

Various Properties of Compact QED and Confining Strings

D.V.ANTONOV *†

*Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin,
Invalidenstrasse 110, D-10115, Berlin, Germany*

Abstract

The effects brought about by the finiteness of the photon mass due to the Debye screening in the monopole gas in three-dimensional compact QED are studied. In this respect, a representation of the partition function of this theory as an integral over monopole densities is derived. Dual formulation of the Wilson loop yields a new theory of confining strings, which in the low-energy limit almost coincides with the one corresponding to the case when the photon is considered to be massless, whereas in the high-energy limit these two theories are quite different from each other. The confining string mass operator in the low-energy limit is also found, and its dependence on the volume of observation is studied.

1. Introduction

Theory of magnetic monopoles in compact QED both on a lattice and in the continuum limit has a long history [1-3]. In Ref. [2], it has been demonstrated that at large distances the partition function of 3D compact QED is nothing else but the one of a gas of monopoles with a Coulomb interaction, which in notations of Ref. [2] reads

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{N=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{q_a=\pm 1} \frac{\zeta^N}{N!} \prod_{i=1}^N \int d\mathbf{z}_i \exp \left[-\frac{\pi}{2e^2} \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{z}_a - \mathbf{z}_b|} \right]. \quad (1)$$

Due to Ref. [2], Eq. (1) could be equivalently rewritten as a partition function of a 3D sine-Gordon model of a scalar field, which effectively substitutes an infinite number of monopoles,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\chi \exp \left\{ -\int d\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \chi)^2 - 2\zeta \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{e} \chi \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Universal Confining String Theory (UCST), proposed in Ref. [3], is a dual formulation of the Wilson loop in the model (2). This formulation enables one to rewrite the interaction of the field χ with a solid angle, formed by the point of observation and the contour C of the Wilson loop, as

*E-mail addresses: antonov@pha2.physik.hu-berlin.de and antonov@vxitep.itep.ru

†On leave of absence from the Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B.Chernushkinskaya 25, 117 218, Moscow, Russia.

an interaction of an antisymmetric tensor field, possessing a multivalued action, with the string world-sheet. In this respect, summation over surfaces bounded by C , i.e. summation over string world-sheets, could be treated as a summation over the branches of this multivalued action.

However, as it has already been discussed in Ref. [2], due to the Debye screening in the monopole gas, the photon in the model under study acquires a mass equal to the mass of the field χ , following from the low-energy expansion of Eq. (2), $m = \frac{2\pi}{e}\sqrt{2\zeta}$. This means that this mass should be taken into account in the monopole interaction from the very beginning, i.e. the Coulomb interaction in Eq. (1) should be replaced by the Yukawa one with the mass m , which leads to the following modification of Eq. (1)

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{N=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{q_a=\pm 1} \frac{\zeta^N}{N!} \prod_{i=1}^N \int d\mathbf{z}_i \exp \left[-\frac{\pi}{2e^2} \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{z}_a - \mathbf{z}_b|} e^{-m|\mathbf{z}_a - \mathbf{z}_b|} \right].$$

This expression could be again represented as a partition function of the sine-Gordon model of an auxiliary scalar field with the mass $2m$,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \exp \left\{ -\int d\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2}\varphi^2 - 2\zeta \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{e}\varphi \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (3)$$

In the next Section, we shall study the effects bringing about by the additional mass term in Eq. (3) both to the 3D compact QED and UCST. First, we shall cast Eq. (3) into the form of an integral over monopole densities, after which we shall study the influence of the mass term to the UCST and treat the obtained string theory both in the low- and high-energy limits. The latter one differs drastically from such a limit corresponding to the case when the photon is considered to be massless.

In Section 3, we shall find the mass operator of a string in the low-energy limit of the UCST, corresponding to the 4D compact QED.

We shall end up with a short Conclusion.

2. Accounting for the Finiteness of the Photon Mass in 3D Compact QED and UCST

Let us start with a representation of Eq. (3) as an integral over monopole densities. To this end, one should introduce into Eq. (3) a unity of the form

$$\int \mathcal{D}\rho \delta \left(\rho(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_a q_a \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}_a) \right) = \int \mathcal{D}\rho \mathcal{D}\mu \exp \left\{ i \left[\int d\mathbf{x} \mu \rho - \sum_a q_a \mu(\mathbf{z}_a) \right] \right\},$$

which, after the change of variables, $\mu \rightarrow \psi \equiv \frac{2\pi}{e}\varphi - \mu$, and integrations over the fields φ and ψ , yields the following representation for the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\rho \exp \left\{ - \left[\frac{\pi}{2e^2} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{x}) \frac{e^{-m|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|} \rho(\mathbf{y}) + V[\rho] \right] \right\},$$

where

$$V[\rho] \equiv \int d\mathbf{x} \left\{ \rho \ln \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\rho}{2\zeta} \right)^2} + \frac{\rho}{2\zeta} \right] - 2\zeta \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\rho}{2\zeta} \right)^2} \right\}$$

is a parabolic-type effective potential, whose asymptotic behaviours at $\rho \ll \zeta$ and $\rho \gg \zeta$ read

$$V[\rho] \longrightarrow \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-2\zeta + \frac{\rho^2}{4\zeta} \right)$$

and

$$V[\rho] \longrightarrow \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\rho \left(\ln \frac{\rho}{\zeta} - 1 \right) \right]$$

respectively. Thus, one can represent 3D compact QED as a nonlocal theory of the monopole densities with the Yukawa interaction and a certain effective potential.

Let us now proceed to the UCST, i.e. to the dual formulation of the Wilson loop

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle &= \left\langle \exp \left[i \sum_a q_a \eta(\mathbf{z}_a) \right] \right\rangle = \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \exp \left\{ - \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2 - 2\zeta \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{e} \varphi + \eta \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int d\sigma_\mu(\mathbf{y}) \frac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})_\mu}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^3}$ stands for the solid angle formed by the point \mathbf{x} and the contour C . This could be done similarly to Ref. [3] by introduction of an auxiliary integration over an antisymmetric tensor field as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}B_{\mu\nu} \exp \left\{ - \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{9}{e^2} B_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{3i}{2\pi} \phi \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2\zeta \cos \phi + \zeta (\phi - \eta)^2 \right] + i \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi \equiv \frac{2\pi}{e} \varphi + \eta$, $d\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} d\sigma_\lambda$, and further elimination of the field ϕ , which has no more kinetic term in the action. However now, contrary to Ref. [3], due to the presence of the mass term $\zeta \phi^2$ of the field ϕ in the Lagrangian, the saddle-point equation for this field has no more simple solutions and could be solved only in the low- or in the high-energy limits.

In the first case, i.e. when $\phi \ll 1$, the Wilson loop takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(C) \rangle &= \exp \left[- \frac{\pi\zeta}{12} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{y}) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|} \right] \cdot \\ &\cdot \int \mathcal{D}B_{\mu\nu} \exp \left[- \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{3}{16\pi^2\zeta} H_{\mu\nu\lambda}^2 + \frac{9}{e^2} B_{\mu\nu}^2 \right) + \frac{i}{2} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $H_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\lambda B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu B_{\lambda\mu}$ is the strength tensor of the field $B_{\mu\nu}$. One can see that except for the $B_{\mu\nu}$ -independent part of the action,

$$\frac{\pi\zeta}{12} \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{y}) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|}, \quad (5)$$

Eq. (4) is just the low-energy limit of the UCST, obtained in Ref. [3] without accounting for the finiteness of the photon mass.

It is easy to calculate the string tension of the Nambu-Goto term and the inverse bare coupling constant of the rigidity term bringing about by Eq. (5). To this end, we shall make use of the results of Ref. [4] and introduce a dimensionless cutoff $L \equiv \frac{e^3}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \sim \frac{e}{\varphi^{\text{extr.}}}$, which is much larger than unity in the low-energy limit of the field φ under consideration. Then the contributions of Eq. (5) to the quantities mentioned above read as follows

$$\Delta\sigma = \frac{\pi^2\zeta^{\frac{2}{3}}}{3}L \sim \frac{\pi^2\zeta^{\frac{1}{6}}e^3}{3} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Delta\frac{1}{\alpha_0} = -\frac{\pi^2}{144}L^3 \sim -\frac{\pi^2}{144}\frac{e^9}{\zeta^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

respectively.

Another case, when it is also possible to solve the saddle-point equation, is the case $\phi \gg 1$. This could be done by making use of the iterative procedure, which in the first order yields the following expression for the Wilson loop

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}B_{\mu\nu} \exp \left\{ - \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{3H_{\mu\nu\lambda}^2}{8\pi^2\zeta} + \frac{9}{e^2}B_{\mu\nu}^2 - 2\zeta \cos \left(\frac{i}{4\pi\zeta}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}H_{\mu\nu\lambda} + \eta \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (7)$$

One can see that Eq. (7) is quite different from the expression for the UCST partition function corresponding to the case when the photon is considered to be massless, studied in Ref. [3].

3. Mass Operator of the Confining String in the Low-Energy Limit

In the low-energy limit, the kinetic term of the field $B_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (4) vanishes, and we are left with the string described by the action (5), interacting with a constant antisymmetric tensor field with a certain Gaussian measure, which should be eventually averaged over. For simplicity, one can take into account only the Nambu-Goto term in the expansion of the action (5), whose string tension is given by Eq. (6).

The Nambu-Goto string interacting with a constant antisymmetric tensor field with a Gaussian measure appears also in the low-energy limit of the 4D UCST, studied in Ref. [5], where the finiteness of the photon mass has not been taken into account. In this case, it appears however not in the simplest approximation, when one treats the field $B_{\mu\nu}$ as a constant one. Namely, let us consider the low-energy expression for the partition function of the 4D UCST (i.e. the dual expression for the Wilson loop in the confining phase of 4D compact QED),

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}B_{\mu\nu} \exp \left[- \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{12\Lambda^2}H_{\mu\nu\lambda}^2 + \frac{1}{4e^2}B_{\mu\nu}^2 \right) + i \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu} \right],$$

where Λ stands for the UV momentum cutoff, and split the total field $B_{\mu\nu}$ into an x -independent background part, $b_{\mu\nu}$, and a quantum fluctuation, $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$. Since due to the Hodge decomposition theorem [6], $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ could be always represented in the form $h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + \partial_\lambda C_{\lambda\mu\nu}$, where A_μ and $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ stand for a polar vector and an antisymmetric rank-3 tensor respectively, the term $\frac{1}{2e^2} \int d^4x b_{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$ during this splitting vanishes by virtue of partial integration, and we arrive at the following expression for the Wilson loop

$$\langle W(C) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{\mu, \nu=1; \mu \neq \nu}^4 db_{\mu\nu} \exp \left(-\frac{V^{(4)} b_{\mu\nu}^2}{4e^2} + i \int d\sigma_{\mu\nu} b_{\mu\nu} \right) \cdot \exp \left[-\int d\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(x) \int d\sigma_{\lambda\rho}(x') D_{\alpha\beta, \lambda\rho}(x-x') \right],$$

where $V^{(4)}$ is the four-volume of observation, and $D_{\alpha\beta, \lambda\rho}(x-x')$ stands for the propagator of the massive Kalb-Ramond field $h_{\mu\nu}$. What is important for us here is not the explicit form of this propagator, but the fact that the leading term of the derivative expansion of the action $\int d\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(x) \int d\sigma_{\lambda\rho}(x') D_{\alpha\beta, \lambda\rho}(x-x')$ is the Nambu-Goto one with the string tension [5] $\sigma = \frac{\Lambda^2}{4\pi} K_0 \left(\frac{1}{4e \exp(\frac{\text{const.}}{e^2})} \right)$, where K_0 stands for the Macdonald function. Thus we have again arrived at a theory of the Nambu-Goto string interacting with the constant antisymmetric tensor field $b_{\mu\nu}$, which possesses the quadratic action $S[b_{\mu\nu}] = \frac{V^{(4)} b_{\mu\nu}^2}{4e^2}$.

In what follows, for concreteness, we shall study this very theory, rather than the analogous one, described in the first paragraph of the present Section, which follows from 3D compact QED, and find the mass operator of the confining string in this theory. To this end, we shall make use of the result of Ref. [7], where the mass operator of the Nambu-Goto string in the external constant electromagnetic field has been found. The difference of our case from the one studied in Ref. [7] is the necessity of performing the average over the field $b_{\mu\nu}$. In this way, we find the following expression for the operator of the square of mass of the confining string

$$M^2 = 2\pi\sigma \left[\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n : \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n : -\alpha(0) \right] \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{\mu, \nu=1; \mu \neq \nu}^4 db_{\mu\nu} \frac{e^{-S[b_{\mu\nu}]}}{1 + \frac{2}{\sigma^2} (b_{12}^2 + b_{13}^2 + b_{14}^2 + b_{21}^2 + b_{31}^2 + b_{41}^2)}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{\mu, \nu=1; \mu \neq \nu}^4 db_{\mu\nu} e^{-S[b_{\mu\nu}]}}}, \quad (8)$$

where the eigennumbers of the operator $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n : \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n :$ are equal to 1, 2, ..., and $\alpha(0) < 0$. In order to carry out the integral standing in the numerator on the R.H.S. of Eq. (8), one should split the infinite interval of integration over the absolute value of the six-dimensional vector into two pieces, from 0 to $\frac{\sigma}{2e} \sqrt{\frac{V^{(4)}}{2}}$ and from $\frac{\sigma}{2e} \sqrt{\frac{V^{(4)}}{2}}$ to $+\infty$, and neglect in these two intervals the terms $\frac{2}{\sigma^2} (b_{12}^2 + b_{13}^2 + b_{14}^2 + b_{21}^2 + b_{31}^2 + b_{41}^2)$ and 1 in the integrand, respectively. Then Eq. (8) yields the following value of the operator of the square of mass of the confining string in the low-energy limit

$$M^2 = 2\pi\sigma \left[\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n : \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n : -\alpha(0) \right] \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma^2 V^{(4)}}{8e^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 V^{(4)}}{8e^2} + 1 \right] \exp \left(-\frac{\sigma^2 V^{(4)}}{8e^2} \right) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

which could be checked to be always positive. In Eq. (9), the effect of the finiteness of the volume of observation has been taken into account. The value of M^2 in the limit $V^{(4)} \rightarrow +\infty$ is obvious.

4. Conclusion

In the present Letter, we have addressed two problems. In Section 2, we have studied the influence of the effects bringing about by the finiteness of the photon mass in the 3D compact QED to the representation of the partition function of this theory as an integral over monopole densities.

As a result, we have obtained the nonlocal theory of these densities with the Yukawa interaction and a certain parabolic-type effective potential. Next, we have investigated the influence of the finiteness of the photon mass to the dual formulation of the Wilson loop, i.e. to the theory of confining strings. In the low-energy limit, the obtained string theory coincides (apart from the additional contribution (5) to the action) with the one corresponding to the case when the photon mass is not taken into account, whereas in the high-energy case, described by Eq. (7), these two theories are quite different from each other.

In Section 3, we have found the mass operator of the confining string corresponding to the low-energy limit of the 4D compact QED, where the photon has been considered to be massless. In the final result (9), the dependence on the four-volume of observation has been explicitly presented.

5. Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to Prof. H. Kleinert for bringing his attention to some Refs. of [1] and Dr. H. Dorn and V.I. Shevchenko for bringing his attention to Ref. [6]. He is also grateful to the theory group of the Quantum Field Theory Department of the Institut für Physik of the Humboldt-Universität of Berlin for kind hospitality. This work is supported by Graduiertenkolleg *Elementarteilchenphysik*, Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project 96-02-19184, DFG-RFFI, grant 436 RUS 113/309/0, and by the INTAS, grant No.94-2851.

References

- [1] K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2445; T. Banks, R. Myerson, and J. Kogut, Nucl. Phys. B 129 (1977) 493; M. Peskin, Ann. Phys. 113 (1978) 122; J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B 170 (1980) 369; T.A. De Grand and D. Toussaint, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2478; P. Cea and L. Cosmai, Phys. Lett. B 249 (1990) 114; H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. B 246 (1990) 127, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7 (1992) 4693, Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992) 168; K. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 2493; E.T. Akhmedov, M.N. Chernodub, M.I. Polikarpov, and M.A. Zubkov, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 2087; E.T. Akhmedov, JETP Lett. 64 (1996) 82.
- [2] A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B 120 (1977) 429.
- [3] A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B 486 (1997) 23.
- [4] D.V. Antonov, D. Ebert, and Yu.A. Simonov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11 (1996) 1905.
- [5] M.C. Diamantini, F. Quevedo, and C.A. Trugenberger, Phys. Lett. B 396 (1997) 115; M.C. Diamantini and C.A. Trugenberger, preprints UGVA-DPT 1997/11-992 and hep-th/9712008 (1997).
- [6] See e.g. M. Nakahara, Geometry, Topology, and Physics (England, London, 1990).
- [7] B.M. Barbashov, V.V. Nesterenko, and A.M. Chervyakov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 32 (1977) 336.