

# Radiative Corrections with 5D Mixed Position-/Momentum-space Propagators

---

**Martin Puchwein and Zoltan Kunszt**

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, ETH, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

*E-Mail: puchwein@itp.phys.ethz.ch, kunszt@itp.phys.ethz.ch*

ABSTRACT: In higher dimensional field theories with compactified dimensions there are three standard ways to do perturbative calculations: i) by the summation over Kaluza-Klein towers; ii) by the summation over winding numbers making use of the Poisson-resummation formula and iii) by using mixed propagators, where the coordinates of the four infinite dimensions are Fourier-transformed to momentum space while those of the compactified dimensions are kept in configuration space. The third method is broadly used in finite temperature field theory calculations. One of its advantage is that one can easily separate the ultraviolet divergent terms of the uncompactified theory from the non-local finite corrections arising from windings around the compact dimensions. In this note we demonstrate the use of this formalism by calculating one-loop self-energy corrections in a 5D theory formulated on the manifold  $\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes S_1$  and on the orbifold  $\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes S_1/Z_2$ .

## 1. Introduction

In the recent years field theories with additional compactified space-time dimensions have been proposed to shed new light on the long standing problems of particle physics such as the unification with gravity and the hierarchy problem. Motivated by string theory and the discovery of D-branes the possibility of gauge theories living in higher dimensions arose [1]. Unlike string theory with its 10 dimensions, these theories live in the world-volume of a D-brane and can have as little as only one compactified dimension. It has been shown that there exists the possibility that the scale of compactification can be as low as  $\text{TeV}^{-1}$  [2], therefore being in the reach of the next generation particle accelerators [3].

In 4D field theory these models are described by an infinite number of Kaluza-Klein modes, corresponding to states with discrete momenta in the compactified dimensions. To every field corresponds an infinite "tower" of particles with the same quantum numbers and mass gaps the size of the compactification scale, therefore these higher dimensional field theories are in general unrenormalizable.

Compactification on a circle (or respectively on a torus when one has more than one additional dimension) is most straightforward, but brings certain difficulties. It was soon realized that depending on the number of dimensions it may not be possible to construct a theory that is chiral from the four-dimensional point of view [4]. In the case of only one additional dimension compactified on a circle it is indeed not possible to find such a theory. One way to overcome this apparent incompatibility is by the introduction of a discrete group acting non-freely on the compact manifold [5, 6]. The resulting manifold (orbifold) has singularities at the fixed points of the group action. These points describe hypersurfaces, which are commonly referred to as "branes", but should not be confused with D-branes. Imposing this new symmetry on the fields by assigning quantum numbers projects out those modes that have the "wrong" parities. For fermion fields one finds that the left- and right-handed components of the Dirac spinor have opposite charges under the symmetry. For the lowest lying mode this means that only one chirality remains in the spectrum. This leads to a low energy effective theory that is chiral.

In several papers [7, 8] 1-loop radiative corrections for a number of 5D models have been calculated. Although the theories in question are non-renormalizable, it has been found that when summing over the contributions from all the KK-modes some physical observable quantities remain manifestly finite at 1-loop order. Since a non-renormalizable theory only makes sense as an effective theory one may ask whether summing over all KK-modes up to arbitrary high energies is a legitimate thing to do [9], but this subtlety is well understood by now [10, 11, 12]. In this paper we want to present yet another point of view to illuminate this topic, by working not in the picture of KK-modes but by treating the compactified dimension in position space (for earlier work see [13]). In the mixed formalism the source of the infinities and their possible cancellation is more direct and evident than when working in Fourier-space.

In Sec.(2) we discuss the formalism of mixed momentum-/configuration-space for a theory compactified on  $S_2$  and calculate the propagators for scalars, fermions and gauge bosons. We discuss the origin and possible cancellation of divergencies. The proceeding section

contains as an application the calculation of 1-loop corrections to the masses of the photon's KK-tower in 5D QED. We reproduce the results of [7] and discuss why the corrections are finite. The more general case of a non-abelian gauge theory in 5D can be found in the appendix. Going over to a more realistic theory we discuss orbifold compactification in Sec.(4) and redo the calculation of Sec.(3) in this setup. The conclusion discusses the results, new insights and outlooks of using the mixed momentum-/configuration-space approach.

## 2. Propagators on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S_1$

We consider gauge theories coupled to matter fields with one extra dimension compactified on a circle with radius  $R$ . Such theories are always vector-like and therefore cannot serve as a realistic model, but have to be considered as a toy model. Due to the compactification  $5D$ -Lorentz invariance is broken down to the  $4D$ -Lorentz invariance of the uncompactified dimensions. Since  $5D$  theories are in general non-renormalizable, they only make sense in the context of effective field theories. Nevertheless one can make meaningful calculations as long as the cutoff dependence is weak. This is the case if the typical energies are much lower than the cutoff and the quantity in question is UV-insensitive. Also we have to specify a regularization scheme to define the theory. When gauge invariance is of importance it is advantageous to work in dimensional regularization [14], which in odd space-time dimensions has the special feature that 1-loop diagrams are finite and  $1/\epsilon$ -poles only occur at 2-loop level [15]. This is due to the fact that dimensional regularization captures only logarithmic divergencies which are absent at 1-loop level (there are however linear divergencies, that do not show up). To estimate the degree of divergence it will sometimes also be useful to make a sharp momentum cutoff. To begin with we calculate the propagators of elementary fields in the mixed momentum/position space described in the introduction.

### 2.1 Scalar propagator

To start with we take a free theory of a scalar field defined on the manifold  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S_1$ , with metric  $g_{AB} = \text{diag}[1, -1, -1, -1, -1]$  and parameterize the extra dimension by  $y \in [0, 2\pi R]$ . From the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \phi \partial^A \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_5 \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2, \quad (2.1)$$

one gets the equation of motion

$$(-\square + (\partial_5)^2 - m^2)\phi = 0.$$

The propagator is a Green's function of the above operator satisfying (in  $d$  dimensions)

$$(-\square + (\partial_5)^2 - m^2)S_F(x - x', y, y') = i\delta^{(d-1)}(x - x')\delta(y - y'). \quad (2.2)$$

In the uncompactified theory on  $\mathbb{R}^5$  we already know the solution to this equation

$$S_F(x - x', y, y') = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{i}{p^2 - (p^5)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-i(p \cdot (x-x') - p^5(y-y'))}.$$

Here  $p^5$  is the momentum in the single compactified dimension and  $p$  denotes the momentum in  $d - 1$  uncompactified dimensions. With this input it is easy to write down a propagator that fulfills the additional requirement of periodicity<sup>1</sup>.

$$S_F^c(x - x', y, y') = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} S_F(x - x', y + 2\pi Rn, y') \quad (2.3)$$

The sum on the right is a sum over winding modes, with  $S_F(x - x', y + 2\pi Rn, y')$  winding  $n$  times around the circle. It can be evaluated by carrying out the  $p^5$  integral (introducing  $\chi = \sqrt{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} S_F^c(x - x', y, y') &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \int \frac{dp^5}{2\pi} \frac{i}{p^2 - (p^5)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-i(p \cdot (x-x') - p^5(y+2\pi Rn-y'))} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{e^{i\chi|y-y'+2\pi Rn|}}{2\chi} e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

On the compactified manifold  $y, y' \in [0, 2\pi R[$  the summation gives

$$S_F^c(x - x', y, y') = \int \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{i \cos \chi(\pi R - |y - y'|)}{2\chi \sin \chi \pi R} e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} . \quad (2.5)$$

However we will find that it is advantageous to write the propagator in yet another form, namely writing the  $n = 0$  contribution to Eq.(2.4) explicitly.

$$S_F^c(x - x', y, y') = S_F(x - x', y, y') + S_F^{\text{analy.}}(x - x', y, y')$$

$S_F$  is just the propagator of the uncompactified theory and  $S_F^{\text{analy.}}$  is an analytical function that is finite even for  $y \rightarrow y'$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_F^{\text{analy.}}(x - x', y, y') &= \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{+\infty} S_F(x - x', y + 2\pi Rn, y') \\ &= \int \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{e^{i\chi|y-y'|} + e^{-i\chi|y-y'|}}{2\chi(e^{-i\chi(2\pi R)} - 1)} e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

After a Wick-rotation with  $\chi = i\chi_E$  (due to the  $i\epsilon$  term) we find that for large Euclidean momenta

$$\frac{e^{-\chi_E|y-y'|} + e^{\chi_E|y-y'|}}{2\chi_E(e^{\chi_E(2\pi R)} - 1)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\chi_E e^{\chi_E(2\pi R - |y-y'|)}} \quad \text{for } \chi_E \gg R^{-1} . \quad (2.7)$$

Using that  $|y - y'| < 2\pi R$  we conclude that:

---

<sup>1</sup>This is very similar to the procedure familiar from finite-temperature field theory [16] where  $\beta = 1/T$  plays the role of the compactification radius. The only difference is that here we compactify a spacelike dimension, in contrast to the timelike dimension compactified in thermal field theory.

$S_F^{\text{analy}}$  is exponentially damped at high Euclidean momentum therefore rendering loop-integrals that contain  $S_F^{\text{analy}}$  finite. Because of this, UV-divergencies occur only when one picks up a term  $S_F(x - x', y, y')$  from every propagator in a loop integral.

In the limit of the radius going to infinity (going back to an infinite dimension)  $S_F^{\text{analy}} \rightarrow 0$ . This is what one would expect, since those terms in the propagator that correspond to a winding around the circle cannot contribute in this limit. The winding number zero term goes over to the corresponding expression of the uncompactified theory and is exactly the one that gives UV-divergencies. The counterterms of the uncompactified and the compactified theory are the same, which is clear because the UV-divergencies are short-distance effects and do not feel the compactification being a long distance effect. Again this is the same situation as encountered in finite temperature field theory, where the UV-divergencies of the  $T \neq 0$  theory are exactly those of the  $T = 0$  theory. .

## 2.2 Fermion propagator

Next we calculate the propagator of a massive fermion field with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^A\partial_A\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\partial_5\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi . \quad (2.8)$$

Choosing the representation of the Dirac algebra to be

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{fulfilling : } \{\gamma^A, \gamma^B\} = 2g^{AB} , \quad (2.9)$$

the Dirac equation is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_5 - m & i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu \\ i\bar{\sigma}^\mu\partial_\mu & -\partial_5 - m \end{pmatrix} \psi = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\square - (\partial_5)^2 + m^2)\psi = 0 . \quad (2.10)$$

It is straightforward to check explicitly that for  $y, y' \in [0, 2\pi R[$

$$S_F^c(x - x', y, y') = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} (p_\mu\gamma^\mu + i\gamma^5\partial_5 + m) \frac{i \cos \chi(\pi R - |y - y'|)}{2\chi \sin \chi\pi R} \quad (2.11)$$

is indeed the correct expression for the Feynman-propagator (with  $\chi = \sqrt{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$ ). A different way to obtain this is via a basis of solutions to the Dirac-equation, followed by canonical quantization. To shed more light in the construction of the propagator this possibility is explored in Appendix (A).

## 2.3 Gauge boson propagator

Before writing down the Lagrangian one should make a convenient choice of gauge fixing. Since compactification breaks down 5D to 4D Lorentz-invariance there is no need to fix the gauge in a way that respects the 5D symmetry. Therefore one can choose a gauge fixing

that is 4D Lorentz-invariant and use the remaining freedom to make the  $A_a^5$  decouple from the  $A_a^\mu$  field [17].

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{AB}^a F_a^{AB} - \frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial_\mu A_a^\mu + \xi\partial_5 A_a^5)^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\mu A_a^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_5 A_\nu^a \partial_5 A_a^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)(\partial_\mu A_a^\mu)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu A_a^5 \partial^\mu A_a^5 - \frac{\xi}{2}(\partial_5 A_a^5)^2 + (\text{interaction terms}) .\end{aligned}\quad (2.12)$$

This leads to the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}(\square - (\partial_5)^2)A_a^\mu - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)\partial^\mu \partial_\nu A_a^\nu &= 0 \\ (\square - \xi(\partial_5)^2)A_a^5 &= 0 .\end{aligned}\quad (2.13)$$

Green's functions of the above operators, satisfying the requirement of periodicity are given by (with  $\chi = \sqrt{k^2 + i\epsilon}$  and as before  $y, y' \in [0, 2\pi R]$ )

$$\begin{aligned}D_{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} (-i)e^{-ik \cdot (x-x')} \left[ \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{\chi^2} \right) \frac{\cos \chi(\pi R - |y - y'|)}{2\chi \sin \chi\pi R} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{\chi^2} \frac{\cos(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})(\pi R - |y - y'|)}{2(\chi/\sqrt{\xi}) \sin(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})\pi R} \right]\end{aligned}\quad (2.14)$$

$$D_{55} = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot (x-x')} \frac{i \cos(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})(\pi R - |y - y'|)}{2(\chi/\sqrt{\xi}) \sin(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})\pi R} , \quad D_{\mu 5} = 0 .\quad (2.15)$$


### 3. 1-loop corrections on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S_1$

Although mixed momentum-/position-space propagators have been used in the context of extra dimensions at various occasions (see for example [13, 18]), they have hardly ever been utilized to carry out loop calculations. Generally going the traditional way by summing over KK-modes propagating in the loop always seemed to be easier. There is however a special case where a number of authors have applied the method described here to do 1-loop calculations. When the interaction is restricted to a fixed point (e.g. branes), the  $y$ -integration over the interaction point collapses and is therefore trivial<sup>2</sup>. Examples of such calculations can be found in [19, 20].

However we think that even in the case of bulk+brane interactions this method has its advantages. Especially when one calculates diagrams with only external zero-modes, which are basically all diagrams needed for Standard-Model precision tests, evaluating the integrals over the extra dimension in position space is generally rather simple.

For now we want to illustrate how to calculate radiative corrections using the propagators derived in the preceding section. We will proceed by calculating the 1-loop corrections to the masses of the photon's KK-tower (for a treatment of this problem with the conventional method see [7]).

<sup>2</sup>This is for example the case in a number of supersymmetric theories, where supersymmetry forbids a Yukawa interaction. On the branes supersymmetry is partially broken and a Yukawa interaction is allowed. Therefore, when calculating the correction to the Higgs-mass from a fermion loop, one only has to deal with propagators that start and end at the brane.



**Figure 1:** Correction to the photon propagator

### 3.1 Corrections to the KK-photon masses

The 5D-QED Lagrangian with gauge fixing is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{AB}F^{AB} - \frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial_\mu A^\mu + \xi\partial_5 A^5)^2 + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\psi. \quad (3.1)$$

For reasons of simplicity we have set the 5D fermion mass to zero. As long as  $mR \ll 1$  the corrections due to a nonzero fermion mass will be negligible compared to those coming from the compactification. We have already calculated the propagators for this theory in the preceding sections. What one still needs are the wavefunctions of the free 1-particle photon-states. Solutions to Eq.(2.13) normalized on the circle are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Zero - mode :} \quad & A_{0,+}^B(q, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}}\tilde{A}_{0,+}^B(q) \\ \text{KK - modes :} \quad & A_{n,+}^B(q, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\tilde{A}_{n,+}^B(q) \cos \frac{ny}{R} \\ & A_{n,-}^B(q, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}}\tilde{A}_{n,-}^B(q) \sin \frac{ny}{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

On-shell the coefficients  $\tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^A$  fulfill the 4D wave equation of a massless/massive vector field

$$\begin{aligned} q^2\tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^\mu - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)q^\nu q_\nu\tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^\mu - \frac{n^2}{R^2}\tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^\nu &= 0 \\ q^2\tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^5 - \xi\frac{n^2}{R^2}\tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^5 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the KK-modes of  $A^5$  can be gauged away by a suitably chosen gauge transformation and are eaten up by the  $A^\mu$  to form massive vector bosons. However the massless mode  $A_0^5$  remains. To obtain the mass shifts of the KK-states we need to calculate the photon self-energy.

A word concerning regularization: To make the integrals well defined we would, in principle, have to choose a regularization method. However as we will find later (and also give a general argument for) the result will be finite and no renormalization is needed. For reasons of simplicity we will therefore continue without regularizing the integrals that appear.

In QED there is only one diagram (Fig.(1)), which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^A(i\Pi_{AB}^{n,\pm})\tilde{A}_{n,\pm}^B &= e^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 dy_1 dy_2 e^{-ip \cdot (x_2 - x_1)} \times \\ &A_{n,\pm}^A(q, y_2) A_{n,\pm}^B(q, y_1) \text{Tr}[\gamma_A S_F(x_1 - x_2, y_1, y_2) \gamma_B S_F(x_2 - x_1, y_2, y_1)] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Since the manifold is translational invariant in the 5-direction (mod  $2\pi R$ ) momentum in this direction is conserved, making  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  diagonal in the KK-label  $n$ . Also the mixing between the + and - states vanishes. Plugging in the wavefunctions from Eq.(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} i\Pi_{AB}^{n,\pm} &= \frac{-e^2}{(1 + \delta_{n,0})2\pi R} \int dy_1 dy_2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left( \cos \frac{n(y_1 - y_2)}{R} \pm \cos \frac{n(y_1 + y_2)}{R} \right) \times \\ &\text{Tr} \left[ \gamma_A \left( (p_1^\sigma \gamma_\sigma + i\gamma^5 \partial_{y_1}) \frac{\cos \chi_1(\pi R - |y_1 - y_2|)}{2\chi_1 \sin \chi_1 \pi R} \right) \times \right. \\ &\left. \gamma_B \left( (p_2^\sigma \gamma_\sigma + i\gamma^5 \partial_{y_2}) \frac{\cos \chi_2(\pi R - |y_2 - y_1|)}{2\chi_2 \sin \chi_2 \pi R} \right) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Due to 4D momentum conservation

$$p_1 = p, \quad p_2 = p - q, \quad \chi_1 = \sqrt{p^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad \chi_2 = \sqrt{(p - q)^2 + i\epsilon} .$$

In a straightforward manner one can now evaluate the  $y_1, y_2$  integrals. The result for the 4D part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} i\Pi_{n,\pm}^{\mu\nu} &= 2e^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1} \times \right. \\ &\left. \frac{\chi_1^2(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})g^{\mu\nu} + (\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2})(p_1^\mu p_2^\nu + p_2^\mu p_1^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} p_1 \cdot p_2)}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$\Pi^{\mu 5} = 0$  and the (5, 5)-component is

$$i\Pi_{n,\pm}^{55} = 2e^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1} \frac{\chi_1^2(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2}) + (\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2})p_1 \cdot p_2}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] . \quad (3.7)$$

As already discussed in Sec.(2.1) this result consists of a finite term coming from the compactification and a potentially divergent term that is the same as in the uncompactified theory. To identify the divergent part it is instructive to perform the same calculation in a theory with five infinite dimensions. One finds

$$i\Pi^{AB} = e^2 \int \frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5} \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^A \frac{i\not{p}_1}{p_1^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma^B \frac{i\not{p}_2}{p_2^2 + i\epsilon} \right] , \quad (3.8)$$

which evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} i\Pi^{\mu\nu} &= -e^2 \int \frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{4(p_1^\mu p_2^\nu + p_2^\mu p_1^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} p_1 \cdot p_2) + 4(p_1^5 p_2^5)g^{\mu\nu}}{(p_1^2 + i\epsilon)(p_2^2 + i\epsilon)} = 2e^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{(-i)}{\chi_1} \times \right. \\ &\left. \frac{\chi_1^2(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 - (q^5)^2)g^{\mu\nu} + (\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + (q^5)^2)(p_1^\mu p_2^\nu + p_2^\mu p_1^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} p_1 \cdot p_2)}{\chi_1(\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - (q^5)^2(\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - (q^5)^2} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$



$$i\Pi^{55} = 2e^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{(-i) \chi_1^2 (\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 - (q^5)^2) + (\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + (q^5)^2) p_1 \cdot p_2}{\chi_1 (\chi_1 (\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - (q^5)^2) (\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - (q^5)^2} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right]. \quad (3.10)$$

Using, that because of the small positive imaginary part of  $\chi$ ,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \cot \chi \pi R = -i \quad \text{since} \quad \cot \chi \pi R = -i \left( 1 + \frac{2}{e^{-2i\pi R \chi} - 1} \right) \quad (3.11)$$

one sees that this is just the  $R \rightarrow \infty$  limit of the above equation (Eq.(3.6)) with the 5-momentum  $|q^5| = n/R$  held fixed.

To be precise one has to be a bit more careful. The external photon states defined in Eq.(3.2) correspond to a superposition of states with positive/negative 5-momentum  $q^5$ . The  $R \rightarrow \infty$  limit of  $\Pi_n^{AB}$  on the compactified manifold will therefore be the average of  $\Pi^{AB}$  with  $q^5 = \pm n/R$  on the uncompactified manifold. In the case presented here this is not important since Eq.(3.9) does not depend on the sign of  $q^5$ . It will however be of relevance in the next section when we calculate the 1-loop correction to the fermion propagator.

Plugging the decomposition of the cotangent in Eq.(3.6) we now set forth to calculate the finite term containing the exponential cutoff factor<sup>3</sup>.

Note that in this particular case the contribution from the uncompactified theory Eq.(3.9) is exactly zero. This is due to the fact that as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  the full 5D Lorentz- and gauge-symmetry are restored. Our result will therefore be manifestly finite, thus justifying our negligence of introducing a regulator. In what follows we can make the replacement

$$\cot \chi \pi R \rightarrow \frac{-2i}{e^{-i\chi(2\pi R)} - 1}. \quad (3.12)$$

without changing any of the results. Calculating the masses of the KK-photons we want to take the on-shell limit of Eq.(3.6). For  $n \geq 1$  there is no problem letting  $q^2 \rightarrow n^2/R^2$ . The case of the zero mode, where the denominator of Eq.(3.6) vanishes as  $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ , will be treated later. The remaining 4D Lorentz invariance requires  $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  to be of the form

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \Pi_1 + q_\mu q_\nu \Pi_2. \quad (3.13)$$

To calculate the mass corrections one is interested in  $\Pi_1$  which can be extracted by

$$\Pi_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left( \Pi^\mu{}_\mu - \frac{1}{q^2} q^\mu q^\nu \Pi_{\mu\nu} \right). \quad (3.14)$$

Plugging Eq.(3.6) in Eq.(3.14) and taking the on-shell limit yields (note that since the integral is now convergent one can perform a shift in the integration variable of the second term of Eq.(3.6), yielding just twice the first term)

$$q^2 \Pi_1^n = \frac{4e^2}{3} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 - 2\frac{n^2}{R^2}}{\chi_1} \frac{1}{e^{-2i\pi R \chi_1} - 1}. \quad (3.15)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>This is in total analogy to what one finds in finite temperature field theory. See [21] for a calculation of the photon mass at  $T > 0$ .

Performing a Wick-rotation ( $\chi_{1,2} \rightarrow i\chi_{1,2}$ ) and using that under spherical integration

$$\int d\Omega(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2) = \int d\Omega(2p \cdot q - q^2) = - \int d\Omega q^2, \quad (3.16)$$

One ends up with

$$\Pi_1^n = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\chi_1 \frac{\chi_1^2}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} \Rightarrow \delta m_n^2 = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2}. \quad (3.17)$$

With  $e$  being expressed in terms of the 4D coupling constant  $e_4 = e/\sqrt{2\pi R}$  this yields exactly the KK-number independent mass shift found in [7].

We have not yet dealt with the zero mode. First confirm that in this case  $\Pi_0^{\mu\nu}$  is transversal. Tracing Eq.(3.6) with  $q$  yields

$$i\Pi_{\nu,0}^\mu q^\nu = e^2 \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left( \frac{\cot \pi R\chi_1}{\chi_1} k_1^\mu - \frac{\cot \pi R\chi_2}{\chi_2} k_2^\mu \right). \quad (3.18)$$

If we split again in the part coming from the uncompactified theory and the rest, the first vanishes because of the 5D Ward-identity, whereas the latter is convergent and vanishes after a shift in the integration variable of the second term. Due to 4D Lorentz invariance the tensor structure is required to be

$$\Pi_0^{\mu\nu} = \left( g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{q^\mu q^\nu}{q^2} \right) \Pi_0^1. \quad (3.19)$$

It is then sufficient to calculate the trace  $\Pi_{\mu,0}^\mu$ . From Eq.(3.6) we get (after Wick-rotation)

$$\Pi_{\mu,0}^\mu = -2e^2 \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{3\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + q^2}{\chi_1(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2)} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} + \frac{3\chi_2^2 - \chi_1^2 + q^2}{\chi_2(\chi_2^2 - \chi_1^2)} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi R\chi_2} - 1} \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

Taking the  $q^2 \rightarrow 0$  limit and using that  $\chi_2 \rightarrow \chi_1$

$$\lim_{q^2 \rightarrow 0} \Pi_{\mu,0}^\mu = -2e^2 \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\chi_1^3} \frac{d}{d\chi_1} \left[ \frac{\chi_1^3}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} \right] = \frac{-e^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty d\chi_1 \frac{d}{d\chi_1} \left[ \frac{\chi_1^3}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} \right] = 0 \quad (3.21)$$

Thus the zero mode of the photon does not receive a correction and stays massless. This is very important because if there was such a correction this would imply that 4D gauge invariance of the low energy theory (the theory of zero-modes only) was broken. For the zero mode of  $A_5$  we get from Eq.(3.7)

$$\Pi_0^{55} = -2e^2 \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{3\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 - q^2}{\chi_1(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2)} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} + \frac{3\chi_2^2 + \chi_1^2 - q^2}{\chi_2(\chi_2^2 - \chi_1^2)} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi R\chi_2} - 1} \right]. \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta m_{0,5}^2 &= - \lim_{q^2 \rightarrow 0} \Pi_0^{55} = 4e^2 \int \frac{d^4 p_E}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d}{d\chi_1} \left[ \frac{\chi_1^3}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} \right] \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\chi_1 \chi_1^2 \frac{d}{d\chi_1} \left[ \frac{\chi_1^3}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} \right] = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi R} \frac{3\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

which is in agreement with earlier calculations [7, 8, 22]. The higher KK-modes of  $A_5$  can be gauged away using 5D gauge freedom and therefore do not represent physical states. We have seen that the above calculation, although carried out in a non-renormalizable theory has yielded a manifestly finite result. This feature is shared by all those corrections that would vanish in the uncompactified theory. Those contributions that are due to the compactification contain exponential cutoff factors that render the corresponding integrals finite. The scale of this exponential cutoff is determined by the compactification scale. This is obvious from a physical point of view, because the physics at distances much smaller than the radius, is the same whether the extra dimension is compactified or not.

Another point is that we have extended our momentum integrals up to arbitrary high momenta. This is not quite correct since the theory, being an effective theory only, has a natural cutoff. However if this cutoff is much larger than  $1/R$ , its effect on the momentum integrals will be small due to the exponential damping. If it is however comparable to the compactification radius, the theory already becomes unpredictable at scales where the first new physics appears and is therefore not very interesting altogether.

### 3.2 Non-abelian case

When going over to the non-abelian case, there are additional diagrams coming from vertices with three or four gauge-bosons. The relevant terms in the Lagrangian are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = & -g f^{abc} ((\partial_\mu A_\nu^a) A^{\mu,b} A^{\nu,c} - (\partial_\mu A^{5,a}) A^{\mu,b} A^{5,c} + (\partial_5 A_\mu^a) A^{5,b} A^{\mu,c}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} g^2 f^{abc} f^{ade} (A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu,d} A^{\nu,e} - 2 A^{5,b} A_\mu^c A^{5,d} A^{\mu,e}) + (\text{quadratic terms}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

The gauge-fixing term becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{g.f.} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} f^a f^a , \quad \text{with } f^a = \partial_\mu A^{\mu,a} + \xi \partial_5 A^{5,a} . \quad (3.25)$$

From this it follows that the ghosts are described by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ghost}} = -\bar{c}^a (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu - \xi (\partial_5)^2) c^a - g f^{abc} \bar{c}^a (\partial_\mu A^{\mu,b} c^c + \partial_5 A^{5,b} c^c) , \quad (3.26)$$

and the propagator is given by


$$D_{\text{ghost}} = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot (x-x')} \frac{i \cos(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})(\pi R - |y-y'|)}{2\chi/\sqrt{\xi} \sin(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})\pi R} \delta^{ab} , \quad \chi = \sqrt{k^2 + i\epsilon} . \quad (3.27)$$

Like in QED, in the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , the gauge-boson-mass vanishes because of gauge-/Lorentz-invariance. After compactification the remaining corrections are again finite. The correction to the mass of the zero-mode gauge boson vanishes as expected. Details of the calculation can be found in Appendix (B).

For the KK-modes one finds a constant mass shift, independent of the KK-label

$$\delta m^2 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^2 R^2} C_2(G) , \quad (3.28)$$

which coincides with the result obtained in [7].



**Figure 2:** Correction to the fermion propagator

### 3.3 Corrections to KK-fermion mass

As a second example of a 1-loop calculation we evaluate the correction to the fermion mass, again in the theory introduced in the last section (Eq.(3.1)). As before the first thing one has to do is to find the wavefunctions of the external states. Normalized on the circle they are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n^+(p, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{n,0})\pi R}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{ny}{R} & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \frac{ny}{R} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{u}_{\frac{n}{R}}(p) \\
u_n^-(p, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{n,0})\pi R}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{ny}{R} & 0 \\ 0 & -\cos \frac{ny}{R} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{u}_{\frac{n}{R}}(p) \\
v_n^+(p, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{n,0})\pi R}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{ny}{R} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin \frac{ny}{R} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{v}_{\frac{n}{R}}(p) \\
v_n^-(p, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{n,0})\pi R}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{ny}{R} & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \frac{ny}{R} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{v}_{\frac{n}{R}}(p) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

On-shell  $\tilde{u}_{\frac{n}{R}}, \tilde{v}_{\frac{n}{R}}$  are pos./neg.-energy solutions to the 4-dimensional Dirac-equation with mass  $m = n/R$ .

$$\left(\not{p} - \frac{n}{R}\right) \tilde{u}_{\frac{n}{R}}(p) = 0 , \quad \left(\not{p} + \frac{n}{R}\right) \tilde{v}_{\frac{n}{R}}(p) = 0 \tag{3.30}$$

The only diagram that contributes (Fig.(2)) is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_{\frac{n}{R}}(-i\Sigma_2^{n,\pm})\tilde{u}_{\frac{n}{R}} &= -e^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 dy_1 dy_2 e^{-iq(x_1-x_2)} \times \\
&\quad \bar{u}_n^\pm(q, y_2) \gamma^A S_F(x_2 - x_1, y_2, y_1) \gamma^B u_n^\pm(q, y_1) D_{AB}(x_1 - x_2, y_1, y_2) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

with an equivalent expression for the negative energy solutions. Due to momentum conservation in the fifth dimension this is diagonal in the KK-index and as in the case of the photon propagator there is no mixing between the + and - states. Contrary to the case of the KK-photon mass there is no symmetry that renders the fermion mass finite. Therefore the momentum integrals have to be properly regularized (we leave the regularization implicit, but assume that it justifies the manipulations in the rest of this section).

Using Eq.(3.29) and choosing Feynman gauge  $\xi = 1$  for reasons of simplicity, one can

evaluate the  $y_1, y_2$  integrals and finds

$$-i\Sigma_2^{n,\pm} = \frac{3e^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{2\chi_1^2 \frac{\cot \pi R \chi_1}{\chi_1} - (\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2}) \frac{\cot \pi R \chi_2}{\chi_2}}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} \frac{n}{R} \right. \\ \left. - \left( \frac{\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} \frac{\cot \pi R \chi_1}{\chi_1} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right) \not{p} \right], \quad (3.32)$$

again with

$$\chi_1 = \sqrt{p^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad \chi_2 = \sqrt{(p-q)^2 + i\epsilon}.$$

For comparison it is useful to calculate the corresponding expression in the uncompactified theory.

$$-i\Sigma_2 = -e^2 \int \frac{d^5p}{(2\pi)^5} \gamma^A \frac{i(\not{p}_1 - p_1^5 \gamma^5)}{p_1^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma_A \frac{(-i)}{p_2^2 + i\epsilon} \\ = \frac{-3ie^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - (q^5)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\chi_1} \not{p}_1 + \frac{1}{\chi_2} (\not{p}_1 - q^5 \gamma^5) \right] \quad (3.33)$$

This is yet not quite the  $R \rightarrow \infty$  limit of Eq.(3.32). The reason for this is that, as discussed before, an external states is a superposition of states with 5-momentum  $q_5 = \pm n/R$ . Eigenstates of  $q_5$  are given by

$$u(q, q^5) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{u}_{|q^5|} e^{-iq_5 y} \quad \text{for } q_5 \geq 0 \\ v(q, q^5) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{v}_{|q^5|} e^{iq_5 y} \quad \text{for } q_5 \leq 0 \quad (3.34)$$

Averaging Eq.(3.33) over the propagation of a particle with pos./neg. 5-momentum gives (again the same is true for the negative-energy solutions)

$$\bar{\tilde{u}}_{|q^5|} (-i\Sigma'_2) \tilde{u}_{|q^5|} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u(q, q^5) (-i\Sigma_2) u(q, q^5) + u(q, -q^5) (-i\Sigma_2) u(q, -q^5) \right] \\ \Rightarrow -i\Sigma'_2 = \frac{-3ie^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - (q^5)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\chi_1} \not{p}_1 + \frac{1}{\chi_2} (\not{p}_1 + |q^5|) \right]. \quad (3.35)$$

One can explicitly check that this is indeed the limit of Eq.(3.32) for infinite radius.

To calculate the correction to the fermion mass one needs to evaluate the propagator on-shell replacing  $\not{q} \rightarrow n/R$ . After subtracting the  $R \rightarrow \infty$  limit by replacing the cotangent by the exponential factor, one can shift the integration variable and finds

$$-i\Sigma_{2,\text{sub}}^n = \frac{3e^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} \frac{-2i}{e^{-i\chi_1(2\pi R)} - 1} \left[ \frac{n}{R} - \not{q} \right] \quad (3.36)$$

From this one immediately sees that

$$\delta \tilde{m}^n \simeq \Sigma_{2,\text{sub}}^n \Big|_{\not{q} \rightarrow \frac{n}{R}} = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

which is in accordance with [7]. Note however that the term coming from the uncompactified theory in this case does not vanish. It is divergent and can only be evaluated in terms of an effective field theory when it will strongly depend on the cutoff.

## 4. Propagators on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S_1/Z_2$

### 4.1 Orbifold compactification

In the preceding section we have dealt with compactifications on a circle. However there is a serious drawback that makes such theories unsuitable as candidates for a physical theory. The problem is that in five dimensions the Dirac spinor is an irreducible representation of the proper orthochronous Lorentz-group and not as in four dimensions a reducible one. This implies that there is no Lorentz-invariant theory that is not left/right symmetric, which is unsuitable for a theory of weak interactions. One possible cure for this problem is the introduction of an additional discrete space-time symmetry. The simplest example is the orbifold  $S_1/Z_2$ , where one introduces the reflection-symmetry  $y \rightarrow 2\pi R - y$ . To promote this to a symmetry of the theory one has specify  $Z_2$  parities for the various fields. Fields with even parity will have low energy zero modes in addition to their KK-towers. For those of odd parity the zero modes are projected out. This means that in the low energy limit (much lower than the compactification radius), where the theory is effectively a theory of zero-modes, the left/right symmetry can be lifted by assigning different parities to the left-/right-handed components of the Dirac spinor.

### 4.2 Scalar propagator

We start with the same Lagrangian as in Sec.(2.1) but in addition require that the resulting theory is invariant under the action of  $Z_2$ . Introducing an operator  $\mathcal{P}_5$  that implements a reflection around  $\pi R$  taking  $y \rightarrow 2\pi R - y$ , we impose the following conditions on the scalar fields

$$\phi(x, y) = \mathcal{P}_5 \phi(x, y) = \wp_\phi \phi(x, 2\pi R - y) . \quad (4.1)$$

The sign depends on whether one assigns a positive or negative  $Z_2$  parity  $\wp_\phi = \pm 1$  to the field. One could now use the same trick as in Eq.(2.3) to get the propagator on the Orbifold. In addition to applying the group of translations by  $2\pi R$  to the propagator and summing over it, one would also sum the contributions obtained by acting with  $Z_2$ . The physical interval would then be  $y \in [0, \pi R]$ . However to avoid boundary terms in the path-integral it is advantageous not to define the theory on an interval but to extend it to the full circle. Following an idea proposed in [23] we define the new propagator to be

$$S_F^{\text{orb.}}(x - x', y, y') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (S_F(x - x', y + 2\pi Rn, y') + \wp_\phi S_F(x - x', 2\pi Rn - y, y')) , \quad (4.2)$$

which is exactly half of what one would get with the method described above. The integration in the path-integral now extends over all of  $S_1$ . Note that the two ways of defining

the theory are equivalent. It is just a matter of convenience when doing calculations. For  $y, y' \in [0, \pi R]$  one can evaluate Eq.(4.2) and finds

$$S_F^{\text{orb.}}(x-x', y, y') = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\cos \chi(\pi R - |y - y'|) + \wp_\phi \cos \chi(\pi R - (y + y')))}{4\chi \sin \chi \pi R} e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} . \quad (4.3)$$

The second term under the integral gives an exponential cutoff factor except when both  $y$  and  $y'$  are on a "brane". After Wick-rotation ( $\chi = i\chi_E$ ) this term becomes

$$\frac{-i\wp_\phi \cosh \chi_E(\pi R - (y + y'))}{4\chi_E \sinh \chi_E \pi R} \xrightarrow{p_E^2 \gg R^{-2}} \frac{-i\wp_\phi}{4\chi_E e^{\chi_E(\pi R - |\pi R - (y + y')|)}} \xrightarrow{p_E^2 \rightarrow \infty} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } y, y' \in ]0, \pi R[ \\ \frac{-i\wp_\phi}{4\chi_E} & \text{for } y = y' \in \{0, \pi R\} . \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

This tells us that in the case of the orbifold there will be additional divergencies that do not coincide with those of the uncompactified theory. When calculating radiative corrections in Sec.(5) we will see that the corresponding counterterms are located on the branes [23]. This is in accordance with the symmetries of our theory since at these points local translation invariance is broken. Therefore in the case of the orbifold one has to allow for terms in the Lagrangian that are located on the branes.

It is an easy task to extend the propagator (Eq.(4.2)) to all of  $S_1$ . Simply apply the parity transformations  $\mathcal{P}_5$  acting on either  $y, y'$  or on both. On  $S_1$  the propagator fulfills the following modified equation for a Green's function (with appropriate boundary conditions)

$$(-\square + (\partial_5)^2 - m^2) S_F^{\text{orb.}}(x-x', y, y') = \frac{i}{2} (\delta(y-y') + \wp_\phi \delta(2\pi R - (y+y'))) \delta^{(4)}(x-x') . \quad (4.5)$$

### 4.3 Fermion propagator

For the fermions the  $Z_2$  transformation is implemented via

$$\mathcal{P}_5 \psi(x, y) = \wp_\psi (i\gamma^5) \psi(x, 2\pi R - y) . \quad (4.6)$$

This has the consequence that the upper(left-handed) component of the Dirac spinor has a different  $Z_2$  parity than the lower(right-handed) component. Implementing the symmetry on the theory by requiring that

$$\psi(x, y) = \mathcal{P}_5 \psi(x, y) = \wp_\psi (i\gamma^5) \psi(x, 2\pi R - y) \quad (4.7)$$

therefore leads to a zero mode for  $\psi$  that is left-/right-handed depending on whether  $\wp_\psi = \pm 1$ . The fermion propagator is derived using the same trick as in the scalar case. There is however one subtle point. Since  $m\bar{\psi}\psi$  transforms odd under  $Z_2$  it is not an allowed term of the Lagrangian anymore. There are several ways to write down a more complicated mass term that is allowed by the  $Z_2$ -symmetry [24]. For now we will simply stick to the

massless case. The propagator can be obtained from Eq.(2.11) by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_F^{\text{orb.}}(x-x', y, y') &= \frac{1}{2} (S_F^c(x-x', y, y') + \wp_\psi(i\gamma^5) S_F^c(x-x', 2\pi R - y, y')) \\
&= \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} (\not{p} + i\gamma^5 \partial_y) \times \\
&\quad \frac{i(\cos \chi(\pi R - |y - y'|) - \wp_\psi(i\gamma^5) \cos \chi(\pi R - (y + y')))}{4\chi \sin \chi \pi R}. \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Again this is valid only for  $y, y'$  being on the interval  $[0, \pi R]$ . Extension of this result to the full circle is as before obtained by acting with  $\mathcal{P}_5$  from the left and/or from the right. The Green's function fulfills

$$(i\partial + i\gamma^5 \partial_y) S_F^{\text{orb.}}(x-x', y, y') = \frac{i}{2} (\delta(y-y') - \wp_\psi(i\gamma^5) \delta(2\pi R - (y+y'))) \delta^{(4)}(x-x') \quad (4.9)$$

#### 4.4 Gauge-boson propagator

The  $Z_2$ -parity assignment for the gauge fields is somewhat canonical if one requires that the covariant derivatives transform appropriately. The  $A_\mu$  fields are assigned an even parity, whereas the  $A_5$  is assigned an odd parity.

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\mu(x, y) &= \mathcal{P}_5 A_\mu(x, y) = A_\mu(x, 2\pi R - y) \\
A_5(x, y) &= \mathcal{P}_5 A_5(x, y) = -A_5(x, 2\pi R - y)
\end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The propagators take on the form (for  $y, y' \in [0, \pi R]$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{g.f.}} &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} (-i) \left( \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{\chi^2} \right) \frac{\cos \chi(\pi R - |y - y'|) + \cos \chi(\pi R - (y + y'))}{4\chi \sin \chi \pi R} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{\chi^2} \frac{\cos(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})(\pi R - |y - y'|) + \cos(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})(\pi R - (y + y'))}{4(\chi/\sqrt{\xi}) \sin(\chi/\sqrt{\xi}) \pi R} \right) e^{-ik \cdot (x-x')} \quad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

$$D_{55}^{\text{g.f.}} = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} i \frac{\cos(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})(\pi R - |y - y'|) - \cos(\chi/\sqrt{\xi})(\pi R - (y + y'))}{4(\chi/\sqrt{\xi}) \sin(\chi/\sqrt{\xi}) \pi R} e^{-ik \cdot (x-x')} \quad (4.12)$$

and fulfill the following equations on  $S_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\square - (\partial_5)^2) D^\mu{}_\nu - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \partial^\mu \partial^\lambda D_{\lambda\nu} &= \frac{i}{2} \delta^\mu{}_\nu (\delta(y-y') + \delta(2\pi R - (y+y'))) \delta^{(4)}(x-x') \\
(\square - \xi(\partial_5)^2) D^5{}_5 &= \frac{i}{2} (\delta(y-y') - \delta(2\pi R - (y+y'))) \delta^{(4)}(x-x'). \quad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

### 5. 1-loop corrections on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S_1/Z_2$

We now proceed by calculating the one-loop corrections evaluated in Sec.(3) in the case of the orbifold. However we have to face an additional subtlety. As already discussed in the previous section, there will be divergent contributions that are located on the branes. These violate translational invariance and therefore momentum conservation and introduce mixing between states with different KK-labels (momentum in the 5-direction).



## 5.1 Corrections to KK-photon mass

We start by calculating the 1-loop photon propagator corrections in 5D QED formulated on the orbifold (for the same calculation done with the conventional method see again [7]). The Lagrangian is the same as in the case of  $S_1$ , Eq.(3.1). Because of the  $Z_2$  symmetry only the even photon states of Eq.(3.2) survive. Performing the same calculation as before (Eq.(3.4)), one finds for  $\Pi_{n,n'}^{\mu\nu,\text{orb.}}$

$$\Pi_n^{\mu\nu,\text{orb.}} = \frac{1}{2}\Pi_n^{\mu\nu} , \quad (5.1)$$

one half of the result of Sec.(3.1). This is due to the fact that the  $Z_2$  symmetry has projected out half of the states that propagate in the fermion-loop. There is however another term that is not diagonal in the KK-labels. It comes from traces like  $\text{Tr}[\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\lambda\gamma^5]$  and is given by

$$\sim \frac{e^2}{\pi R} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left( \frac{i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}k_\rho^1 k_\lambda^2}{\left(\chi_1^2 - \left(\frac{n-n'}{2R}\right)^2\right)\left(\chi_2^2 - \left(\frac{n+n'}{2R}\right)^2\right)} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right) = 0 . \quad (5.2)$$

Because of 4D Lorentz invariance, the only available Lorentz tensors are  $g^{\mu\nu}$  and  $q^\mu q^\nu$  which are both symmetric in  $\mu, \nu$  and therefore the above expression has to vanish. Using the results from the previous sections the mass shift is exactly half of what it was in the case of  $S_1$  [7]

$$\delta m_n^2 = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{8\pi^4 R^2} . \quad (5.3)$$

## 5.2 Corrections to KK-fermion mass

Carrying out the same steps as in Sec.(3.3) for the case of the orbifold yields

$$\Sigma_{2,\text{orb.}}^{n,n'} = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_2^n \delta_{n,n'} + \Sigma_{2,\text{brane}}^{n,n'} . \quad (5.4)$$

The first term is again half of what we have found in the case of compactification on  $S_1$ , diagonal in the KK-indices and independent of the  $Z_2$  parity chosen for the fermion field. However the second term depends on the parity and introduces a mixing between modes of different KK-labels. This term is given by

for  $n - n'$  odd :

$$-i\Sigma_{2,\text{brane}}^{n,n'} = 0$$

for  $n - n'$  even :

$$-i\Sigma_{2,\text{brane}}^{n,n'} = \frac{e^2}{\sqrt{(1 + \delta_{n,0})(1 + \delta_{n',0})}8\pi R} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \times \left[ \frac{2\not{p}_1 - 5\frac{n+n'}{R}}{\left(\chi_1^2 - \left(\frac{n+n'}{2R}\right)^2\right)\left(\chi_2^2 - \left(\frac{n-n'}{2R}\right)^2\right)} - \not{\rho}_\psi i\gamma^5 \frac{2\not{p}_1 - 5\frac{n-n'}{R}}{\left(\chi_1^2 - \left(\frac{n-n'}{2R}\right)^2\right)\left(\chi_2^2 - \left(\frac{n+n'}{2R}\right)^2\right)} \right] . \quad (5.5)$$

It violates momentum conservation in the fifth direction (by multiples of  $2/R$ ), and leads to a mixing of different KK-modes. Going to Euclidean momentum it is easy to extract the leading logarithmic singularity

$$\Sigma_{2,\text{brane}}^{n,n'} \simeq \frac{-e^2}{\sqrt{(1+\delta_{n,0})(1+\delta_{n',0})}64\pi^3 R} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \left[ \not{q}(1-\not{\rho}_\psi i\gamma^5) - 5\frac{n}{R}(1-\not{\rho}_\psi i\gamma^5) - 5\frac{n'}{R}(1+\not{\rho}_\psi \gamma^5) \right]. \quad (5.6)$$

Since local 5D Lorentz-symmetry is broken by the orbifold, terms that are located on the fixed points are allowed by the symmetries. The cancellation of the above divergencies requires the introduction of counterterms that live on the branes. These additional terms have to be added to the Lagrangian and even when finetuned to zero they will be generated at loop-level. Also for the case of large mass terms located on the boundary, the Eigenstates would be shifted. We will therefore assume that the tree-level boundary mass-term is sufficiently small not to significantly alter the picture presented above. Radiative corrections to this value will then be loop suppressed.

## 6. Conclusion

Dealing with a theory formulated on a spacetime with compactified dimensions one has several options. The well beaten path is to integrate out the additional dimensions and work with a 4D theory of infinitely many Kaluza-Klein modes. Here we have studied an alternative approach especially suitable to five dimensions, where one retains the additional dimension, but instead of working in momentum space as for the four "normal" dimensions, treats the additional dimension in configuration space. We have calculated the propagators for some example setups and have illustrated how to do 1-loop calculations in this formalism. Also we have demonstrated that the UV-divergencies of the compactified and the corresponding uncompactified theory are the same and have explicitly shown how to extract those contributions that are due to the compactification. These are in general finite except for the case of the orbifold, where divergent terms that are located on the branes can arise. Higher dimensional symmetries (Lorentz, gauge, ...) may require some physical observables to vanish in the uncompactified theory. After compactification these quantities receive only finite corrections (except for possible boundary terms) and can be calculated.

There are several advantages of working with the mixed momentum-/configuration-space formalism. The derivation of the propagator is straightforward, since it is not necessary to find the mass Eigenstates that propagate. Especially in the case of diagrams with external zero-modes (Standard Model precision data) the integrals over the compactified dimension can be evaluated easily. Even in the case of external KK-modes the integrals can be done with the aid of some mathematical manipulation software in a straightforward fashion. Similarly to finite temperature field-theory the relation between the compactified ( $T > 0$ ) and the corresponding uncompactified ( $T = 0$ ) theory is more obvious. One disadvantage is that in more than five dimensions many of the advantages (no summation over modes, simple form of the propagator) are lost.

## A. Canonical quantization of fermion fields

The requirement of periodicity in the compactified dimension forces the solutions to the Dirac-equation to be a superposition of

$$\sin \frac{ny}{R}, \quad \cos \frac{ny}{R} \quad \text{with } p^2 = m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}.$$

From the mass-shell condition one sees that from the 4D point of view one gets the familiar picture of an infinite tower of Kaluza-Klein states. To fix a basis we introduce two sets of orthogonal and normalized 2-spinors  $\xi^s$  and  $\eta^s$  ( $s \in \{1, 2\}$ ) with  $\xi^{s\dagger}\xi^r = \delta^{s,r}$  and  $\eta^{s\dagger}\eta^r = \delta^{s,r}$ . A complete orthonormal set of positive energy solutions of the Dirac equation (Eq.(2.10)) is then given by<sup>4</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} u_{s,0}^+(p, y) &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } p^2 = m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} \\ u_{s,n}^+(p, y) &= \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{ny}{R} & \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \frac{m \cos \frac{ny}{R} + \frac{n}{R} \sin \frac{ny}{R}}{\sqrt{m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}} & \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix} \\ u_{s,n}^-(p, y) &= \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{ny}{R} & \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \xi^s \\ \frac{m \sin \frac{ny}{R} - \frac{n}{R} \cos \frac{ny}{R}}{\sqrt{m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}} & \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \xi^s \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Note that for every higher KK-mode one gets two linearly independent solutions whereas for the zero mode one gets only one. These solutions are normalized according to

$$\int d^4x \int_0^{2\pi R} dy \bar{u}_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) u_{s',n'}^{\sigma'}(p', y) e^{-i(p'-p) \cdot x} = 2m \delta^{s,s'} \delta^{\sigma,\sigma'} (2\pi R) \delta^{n,n'} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p-p'), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with the spin-sums

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s u_{s,0}^+(p, y) \bar{u}_{s,0}^+(p, y') &= (\not{p} + m) \\ \sum_s \left( u_{s,n}^+(p, y) \bar{u}_{s,n}^+(p, y') + u_{s,n}^-(p, y) \bar{u}_{s,n}^-(p, y') \right) &= 2(\not{p} + i\gamma^5 \partial_5 + m) \cos \frac{n(y-y')}{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

For the negative energy solutions one finds

$$\begin{aligned} v_{s,0}^+(p, y) &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \eta^s \\ -\sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \eta^s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } p^2 = m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} \\ v_{s,n}^+(p, y) &= \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{ny}{R} & \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \eta^s \\ -\frac{m \cos \frac{ny}{R} + \frac{n}{R} \sin \frac{ny}{R}}{\sqrt{m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}} & \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \eta^s \end{pmatrix} \\ v_{s,n}^-(p, y) &= \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{ny}{R} & \sqrt{p \cdot \sigma} \eta^s \\ \frac{-m \sin \frac{ny}{R} + \frac{n}{R} \cos \frac{ny}{R}}{\sqrt{m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}} & \sqrt{p \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \eta^s \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

---

<sup>4</sup>The matrices  $\sqrt{p_\mu \sigma^\mu}$  and  $\sqrt{p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu}$  can be completely fixed by requiring that  $\sqrt{p_\mu \sigma^\mu}^2 = p_\mu \sigma^\mu$ ,  $\sqrt{p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu}^2 = p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu$  and that  $\sqrt{p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu} \cdot \sqrt{p_\mu \sigma^\mu} = \sqrt{p_\mu \sigma^\mu} \cdot \sqrt{p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu} = \sqrt{p^2}$  (this construction of Dirac-spinors follows [25]).

with normalization

$$\int d^4x \int_0^{2\pi R} dy \bar{v}_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) v_{s',n'}^{\sigma'}(p', y) e^{i(p'-p)\cdot x} = -2m \delta^{s,s'} \delta^{\sigma,\sigma'} (2\pi R) \delta^{n,n'} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p-p') . \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and spin sums

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s v_{s,0}^+(p, y) \bar{v}_{s,0}^+(p, y') &= (\not{p} - m) \\ \sum_s \left( v_{s,n}^+(p, y) \bar{v}_{s,n}^+(p, y') + v_{s,n}^-(p, y) \bar{v}_{s,n}^-(p, y') \right) &= 2(\not{p} - i\gamma^5 \partial_y - m) \cos \frac{n(y-y')}{R} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Proceeding with the usual construction of the Fock-space we introduce creation- and annihilation-operators with the following anti-commutation relations

$$\{a_{p,s}^{\sigma,n}, a_{p',s'}^{\sigma',n'\dagger}\} = \{b_{p,s}^{\sigma,n}, b_{p',s'}^{\sigma',n'\dagger}\} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(p-p') (2\pi R) \delta^{n,n'} \delta^{s,s'} \delta^{\sigma,\sigma'} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and define 1-particle states

$$|p, n, s, \sigma\rangle = \sqrt{2E_{p,n}} a_{p,s}^{\sigma,n\dagger} |0\rangle . \quad (\text{A.8})$$

These states are normalized according to

$$\langle p, n, s, \sigma | p', n', s', \sigma' \rangle = 2E_{p,n} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(p-p') (2\pi R) \delta^{n,n'} \delta^{s,s'} \delta^{\sigma,\sigma'} . \quad (\text{A.9})$$

This allows one to write down the quantized fields in terms of creation-/annihilation-operators (note that  $\int \frac{d^3p}{2\pi} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^\infty$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{p,n}}} \sum_{s,\sigma} \left( a_{p,s}^{\sigma,n} u_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) e^{-ip\cdot x} + b_{p,s}^{\sigma,n\dagger} v_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) e^{ip\cdot x} \right) \\ \bar{\psi}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{p,n}}} \sum_{s,\sigma} \left( b_{p,s}^{\sigma,n} \bar{v}_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) e^{-ip\cdot x} + a_{p,s}^{\sigma,n\dagger} \bar{u}_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) e^{ip\cdot x} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

This allows one to calculate the 2-point Green's functions.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \psi_a(x, y) \bar{\psi}_b(x', y') | 0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{p,n}} \sum_{s,\sigma} u_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) \bar{u}_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y') e^{-ip\cdot(x-x')} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (i\not{\partial} + i\gamma^5 \partial_y + m)_{ab} \left[ \cos \frac{n(y-y')}{R} - \frac{\delta_{n,0}}{2} \right] \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{p,n}} e^{-ip\cdot(x-x')} \\ \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}_b(x', y') \psi_a(x, y) | 0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{p,n}} \sum_{s,\sigma} v_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y) \bar{v}_{s,n}^\sigma(p, y') e^{-ip\cdot(x'-x)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (i\not{\partial} + i\gamma^5 \partial_y + m)_{ab} \left[ \cos \frac{n(y-y')}{R} - \frac{\delta_{n,0}}{2} \right] \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{p,n}} e^{-ip\cdot(x'-x)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The time-ordered product of the two is given by

$$\langle 0 | T[\psi(x, y) \bar{\psi}(x', y')] | 0 \rangle = (i\partial_\mu + i\gamma^5 \partial_y + m) \times \frac{1}{\pi R} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \cos \frac{n(y-y')}{R} - \frac{\delta_{n,0}}{2} \right] \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + i\epsilon} e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

The sum can be evaluated for  $y, y' \in [0, 2\pi R[$  making use of the following relation<sup>5</sup> (for  $x \in [2\pi m, 2\pi(m+1)]$  and  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}$ )

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n^2 - a^2} = \frac{1}{2a^2} - \frac{\pi \cos a((2m+1)\pi - x)}{2a \sin a\pi}$$

and we find that (with  $\chi = \sqrt{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$ )

$$S_F^c(x-x', y, y') = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot (x-x')} (\not{p} + i\gamma^5 \partial_y + m) \frac{i \cos \chi(\pi R - |y-y'|)}{2\chi \sin \pi R}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

confirming the result found earlier. One can also check that this solution is indeed  $i$  times the Green's function of the Dirac operator

$$(\not{\partial} + i\gamma^5 \partial_y - m) S_F(x-x', y, y') = i\delta^{(4)}(x-x')\delta(y-y')$$

Generally the most efficient way to obtain the Feynman-propagator is to simply "guess" its form from the corresponding expression for the scalar field. However it is instructive to see how the familiar procedure of canonical quantization generalizes to the case of compactified extra dimensions.

## B. 1-loop corrections to the non-abelian gauge boson propagator

To calculate the correction to the masses of the gluon KK-tower one has to take into account the contributions coming from fermion-loops (this is the same as in QED except for a factor of  $T(r_f) = \text{Tr}[T^a, T^b]$ ) and from the gauge sector (this is given by the diagrams in Table(3)). Starting with diagram  $D1$  one evaluates the  $y$ -integrals and finds that it is of the form


$$i \Pi_{n,D1}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g^2}{4} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1} \frac{(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}) C_2(G) N^{\mu\nu} \delta^{ab}}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right], \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where  $N^{\mu\nu}$  is given by

$$N^{\mu\nu} = ((p_1 + p_2)^\mu g^{\lambda\rho} - (p_1 + q)^\lambda g^{\mu\rho} + (q - p_2)^\rho g^{\mu\lambda}) \times ((-p_1 + p_2)^\nu g_{\lambda\rho} + (q + p_1)_\lambda \delta^\nu_\rho + (p_2 - q)_\rho \delta^\nu_\lambda). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

---

<sup>5</sup>See for example [26].



**Figure 3:** Diagrams from the gauge sector contributing to  $\Pi_2^{\mu\nu}$ .

As in the case of the photon, the full 5D gauge- and Lorentz-symmetry again assures that those terms that are unaffected by the  $R \rightarrow \infty$  limit cancel. It is therefore legitimate to make the substitution Eq.(3.12). For  $n > 0$  the on-shell limit of the integrand is well defined and one gets a finite correction to the KK-mass given by

$$\delta\tilde{m}_{n,D1}^2 = g^2 C_2(G) \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{1}{e^{-i2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} \left[ -\frac{5}{6} \frac{\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}{\chi_1 \frac{n^2}{R^2}} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2})(\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2 + 4\frac{n^2}{R^2})}{\chi_1((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} \right] \right] = \frac{1}{4} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Here we have used that  $C_2(G)\delta_{ab} = f^{acd}f^{bcd}$ . In the case of the zero-mode one once again has to be careful since the two terms in the integrand are divergent as  $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ . Nevertheless when taking the limit in a sensible way one gets

$$\delta\tilde{m}_{0,D1}^2 = -\frac{9}{16} \frac{g^2}{\pi^2} C_2(G) \int_0^\infty d\chi_1 \left[ \frac{4\chi_1^2}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{d\chi_1} \left[ \frac{\chi_1^3}{e^{2\pi R\chi_1} - 1} \right] \right] = -\frac{9}{8} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

For diagram  $D2$  one finds

$$i \Pi_{n,D2}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g^2}{2} C_2(G) \delta^{ab} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1} \frac{(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 - 3\frac{n^2}{R^2})(\chi_2^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\cot \chi_2 \pi R}{\chi_2} \frac{(\chi_2^2 - \chi_1^2 + 3\frac{n^2}{R^2})(\chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}) + 2\frac{n^2}{R^2}(\chi_2^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} \right] g^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\delta\tilde{m}_{n,D2}^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G), \quad \delta\tilde{m}_{0,D2}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Diagram  $D3$  yields

$$i \Pi_{n,D3}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{g^2}{4} C_2(G) \delta^{ab} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1} \frac{(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2})(p_1 + p_2)^\mu (p_1 + p_2)^\nu}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right], \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$\delta \tilde{m}_{n,D3}^2 = 0, \quad \delta \tilde{m}_{0,D3}^2 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The ghost loop (diagram  $D4$ ) gives

$$i \Pi_{n,D4}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g^2}{2} C_2(G) \delta^{ab} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} p_1^\mu p_2^\nu \left[ \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1} \times \frac{(\chi_1^2 - \chi_2^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2})}{((\chi_1 - \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})((\chi_1 + \chi_2)^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2})} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right], \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$\delta \tilde{m}_{n,D4}^2 = 0, \quad \delta \tilde{m}_{0,D4}^2 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

For the four-vertex (diagram  $D5$ ) one finds

$$i \Pi_{n,D5}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{3}{2} g^2 C_2(G) g^{\mu\nu} \delta^{ab} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1}, \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$\delta \tilde{m}_{n,D5}^2 = \frac{3}{4} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G), \quad (\text{B.12})$$

and for the four-vertex with an  $A_5$ -loop (diagram  $D6$ )

$$i \Pi_{n,D6}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{g^2}{2} C_2(G) g^{\mu\nu} \delta^{ab} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\cot \chi_1 \pi R}{\chi_1}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$\delta \tilde{m}_{n,D6}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{g^2}{2\pi R} \frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 R^2} C_2(G). \quad (\text{B.14})$$

As stated before the sum of all these diagrams is finite. Also note that the total mass-correction to the zero-mode vanishes, therefore yielding a massless gluon, which is needed for a realistic low-energy theory.

## References

- [1] P. Horava and E. Witten, *Heterotic and type I string dynamics from eleven dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B460** (1996) 506–524, [[hep-th/9510209](#)].
- [2] I. Antoniadis, *A possible new dimension at a few TeV*, *Phys. Lett.* **B246** (1990) 377–384.
- [3] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, *Phenomenology, astrophysics and cosmology of theories with sub-millimeter dimensions and TeV scale quantum gravity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 086004, [[hep-ph/9807344](#)].
- [4] E. Witten, *Fermion quantum numbers in Kaluza-Klein theory*, . in \*Appelquist, T. (Ed.) et al.: modern Kaluza-Klein Theories\*, 438-511. (in \*Shelter Island 1983, proceedings, Quantum Field Theory and the Fundamental Problems of Physics\*, 227-277) and preprint - Witten, E. (83,Rec.Jan.84) 78 p.
- [5] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, *Strings on orbifolds*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B261** (1985) 678–686.
- [6] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, *Strings on orbifolds. 2*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B274** (1986) 285–314.
- [7] H.-C. Cheng, K. T. Matchev, and M. Schmaltz, *Radiative corrections to Kaluza-Klein masses*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 036005, [[hep-ph/0204342](#)].
- [8] G. von Gersdorff, N. Irges, and M. Quiros, *Bulk and brane radiative effects in gauge theories on orbifolds*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B635** (2002) 127–157, [[hep-th/0204223](#)].
- [9] D. M. Ghilencea and H.-P. Nilles, *Quadratic divergences in Kaluza-Klein theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B507** (2001) 327–335, [[hep-ph/0103151](#)].
- [10] A. Delgado, G. von Gersdorff, P. John, and M. Quiros, *One-loop Higgs mass finiteness in supersymmetric Kaluza-Klein theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B517** (2001) 445–449, [[hep-ph/0104112](#)].
- [11] R. Contino and L. Pilo, *A note on regularization methods in Kaluza-Klein theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B523** (2001) 347–350, [[hep-ph/0104130](#)].
- [12] R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, and Y. Nomura, *Models of Scherk-Schwarz symmetry breaking in 5D: Classification and calculability*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B624** (2002) 63–80, [[hep-th/0107004](#)].
- [13] N. Arkani-Hamed, Y. Grossman, and M. Schmaltz, *Split fermions in extra dimensions and exponentially small cross-sections at future colliders*, *Phys. Rev.* **D61** (2000) 115004, [[hep-ph/9909411](#)].
- [14] S. Groot Nibbelink, *Dimensional regularization of a compact dimension*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B619** (2001) 373–384, [[hep-th/0108185](#)].
- [15] P. Candelas and S. Weinberg, *Calculation of gauge couplings and compact circumferences from selfconsistent dimensional reduction*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B237** (1984) 397.
- [16] J. C. Collins, *Renormalization*. Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr., 1984.
- [17] D. M. Ghilencea, S. Groot Nibbelink, and H. P. Nilles, *Gauge corrections and FI-term in 5D KK theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B619** (2001) 385–395, [[hep-th/0108184](#)].
- [18] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, *A warped supersymmetric standard model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B602** (2001) 3–22, [[hep-ph/0012378](#)].



- [19] N. Arkani-Hamed, L. J. Hall, Y. Nomura, D. R. Smith, and N. Weiner, *Finite radiative electroweak symmetry breaking from the bulk*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B605** (2001) 81–115, [[hep-ph/0102090](#)].
- [20] R. Barbieri, G. Marandella, and M. Papucci, *Breaking the electroweak symmetry and supersymmetry by a compact extra dimension*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 095003, [[hep-ph/0205280](#)].
- [21] A. K. Das, *Finite temperature field theory*. Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific, 1997.
- [22] Y. Hosotani, *Dynamical mass generation by compact extra dimensions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B126** (1983) 309.
- [23] H. Georgi, A. K. Grant, and G. Hailu, *Brane couplings from bulk loops*, *Phys. Lett.* **B506** (2001) 207–214, [[hep-ph/0012379](#)].
- [24] A. Delgado, G. von Gersdorff, and M. Quiros, *Brane-assisted Scherk-Schwarz supersymmetry breaking in orbifolds*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 002, [[hep-th/0210181](#)].
- [25] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An introduction to quantum field theory*, . Reading, USA: Addison-Wesley (1995) 842 p.
- [26] W. Magnus and F. Oberhettinger, *Formeln und Sätze für die speziellen Funktionen der mathematischen Physik*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 1948.