

$SU(2, R)_q$ Symmetries of Non-Abelian Toda Theories

J. F. Gomes^{1,†}, G. M. Sotkov^{2*} and A. H. Zimerman^{1,†}

[†]Instituto de Física Teórica - IFT/UNESP
Rua Pamplona 145

01405-900, São Paulo - SP, Brazil
jfg@axp.ift.unesp.br, zimerman@axp.ift.unesp.br

*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas-CBPF
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150
22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, RJ
sotkov@cbpfsu1.cat.cbpf.br

ABSTRACT

The classical and quantum algebras of a class of conformal NA-Toda models are studied. It is shown that the $SL(2, R)_q$ Poisson brackets algebra generated by certain chiral and antichiral charges of the nonlocal currents and the global $U(1)$ charge appears as an algebra of the symmetries of these models.

¹Partially supported by a CNPq research grant

²On leave of absence from the Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1784, Sofia, Bulgaria

The (Non Abelian) NA-Toda theories are singled out among all 2-D conformal models (CFT's) by their important role in the construction of exact solutions for both self-dual 4-D Yang-Mills theories (axial symmetric instantons) [1, 2, 3] and string theory (black hole backgrounds)[4, 5, 6]. One expects that their quantum counterparts will provide an appropriate statistical mechanical tools for describing the critical behaviour of the $SU(n)$ gauge theory. The progress in the quantization of large class of 2-D CFT's based on the Abelian Toda models and their algebra of symmetries - W_n minimal models, W_n -gravities, etc, [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 21] suggests that similar algebraic strategy of quantization takes place for the NA-Toda as well. Few important steps in this direction concerning the construction of conserved currents and their (*non-local and non-Lie*) classical (Poisson brackets) algebras for the particular case of B_2 NA-Toda [6, 12] have been realized.

This letter is devoted to the construction of classical and quantum algebras of symmetries of the first few members ($n = 1, 2, 3$) of the following family of A_n -NA-Toda models:

$$L = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ik} \partial \phi_i \bar{\partial} \phi_k - \left(\frac{2}{k} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{\phi_{i-1} + \phi_{i+1} - 2\phi_i} \right\} + \frac{1}{2\Delta} e^{-\phi_1} (\partial \psi \bar{\partial} \chi + \partial \chi \bar{\partial} \psi) - \frac{1}{4\Delta} e^{-\phi_1} [\bar{\partial} \phi_1 (\chi \partial \psi - \psi \partial \chi) - \partial \phi_1 (\chi \bar{\partial} \psi - \psi \bar{\partial} \chi)] \quad (1)$$

where $\eta_{ik} = 2\delta_{i,k} - \delta_{i,k+1} - \delta_{i,k-1}$, $\Delta = 1 + \frac{n+1}{2n} e^{-\phi_1} \psi \chi$ and $\partial = \partial_\tau + \partial_\sigma$, $\bar{\partial} = \partial_\tau - \partial_\sigma$, $\phi_0 = \phi_n = 0$. They represent the (non-compact) $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ -parafermions interacting with the A_{n-1} -abelian Toda model. One can derive (1) as an effective Lagrangean for the gauged $H_- \setminus A_n/H_+$ -WZW model³, $H_\pm = N_{(1)}^\pm \otimes H_0^{0(1)}$ where $N_{(1)}^\pm$ are nilpotent subgroups of A_n spanned by $E_{[\alpha]_1}$ or $E_{-[\alpha]_1}$ ($[\alpha]_1$ - all positive roots but α_1) and $H_0^{0(1)} = \exp\{R(z, \bar{z})\lambda_1 H\}$. This is equivalent to consider a specific Hamiltonian reduction of the A_n -WZW model by imposing the following set of constraints:

$$J_{-\alpha_i} = \bar{J}_{\alpha_i} = 1, \quad i = 2, \dots, n; \quad J_{\lambda_1 H} = \bar{J}_{\lambda_1 H} = 0 \\ J_{-[\alpha]} = \bar{J}_{[\alpha]} = 0, \quad \text{for } \alpha \text{ nonsimple positive roots} \quad (2)$$

(i.e. $J_{-\alpha_1}$ and \bar{J}_{α_1} are left *unconstrained*). Taking into account the residual gauge symmetries (that keep (2) unchanged) we find the reduced form of the conserved currents:

$$J = V^+ E_{-\alpha_1} + \sum_{i=2}^n E_{-\alpha_i} + V^- E_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n} + \sum_{i=2}^n W_{n-i+2} E_{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_n} \\ \bar{J} = \bar{V}^+ E_{\alpha_1} + \sum_{i=2}^n E_{\alpha_i} + \bar{V}^- E_{-\alpha_1 - \dots - \alpha_n} + \sum_{i=2}^n \bar{W}_{n-i+2} E_{-\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} - \dots - \alpha_n} \quad (3)$$

The well known fact is that the remaining currents V^\pm , W_{n-i+2} (and \bar{V}^\pm , \bar{W}_{n-i+2}) appears as conserved currents of the reduced model (1). The spins of these currents calculated with respect to the improved stress tensor ($T = W_2$):

$$T^{imp} = \frac{1}{k+n+1} Tr : J^a J^a : + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i \cdot H \partial J_i - \frac{(n-1)}{2} \lambda_1 \cdot H \partial J_1$$

³see for more details our forthcoming paper [13]

are given by $s^\pm = s(V^\pm) = \frac{(n+1)}{2}$, $s_i = s(W_{n-i+2}) = n - i + 2$. Note that our Lagrangean (1) is invariant under a global $U(1)$ gauge transformation : $\psi' = e^\alpha \psi$, $\chi' = e^{-\alpha} \chi$ and $\phi'_i = \phi_i$ (α is a constant). The corresponding *nonchiral* $U(1)$ -current :

$$J_\mu = -\frac{k}{4\pi}(\chi\partial_\mu\psi - \psi\partial_\mu\chi + \psi\chi\partial_\mu\phi_1)\frac{e^{-\phi_1}}{\Delta} \quad (4)$$

($\partial\bar{J} + \bar{\partial}J = 0$ where $J = \frac{1}{2}(J_0 + J_1)$, $\bar{J} = \frac{1}{2}(J_0 - J_1)$) completes the list of the conserved currents of the NA-Toda models given by (1). Starting from the WZW currents $J = \frac{k}{2}g^{-1}\partial g$, $\bar{J} = -\frac{k}{2}\bar{\partial}gg^{-1}$ ($g = e^{\chi[\alpha]E_{-[\alpha]}}e^{\phi_i\lambda_iH}e^{\psi[\alpha]E_{[\alpha]}}$) and solving the constraints and the gauge fixing conditions in terms of the physical fields $\psi = \psi_1e^{-\frac{n+1}{2n}R}$, $\chi = \chi_1e^{-\frac{n+1}{2n}R}$ and ϕ_i , ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) only one can *in principle* derive the explicit form of the remaining currents. We find that, for example, V^+ , \bar{V}^- and $T(\bar{T})$ are given by :

$$\begin{aligned} V^+ &= \frac{k}{2}\frac{e^{-\phi_1+\frac{n+1}{2n}R}\partial\chi}{\Delta} & \bar{V}^- &= \frac{k}{2}\frac{e^{-\phi_1+\frac{n+1}{2n}R}\bar{\partial}\psi}{\Delta} \\ T(z) &= \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ik}\partial\phi_i\partial\phi_k + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\partial^2\phi_i + \partial\chi\partial\psi\frac{e^{-\phi_1}}{\Delta} + \frac{n-1}{2}\partial\left(\frac{\psi\partial\chi}{\Delta}e^{-\phi_1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and $\bar{T} = T(\partial, \psi, \chi \rightarrow \bar{\partial}, \chi, \psi)$. The $R(z, \bar{z})$ is the nonlocal field that denotes the solution of the following system of equations :

$$\partial R = \frac{e^{-\phi_1}}{\Delta}\psi\partial\chi, \quad \bar{\partial}R = \frac{e^{-\phi_1}}{\Delta}\chi\bar{\partial}\psi \quad (6)$$

which are nothing but the constraint equations $J_{\lambda_1H} = \bar{J}_{\lambda_1H} = 0$. One can eliminate R from (5) by solving eqn (6) and thus introducing certain *nonlocal* terms in V^\pm and \bar{V}^\pm . The *nonlocality* of the V^\pm and \bar{V}^\pm is one of the *main features* of the NA-Toda models (1) originated from the additional *PF-type constraint* $J_{\lambda_1H} = \bar{J}_{\lambda_1H} = 0$. Note that all the others W_{n-i+2} -currents are *local*. To derive their explicit form, as well as the form of V^- and \bar{V}^+ for arbitrary n is quite a difficult problem. For $n = 1$ we obtain:

$$V_{n=1}^-(z) = \frac{k}{2}e^{-R}\partial\psi, \quad \bar{V}_{n=1}^+(z) = \frac{k}{2}e^{-R}\bar{\partial}\chi \quad (7)$$

(both of spin 1) and for $n = 2$ the remaining nonlocal current (of spin $\frac{3}{2}$) are given by

$$V_{n=2}^-(z) = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 e^{-\frac{3}{4}R} \left(\partial^2\psi + \frac{1}{16}\psi(\partial R)^2 - \psi(\partial\phi_1)^2 - \psi\partial^2\phi_1 - \frac{1}{4}\psi\partial^2R - \frac{1}{2}\partial\psi\partial R \right) \quad (8)$$

and $\bar{V}_{n=2}^+ = V_{n=2}^- (\psi \rightarrow \chi, \partial \rightarrow \bar{\partial})$.

The simplest method [16] for deriving the chiral algebra of symmetries of (1) spanned by V^\pm, W_{n-i+2} , ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) consists in imposing the constraints (2) and the gauge fixing conditions directly in the A_n -gauge transformations: $\delta J = [\epsilon, J] - \frac{k}{2}\partial\epsilon$. This leads to the following system of first order differential equations

$$-\frac{k}{2}\partial\epsilon_{ik} = J_{ij}\epsilon_{jk} - \epsilon_{ij}J_{jk}, \quad (ik) \neq \{(2, 1), (p, n+1)(1, n+1)\} \quad (9)$$

for $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$. The equations for $(i, k) = \{(2, 1), (p, n+1), (1, n+1)\}$

$$\delta J_{ik} = \epsilon_{ij} J_{jk} - J_{ij} \epsilon_{jk} - \frac{k}{2} \partial \epsilon_{ik}$$

($J_{12} = V^+$, $J_{1,n+1} = V^-$, $J_{p,n+1} = W_{n-p+2}$, $p = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$) gives the transformation law for the remaining currents we are looking for. The problem is to solve (9) for the redundant ϵ_{ik} 's in terms of the independent parameters $\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon^-$, $\epsilon_{n+1,1} = \epsilon^+$, $\epsilon_{n+1,n} = \epsilon$, $\eta_{n-p+2} = \epsilon_{n+1,p}$, $p = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ and the currents V^\pm , W_{n-i+2} . The transformations generated by the nonlocal currents V^\pm are given by ($\tilde{\epsilon}^\pm = -\frac{k}{2}\epsilon^\pm$):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\epsilon^\pm} V^- &= \frac{n+1}{nk^2} \int \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') [\tilde{\epsilon}^+(\sigma') V^-(\sigma') - \tilde{\epsilon}^-(\sigma') V^+(\sigma')] V^-(\sigma) d\sigma' \\ &- \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{s-1} W_{n-s} \partial^s \tilde{\epsilon}^-(\sigma) + \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{n-1} \partial^n \tilde{\epsilon}^-(\sigma) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and similar for $\delta_{\epsilon^\pm} V^+$. Reminding the relation between the infinitesimal transformations and the currents Poisson brackets:

$$\delta_{\epsilon^\pm} I(\sigma) = \int d\sigma' \epsilon^\pm(\sigma') \{V^\mp(\sigma'), I(\sigma)\}$$

($I = V^+$ or V^-) we deduce from (10) the form of the algebra of the nonlocal currents V^\pm :

$$\begin{aligned} \{V^\pm(\sigma), V^\pm(\sigma')\} &= -\frac{n+1}{nk^2} \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') V^\pm(\sigma) V^\pm(\sigma') \\ \{V^+(\sigma), V^-(\sigma')\} &= \frac{n+1}{nk^2} \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') V^+(\sigma) V^-(\sigma') + \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{n-1} \partial_\sigma^n \delta(\sigma - \sigma') \\ &- \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{s-1} W_{n-s}(\sigma') \partial_\sigma^s \delta(\sigma - \sigma') \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Leaving the general solution of (9) to our forthcoming paper [13], we consider here a few particular cases $n = 1, 2, 3$. The algebra of the symmetries $V_2^{(1,1)}$ of the A_1 -NA-Toda model is generated by $V_{n=1}^\pm$ (of spin 1) only (i.e. eqn. (11) for $n = 1$). It is identical to the semiclassical limit of the PF-algebra [17] studied in ref. [18]. In the $n = 2$ case the corresponding $V_3^{(1,1)}$ algebra contains apart from (11), which now reads,

$$\{V^+(\sigma), V^-(\sigma')\} = \frac{3}{2k^2} \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') V^+(\sigma) V^-(\sigma') - \frac{2}{k} T(\sigma') \delta(\sigma - \sigma') + \frac{2}{k} \partial_{\sigma'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma')$$

($T = W_2$) the PB's of T and V^\pm

$$\{T(\sigma), V^\pm(\sigma')\} = s V^\pm(\sigma') \partial_{\sigma'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma') + \delta(\sigma - \sigma') \partial_{\sigma'} V^\pm(\sigma') \quad (12)$$

with $s = \frac{3}{2}$ and the usual Virasoro subalgebra

$$\{T(\sigma), T(\sigma')\} = 2T(\sigma') \partial_{\sigma'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma') + \partial_{\sigma'} T(\sigma') \delta(\sigma - \sigma') - \frac{k^2}{2} \partial_{\sigma'}^3 \delta(\sigma - \sigma'). \quad (13)$$

The $n = 3$ case appears to be a *nonlocal* and *nonlinear* (quadratic terms) extension of the Virasoro algebra (13) with two spin $s = 2$ nonlocal currents $V_{n=3}^\pm$ and one local W_3 of spin $s = 3$. The $V_4^{(1,1)}$ -algebra combines together the features of the PF-algebra and the W_3 -one. Apart from the (11), (12) and (13) (with central charge $-2k^2$) we have two new PB's

$$\begin{aligned}\{w_3(\sigma), V^\pm(\sigma')\} &= \mp \frac{5k}{3}(\partial_{\sigma'}^2 \delta(\sigma - \sigma'))V^\pm(\sigma') \mp \frac{5k}{2}(\partial_{\sigma'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'))\partial_{\sigma'} V^\pm(\sigma') \\ &\quad \pm \delta(\sigma - \sigma')\left(\frac{2}{3k}TV^\pm - k\partial_{\sigma'}^2 V^\pm\right) \\ \{w_3(\sigma), w_3(\sigma')\} &= 4(\partial_{\sigma'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'))(V^+V^- + \frac{1}{6}T^2)(\sigma') + 2\delta(\sigma - \sigma')\partial_{\sigma'}(V^+V^- + \frac{1}{6}T^2)(\sigma') \\ &\quad - \frac{3k^2}{4}(\partial_{\sigma'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'))\partial_{\sigma'}^2 T(\sigma') - \frac{5k^2}{4}(\partial_{\sigma'}^2 \delta(\sigma - \sigma'))\partial_{\sigma'} T(\sigma') \\ &\quad - \frac{k^2}{6}\delta(\sigma - \sigma')\partial_{\sigma'}^3 T(\sigma') - \frac{5k^2}{6}(\partial_{\sigma'}^3 \delta(\sigma - \sigma'))T(\sigma') + \frac{k^4}{6}\partial_{\sigma'}^5 \delta(\sigma - \sigma')\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

The method we have used in the derivation of the $V_{n+1}^{(1,1)}$ -algebras ($n = 1, 2, 3$) allows us to find the corresponding fields (ψ, χ, ϕ_1) -transformations. The conformal transformations have the form :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\epsilon \phi_i &= \frac{i(i-n)}{2}\partial\epsilon + \epsilon\partial\phi_i, & \delta_{\bar{\epsilon}} \phi_i &= \frac{i(i-n)}{2}\bar{\partial}\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{\epsilon}\bar{\partial}\phi_i \\ \delta_\epsilon \psi &= \frac{(1-n)}{2}(\partial\epsilon)\psi + \epsilon\partial\psi, & \delta_{\bar{\epsilon}} \psi &= \bar{\epsilon}\bar{\partial}\psi \\ \delta_\epsilon \chi &= \epsilon\partial\chi, & \delta_{\bar{\epsilon}} \chi &= \frac{(1-n)}{2}(\bar{\partial}\bar{\epsilon})\chi + \bar{\epsilon}\bar{\partial}\chi\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

$i = 1, \dots, n-1$. The transformation generated by V^+ are quite simple but highly nonlocal:

$$\begin{aligned}\{V^+(\sigma), \psi(\sigma')\} &= -\frac{n+1}{4nk}\epsilon(\sigma - \sigma')V^+(\sigma)\psi(\sigma') + \frac{1}{k}e^{\frac{n+1}{2n}R(\sigma')}\delta(\sigma - \sigma') \\ \{V^+(\sigma), \chi(\sigma')\} &= \frac{n+1}{4nk}\epsilon(\sigma - \sigma')V^+(\sigma)\chi(\sigma'); \quad \{V^+(\sigma), \phi_i(\sigma')\} = 0\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

For $n > 1$, the corresponding V^- -transformation are much more complicated than the V^+ -ones.

The complete algebra of the symmetries of the NA-Toda models (1) contains together with the two (chiral) $V_{n+1}^{(1,1)}$ and (antichiral) $\bar{V}_{n+1}^{(1,1)}$ (spanned by $\bar{V}^\pm, \bar{W}_{n-i+2}$) algebras, the PB's of the global charge $Q_0 = \int J_0 d\sigma$ of the *nonchiral* $U(1)$ current:

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q_0, V^\pm(\sigma)\} &= \pm V^\pm(\sigma), & \{Q_0, \bar{V}^\pm(\sigma)\} &= \pm \bar{V}^\pm(\sigma) \\ \{Q_0, W_{n-i+2}(\sigma)\} &= \{Q_0, \bar{W}_{n-i+2}(\sigma)\} = 0\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

as well. To describe the full structure of this larger algebra one has to calculate the PB's of the chiral with antichiral currents. As usual, the chiral and antichiral charges of the *local* currents *do commute* :

$$\{L_{m_1}^{(n-i+2)}, \bar{L}_{m_2}^{(n-j+2)}\} = 0,$$

where $L_m^{(n-i+2)} = \int d\sigma W_{(n-i+2)} \sigma^{m+n-i+1}$ (and the same for \bar{L}). The new phenomena occurs with the PB's of certain nonlocal charges. Our *main observation* is that $Q^+ = \int d\sigma V^+(\sigma)$ and $\bar{Q}^- = \int d\sigma \bar{V}^-(\sigma)$ have *nonvanishing* PB's:

$$\{Q^+, \bar{Q}^-\} = \frac{k\pi}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma \partial_\sigma e^{\frac{n+1}{n}R - \phi_1 - \ln \Delta} \quad (18)$$

In order to prove eqn. (18) we realize V^+ and \bar{V}^- (given by eqn. (5)) in terms of fields ψ, χ, ϕ_i , their space (∂_σ) derivatives and their conjugate momenta $\Pi_\psi, \Pi_\chi, \Pi_{\phi_i}$. The rest is straightforward calculation based on the canonical PB's $\{\rho_i(\sigma), \Pi_{\rho_j}(\sigma')\} = \delta_{ij} \delta(\sigma - \sigma')$ where $\rho_i = (\psi, \chi, \phi_i)$. Note that the field in the exponent of thr r.h.s. of (18):

$$\varphi = R - \frac{n}{n+1}(\phi_1 + \ln \Delta) \quad (19)$$

is related to the $U(1)$ -current $J_\mu = \frac{k}{2\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu (\varphi + \frac{n}{n+1} \phi_1)$. Since the topological conserved current $I_\mu = \frac{k}{2\pi} \frac{n}{n+1} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \phi_1$ has vanishing PB's with V^\pm and \bar{V}^\pm one can redefine the $U(1)$ -charge as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= Q_0 - \int I_0 d\sigma = -\frac{k}{2\pi} (\varphi(\infty) - \varphi(-\infty)), \\ \{H_1, Q^+\} &= Q^+, \quad \{H_1, \bar{Q}^-\} = -\bar{Q}^- \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Then eqn. (18) takes the following suggestive form:

$$\{Q^+, \bar{Q}^-\} = q_{(n)}^\delta (q_{(n)}^{H_1} - q_{(n)}^{-H_1})$$

where $q_{(n)} = e^{-\frac{n+1}{n}(\frac{\pi}{k})}$ and $\delta = -\frac{k}{2\pi} (\varphi(\infty) + \varphi(-\infty))$. As a consequence of the PB's of $\varphi(\sigma)$ with V^+ and \bar{V}^- we find that $\{\delta, Q^+\} = \{\delta, \bar{Q}^-\} = 0$. Finally introducing new charges E_1, F_1 (instead of Q^+ and \bar{Q}^-):

$$E_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k\pi}} \frac{q^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}}{(q^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q^+, \quad F_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k\pi}} \frac{q^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}}}{(q^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \bar{Q}^-$$

we realize that the PB's algebra (18), (20) of Q^+, \bar{Q}^- and Q_0 takes the standard form of the q -deformed $SL(2, R)$ -algebra (n-arbitrary):

$$\{E_1, F_1\} = \frac{q_{(n)}^{H_1} - q_{(n)}^{-H_1}}{q_{(n)} - q_{(n)}^{-1}}, \quad \{H_1, E_1\} = E_1, \quad \{H_1, F_1\} = -F_1. \quad (21)$$

With the explicit form (7),(8) of V^- and \bar{V}^+ (for $n = 1, 2$ only) at hand we can calculate the PB's of their charges

$$Q^- = \int \sigma^{n-1} V^-(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad \bar{Q}^- = \int \sigma^{n-1} \bar{V}^-(\sigma) d\sigma$$

as well as the mixed PB's $\{Q^\pm, \bar{Q}^\pm\}$. The result is:

$$\{E_0, F_0\} = \frac{q_{(n)}^{H_0} - q_{(n)}^{-H_0}}{q_{(n)} - q_{(n)}^{-1}}, \quad \{Q^\pm, \bar{Q}^\pm\} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2 \quad (22)$$

where (we are omitting the index (n) in q)

$$E_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k\pi}} \frac{q^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}}{(1-q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q^-, \quad F_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k\pi}} \frac{q^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}}}{(1-q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \bar{Q}^+, \quad H_0 = -H_1$$

The PB's (21) and (22) can be written in a compact form :

$$\begin{aligned} \{H_i, E_j\} &= \kappa_{ij} E_j & \{H_i, F_j\} &= -\kappa_{ij} F_j, & i, j &= 0, 1 \\ \{E_i, F_j\} &= \delta_{ij} \frac{q_n^{H_i} - q_n^{-H_i}}{q_n - q_n^{-1}}, & \kappa_{ij} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which is known to be the *centerless affine* $SL(2, R)_q$ PB's algebra in the principal gradation [15] and [14] (the Serre relations are omitted). The *conclusion* is that the *classical q -deformed affine (for $n = 1, 2$) $SL(2, R)$ PB's algebra (23), generated by certain nonlocal charges appears as the algebra of the Noether symmetries of the NA-Toda models (1). Note that the deformation parameter $q_n = e^{-\frac{n+1}{n}(\frac{\pi}{k})}$ is a function of the classical coupling constant k and of the rank n of the underlying A_n -algebra .*

To make the above statement complete we have to demonstrate that the field equations of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_i &= \left(\frac{2}{k}\right) e^{\phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i-1} - 2\phi_i} - \frac{(n-i)}{n} e^{-\phi_1} \frac{\partial \chi \bar{\partial} \psi}{\Delta^2} \\ \bar{\partial} \left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\Delta} e^{-\phi_1} \right) &= -\frac{n+1}{2n} \frac{\bar{\partial} \psi \partial \chi}{\Delta^2} \chi e^{-2\phi_1}, \quad \partial \left(\frac{\bar{\partial} \psi}{\Delta} e^{-\phi_1} \right) = -\frac{n+1}{2n} \frac{\bar{\partial} \psi \partial \chi}{\Delta^2} \psi e^{-2\phi_1} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

admit solutions such that $\varphi(\infty, t_0) \neq \varphi(-\infty, t_0)$, i.e. with nontrivial $U(1)$ -charge $H_1 \neq 0$. If no such solutions exist, (i.e. $\varphi(\infty, t_0) = \varphi(-\infty, t_0)$) then all PB's of the chiral and antichiral nonlocal charges *vanish identically*.

Our construction of the solutions of eqns. (24) is based on the following *observation*: the change of the field variables ϕ_i, ψ, χ into $\varphi_i, V^+, \bar{V}^-$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= \phi_{i-1} + \frac{n-i+1}{n} R + (i-1) \ln(V^+ \bar{V}^-), \quad \phi_0 = 0 \\ \psi V^+ &= \left(\frac{k}{2}\right) e^{\frac{n+1}{n} \varphi_1} \partial \varphi_1, \quad \chi \bar{V}^- = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right) e^{\frac{n+1}{n} \varphi_1} \bar{\partial} \varphi_1 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

(the last two equations reflect the definition (5) of V^+, \bar{V}^- and (6) of R) maps the eqns. (24) into the following system of equations :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_l &= \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 e^{\varphi_{l-1} + \varphi_{l+1} - 2\varphi_l}, \quad l = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_n &= (V^+ \bar{V}^-)^n e^{-2\varphi_n + \varphi_{n-1}}, \quad \partial \bar{V}^- = \bar{\partial} V^+ = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The general solution of eqns. (26) can be found by slight modification of the Gervais-Bilal method [9], realizing the $\varphi_i, (i = 1, \dots, n)$ and V^+, \bar{V}^- in terms of $n+1$ -independent functions $f_l(t + \sigma), \bar{f}_l(t - \sigma), l = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$:

$$e^{\varphi_1} = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{-n} f_1 \bar{f}_1, \quad \dots \quad e^{\varphi_p} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p(p-n-1)} \frac{1}{p!} f_{l_1, \dots, l_p} \bar{f}_{l_1, \dots, l_p}$$

$$(V^+)^n = \epsilon_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n+1}} f_{l_1} f_{l_2}^{(1)} \cdots f_{l_{n+1}}^{(n)}; \quad (\bar{V}^+)^n = \epsilon_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n+1}} \bar{f}_{l_1} \bar{f}_{l_2}^{(1)} \cdots \bar{f}_{l_{n+1}}^{(n)} \quad (27)$$

where f_{l_1, \dots, l_p} are rank p antisymmetric tensors. For example, $f_{l_1, l_2} = f_{l_1} f_{l_2}' - f_{l_1}' f_{l_2}$. Then the solution of eqns. (24) is given by:

$$e^R = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{-n} f_l \bar{f}_l, \quad e^{\phi_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{1-n} (f_{lm} \bar{f}_{lm}) (f_p \bar{f}_p)^{\frac{1-n}{n}} (V^+ \bar{V}^-)^{-1}, \text{ etc.}$$

$$\psi = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-n}{2}} (f_l \bar{f}_l)^{\frac{1-n}{2n}} (f_p' \bar{f}_p') (V^+)^{-1}, \quad \chi = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-n}{2}} (f_l \bar{f}_l)^{\frac{1-n}{2n}} (f_p \bar{f}_p') (\bar{V}^-)^{-1} \quad (28)$$

Therefore the field φ from eqn. (19) whose asymptotics are under investigation takes the form:

$$\varphi = -\frac{n}{n+1} \ln \left(\frac{k}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{(f_l' \bar{f}_l') (f_p \bar{f}_p) - \frac{n-1}{2n} (f_l' \bar{f}_l') (f_p \bar{f}_p')}{(f_m \bar{f}_m)^2 (V^+ \bar{V}^-)} \right) \quad (29)$$

We next consider the following ansatz

$$f_l = \alpha_l e^{(t+\sigma)a_l}, \quad \bar{f}_l = \bar{\alpha}_l e^{(t-\sigma)\bar{a}_l}, \quad \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} (a_l - \bar{a}_l) = 0 \quad (30)$$

and for convenience we choose the following parametrization for the a_l 's:

$$a_1 - \bar{a}_1 = b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_n; \quad a_p - \bar{a}_p = -b_{p-1}, \quad b_n > b_{n-1} > \cdots > b_1 \quad (31)$$

where $p = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$. Under all these conditions we calculate the limits $\sigma \rightarrow \pm\infty$ of eqn. (29) at $t = 0$:

$$\varphi(\infty, 0) = -\frac{n}{n+1} \ln \left(\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} a_1 \bar{a}_1 A \right), \quad \varphi(-\infty, 0) = -\frac{n}{n+1} \ln \left(\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 \frac{n+1}{2n} a_{n+1} \bar{a}_{n+1} A \right),$$

$A = V^+ \bar{V}^- (t=0)$. Since $a_1 \bar{a}_1 \neq a_{n+1} \bar{a}_{n+1}$ the solutions (30) are an example of solutions of (24) with $H_1 \neq 0$.

The quantization of the classical $V_{n+1}^{(1,1)}$ -algebras represents certain new features all related to the nonlocal terms $\epsilon(\sigma)$ in the r.h.s. of (11). We find more convenient to directly apply the procedure of *quantum* Hamiltonian reduction to the A_n -WZW models instead of quantizing the results of the classical Hamiltonian reduction. The method we are going to use is an appropriate generalization of the derivation of the parafermionic algebra [17] from the affine $SU(2)$ -one (or $SL(2, R)$ for the noncompact PF's) by imposing the constraint $J_3 = 0$. Following the arguments of ref. [17] we define the quantum (compact) V_2 -algebra as

$$V_2 = \{SU(2)_k, J_3 = 0\}$$

Therefore its generators ψ^\pm represent the $J_3 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \partial\phi$ independent part of the $\hat{SU}(2)_k$ -ones, namely, J^\pm ,

$$J^\pm = \psi^\pm e^{\mp\alpha\phi}, \quad T = T_V + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2$$

$$J_3(z_1) \psi^\pm(z_2) = O(z_{12}), \quad \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) = -\ln z_{12} + O(z_{12}) \quad (32)$$

Taking into account the $SU(2)$ OPE's:

$$J_3(z_1)J^\pm(z_2) = \pm \frac{i}{z_{12}} J^\pm(z_2) + O(z_{12})$$

and eqns. (32) we find $\alpha = i\sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}$. Another consequence of eqn. (32) is that the dimensions of ψ^\pm are $\Delta^\pm = 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ (we have used that $\Delta_{J^\pm} = 1$). Finally the construction (32), the $\phi(z_1)\phi(z_2)$ -OPE and the $SU(2))_k$ -OPE's leads to the following V_2 -algebra OPE's:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^\pm(z_1)\psi^\pm(z_2) &= z_{12}^{-\frac{2}{k}}\psi^\pm(z_2) + O(z_{12}) \\ \psi^+(z_1)\psi^-(z_2) &= z_{12}^{\frac{2}{k}}\left(\frac{k}{z_{12}^2} + (k+2)T_V(z_2) + O(z_{12})\right),\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

which is nothing but the well known PF-algebra [17]. Although the PF (V_2)-algebra (33) is *by construction* the quantum version of the classical PB's algebra (see eqn. (11) for $n = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}\{V^\pm(\sigma), V^\pm(\sigma')\} &= -\epsilon(\sigma - \sigma')V^\pm(\sigma)V^\pm(\sigma') \\ \{V^-(\sigma), V^+(\sigma')\} &= \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma')V^+(\sigma)V^-(\sigma') + \partial_{\sigma'}\delta(\sigma - \sigma')\end{aligned}\quad (34)$$

the discrepancy between the dimensions $\Delta^\pm = 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ of ψ^\pm and $\Delta_V^\pm = 1$ of V^\pm requires more precise definition of the relation of eqn. (33) and (34). The exact *statement* is as follows: Let $V^\pm = \frac{1}{k}\psi^\pm$ and the V^\pm PB's are defined as certain limit of the OPE's (33):

$$\{V^a(z_1), V^b(z_2)\} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k}{2\pi i} (V^a(z_1)V^b(z_2) - V^b(z_2)V^a(z_1)) \quad (35)$$

($a, b = \pm$). Then the $k \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the OPE's (33) reproduces the PB's algebra (34). The proof is straightforward. Applying twice the OPE's (33) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}z_{12}^{\frac{2}{k}}(V^\pm(z_1)V^\pm(z_2) - e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{k}\epsilon(z_{12})}V^\pm(z_2)V^\pm(z_1)) &= \frac{1}{k^2}O(z_{12}) \\ z_{12}^{\frac{-2}{k}}(V^-(z_1)V^+(z_2) - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}\epsilon(z_{12})}V^+(z_2)V^-(z_1)) &= \frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{1}{z_{12}^2 + i0} - \frac{1}{z_{21}^2 + i0}\right) + \frac{k+2}{k^2}O(z_{12})\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

where the identity $i\pi\epsilon(z_{12}) = \ln \frac{z_{12} + i0}{z_{21} + i0}$ has been used. The $k \rightarrow \infty$ limit of (36) reproduces the PB's (34) of the classical V_2 -algebra ⁴. The conclusion is that the nonlocal PB's algebra (34) is *semiclassical limit* ($k \rightarrow \infty$) of the PF-algebra and that *the quantization* of the nonlocal currents V^\pm requires *renormalization* of their (classical) spins: $\Delta_q^\pm = \Delta_{cl}^\pm - \frac{1}{k}$. For k -positive integers the global $Z_2 \otimes U(1)$ symmetry of the classical A_1 -NA-Toda model is broken to the $Z_2 \otimes Z_k$ of the quantum theory.

The quantization of the $V_3^{(1,1)}$ -algebra is based on the following *observation* : the $A_2^{(1,1)}$ -NA-Toda model is equivalent to the $U(1)$ -*reduced* Bershadsky-Polyakov $A_2^{(2)}$ -NA-Toda model

⁴The noncompact case $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ corresponds to the change $\phi \rightarrow i\phi$, which turns out to be equivalent to $k \rightarrow -k$ in the OPE's, spins, etc.

(BP) [19, 16]. In fact, the set of constraints (and gauge fixing conditions) (2), (3) for $n = 2$ appears to be the image of the BP-ones [19]

$$J_{-\alpha_2} = \bar{J}_{\alpha_2} = 0, \quad J_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} = \bar{J}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = 1 \quad (37)$$

($J_{-\alpha_1} = 0$ is the gauge fixing condition for the constraint $J_{-\alpha_2} = 0$) and the additional constraint

$$J_{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\cdot H} = \bar{J}_{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\cdot H} = 0 \quad (38)$$

under specific Weyl reflection $\omega_{\alpha_1}(\alpha) = \alpha_1 - (\alpha \cdot \alpha_1)\alpha$. The constraint (38) imposed on the $U(1)$ current transforms $W_3^{(2)}$ -algebra (the symmetry of the original BP-model) into the nonlocal algebra $V_3^{(2)} \equiv V_3^{(1,1)}$:

$$V_3^{(2)} = \{W_3^{(2)}; J_{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\cdot H} = 0\} \quad (39)$$

The *statement* is that $A_2^{(2)}$ and $A_2^{(1,1)}$ -models have identical algebras of symmetries $V_3^{(2)} = V_3^{(1,1)}$ (see eqns. (11)) and their Lagrangeans :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{(2)} &= -\frac{k}{2\pi} \left(\partial\varphi_0 \bar{\partial}\varphi_0 + \frac{e^{\varphi_0} \bar{\partial}\psi_0 \partial\chi_0}{1 + \frac{3}{4}e^{\varphi_0}\psi_0\chi_0} - e^{-2\varphi_0}(1 + \psi_0\chi_0 e^{\varphi_0}) \right) \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1,1)} &= -\frac{k}{2\pi} \left(\partial\varphi \bar{\partial}\varphi + \frac{e^{-\varphi} \bar{\partial}\psi \partial\chi}{1 + \frac{3}{4}e^{-\varphi}\psi\chi} - e^{-2\varphi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are related by the following change of the variables:

$$\psi = \chi_0 e^{\varphi_0} (1 + e^{\varphi_0} \psi_0 \chi_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \chi = \psi_0 e^{\varphi_0} (1 + e^{\varphi_0} \psi_0 \chi_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + e^{\varphi_0} \psi_0 \chi_0)$$

i.e. $\mathcal{L}_2^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}_2^{(1,1)} + \text{total derivative}$.⁵ This fact, together with the OPE's of the $W_3^{(2)}$ -currents $G^\pm, T_W, J(\equiv J_{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\cdot H})$ (of spins $\Delta_{G^\pm} = \frac{3}{2}, \Delta_T = 2, \Delta_J = 1$) (see ref. [19])

$$\begin{aligned} J(z_1)G^\pm(z_2) &= \pm \frac{1}{z_{12}} G^\pm(z_2) + O(z_{12}); \quad J(z_1)J(z_2) = \frac{(2k+3)}{3z_{12}} + O(z_{12}), \\ G^\pm(z_1)G^\pm(z_2) &= O(z_{12}), \text{ etc.}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

lead us to the following relation between G^\pm, T_W , $J = \sqrt{\frac{(2k+3)}{3}} \partial\tilde{\phi}$ and the $V_3^{(1,1)}$ -currents V^\pm, T_V :

$$G^\pm = V^\pm e^{\pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{2k+3}} \tilde{\phi}}, \quad T_W = T_V + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\tilde{\phi})^2. \quad (41)$$

Remind that according to (39) we have to impose

$$J(z_1)V^\pm(z_2) = O(z_{12}) = J(z_1)T_V(z_2). \quad (42)$$

and that the OPE's (40) are compatible with the bosonization of the $U(1)$ current J if the following OPE

$$\tilde{\phi}(z_1)\tilde{\phi}(z_2) = \ln z_{12} + O(z_{12}) \quad (43)$$

⁵The detailed proof is present in our forthcoming paper [13]

takes place. As a consequence of eqns. (40), (41), (42) and (43) we find that the spins of the *quantum currents* V^\pm are *renormalized* ($\Delta_{cl}^\pm = \frac{3}{2}$)

$$\Delta_q^\pm = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2(2k+3)}$$

and that the V^\pm and T_V -OPE's (that define the quantum $V_3^{(1,1)}$) have the form ($k = -3, -\frac{3}{2}, -1$):

$$\begin{aligned} V^\pm(z_1)V^\pm(z_2) &= z_{12}^{-\frac{3}{2k+3}} V_{(2)}^\pm(z_2) + O(z_{12}) \\ V^+(z_1)V^-(z_2) &= z_{12}^{\frac{3}{2k+3}} \left(\frac{(2k+3)(k+1)}{z_{12}^3} - \frac{k+3}{z_{12}} T_V(z_2) \right) + O(z_{12}) \\ T_V(z_1)V^\pm(z_2) &= \frac{\Delta^\pm}{z_{12}^2} V^\pm(z_2) + \frac{1}{z_{12}} \partial V^\pm(z_2) + O(z_{12}) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The $T_V(z_1)T_V(z_2)$ has the standard form of the Virasoro algebra OPE with central charge $c_V = c_W - 1 = -6\frac{(k+1)^2}{(k+3)}$. The $V_3^{(1,1)}$ -algebra (44) is quite similar to the standard PF-algebra [17] and for L -positive integers ($L > 3$) the OPE's (44) involve more currents V_l^\pm , $l = 1, 2, \dots, L-1$, of dimensions $\Delta_l^\pm = \frac{3}{2} \frac{l}{L} (L-l)$, $L = 2k+3$. Following the arguments of ref. [17] we define (Laurent) mode expansion for the currents V^\pm :

$$V^\pm(z)\phi_s^\eta(0) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} z^{\pm\frac{3s}{2L}+m-1\mp\eta} V_{-m\pm\eta-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3(1\mp s)}{2L}}^\pm \phi_s^\eta(0) \quad (45)$$

where $\phi_s^\eta(0)$ denote certain Ramond ($\eta = 1/2, s - \text{odd}$) and Neveu-Schwarz ($\eta = 0, s - \text{even}$) fields, $s = 1, 2, \dots, L-1$. Then the OPE's (44) give rise to the following *PF-type*

“*commutation relations*” for the $V_3^{(1,1)}(L)$ -algebra ($|L| > 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{L+3} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_{(-\frac{3}{L})}^p \left(V_{-\frac{3(s+1)}{2L}+m-p-\eta+\frac{1}{2}}^+ V_{\frac{3(s+1)}{2L}+n+p+\eta-\frac{1}{2}}^- + V_{-\frac{3(1-s)}{2L}+n-p+\eta-\frac{1}{2}}^- V_{\frac{3(1-s)}{2L}+m+p-\eta+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \right) \\ = -L_{m+n} + \frac{(L-1)L}{2(L+3)} \left(\frac{3s}{2L} + n + \eta \right) \left(\frac{3s}{2L} + n + \eta - 1 \right) \delta_{m+n,0} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $C_{(M)}^p = \frac{\Gamma(p-M)}{p!\Gamma(-M)}$, $m, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_{(\frac{3}{L})}^p \left(V_{\frac{3(3\mp s)}{2L}-p+m+\eta-\frac{1}{2}}^\pm V_{\frac{3(1\mp s)}{2L}+p+n+\eta-\frac{1}{2}}^\pm - V_{\frac{3(3\mp s)}{2L}-p+n+\eta-\frac{1}{2}}^\pm V_{\frac{3(1\mp s)}{2L}+p+m+\eta-\frac{1}{2}}^\pm \right) = 0 \quad (47)$$

In the particular cases $L = 2, 3$ the OPE's $V^\pm V^\pm$ have also a pole, which makes eqn. (47) *nonvalid*. The simplest example of such $V_3^{(1,1)}$ algebra $L = 2$ is spanned by V^\pm of $\Delta_L^\pm = \frac{3}{4}$ and T_V only. Its central charge is $c_V(L = 2) = -\frac{3}{5}$. The relations (47) are now substituted by:

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_{(\frac{1}{2})}^p \left(V_{-p+m+\eta-\frac{3}{4}}^- V_{p+n+\eta-\frac{5}{4}}^- + V_{-p+n+\eta-\frac{3}{4}}^- V_{p+m+\eta-\frac{5}{4}}^- \right) = \delta_{m+n+2\eta,0}$$

and similar one for V^+V^+ 's. Again as in the $n = 1$ case one can verify that certain limit of the OPE's (44) reproduces the classical PB's $V_3^{(1,1)}$ -algebra (11).

The relation (41) between $W_3^{(2)}$ and $V_3^{(2)}$ currents leads to the following form of the $W_3^{(2)}$ -(chiral) vertex operators $\phi_{(r_i, s_i)}^W(z)$, $i = 1, 2$ in terms of the $V_3^{(1,1)}$ -ones $\phi_{(r_i, s_i)}^V$ and $\tilde{\phi}$:

$$\phi_{(r_i, s_i)}^W = \phi_{(r_i, s_i)}^V \exp(q_{(r_i, s_i)} \sqrt{\frac{3}{L}} \tilde{\phi}) \quad (48)$$

The construction (48) is a consequence of (41), (43), the OPE's

$$\begin{aligned} T^W(z_1) \phi_{(r, s)}^W(z_2) &= \frac{\Delta_{r, s}^W}{z_{12}^2} \phi_{(r, s)}^W(z_2) + \frac{1}{z_{12}} \partial \phi_{(r, s)}^W(z_2) + O(z_{12}) \\ J(z_1) \phi_{(r, s)}^W(z_2) &= \frac{q_{r, s}}{z_{12}} \phi_{(r, s)}^W(z_2) + O(z_{12}), \end{aligned}$$

which define $\phi_{(r, s)}^W$ as $W_3^{(2)}$ primary fields and the fact that $\phi_{(r, s)}^V$ are J -neutral, i.e. $J(z_1) \phi_{(r, s)}^V(z_2) = O(z_{12})$. Finally we realize that the dimensions of the $V_3^{(1,1)}$ -primary fields $\phi_{(r, s)}^V$ are related to the $\phi_{(r, s)}^W$ -dimensions and charges by the following formula:

$$\Delta_{(r, s)}^V = \Delta_{(r, s)}^W - \frac{3}{2L} q_{(r, s)}^2 \quad (49)$$

Taking into account the explicit values of $\Delta_{(r, s)}^W$ and $q_{(r, s)}$ for the class of “completely degenerate” highest weight representations of $W_3^{(2)}$ (which for rational levels $L + 3 = \frac{4p}{q}$ have been calculated in ref. [19]) we find that the conformal dimensions $\Delta_{(r, s)}^V$ of the “degenerate” representations of $V_3^{(1,1)}$ are given by :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(r, s)}^V &= \frac{1}{32(L+3)} \left((L-3)((L+3)r_{12} - 4s_{12})^2 + 4L((L+3)r_1 - 4s_1)((L+3)r_2 - 4s_2) \right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{4L(L-1)^2}{32(L+3)} - \frac{\eta^W}{8L} [L + 3\eta^W \pm ((L+3)r_{12} - 4s_{12})], \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$1 \leq r_i \leq 2p-1$, $1 \leq s_i \leq 2q-1$, $r_{12} = r_1 - r_2$ where $\eta^W = 0$, r_i -odd integers for the NS-sector, $\eta^W = 1/2$, r_i -even integers for the Ramond-sector and $\eta^W = \frac{1}{2} - \eta$.

The parafermionic features of the V_2 and $V_3^{(1,1)}$ -algebras rises the question whether the quantum $V_{n+1}^{(1,1)}$ -algebras share these properties. Our preliminary calculations of the *renormalized* spins of the *nonlocal* currents V_n^\pm (for the $A_n^{(1,1)}$ -model)

$$\Delta_n^\pm = \frac{n+1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k+n+1} \right)$$

shows that this is indeed the case. An interesting open question is about the *quantum counterpart* of the classical $\hat{SL}(2, R)_q$ -PB's algebra (21), (22), (23). Although we have no satisfactory answer to this question, the particular case $n = 1$, $k = 2$ (critical Ising model) provides a promissing hint. The quantum nonlocal charges Q^+ and \bar{Q}^- coincide in this

case with the Ramond sector's zero modes $\psi_0, \bar{\psi}_0$ of the Ising fermions. Due to the double degeneracy of the lowest energy state $|\sigma_{\pm}\rangle$

$$\psi_0|\sigma_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\sigma_{\mp}\rangle \quad \bar{\psi}_0|\sigma_{\pm}\rangle = \mp i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\sigma_{\mp}\rangle$$

their commutator does not vanish (see Sect. 6 of ref. [20]),

$$[\psi_0, \bar{\psi}_0]|\sigma_{\pm}\rangle = i\Gamma|\sigma_{\pm}\rangle \quad (51)$$

where Γ is the fermion parity operator. The nonvanishing commutator of the “left” and “right” fermionic zero modes *is not in contradiction with the holomorphic factorization* of the critical Ising model. What is important is that the *anticommutator* $[\psi_0, \bar{\psi}_0]_+ = 0$ indeed vanishes.

Acknowledgments .One of us (GS) thanks the Department of Theoretical Physics, UERJ-Rio de Janeiro for the hospitality and financial support. GS also thanks IFT-UNESP, Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique, Université de Montpellier II, DCP-CBPF and Fapesp for the partial financial support at the initial and the final stage of this work. (JFG) thanks ICTP-Trieste for hospitality and support where part of this work was done. This work was partially supported by CNPq.

References

- [1] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1978) 121
- [2] F. A. Bais, H. Weldon, Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 561; Phys. Lett. **79B** (1978) 297
- [3] A. N. Leznov, M. V. Saveliev, Comm. Math. Phys. **74** (1980) 111; Comm. Math. Phys. **89** (1983) 59
- [4] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. **D14** (1991) 314
- [5] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **371** (1992) 269; J. H. Horne, G. T. Horowitz, Nucl. Phys. **B368** (1992) 444 ;P. Ginsparg, F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. **385** (1992) 527
- [6] J.-L. Gervais, M. V. Saveliev, Phys. Lett. **286B** (1992) 271
- [7] V. A. Fateev, A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B280** [FS18] (1987) 644
- [8] V. A. Fateev, S. L. Lykyanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **3** (1988) 507
- [9] A. Bilal, J.-L. Gervais, Nucl. Phys. **B314** (1989) 646
- [10] M. Bershadsky, H. Ooguri, Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1989) 49
- [11] K. Knizhnik, A. Polyakov, A. Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 3** (1988) 819
- [12] A. Bilal, Nucl. Phys. **B422** (1994) 258

- [13] J. F. Gomes, G. M. Sotkov, A. H. Zimerman, *Parafermionic reductions of WZW model*, IFT preprint, IFT-P018/97
- [14] V. G. Drinfeld, Sov. Math. Dokl, **36** (1988) 212; M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986) 247
- [15] D. Bernard, A. Le Clair, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991) 99
- [16] A. Polyakov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 5** (1990) 833
- [17] A. B. Zamolodchikov, V. A. Fateev, Sov. Phys. JETP **62** (1985) 215 ;A.B. Zamolodchikov, and V.A. Fateev, Sov. Phys. JETP **63**,(1985),913
- [18] K. Bardakci, M. Crescimanno, E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. **B344** (1990) 344
- [19] M. Bershadsky, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 71
- [20] P. Furlan, G.M. Sotkov and I.T. Todorov, *Two dimensional Conformal Field Theory*, Rev. N. Cim. V. 12, N0. 6, (1989)
- [21] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241** (1984) 333