

Multi-Instanton Check of the Relation Between the Prepotential \mathcal{F} and the Modulus u in $N = 2$ SUSY Yang-Mills Theory

NICHOLAS DOREY

*Physics Department, University College of Swansea
Swansea SA2 8PP UK n.dorey@swansea.ac.uk*

VALENTIN V. KHOZE

*Department of Physics, Centre for Particle Theory, University of Durham
Durham DH1 3LE UK valya.khoze@durham.ac.uk*

and

MICHAEL P. MATTIS

*Theoretical Division T-8, Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, NM 87545 USA mattis@pion.lanl.gov*

By examining multi-instantons in $N = 2$ supersymmetric $SU(2)$ gauge theory, we derive, on very general grounds, and to all orders in the instanton number, a relationship between the prepotential $\mathcal{F}(\Phi)$, and the coordinate on the quantum moduli space $u = \langle \text{Tr} \Phi^2 \rangle$. This relation was previously obtained by Matone in the context of the explicit Seiberg-Witten low-energy solution of the model. Our findings can be viewed as a multi-instanton check of the proposed exact results in supersymmetric gauge theory.

June 1996.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The concept of electric-magnetic duality has led to remarkable recent progress in understanding the low energy physics of certain (3+1) dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories. In this note we focus on pure $N = 2$ supersymmetric $SU(2)$ gauge theory, the exact low-energy limit of which has been given by Seiberg and Witten [1]. As sketched below, their solution consists of explicit expressions for the vevs $a(u)$ and $a_D(u)$ of the Higgs field A and its dual field A_D , as functions of the parameter u

$$u \equiv \langle \frac{1}{2} A^a A^a \rangle . \quad (1)$$

Alternatively, Matone [2] has recast these as predictions for the $N = 2$ prepotential $\mathcal{F}(a)$ and the parameter $u \equiv \mathcal{G}(a)$ as functions of the vev a ; explicitly, one finds [2,3]

$$\mathcal{G}(a) = \pi i \left(\mathcal{F}(a) - \frac{1}{2} a \mathcal{F}'(a) \right) , \quad (2a)$$

$$(4\Lambda^4 - \mathcal{G}^2) \mathcal{G}''(a) + \frac{1}{4} a (\mathcal{G}'(a))^3 = 0 . \quad (2b)$$

These equations are naturally Taylor expanded in the RG-invariant scale parameter Λ^4 , the n th power of which captures the contribution of the n -instanton sector. Thus instantons provide an important means of checking the Seiberg-Witten solution (2) directly—without appealing to duality, nor to arguments about the number and nature of the singularities in the analytic u plane. That Eq. (2b) holds at the 1-instanton and 2-instanton level (with \mathcal{G} eliminated in favor of \mathcal{F} as per Eq. (2a)) has been verified in Refs. [4] and [5], respectively. Subsequently, using the methods of Refs. [4,5], the authors of Ref. [6] have verified Eq. (2a) as well, through the 2-instanton level. In this note, we point out that Eq. (2a) is in fact built into the instanton calculus, and holds automatically at the n -instanton level, for all n .

2. REVIEW

The particle content of pure $N = 2$ supersymmetric $SU(2)$ gauge theory consists, in $N = 1$ language, of a gauge multiplet $W_\alpha^a = (v_m^a, \lambda^a)$ coupled to a complex chiral matter multiplet $\Phi^a = (A^a, \psi^a)$ which transform in the adjoint representation of $SU(2)$, $a = 1, 2, 3$. Here v_m^a is the gauge field, A^a is the Higgs field, Weyl fermions λ^a and ψ^a are the gaugino and Higgsino. Classically, the adjoint scalar A^a can have an arbitrary complex vev, $(0, 0, a)$, breaking $SU(2)$ down to $U(1)$. $N = 2$ supersymmetry remains unbroken, and protects the flat direction in a from being lifted by quantum corrections. Different values

of a span a one complex dimensional family of theories, known as the quantum moduli space.

The isospin component of the fields that is aligned with the vev $(0, 0, a)$ remains massless, whereas the remaining two components acquire a mass $M_W = \sqrt{2}|a|$. For length scales $x \gg 1/M_W$ the massless modes can be described by Wilsonian effective action in terms of an $N = 1$ photon superfield $W_\alpha = (v_m, \lambda)$ and chiral superfield $\Phi = (A, \psi)$. $N = 2$ supersymmetry restricts the terms in the effective action with not more than two derivatives or four fermions to the following form [7,8,1]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{Im} \left[\int d^4\theta \mathcal{F}'(\Phi) \bar{\Phi} + \int d^2\theta \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}''(\Phi) W^\alpha W_\alpha \right]. \quad (3)$$

Thus \mathcal{L}_{eff} is specified by a single object, the holomorphic prepotential \mathcal{F} . Its second derivative is just the running complexified coupling $\tau(a)$ [1]:

$$\mathcal{F}''(a) = \tau(a) = \frac{4\pi i}{g^2(a)} + \frac{\vartheta(a)}{2\pi}, \quad (4)$$

where ϑ is the effective theta-parameter. The first derivative $\mathcal{F}'(\Phi)$ defines the superfield Φ_D dual to Φ . This is the local field of the dual magnetic description of the low-energy theory [1]. It has vev

$$a_D = \langle \Phi_D \rangle = \langle A_D \rangle = \mathcal{F}'(a). \quad (5)$$

The vevs a and a_D provide alternative local parametrizations of the moduli space of the theory. However, neither a nor a_D is a good global coordinate on the quantum moduli space; instead they are traded for a gauge-invariant parameter u , Eq. (1), which is. By determining the behavior of $a(u)$ and $a_D(u)$ in the vicinity of their hypothesized singularities, Seiberg and Witten were able to reconstruct them exactly [1]:

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_{-2\Lambda^2}^{2\Lambda^2} \frac{dx \sqrt{x-u}}{\sqrt{x^2 - 4\Lambda^4}}, \quad (6a)$$

$$a_D = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_{2\Lambda^2}^u \frac{dx \sqrt{x-u}}{\sqrt{x^2 - 4\Lambda^4}}. \quad (6b)$$

Here Λ is the dynamically generated scale of the effective $U(1)$ theory which can be matched [4] to the dynamical scale of the microscopic $SU(2)$ theory, Λ_{PV} , computed in the Pauli-Villars regularization scheme—the natural scheme for doing instanton calculations. These expressions are singular at $u \rightarrow \pm 2\Lambda^2$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$. Physically, the singularities at $+2\Lambda^2$ and $-2\Lambda^2$ correspond, respectively, to the monopole and the dyon becoming massless,

while the singularity at ∞ is a perturbative one-loop effect and follows from the asymptotic freedom of the original theory.

In order to extract the prepotential from Eq. (6), one formally inverts Eq. (6a), $u = \mathcal{G}(a)$, and then uses Eqs. (5) and (6b) to obtain:

$$\mathcal{F}''(a) = \left. \frac{a'_D(u)}{a'(u)} \right|_{u=\mathcal{G}(a)}. \quad (7)$$

This procedure yields the following expansions for u and \mathcal{F} :

$$u \equiv \mathcal{G}(a) = \mathcal{G}_{\text{clas}}(a) + \mathcal{G}_{\text{inst}}(a) = \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_n \left(\frac{\Lambda}{a} \right)^{4n} a^2, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(a) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{pert}}(a) + \mathcal{F}_{\text{inst}}(a) = \frac{i}{2\pi} a^2 \log \frac{2a^2}{e^3 \Lambda^2} - \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n \left(\frac{\Lambda}{a} \right)^{4n} a^2. \quad (9)$$

That the expansion for \mathcal{F} has this general form had been known for some time [7] with the n th term in the series being an n -instanton effect. The new information in the Seiberg-Witten solution is the numerical value [9,2] of each of the coefficients \mathcal{F}_n ; in particular,¹ $\mathcal{F}_1 = 1/2$, with the higher \mathcal{F}_n 's ($\mathcal{F}_2 = 5/16$, $\mathcal{F}_3 = \frac{3}{4}$, $\mathcal{F}_4 = \frac{1469}{29}$, $\mathcal{F}_5 = \frac{4471}{40}$, ...) being determined by a recursion relation implied by Eqs. (2) [2]. This constitutes a set of highly non-trivial predictions for all multi-instanton contributions to the low-energy physics in this theory.

From hereon in we focus on the relation (2a). Differentiating both sides with respect to u gives the following constancy condition on the Wronskian $W(a, a_D) = a(u)a'_D(u) - a_D(u)a'(u)$:

$$1 = -\frac{i\pi}{2} W(a, a_D). \quad (10)$$

Up to two constants (an integration constant, and the multiplicative factor in Eq. (10), both of which are fixed by examining the asymptotically free large- $|u|$ regime [2]), Eq. (2a) is therefore tantamount to the condition $dW/du = 0$. This, in turn, implies that a and a_D satisfy a common linear homogeneous second-order differential equation with a vanishing

¹ Numerical values of \mathcal{F}_n depend on the normalization of a and the prescription for Λ . In our conventions [5], the \mathcal{F}_n are those of Ref. [9] times a factor of 2^{6n-2} .

first-derivative term. For the specific Seiberg-Witten solution (6) this equation has in fact been found, and reads [2,9]

$$\left[(4\Lambda^2 - u^2) \frac{d^2}{du^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right] a = \left[(4\Lambda^2 - u^2) \frac{d^2}{du^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right] a_D = 0 . \quad (11)$$

But it is clear that Eq. (2a), unlike Eq. (2b), is more general than the specific solution (6). As reviewed in Ref. [10], this linear ODE condition is in fact the natural paradigm for understanding the monodromy conditions [1] on a and a_D .

3. MULTI-INSTANTON CHECK OF EQ. (2a).

Expanding Eq. (2a) in the instanton number n gives the following simple rewrite [2]:

$$\mathcal{G}_n = 2n\mathcal{F}_n . \quad (12)$$

This relation has recently been checked for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ in Ref. [6]. In what follows we give the proof of Eq. (12) for all instanton numbers.

To understand the multi-instanton contributions to \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{F} , we first need to review some general features of the collective coordinate measure. In bosonic pure gauge theory the general n -instanton solution of Atiyah, Drinfeld, Hitchin and Manin (ADHM) [11] contains $8n$ collective coordinates. In $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory, these are augmented by $8n$ fermionic collective coordinates which parametrize the gaugino and higgsino adjoint fermion zero modes [12]. Denoting the $8n$ unconstrained bosonic and fermionic coordinates as X_i and χ_i , respectively, one may express the measure for n ADHM instantons [13] in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory as follows (see Sec. 7.5 of [5]):

$$\int d\mu_n = \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}_n} \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{8n} dX_i d\chi_i \right) (J_{\text{bose}}/J_{\text{fermi}})^{1/2} \exp(-S_{n\text{-inst}}) . \quad (13)$$

Here J_{bose} (J_{fermi}) is the Jacobian for the bosonic (fermionic) collective coordinates, while the super-multi-instanton action $S_{n\text{-inst}}$ was calculated in Sec. 7.4 of Ref. [5]. An important simplification in a supersymmetric theory is that there are no additional small-fluctuations 't Hooft determinants to be calculated, as the non-zero eigenvalues for bosonic and fermionic excitations cancel identically. Finally, as explained in [13,5], to obtain the correct normalization of the measure, one must divide out the relevant symmetry factor \mathcal{S}_n .

In truth, the construction of \mathcal{S}_n , J_{bose} and J_{fermi} depends on one's ability to isolate $8n$ independent bosonic collective coordinates from the unfortunately overcomplete set of

ADHM variables—currently an unsolved problem for $n \geq 3$. However, these issues are entirely irrelevant for present purposes; this is because Eq. (12) is diagonal in instanton number (unlike Matone’s recursion relation [2] that follows from Eq. (2b), which connects different n sectors).

As explained in detail in Ref. [5], most of the zero modes of the vevless super-multi-instanton are lifted by the vev of the adjoint Higgs field through the Yukawa terms in the action. We shall find it convenient to single out from the measure $d\mu_n$ the integrations over the eight unlifted modes: global translations, d^4x_0 , and the supersymmetric collective coordinates for gaugino and higgsino, $d^2\xi_1$ and $d^2\xi_2$,

$$\int d\mu_n = \int d^4x_0 d^2\xi_1 d^2\xi_2 \int d\tilde{\mu}_n . \quad (14)$$

The general n -instanton contribution to the functional integral for the quantum modulus u is simply

$$\mathcal{G}(a) \equiv u \equiv \langle \frac{1}{2} A^a A^a \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mu_n A_{\text{inh}}^a A_{\text{inh}}^a . \quad (15)$$

Here A_{inh}^a is the solution of the inhomogeneous Euler-Lagrange equation,

$$\mathcal{D}^2 \underline{A} = \sqrt{2} i [\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\psi}] , \quad (16)$$

in the super-multi-instanton background. We use undertwiddling for fields in $SU(2)$ matrix notation, $\underline{A} \equiv \sum_{a=1,2,3} A^a \tau^a / 2$, where τ^a are Pauli matrices. A_{inh}^a was found for the general case in Sec. 7.3 of Ref. [5].

For the problem at hand we need not use the complete expression for A_{inh}^a . Since the action $S_{n\text{-inst}}$ does not depend on the the supersymmetric collective coordinates for gaugino and higgsino, ξ_1 and ξ_2 , Grassmann integrations over these unlifted fermionic collective coordinates will produce a non-zero result only if there is an explicit dependence on ξ_1 and ξ_2 in the integrand $A_{\text{inh}}^a A_{\text{inh}}^a$ of (15). Thus it suffices to keep only the term in A_{inh}^a with explicit bilinear dependence on ξ_1 and ξ_2 , and to drop the rest. This term, which we denote A_{ss}^a , is a solution of Eq. (16) with the right-hand side made of only supersymmetric fermion zero modes for λ and ψ . The nice observation of Ref. [6] is that this bilinear piece is proportional to the ADHM field strength,²

$$\underline{A}_{\text{ss}} = \sqrt{2} \xi_2 \sigma^{mn} \xi_1 \underline{v}_{mn}^{\text{ADHM}} , \quad (17)$$

² This observation follows immediately from some of the explicit formulae in Ref. [5]; see Eq. (4.3b) in the 1-instanton sector; and in the general n -instanton sector, compare the expression for v_{mn} given in Sec. 6.1, on the one hand, with the relevant bilinear piece of the Higgs extracted from Eqs. (7.23) and (7.5) on the other hand.

so that

$$A_{\text{ss}}^a A_{\text{ss}}^a = -\xi_1^2 \xi_2^2 v_{mn}^a \text{ADHM} v_{mn}^a \text{ADHM} . \quad (18)$$

Substituting this into Eq. (15), performing the Grassmann integrals, $\int d^2\xi_1 \xi_1^2 \int d^2\xi_2 \xi_2^2 = 1$, and integrating over global translations,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x_0 v_{mn}^a \text{ADHM} v_{mn}^a \text{ADHM} = 16\pi^2 n , \quad (19)$$

gives the general n -instanton expression for $\mathcal{G}(a)$ in terms of the reduced n -instanton measure $d\tilde{\mu}_n$ of Eq. (14):

$$\mathcal{G}(a) |_{n\text{-inst}} \equiv \mathcal{G}_n \left(\frac{\Lambda}{a} \right)^{4n} a^2 = -16\pi^2 n \int d\tilde{\mu}_n . \quad (20)$$

In what follows we derive the general n -instanton expression for $\mathcal{F}(a)$:

$$\mathcal{F}(a) |_{n\text{-inst}} \equiv \frac{-i}{\pi} \mathcal{F}_n \left(\frac{\Lambda}{a} \right)^{4n} a^2 = 8\pi i \int d\tilde{\mu}_n . \quad (21)$$

The advertised all-orders relation (12) follows immediately from a comparison of (20) and (21).

The calculation of \mathcal{F}_n proceeds in a few short steps:

1. Write out \mathcal{L}_{eff} , Eq. (3), in components as per Ref. [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{Im} \left[-\mathcal{F}''(A) \left(\partial_m A^\dagger \partial^m A + i\psi \not{\partial} \bar{\psi} + i\lambda \not{\partial} \bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} (v_{mn}^{\text{SD}})^2 \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{F}'''(A) \lambda \sigma^{mn} \psi v_{mn} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}''''(A) \psi^2 \lambda^2 \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

ignoring the (sub-leading) dependence on the auxiliary component fields.

2. Concentrate on the four-fermion term in \mathcal{L}_{eff} :

$$\frac{-i}{32\pi} \mathcal{F}''''(a) \psi^2 \lambda^2 , \quad (23)$$

which gives for the 4-point Green function:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}(x_1) \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}(x_2) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\gamma}}(x_3) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\delta}}(x_4) \rangle = \\ \frac{-i}{8\pi} \mathcal{F}''''(a) \int d^4y \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x_1 - y) S_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(x_2 - y) \epsilon^{\gamma\delta} S_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(x_3 - y) S_{\delta\dot{\delta}}(x_4 - y) , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

in terms of Weyl fermion propagator $S(x)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$.

3. Consider the n -instanton contribution to this Green function:

$$\langle \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}(x_1) \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}(x_2) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\gamma}}(x_3) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\delta}}(x_4) \rangle = \int d\mu_n \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}(x_1) \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}(x_2) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\gamma}}(x_3) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\delta}}(x_4) , \quad (25)$$

where the long-distance limit of the anti-fermion components of the multi-instanton satisfy [5]:

$$\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}(x) = i\sqrt{2} \frac{\partial S_{n\text{-inst}}}{\partial a} \xi_1^{\alpha} S_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x - x_0) \quad (26)$$

and likewise

$$\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}(x) = -i\sqrt{2} \frac{\partial S_{n\text{-inst}}}{\partial a} \xi_2^{\alpha} S_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x - x_0) . \quad (27)$$

Here we carefully distinguish between a and \bar{a} and use the fact³ that $S_{n\text{-inst}}$ is at most linear in a (bilinear in a and \bar{a}).

4. Performing the Grassmann integrations over $d^2\xi_1$ and $d^2\xi_2$ on the right hand side of (25),

$$\int d^4x_0 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x_1 - x_0) S_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(x_2 - x_0) \epsilon^{\gamma\delta} S_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(x_3 - x_0) S_{\delta\dot{\delta}}(x_4 - x_0) \int d\tilde{\mu}_n \left(\frac{\partial S_{n\text{-inst}}}{\partial a} \right)^4 \quad (28)$$

and using the fact [5] that the only dependence on the vev a in the measure $d\tilde{\mu}_n$ is through $\exp(-S_{n\text{-inst}})$ and that $S_{n\text{-inst}}$ is linear in a , we finally obtain

$$\frac{\partial^4}{\partial a^4} \int d\tilde{\mu}_n \int d^4x_0 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x_1 - x_0) S_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(x_2 - x_0) \epsilon^{\gamma\delta} S_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(x_3 - x_0) S_{\delta\dot{\delta}}(x_4 - x_0) . \quad (29)$$

5. Comparing the right hand sides of Eqs. (24) and (29) we derive the desired expression (21), and thus $\mathcal{G}_n = 2n\mathcal{F}_n$.

The work of ND was supported in part by a PPARC Advanced Research Fellowship; both ND and VK were supported in part by the Nuffield Foundation; MM was supported by the Department of Energy.

³ In particular see Eq. (7.32) in the more recent, slightly expanded version of Ref. [5] where $S_{n\text{-inst}}$ is given explicitly in the general case of complex vev. The corresponding expression in the old version of [5] is specific to the case of real vev and does not make this distinction.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994) 19, (E) B430 (1994) 485 hep-th/9407087
- [2] M. Matone, *Instantons and recursion relations in $N = 2$ SUSY gauge theory* Phys. Lett. B357 (1995) 342, hep-th/9506102.
- [3] For more recent work along these lines see for example:
G. Bonelli and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 4107, hep-th/9602174;
J. Sonnenschein, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. 367B (1996) 145, hep-th/9510129.
- [4] D. Finnell and P. Pouliot, *Instanton calculations versus exact results in 4 dimensional SUSY gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B453 (95) 225, hep-th/9503115.
- [5] N. Dorey, V. Khoze and M.P. Mattis, *Multi-instanton calculus in $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory*, hep-th/9603136, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
- [6] F. Fucito and G. Travaglini, *Instanton calculus and nonperturbative relations in $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories*, ROM2F-96-32, hep-th/9605215.
- [7] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B206 (1988) 75.
- [8] J. Gates, Nucl. Phys. B238 (1984) 349.
- [9] A. Klemm, W. Lerche and S. Theisen, *Nonperturbative effective actions of $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories*, CERN-TH/95-104, hep-th/9505150.
- [10] See Sec. 6 of A. Bilal, *Duality in $N = 2$ SUSY $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory: A pedagogical introduction to the work of Seiberg and Witten*, LPTENS-95-53, hep-th/9601007.
- [11] M. F. Atiyah, V. G. Drinfeld, N. J. Hitchin and Yu. I. Manin, Phys. Lett. A65 (1978) 185.
- [12] E. Corrigan, P. Goddard and S. Templeton, Nucl. Phys. B151 (1979) 93;
E. Corrigan, D. Fairlie, P. Goddard and S. Templeton, Nucl. Phys. B140 (1978) 31.
- [13] H. Osborn, Ann. Phys. 135 (1981) 373.