian solutions which are not concealed by horizons.

The simplest way to calculate the action is to use the string frame metric, since one can then use the dilaton equation of motion to convert the volume integral to a surface term and thence

$$S_E = -\frac{1}{8\pi G_4} \int_{\partial M} [n \cdot \partial(e^{-\Phi}) + (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_0)] \sqrt{C} d^3x, \quad (88)$$

where we implicitly subtract the background dilaton divergence term, and  $C$  is the induced metric on the boundary in the string frame. Using the usual approach of matching of the bolt metric to a background nut metric, we can evaluate the action to be

$$S_E = \frac{\beta q}{8G_4} = \frac{\pi q^2(1+x)}{4G_4}, \quad (89)$$

which is in agreement with that of the  $x = 1$  uncharged solutions. Note that the total action decreases as we increase the boosting of the solutions, and thus the formal probability for decay decreases (although the decay is still prohibited by cobordism arguments).

Working in the Einstein frame, we can determine the mass of the bolt solution with respect to the nut solution as  $q(1+x)/8G_4$  so that

$$\beta E = \frac{\pi q^2(1+x)^2}{4G_4}, \quad (90)$$

and the fixed point set term can be evaluated to be

$$S_f = \frac{3\pi q^2}{2G_4}(1+x) - \frac{\pi q^2}{G_4}(1+x)^2[1 - \Psi_B], \quad (91)$$

where  $\Psi_B$  is the potential evaluated at the bolt

$$\Psi_B = \frac{4(x+1)}{f^2} \left\{ \frac{36}{f} \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{f}{5x+11}\right)(1-x) + (5x-1) \right\}; \quad (92)$$

$$f = (25 + 14x + 25x^2)^{1/2}. \quad (93)$$

Since  $\Psi_B \rightarrow 0.8$  for large  $x$ , we can see immediately that the entropy of the bolt solution with respect to the nut solution will be negative for large  $x$ , and the bolt solution will be much heavier. Hence the formal decay rate must be heavily suppressed as indeed we found from the action.

## X. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have discussed the action of a circle isometry group on non-compact Euclidean Einstein manifolds and Euclidean solutions of supergravity theories. The analysis is more subtle than that for compact manifolds discussed in [1] and in [2]. Firstly, we require the existence of a suitable background with respect to which all thermodynamic quantities can be defined. Secondly, we have to identify an appropriate temperature before we can define the entropy; we must work within a canonical or grand canonical ensemble. When we attempt to decompose the action in terms of the fixed point sets of the action of an isometry in general there will be surface terms on the boundary at infinity which are left over.

We can define a decomposition of the action in terms of the fixed point sets of any Killing vector but for this decomposition to have any physical significance these fixed point sets must be null in the Lorentzian continuation. A good example is a Kerr solution; one could define the decomposition of the action in terms of the fixed point sets of the imaginary time Killing vector, but it is the fixed point sets of the generator of the event horizon which are null in the Lorentzian continuation, and are associated with an entropy and a temperature.

Now if one does express the action of the solution in terms of the fixed point sets of an appropriate Killing vector which admits null horizons one might expect that the surface terms at infinity are related to the mass and angular momentum of the solution with the fixed point set terms relating to the entropy. That is, the action would take the form

$$S_E = \beta E - \beta \omega_i J_i - S_f, \quad (94)$$

where the quantity  $S_f$  will be interpreted as an entropy and is defined as

$$S_f = \sum_a \frac{V_a}{4G_d} + \frac{\beta}{16\pi G_d} \sum_a \int_{M_a^{d-2}} F \wedge \Psi. \quad (95)$$

This expression is of course well known for general black brane solutions, for which the bundle over the base manifold is trivial, and thence the integral of the potential vanishes. It would be easy to demonstrate within the formalism used here that this expression for the action of generic rotating black branes holds by assuming an appropriate general form for the metric.

To demonstrate that this expression holds in general is non trivial without imposing an ansatz for solution and background; indeed we would expect this, since for solutions which are not asymptotically flat the definition of the energy is highly non trivial. Thus we restrict to the most simple case, that of static solutions admitting a single fixed point set with Dirac string type behaviour. To define thermodynamic quantities one must compare a solution with a background which has identical magnetic behaviour, but which is in some sense more symmetric.

In four dimensions, the appropriate Euclidean solutions are the Taub-Bolt and Taub-Nut manifolds, for which the Lorentzian continuations are members of the Taub-Nut family with particular masses. In higher dimensions, the Euclidean section of the generalised Taub-Nut solution had been constructed, but the corresponding generalised Taub-Bolt solution was not known to exist. Here we have constructed the higher dimensional generalisation of Taub-Bolt, and calculated the action of the latter with respect to a nut background. We also considered the Lorentzian continuation of such solutions, which exhibit very similar behaviour to the four dimensional family of solutions.

Given such solutions and appropriate backgrounds, we demonstrate explicitly that the surface integrals at infinity reduce to the mass which implies that the entropy takes the form (95). Since the two form and potential are non trivial, we have a generalised nut type contribution to the entropy, as well as the contribution from the horizon volume. Now in principle we could consider rotating these nut solutions and we would expect that infinitesimal rotations would preserve the form of the entropy. So for more general solutions we would expect the expression (94) to hold.

Having considered a classification scheme of non compact Euclidean Einstein manifolds it is natural to again extend the ideas to solutions of gravity coupled to appropriate scalar and gauge fields. Again we find that the decomposition of the action is unaffected, except for electric fields when we will obtain an additional integral left over. For black brane solutions, this term is related to the charge and an appropriate potential; by introducing a surface term at infinity, one can remove this term in the action. The physical interpretation of removing this term is that one then considers only variations of the gauge field which leave the electric charge unchanged.

For more general solutions, which display a non-trivial Dirac string behaviour, the additional term in the action cannot be related to a surface integral over the boundary. Furthermore, one cannot define either an electric or a magnetic charge, since there will exist no topologically non trivial surfaces at infinity over which we can integrate the appropriate forms. So for such manifolds we cannot simply add a boundary term relating to a charge and a potential to cancel that arising from the decomposition of the volume term. Nevertheless the entropy is well defined if we interpret this additional volume term as a constraint.

As an example of such behaviour, we considered exact solutions of four dimensional heterotic string theory, obtained from the Taub-Nut family of solutions by applying T-duality transformations. Such solutions have non trivial dyonic two forms, and an electric three form. Charge cannot be defined, but we were still able to define the entropy of such a solution with respect to an appropriate background.

One might claim that since any solution which has a non zero contribution to the entropy from Dirac string behaviour is by definition not asymptotically flat, or even locally flat, except in four dimensions, that such solutions have little physical relevance. In some sense, perhaps one should only consider their creation in pairs, for which there will be no net Dirac string. However, such solutions are certainly appropriate backgrounds in string theory, even those obtained by T-duality transformations, and should perhaps not be neglected.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Taylor-Robinson, hep-th/9809040.
- [2] G. Gibbons and S. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **66**, 291 (1979).
- [3] G. Gibbons, D. Page and C. Pope, Commun. Math. Phys. **127**, 529 (1990).
- [4] F. Dowker, J. Gauntlett, G. Gibbons and G. Horowitz, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 7115 (1996).
- [5] P. Ramond, in *Lattice Gauge Theories, Supersymmetry, and Grand Unification: Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Current Problems in High-Energy Particle Theory*, John Hopkins University (1982).
- [6] D. Page, Phys. Lett. B **78**, 249 (1978).
- [7] F. Bais and P. Batenburg, Nucl. Phys. B **253**, 162 (1985).
- [8] W. Israel and G. Wilson, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 865 (1972); J. Hartle and S. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **26**, 87 (1972).
- [9] C. Johnson and R. Myers, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 6512 (1994).
- [10] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds* (Springer Verlag, 1987).
- [11] G. Gibbons, Nucl. Phys. B **472**, 683 (1996).
- [12] R. Myers and M. Perry, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **172**, 304 (1986).
- [13] D. Page and C. Pope, Class. Quantum Grav., **4**, 213 (1987).
- [14] E. Calabi, Ann. Sci. Ecole Normale Super. **12**, 269 (1979).
- [15] G. Gibbons and D. Freedman, in *Superspace and Supergravity*, edited by S. Hawking and M. Rocek (Cambridge University Press, 1981).
- [16] G. Gibbons and C. Pope, Commun. Math. Phys. **66**, 267 (1979).
- [17] C. Hunter, gr-qc/9807010.
- [18] D. Gross and M. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B **226**, 29 (1983).
- [19] R. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 87 (1983).
- [20] F. Bais, C. Gomez and V. Rubakov, Nucl. Phys. B **282**, 531 (1987).
- [21] T. Eguchi, P. Gilkey and A. Hanson, Phys. Rep. **66** (1980).
- [22] S. Hawking and G. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time* (Cambridge University Press, 1973).
- [23] G. Gibbons and M. Perry, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 313 (1980).
- [24] L. Bombelli, R. Koul, G. Hunstatter, J. Lee and R. Sorkin, Nucl. Phys. B **289**, 735 (1987).
- [25] S. Hawking, G. Horowitz and S. Ross, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 4302 (1995); S. Hawking and S. Ross, *ibid.* **52**, 5865 (1995).
- [26] G. Gibbons and K. Maeda, Nucl. Phys. B **298**, 741 (1988).