

2D conformal field theory and vertex operator algebras

Matthias R. Gaberdiel
Institut für Theoretische Physik
ETH Zürich, ETH-Hönggerberg
8093 Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail: gaberdiel@itp.phys.ethz.ch

2 September 2005

Introduction

For the last twenty years or so two-dimensional conformal field theories have played an important role in different areas of modern theoretical physics. One of the main applications of conformal field theory has been in string theory (see the articles on string and superstring theory), where the excitations of the string are described, from the point of view of the world-sheet, by a two-dimensional conformal field theory. Conformal field theories have also been studied in the context of statistical physics since the critical points of second order phase transition are typically described by a conformal field theory. Finally, conformal field theories are interesting solvable toy models of genuinely interacting quantum field theories.

From an abstract point of view, conformal field theories are (Euclidean) quantum field theories that are characterized by the property that their symmetry group contains, in addition to the Euclidean symmetries, local conformal transformations, *i.e.* transformations that preserve angles but not necessarily lengths. The local conformal symmetry is of special importance in two dimensions since the corresponding symmetry algebra is infinite-dimensional

in this case. As a consequence, two-dimensional conformal field theories have an infinite number of conserved quantities, and are essentially solvable by symmetry considerations alone. The mathematical formulation of these symmetries has led to the concept of a vertex operator algebra that has become a new branch of mathematics in its own right. In particular, it has played a major role in the explanation of ‘monstrous moonshine’ for which Richard Borcherds received the fields medal in 1998.

The conformal symmetry group

The conformal symmetry group of the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n consists of the (locally defined) transformations that preserve angles but not necessarily lengths. The transformations that preserve angles as well as lengths are the well-known translations and rotations. The conformal group contains (in any dimension) in addition the *dilatations* or scale transformations

$$x^\mu \mapsto \tilde{x}^\mu = \lambda x^\mu, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, as well as the so-called *special conformal transformations*,

$$x^\mu \mapsto \tilde{x}^\mu = \frac{x^\mu + \mathbf{x}^2 a^\mu}{1 + 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{x}^2 \mathbf{a}^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $a^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{x}^2 = x^\mu x_\mu$. (Note that this last transformation is only defined for $x^\mu \neq -a^\mu/\mathbf{a}^2$.)

If the dimension n of the space \mathbb{R}^n is bigger than two, one can show that the full conformal group is generated by these transformations. For $n = 2$, however, the group of (locally defined) conformal transformations is much larger. To see this it is convenient to introduce complex coordinates for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by defining $z = x + iy$ and $\bar{z} = x - iy$. Then any (locally) *analytic* function $f(z)$ defines a conformal transformation by $z \mapsto f(z)$, since analytic maps preserve angles. (Incidentally, the same also applies to $z \mapsto \bar{f(\bar{z})}$, but this would reverse the orientation.) Clearly, the group of such transformations is infinite dimensional; this is a special feature of two dimensions.

In this complex notation, the transformations that are generated by translations, rotations, dilatations and special conformal transformations simply

generate the Möbius group of automorphisms of the Riemann sphere

$$z \mapsto f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad (3)$$

where a, b, c, d are complex constants with $ad - bc \neq 0$; since rescaling a, b, c, d by a common complex number does not modify (3), the Möbius group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2$. In addition to these transformations (that are globally defined on the Riemann sphere), we have an infinite set of infinitesimal transformations generated by $L_n : z \mapsto z + \epsilon z^{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The generators $L_{\pm 1}$ and L_0 generate the subgroup of Möbius transformations, and their commutation relations are simply

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n) L_{m+n}. \quad (4)$$

In fact, (4) describes also the commutation relations of all generators L_n with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$: this is the Lie algebra of (locally defined) two-dimensional conformal transformations — it is called the *Witt algebra*.

The general structure of conformal field theory

A two-dimensional conformal field theory is determined (like any other field theory) by its space of states and the collection of its correlation functions (vacuum expectation values). The space of states is a vector space \mathcal{H} (that is in many interesting examples a Hilbert space), and the correlation functions are defined for collections of vectors in some dense subspace of \mathcal{H} . These correlation functions are defined on a two-dimensional (Euclidean) space. We shall mainly be interested in the case where the underlying two-dimensional space is a closed compact surface; the other important case concerning surfaces with boundaries (whose analysis was pioneered by Cardy) will be reviewed elsewhere (see the article on ‘Boundary conformal field theory’). The closed surfaces are classified (topologically) by their genus g which counts the number of handles; the simplest such surface which we shall mainly consider is the sphere with $g = 0$, the surface with $g = 1$ is the torus, *etc.*

One of the special features of conformal field theory is the fact that the theory is naturally defined on a *Riemann surface* (or *complex curve*), *i.e.* on a surface that possesses suitable complex coordinates. In the case of the sphere,

the complex coordinates can be taken to be those of the complex plane that cover the sphere except for the point at infinity; complex coordinates around infinity are defined by means of the coordinate function $\gamma(z) = 1/z$ that maps a neighborhood of infinity to a neighborhood of zero. With this choice of complex coordinates, the sphere is usually referred to as the *Riemann sphere*, and this choice of complex coordinates is up to Möbius transformations unique. The correlation functions of a conformal field theory that is defined on the sphere are thus of the form

$$\langle 0|V(\psi_1; z_1, \bar{z}_1) \cdots V(\psi_n; z_n, \bar{z}_n)|0\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where $V(\psi, z, \bar{z})$ is the field that is associated to the state ψ , and z_i and \bar{z}_i are complex conjugates of one another. Here $|0\rangle$ denotes the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2$ -invariant vacuum. The usual locality assumption of a two-dimensional (bosonic) Euclidean quantum field theory implies that these correlation functions are independent of the order in which the fields appear in (5).

It is conventional to think of $z = 0$ as describing ‘past infinity’, and $z = \infty$ as ‘future infinity’; this defines a time direction in the Euclidean field theory and thus a quantization scheme (radial quantization). Furthermore we identify the space of states with the space of ‘incoming’ states; thus the state ψ is simply

$$\psi = V(\psi; 0, 0)|0\rangle. \quad (6)$$

We can think of z_i and \bar{z}_i in (5) as independent variables, *i.e.* we may relax the constraint that \bar{z}_i is the complex conjugate of z_i . Then we have two commuting actions of the conformal group on these correlations functions: the infinitesimal action on the z_i variables is described (as before) by the L_n generators, while the generators for the action on the \bar{z}_i variables are \bar{L}_n . In a conformal field theory, the space of states \mathcal{H} thus carries two commuting actions of the Witt algebra. The generator $L_0 + \bar{L}_0$ can be identified with the time-translation operator, and thus describes the energy operator. The space of states of the physical theory should have a bounded energy spectrum, and it is thus natural to assume that the spectrum of both L_0 and \bar{L}_0 is bounded from below; representations with this property are usually called *positive energy representations*. It is relatively easy to see that the Witt algebra does not have any unitary positive energy representations except for the trivial representation. However, as is common in many instances in quantum theory, it possesses many interesting projective representations. These projective

representations are conventional representations of the central extension of the Witt algebra

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n) L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12} m (m^2 - 1) \delta_{m,-n}, \quad (7)$$

which is the famous *Virasoro algebra*. Here c is a central element that commutes with all L_m ; it is called the *central charge* (or conformal anomaly).

Given the actions of the two Virasoro algebras (that are generated by L_n and \bar{L}_n), one can decompose the space of states \mathcal{H} into irreducible representations as

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{ij} M_{ij} \mathcal{H}_i \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}_j, \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{H}_i ($\bar{\mathcal{H}}_j$) denotes the irreducible representations of the algebra of the L_n (\bar{L}_n), and $M_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ describe the multiplicities with which these combinations of representations occur. [We are assuming here that the space of states is completely reducible with respect to the action of the two Virasoro algebras; examples where this is not the case are the so-called logarithmic conformal field theories.] The positive energy representations of the Virasoro algebra are characterized by the value of the central charge, as well as the lowest eigenvalue of L_0 ; the state ψ whose L_0 eigenvalue is smallest is called the *highest weight state*, and its eigenvalue $L_0\psi = h\psi$ is the *conformal weight*. The conformal weight determines the conformal transformation properties of ψ : under the conformal transformation $z \mapsto f(z)$, $\bar{z} \mapsto \bar{f}(\bar{z})$, we have

$$V(\psi; z, \bar{z}) \mapsto (f'(z))^h (\bar{f}'(\bar{z}))^{\bar{h}} V(\psi; f(z), \bar{f}(\bar{z})), \quad (9)$$

where $L_0\psi = h\psi$ and $\bar{L}_0\psi = \bar{h}\psi$. The corresponding field $V(\psi; z, \bar{z})$ is then called a *primary* field; if (9) only holds for the Möbius transformations (3), the field is called *quasiprimary*.

Since L_m with $m > 0$ lowers the conformal weight of a state (see (7)), the highest weight state ψ is necessarily annihilated by all L_m (and \bar{L}_m) with $m > 0$. However, in general the L_m (and \bar{L}_m) with $m < 0$ do not annihilate ψ ; they generate the *descendants* of ψ that lie in the same representation. Their conformal transformation property is more complicated, but can be deduced from that of the primary state (9), as well as the commutation relations of the Virasoro algebra.

The Möbius symmetry (whose generators annihilate the vacuum) determines the 1-, 2- and 3-point functions of quasiprimary fields up to numerical constants: the 1-point function vanishes, unless $h = \bar{h} = 0$, in which case $\langle 0|V(\psi; z, \bar{z})|0\rangle = C$, independent of z and \bar{z} . The 2-point function of ψ_1 and ψ_2 vanishes unless $h_1 = h_2$ and $\bar{h}_1 = \bar{h}_2$; if the conformal weights agree, it takes the form

$$\langle 0|V(\psi_1; z_1, \bar{z}_1) V(\psi_2; z_2, \bar{z}_2)|0\rangle = C(z_1 - z_2)^{-2h} (\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)^{-2\bar{h}}. \quad (10)$$

Finally, the structure of the 3-point function of three quasiprimary fields ψ_1 , ψ_2 and ψ_3 is

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 0|V(\psi_1; z_1, \bar{z}_1) V(\psi_2; z_2, \bar{z}_2) V(\psi_3; z_3, \bar{z}_3)|0\rangle \\ &= C \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{(h_k - h_i - h_j)} (\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j)^{(\bar{h}_k - \bar{h}_i - \bar{h}_j)}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where for each pair $i < j$, k labels the third field, *i.e.* $k \neq i$ and $k \neq j$. The Möbius symmetry also restricts the higher correlation function of quasiprimary fields: the 4-point function is determined up to an (undetermined) function of the Möbius invariant cross-ratio, and similar statements also hold for n -point functions with $n \geq 5$. The full Virasoro symmetry must then be used to restrict these functions further; however, since the generators L_n with $n \leq -2$ do not annihilate the vacuum $|0\rangle$, the Virasoro symmetry leads to *Ward identities* that cannot be easily evaluated in general. (In typical examples, these Ward identities give rise to differential equations that must be obeyed by the correlation functions.)

Chiral fields and vertex operator algebras

The decomposition (8) contains usually a special class of states that transform as the vacuum state with respect to \bar{L}_m ; these states are the so-called *chiral* states. (Similarly, the states that transform as the vacuum state with respect to L_m are the anti-chiral states.) Given the transformation properties described above, it is not difficult to see that the corresponding chiral fields $V(\psi; z, \bar{z})$ only depend on z in any correlation function, *i.e.* $V(\psi; z, \bar{z}) \equiv V(\psi, z)$. (Similarly, the anti-chiral fields only depend on \bar{z} .) The chiral fields always contain the field corresponding to the state $L_{-2}|0\rangle$, that describes a specific component of the stress-energy tensor.

In conformal field theory the product of two fields can be expressed again in terms of the fields of the theory. The conformal symmetry restricts the structure of this operator product expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} & V(\psi_1; z_1, \bar{z}_1)V(\psi_2; z_2, \bar{z}_2) \\ &= \sum_i (z_1 - z_2)^{\Delta_i} (\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)^{\bar{\Delta}_i} \sum_{r,s \geq 0} V(\phi_{r,s}^i; z_2, \bar{z}_2) (z_1 - z_2)^r (\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)^s, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where Δ_i and $\bar{\Delta}_i$ are real numbers, and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. (Here i labels the conformal representations that appear in the operator product expansion, while r and s label the different descendants.) The actual form of this expansion (in particular, which representations appear) can be read off from the correlation functions of the theory since the identity (12) has to hold in *all* correlation functions.

Given that the chiral fields only depend on z in all correlation functions, it is then clear that the operator product expansion of two chiral fields contains again only chiral fields. Thus the subspace of chiral fields closes under the operator product expansion, and therefore defines a consistent (sub)theory by itself. This subtheory is sometimes referred to as a meromorphic conformal field theory (Goddard 1989). (Obviously, the same also applies to the subtheory of anti-chiral fields.) The operator product expansion defines a product on the space of meromorphic fields. This product involves the complex parameters z_i in a non-trivial way, and therefore does not directly define an algebra structure; it is however very similar to an algebra, and is therefore usually called a *vertex operator algebra* in the mathematical literature. The formal definition involves formal power series calculus and is quite complicated; details can be found in (Frenkel-Lepowski-Meurman 1988).

By virtue of its definition as an identity that holds in arbitrary correlation functions, the operator product expansion is *associative*, *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(V(\psi_1; z_1, \bar{z}_1)V(\psi_2; z_2, \bar{z}_2) \right) V(\psi_3; z_3, \bar{z}_3) \\ &= V(\psi_1; z_1, \bar{z}_1) \left(V(\psi_2; z_2, \bar{z}_2)V(\psi_3; z_3, \bar{z}_3) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the brackets indicate which operator product expansion is evaluated first. If we consider the case where both ψ_1 and ψ_2 are meromorphic fields, then the associativity of the operator product expansion implies that the

states in \mathcal{H} form a *representation* of the vertex operator algebra. The same also holds for the vertex operator algebra associated to the anti-chiral fields. Thus the meromorphic fields encode in a sense the symmetries of the underlying theory: this symmetry always contains the conformal symmetry (since $L_{-2}|0\rangle$ is always a chiral field, and $\bar{L}_{-2}|0\rangle$ always an anti-chiral field). In general, however, the symmetry may be larger. In order to take full advantage of this symmetry, it is then useful to decompose the full space of states \mathcal{H} not just with respect to the two Virasoro algebras, but rather with respect to the two vertex operator algebras; the structure is again the same as in (8), where however now each \mathcal{H}_i and $\bar{\mathcal{H}}_j$ is an irreducible representation of the chiral and anti-chiral vertex operator algebra, respectively.

Rational theories and Zhu's algebra

Of particular interest are the rational conformal field theories that are characterized by the property that the corresponding vertex operator algebras only possess *finitely* many irreducible representations. (The name ‘rational’ stems from the fact that the conformal weights and the central charge of these theories are rational numbers.) The simplest example of such rational theories are the so-called *minimal models*, for which the vertex operator algebra describes just the conformal symmetry: these models exist for a certain discrete set of central charges $c < 1$ and were first studied by Belavin, Polyakov and Zamolodchikov in 1984. (This paper is contained in the reprint volume Goddard-Olive 1988.) It was this seminal paper that started much of the modern developments in conformal field theory. Another important class of examples are the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) models that describe the world-sheet theory of strings moving on a compact Lie group. The relevant vertex operator algebra is then generated by the loop group symmetries. There is some evidence that all rational conformal field theories can be obtained from the WZW models by means of two standard constructions, namely by considering cosets and taking orbifolds; thus rational conformal field theory seems to have something of the flavor of (reductive) Lie theory.

Rational theories may be characterized in terms of Zhu's algebra that can be defined as follows. The chiral fields $V(\psi, z)$ that only depend on z must by themselves define local operators; they can therefore be expanded in a

Laurent expansion as

$$V(\psi, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n(\psi) z^{-n-h}, \quad (14)$$

where h is the conformal weight of the state ψ . For example, for the case of the holomorphic component of the stress energy tensor one finds

$$T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}, \quad (15)$$

where the L_n are the Virasoro generators. By the state/field correspondence (6), it then follows that

$$V_n(\psi)|0\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } n > -h, \quad (16)$$

and that

$$V_{-h}(\psi)|0\rangle = \psi. \quad (17)$$

(For the example of the above component of the stress energy tensor, (16) implies that $L_{-1}|0\rangle = L_0|0\rangle = L_n|0\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 0$ — thus the vacuum is in particular $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant. Furthermore, (17) shows that $L_{-2}|0\rangle$ is the state corresponding to this component of the stress energy tensor.) We denote by \mathcal{H}_0 the space of states that can be generated by the action of the modes $V_n(\psi)$ from the vacuum $|0\rangle$. On \mathcal{H}_0 we consider the subspace $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ that is spanned by the states of the form

$$V^{(N)}(\psi)\chi, \quad N > 0, \quad (18)$$

where $V^{(N)}(\psi)$ is defined by

$$V^{(N)}(\psi) = \sum_{n=0}^h \binom{h}{n} V_{-n-N}(\psi), \quad (19)$$

and h is the conformal weight of ψ . Zhu's algebra is then the quotient space

$$A = \mathcal{H}_0 / \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_0). \quad (20)$$

It actually forms an associative algebra, where the algebra structure is defined by

$$\psi \star \chi = V^{(0)}(\psi) \chi. \quad (21)$$

This algebra structure can be identified with the action of the ‘zero mode algebra’ on an arbitrary highest weight state.

Zhu’s algebra captures much of the structure of the (chiral) conformal field theory: in particular it was shown by Zhu in 1996 that the irreducible representations of A are in one-to-one correspondence with the representations of the full vertex operator algebra. A conformal field theory is thus rational (in the above physicists sense) if Zhu’s algebra is finite dimensional.¹

In practice, the determination of Zhu’s algebra is quite complicated, and it is therefore useful to obtain more easily testable conditions for rationality. One of these is the so-called C_2 condition of Zhu: a vertex operator algebra is C_2 -cofinite if the quotient space $\mathcal{H}_0/\mathcal{O}_2(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is finite dimensional, where $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is spanned by the vectors of the form

$$V_{-n-h}(\psi)\chi, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (22)$$

It is easy to show that the C_2 -cofiniteness condition implies that Zhu’s algebra is finite dimensional. Gaberdiel and Neitzke have shown that every C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra has a simple spanning set; this observation can for example be used to prove that all the fusion rules (see below) of such a theory are finite.

Fusion rules and Verlinde’s formula

As we have explained above the correlation function of three primary fields is determined up to an overall constant. One important question is whether this constant actually vanishes or not since this determines the possible ‘couplings’ of the theory. This information is encoded in the so-called fusion rules of the theory. More precisely the fusion rules $N_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ determine the multiplicity with which the representation of the vertex operator algebra labelled by k appears in the operator product expansion of the two representations labelled by i and j .

In 1988 Verlinde found a remarkable relation between the fusion rules of a vertex operator algebra and the modular transformation properties of its

¹In the mathematics literature, a vertex operator algebra is usually called rational if in addition every positive energy representation is completely reducible. It has been conjectured that this is equivalent to the condition that Zhu’s algebra is semisimple.

characters. To each irreducible representation \mathcal{H}_i of a vertex operator algebra one can define the character

$$\chi_i(\tau) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_i} \left(q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \right), \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}. \quad (23)$$

For rational vertex operator algebras (in the mathematical sense) these characters transform under the modular transformation $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ as

$$\chi(-1/\tau) = \sum_j S_{ij} \chi_j(\tau), \quad (24)$$

where S_{ij} are constant matrices. Verlinde's formula then states that, at least for unitary theories,

$$N_{ij}{}^k = \sum_l \frac{S_{il} S_{jl} S_{kl}^*}{S_{0l}}, \quad (25)$$

where the '0'-label denotes the vacuum representation. A general argument for this formula has been given by Moore and Seiberg in 1989; very recently this has been made more precise by Huang.

Modular invariance and the conformal bootstrap

Up to now we have only considered conformal field theories on the sphere. In order for the theory to be also well-defined on higher genus surfaces, it is believed that the only additional requirement comes from the consistency of the torus amplitudes. In particular, the vacuum torus amplitude must only depend on the equivalence class of tori that is described by the modular parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, up to the discrete identifications that are generated by the usual action of the modular group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane \mathbb{H} . For the theory with decomposition (8) this requires that the function

$$Z(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{ij} M_{ij} \chi_i(\tau) \chi_j(\bar{\tau}) \quad (26)$$

is invariant under the action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. This is a very powerful constraint on the multiplicity matrices M_{ij} that has been analyzed for various vertex operator algebras. For example, Cappelli, Itzykson and Zuber have shown

that the modular invariant WZW models corresponding to the group $SU(2)$ have an A-D-E classification. The case of $SU(3)$ was solved by Gannon, using the Galois symmetries of these rational conformal field theories.

The condition of modular invariance is relatively easily testable, but it does not, by itself, guarantee that a given space of states \mathcal{H} comes from a consistent conformal field theory. In order to construct a consistent conformal field theory one needs to solve the *conformal bootstrap*, that is one has to determine all the normalisation constants of the correlators so that the resulting set of correlators are local and factorize appropriately into 3-point correlators (crossing symmetry). This is typically a difficult problem which has only been solved explicitly for rather few theories, for example the minimal models. Recently it has been noticed that the conformal bootstrap can be more easily solved for the corresponding boundary conformal field theory. Furthermore, Fuchs, Runkel and Schweigert have shown that any solution of the boundary problem induces an associated solution for conformal field theory on surfaces without boundary. This construction relies heavily on the relation between two-dimensional conformal field theory and three-dimensional topological field theory (Turaev 1994).

Further Reading

P. Ginsparg, *Applied conformal field theory*, Lectures given at the Les Houches summer school in theoretical physics 1988.

P. Goddard, D.I. Olive, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras, a reprint volume for physicists*, World Scientific (1988).

P. Goddard, *Meromorphic conformal field theory*, in: ‘Infinite dimensional Lie algebras and Lie groups: Proceedings of the CIRM Luminy Conference, 1988’ (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) 556.

P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer Verlag New York (1997).

T. Gannon, *Monstrous moonshine and the classification of CFT*, arXiv:math.QA/9906167.

K. Gawedzki, *Lectures on conformal field theory*, in: Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. 2, AMS, Providence, RI (1999).

M.R. Gaberdiel, *An introduction to conformal field theory*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **63** (2000) 607, arXiv:hep-th/9910156.

C. Schweigert, J. Fuchs, J. Walcher, *Conformal field theory, boundary conditions and applications to string theory*, arXiv:hep-th/0011109.

A. Pressley, G.B. Segal, *Loop groups*, Clarendon Press Oxford (1986).

I. Frenkel, J. Lepowski, A. Meurman, *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, Boston, MA (1988).

V.G. Turaev, *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York (1994).

V.G. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners*, AMS, Providence, RI (1998).

T. Gannon, *Moonshine beyond the Monster: the bridge connecting algebra, modular forms and physics*, Cambridge University Press, to appear (2006).