

D-brane — Anti-D-brane Forces in Plane Wave Backgrounds: A Fall From Grace

Clifford V. Johnson, Harald G. Svendsen

*Centre for Particle Theory
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Durham
Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.*

c.v.johnson@durham.ac.uk, h.g.svendsen@durham.ac.uk

Abstract

We study the nature of the force between a D-brane and an anti-D-brane in the maximally supersymmetric plane wave background of type IIB superstring theory, which is equipped with a mass parameter μ . An early such study in flat space ($\mu = 0$) served to sharpen intuition about D-brane interactions, showing in particular the key role of the “stringy halo” that surrounds a D-brane. The halo marks the edge of the region within which tachyon condensation occurs, opening a gateway to new non-trivial vacua of the theory. It seems pertinent to study the fate of the halo for non-zero μ . Focusing on the simplest cases of $p = \pm 1$, we find here that for branes located at the origin, the radius of the halo shrinks for increasing μ . For branes away from the origin, this shrinking persists, and is accompanied by a shift of the centre of the halo away from the D-brane. In fact, we observe that for large enough μ , or beyond a critical distance from the origin, D-branes can lose their halo entirely! We suspect that the consequences of this physics for key notions about the dynamics, stability and classification of D-branes (such as tachyon condensation, K-theory, *etc.*) may well be quite significant.

1 Introduction

A D-brane and its “anti-particle”, an anti-D-brane, upon approaching each other, will annihilate. The generic product of this annihilation process is expected to be a state of closed strings, which carry no net R-R charge. This expectation is supported by field theory intuition and knowledge of which objects are the carriers of the available conserved charges in perturbative string theory. From experience with field theory one expects to be able to see the beginnings of the process of annihilation *via* the opening up of new decay channels at coincidence. These can be seen by studying the amplitude for exchange of quanta between the two branes, which gives a potential. At small separations, the behaviour of the potential —and the resulting exchange force that can be derived from it— can signal new physics. Basically, a divergence in the exchange force as the objects are brought together can signal the opening up of a new channel (or new channels) not included in the computation of the amplitude away from the divergent regime.

In field theory, for a separation X of the two objects, the divergence follows simply from the fact that the amplitude for exchange is controlled by the position space propagator $\Delta(X)$ which (for more than two transverse directions) is divergent at $X = 0$. This is where the new channels can open up, which can include the processes for complete annihilation into a new sector, if permitted by the symmetries of the theory.

For D-branes in superstring theory, such a divergence does indeed show up, but there is an important new feature [1]. The divergence occurs when the D-branes are finitely separated, by an amount set by $X_H^2 = 2\pi^2\alpha'$, where α' is the characteristic length scale set by a fundamental string’s tension. This is interpreted as the fact that in addition to the many special features of D-branes, they have a “stringy halo” originating in the fact that the bulk of the open strings which (by definition) end on them can reach out in the transverse directions, forming a region of potential activity of size set by X_H . This halo means that the D-branes can interact with each other before zero separation, as there is an enhancement of the physics of interaction by new light states formed by the entanglement of the halos, and the crossover into the annihilation channel begins before the branes are coincident.

Recall that the amplitude of exchange can be thought of using two equivalent pictures: Either as tree level exchange of closed string quanta between the branes, or (after a modular transformation) as the one-loop vacuum diagram for open strings stretched between the two D-branes. In the open string description, at separation X_H , the lightest open string becomes massless, and for any closer separation it becomes tachyonic, signalling that the entire vacuum configuration is unstable and wishes to roll to another vacuum. It is this tachyon which produces the divergence of the exchange force, converting a decaying exponential into a growing one, spoiling the convergence of the amplitude in the infra-red (IR) region.

The D–branes annihilate *via* conversion to closed strings in the generic situation, but the tachyon picture can be exploited in a beautiful way to produce more structure [2, 3, 4, 5]. For the $G = U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge theory on the $(p + 1)$ –dimensional world–volume on N D p –branes and N anti–D p –branes, the tachyon field, transforming as the (N, \bar{N}) , can be put into a configuration endowed with non–trivial topological charge, and the tachyon potential need not yield a runaway to a sector containing only closed strings. Having such topological vacuum solutions in the tachyon sector allows for the possibility of a stable remnant — interpreted as a D–brane of lower dimension— of the annihilation process after the debris that is the closed string products has cleared. It turns out that the spectrum of hypermultiplets in the $U(N) \times U(N)$ world–volume theory supplies a set of variables which is isomorphic to those needed to perform a K–theoretic analysis of the topology of G –vector bundles over the world–volume, and so the classification of all D–branes which can appear on a spacetime is apparently elegantly and economically by using the results of the appropriate K–theory of the spacetime which the D p –branes and anti–D p –branes fill [6, 7, 8]. The case of $p = 9$ for Minkowski space yields the entire classification of D–branes in the most familiar symmetric vacuum of superstring theory.

This is all well understood for the case of flat ten dimensional spacetime. So when one encounters another background which enjoys the same maximal supersymmetry as flat spacetime — a plane wave with R–R flux [9]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds^2 &= 2dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 x^2 (dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 dx^i dx^i + \sum_{i=5}^8 dx^i dx^i , \\
 F_{+1234} = F_{+5678} &= 2\mu , \quad x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^8 x^i x^i , \quad x^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^9 \pm x^0) , \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

which also yields an exactly solvable string model [10] (in light–cone gauge defined by relating worldsheet time τ to x^+ *via* $x^+ = 2\pi\alpha' p^+ \tau$, where p^+ is the + component of spacetime momentum):

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} (\partial_+ x^i \partial_- x^i - M^2 x^2) + \frac{i}{2\pi\alpha'} (S^a \partial_+ S^a + \tilde{S}^a \partial_- \tilde{S}^a - 2MS^a \Pi_{ab} \tilde{S}^a) , \quad (2)$$

with a mass parameter $M = 2\pi\alpha' p^+ \mu$ — it is inevitable that questions about the key lessons which were learned about D–branes will spring to mind¹. Is the picture of D–branes as Dirichlet open string boundary conditions as powerful in this context as it has been in flat space? In particular, do the dynamics hidden within a halo’s breadth of the branes bear any similarity do the flat space case? Are all D–branes classified by K–theory, now of the new background?

¹There has been a number of papers studying D–branes in plane wave and pp–wave backgrounds. Some of them are refs. [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37].

Quite generally, one can ask, “Will the things that we learned about D–branes in flat spacetime teach us things about this new background, and/or will this new background teach us new things about D–branes?”. A positive answer to either part of this question would be very welcome, and we think that the observations which we make in this paper do indeed constitute new information about D–branes which may be part of a general lesson. In particular, the stringy halo of a D–brane can be severely distorted, and in fact beyond certain critical values of natural ratios which arise in the problem, the halo can disappear altogether!

While we have no deep insights at present concerning the nature of the K–theory of this particular plane wave background (from one point of view it appears to be essentially the same as flat space, while from another, very much different²), it seems safe to say that we must be careful in what we say about K–theory classifying all D–branes, since if there are regions where there is no halo (and hence no tachyon) then not all of the key moving parts of the K–theory machine are in evidence. In particular, the tachyon is crucial in forming a dynamical realisation of a chain of exact sequences which extracts the required topology of the endstate of the annihilation process. However, the loss of the halo suggests that there is no available tachyon for a large range of parameters in this background. Whether this means that K–theory is no longer relevant in those cases and must be supplemented by something else, or whether the familiar open string hypermultiplet variables must be replaced by other variables while the K–theory remains intact and relevant is an intriguing matter for further investigation.

2 The Interaction Force

It is convenient [13,18] to label D–branes in the plane wave background given in equation (1) as (r, s) , if they are Euclidean, where r denotes the spatial extent in directions $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and s denotes the spatial extent in directions $i = 5, 6, 7, 8$. A Dp –brane would then have $r + s = p + 1$. If the D–branes are Lorentzian, then their worldvolume extends in the x^+ and x^- direction, and the notation is $(+, -, r, s)$. In that case, a Dp –brane has $r + s = p - 1$.

The string theory diagram of interest is a cylinder, representing either the tree level exchange of closed string quanta between two D–branes, or the one–loop vacuum process involving the circulation of open strings with ends on either D–brane. See figure 1.

We will focus on the results for the simplest branes in the Euclidean and Lorentzian classes. These are the $D(-1)$ –branes (or $(0, 0)$ –branes), and the $D1$ –branes (or $(+, -, 0, 0)$ –branes), discussed in ref. [18]. The former requires the time direction, in which the branes are also pointlike, to be Euclidean.

The results are reasonably simple for these cases, compared to other (r, s) with $r \neq s \neq 0$,

²We thank Rob de Jeu for a conversation about this.




Figure 1: Cylinder diagram for computing the amplitude of interaction between two branes. The parameter t is open string propagation time, and is the modulus of the cylinder.

and it would be interesting to explore those other cases in detail. We expect that the key observations made in this paper for these $r = 0 = s$ cases will be quite generic, although there may be additional features to be deduced from studying other cases in detail.

2.1 The Amplitude and Potential

We consider a Dp -brane and its antiparticle for $p = \pm 1$. If $p = -1$, it is an instanton, (a $(0, 0)$ -brane) and we consider it to be pointlike in Euclidean time. If $p = +1$ it is a string, (a $(+, -, 0, 0)$ -brane) and the theory is Lorentzian.

So we place a Dp -brane at position y_1^i in the x^i directions ($i = 1, \dots, 8$), and a \overline{Dp} -brane (antibrane) at position y_2^i , with a separation X^\pm in the x^\pm directions if $p = -1$. The cylinder amplitude A is [18]:

$$A = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} t^{-\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} e^{-t \frac{X^+ X^-}{2\pi\alpha'}} \hat{h}_0(t; y_1, y_2) \frac{\hat{g}_4^{(m)}(t)^4}{f_1^{(m)}(t)^8}. \quad (3)$$

For $p = +1$, the factor $\exp(-X^+ X^- / 2\pi\alpha' t)$ is not present. For higher p , (which we will not be considering here) there are no additional powers of t in the integrand. These are normally due to integration over continuous zero modes in the flat space case. The plane wave background

has no such modes for the direction x^i , (the zero modes are instead themselves harmonic oscillators [38, 39, 40, 41]) and so such t^{-1} factors beyond those appearing here are present. See below equation (7) for some further discussion of how to read this expression.

In the above, we have the functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1^{(m)}(t) &= q^{-\Delta_m} (1 - q^m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\omega_n}), \\
\hat{h}_0(t; y_1, y_2) &= \exp\left(-\frac{mt}{2\alpha' \sinh(\pi m)} [\cosh(\pi m)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) - 2y_1 \cdot y_2]\right), \\
\hat{g}_4^{(m)}(t) &= q^{-\hat{\Delta}_m} \prod_{l \in \mathcal{P}_+} (1 - q^{|\omega_l|})^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{l \in \mathcal{P}_-} (1 - q^{|\omega_l|})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\Delta_m &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} ds e^{-p^2 s - \frac{\pi^2 m^2}{s}}, \\
\hat{\Delta}_m &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_r^p m \frac{\partial^r}{(\partial m^2)^r} \frac{1}{m} \int_0^{\infty} ds \left(\frac{-s}{\pi^2}\right)^r e^{-p^2 s - \frac{\pi^2 m^2}{s}}, \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

and the parameter q and the deformed harmonic oscillator frequencies are defined as:

$$q = e^{-2\pi t}, \quad \omega_n = \text{sign}(n) \sqrt{n^2 + m^2}. \quad (5)$$

Note that Δ_m and $\hat{\Delta}_m$ are zero-point energies which arise naturally in the closed and open string sectors, respectively. The coefficients c_r^p in $\hat{\Delta}_m$ are the coefficients of a specific Taylor expansion:

$$\left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)^p + \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)^p = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_r^p x^{2r}. \quad (6)$$

The sets \mathcal{P}_- and \mathcal{P}_+ are given as solutions of the equations

$$l \in \mathcal{P}_- : \frac{l - im}{l + im} + e^{2\pi il} = 0, \quad l \in \mathcal{P}_+ : \frac{l + im}{l - im} + e^{2\pi il} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The details of the derivation of these amplitudes can be found in ref. [18]. We will not need them all here, and refer the reader there for more information. Some comments are in order however. For $p = -1$, the above expression was computed first with a boundary state formalism with closed string light cone gauge $x^+ = 2\pi\alpha' p^+ \tau$, we have $M = 2\pi\alpha' p^+ \mu$ and the propagation time in the closed string channel is $1/t$. Then a modular transformation gives the expression above, with

$$t = \frac{2\pi\alpha' p^+}{X^+}, \quad m = \mu X^+ = Mt. \quad (8)$$

For the case $p = 1$, the computation was done directly in terms of the open string channel, with open string light cone gauge $x^+ = 2\pi\alpha' p^+ \tau$, so we have

$$t = \frac{X^+}{2\pi\alpha' p^+}, \quad m = 2\pi\alpha' p^+ \mu. \quad (9)$$

What is important for our discussion is the structure of the full amplitude for the cylinder diagram, given above in equation (3) as an integral over the modulus t . It can be written as:

$$A = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} t^{-\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \exp\left\{-2\pi t Z(m, y_1, y_2)\right\} G(t), \quad (10)$$

where the the exponent $Z(m, y_1, y_2)$ is defined as (delete the $X^+ X^-$ term to get the D1-brane result):

$$Z(m, y_1, y_2) = \frac{m\pi}{4\pi^2\alpha' \sinh(m\pi)} \left[\cosh(m\pi)(y_1^2 + y_2^2) - 2y_1 \cdot y_2 \right] - 4(\hat{\Delta}_m - 2\Delta_m) + \frac{X^+ X^-}{4\pi^2\alpha'}. \quad (11)$$

and the function $G(t)$ is defined as:

$$G(t) = \frac{\prod_{l \in \mathcal{P}_+} (1 - q^{|\omega_l|})^2 \prod_{l \in \mathcal{P}_-} (1 - q^{|\omega_l|})^2}{(1 - q^m)^4 \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^{\omega_n})^8} = \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^{\omega_n})^{-8} \prod_{l \in \mathcal{P}_+, l > 0} (1 - q^{\omega_l})^4 \prod_{l \in \mathcal{P}_-, l > 0} (1 - q^{\omega_l})^4 \quad (12)$$

For our discussion, the only important fact about the function $G(t)$ is that its behaviour at large and small t is such that generically, the amplitude is convergent. That A is finite as $t \rightarrow 0$ follows from the fact that small t is the closed string IR limit, where this amplitude should reproduce simple low energy field theory results for massless exchange at tree level. The $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit is also well behaved generically, since this is the open string IR limit, which is fine — away from special circumstances which will not show up in the oscillator contributions since their energies are higher than the lowest lying states. In fact, it is clear that $G(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and so whether A is finite as $t \rightarrow \infty$ depends on the sign of the exponent Z , which (as we shall review shortly) controls those lowest lying states.

Writing $y_2^i = y_1^i + z^i$, where z^i is the separation between the branes in the eight directions x^i , the expression for Z becomes

$$Z(m, y_1, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha' \tanh(m\pi)} \left[(z + a)^2 + \frac{\tanh(m\pi)}{m\pi} X^+ X^- - b^2 \right], \quad (13)$$

where we have defined three crucial parameters:

$$a = \frac{\cosh(m\pi) - 1}{\cosh(m\pi)} y_1, \quad b = \tanh(m\pi) \sqrt{y_*^2 - y_1^2}, \quad y_*^2 = \frac{16\pi^2\alpha' (\hat{\Delta}_m - 2\Delta_m)}{m\pi \tanh(m\pi)}. \quad (14)$$

2.2 The Force

Let us now consider the force between the brane and the antibrane. In the y^i directions it is given as the derivative with respect to the z^i ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_i &= -\frac{\partial A}{\partial z^i} = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} e^{-2\pi t Z} (-2\pi t) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial z^i} G(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} e^{-2\pi t Z} (2\pi t) \frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha' \tanh(m\pi)} \frac{m\pi}{2} (z^i + a^i) G(t) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Defining $u = 2\pi tZ$ (and assuming $Z > 0$), this gives

$$F_i = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha'} \frac{m\pi}{\tanh(m\pi)} (z^i + a^i) \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^\infty du e^{-u} G\left(\frac{u}{2\pi Z}\right). \quad (16)$$

When $Z \rightarrow 0$, we have $G\left(\frac{u}{2\pi Z}\right) \rightarrow 1$, so the integral approaches unity. In this limit the force clearly diverges, since it goes like

$$F_i \sim \frac{m\pi}{\tanh(m\pi)} \frac{z^i + a^i}{Z}. \quad (17)$$

A similar conclusion can be made for the force in the light-cone directions (for $p = -1$):

$$F_\pm = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial X^\pm} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha'} \frac{X^\mp}{Z} \int_0^\infty du e^{-u} G\left(\frac{u}{2\pi Z}\right) \sim \frac{X^\mp}{Z}. \quad (18)$$

3 Review: The Halo in The Flat Space Limit

3.1 Divergence

If we send $m \rightarrow 0$, we recover flat space (see the solution (1), and recall that m is proportional to μ), and in this limit we ought to recover flat space results. Examining the expressions (14) as $m \rightarrow 0$ we see that $a \rightarrow 0$, $y_*^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $b^2 \rightarrow 2\pi^2\alpha'$, and

$$Z \rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha'} (z^2 + X^+X^- - 2\pi^2\alpha'), \quad (19)$$

(delete the X^+X^- term to give the result for the D1-brane case). So, combining this with our observation of the previous section, we recover the well known [1] divergence at separation given by $X_H^2 = 2\pi^2\alpha'$.

3.2 Tachyon

Consider the RNS formulation in the flat space background. Then the worldsheet Hamiltonian is given as $H = L_0 = \alpha' p^2 + N + a_{R(NS)}$, where the constant $a_{R(NS)}$ is the zero point energy and N is the total number operator. The z.p.e. is $a_R = 0$ in the Ramond sector, and $a_{NS} = -\frac{1}{2}$ in NS sector.

For strings stretched between two D-branes, we have $p^m = x^m/2\pi\alpha'$ for transverse (to the branes) directions x^m . So, splitting transverse (labelled m) and parallel (labelled i) directions we can write

$$L_0 = \alpha' p^i p_i + N + \frac{z^2}{4\pi^2\alpha'} + a_{R(NS)}. \quad (20)$$

This gives a mass spectrum

$$M^2 = -p^i p_i = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left(N + a_{R(NS)} + \frac{z^2}{4\pi^2\alpha'} \right). \quad (21)$$

The NS ground state ($N = 0$, $a_{NS} = -\frac{1}{2}$) has mass squared

$$M_0^2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha'} \left(\frac{z^2}{2\pi^2\alpha'} - 1 \right) . \quad (22)$$

This is a tachyon if $z^2 < 2\pi^2\alpha'$.

In the usual case this ground state is eliminated by the GSO projection $P = \frac{1+(-1)^F}{2}$ in superstring theory. The partition function \mathcal{Z} is roughly given by $\text{Tr} P e^{-tL_0}$, where the trace is over everything, in both the R and NS sectors. There is an overall factor of $\exp\left(-t(a_{R(NS)} + \frac{z^2}{4\pi^2\alpha'})\right)$. When we consider a brane–antibrane system, we can write

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr}(P e^{-tL_0}) = \mathcal{Z}_R + \mathcal{Z}_{NS} = 2\mathcal{Z}_{NS} , \quad (23)$$

and so there will be an overall factor in the path integral of

$$e^{-t\left(a_{NS} + \frac{z^2}{4\pi^2\alpha'}\right)} = e^{\frac{t}{2}\left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2\pi^2\alpha'}\right)} = e^{-t\alpha' M_0^2} . \quad (24)$$

The other factors will be non–divergent, so whether the integral over the modulus t is divergent or not just depends of the sign of the exponent in this factor. That is, the integral is divergent if $z^2 < 2\pi^2\alpha'$ (*i.e.*, $M_0^2 < 0$).

When we consider a brane–antibrane system, we are effectively reversing the GSO projection in the partition function, giving $P = \frac{1-(-1)^F}{2}$, since antibranes come with a minus sign. This means that the NS ground state ($N = 0$) will now survive, and the possible tachyon above is present in the spectrum. So for $z^2 < 2\pi^2\alpha'$ there is a tachyon, and so there is a 1–1 correspondence between the tachyon’s appearance and divergence of the integral.

For the case when all of the directions are transverse, as is the case for the instantons we have been studying here, the tachyon interpretation follows from continuation and T–duality.

4 The Halo in the Plane Wave and the Fall from Grace

Clearly, we must seek for the places where $Z \rightarrow 0$ in the more general case. Wherever this happens, there is a divergence of the force in exactly the same way as in the flat space case. This divergence is purely stringy in origin, and signals a place where the lightest open string is becoming massless and a tachyon is possibly appearing in the spectrum.

Let us first note that if $y_1^2 > y_*^2$, or alternatively, if m is greater than a certain value we shall call m_* , then b is imaginary, and Z is always positive. In this case the force is never divergent — regardless of the separation of the branes. So we observe our first interesting result: If the branes are far away enough from the origin of the plane wave background, the halo disappears! This must not be interpreted as the failure of the brane–anti–brane pair to

annihilate, of course. Field theory intuition tells us only that a divergence signals the opening up of new channels, but the converse is not true. The amplitude computed in position space in field theory will have its divergence controlled by the position space propagator for massless exchange, which goes roughly as x^{2-D} , where D is the number of flat transverse directions. So one way to avoid the divergence of the force is if $D \leq 2$. Notice (see equation (1)) that eight of the transverse directions x^i ($i = 1, \dots, 8$) of the plane wave are not like flat space. There is a confining potential $\mu^2 x^2$.

So let us consider the case $y_1^2 < y_*^2$. Then we have

$$Z = 0 \iff (z + a)^2 + \frac{\tanh(m\pi)}{m\pi} X^+ X^- = b^2 . \quad (25)$$

This equation describes ellipsoids (see figure 2) in the space of z^i and X^\pm . The radius in the z^i directions is b , and the radius in the X^\pm directions is $h = (m\pi/\tanh(m\pi))^{1/2} b > b$. Notice that the centre in the z^i directions of the ellipsoid is shifted by $-a$. For the case of the Lorentzian D1-brane, we must simply delete the $X^+ X^-$ term. We then have spheres in the eight transverse directions, of radius b and shifted by $-a$. These shapes (spheres or ellipsoids) are loci of points forming the “stringy halo” of the D-branes. They are the places where there is a sharp sign that there is new physics appearing as the branes approach each other: The factor Z is vanishing, due to the appearance of a massless low-lying stretched open string state, just as in the flat space case.

It is important to note that as long as $0 \leq y_1^2 \leq y_*^2$, (*i.e.*, as long as a halo is present) then $a \leq \sqrt{2\pi^2\alpha'}$. Also $b^2 \leq 2\pi^2\alpha'$. Another interesting feature is that the shift a increases while the radius b decreases with increasing y_1 (or increasing m). Note also that $a + b \leq 2\pi^2\alpha'$, and therefore the size of the halo in the x^i directions is smaller than that in flat space. The string halo shrinks with increasing m or y_1 .

Because of the shift a , there is another interesting scale, marking where $a^2 = b^2$. This happens for

$$y_1^2 = \frac{\sinh^2(m\pi)}{2 \cosh(m\pi)(\cosh(m\pi) - 1)} y_*^2 \equiv y_{**}^2 . \quad (26)$$

It is straightforward to see that $y_{**}^2 \leq y_*^2$. (This also implicitly defines a mass scale m_{**} .) The significance of this is that not only can the centre of the halo shift, but in fact it can shift so far towards the origin that it can be completely away from the y_1 brane! So while the halo survives, it no longer surrounds a brane at all.

4.1 Summary of Possibilities

It is useful to list the possible situations. The different cases are illustrated in figures 3 and 4.




Figure 2: There is a brane at relative position $z = \sqrt{z^i z^i} = 0$. For D(-1)-branes, it is also at relative position $\sqrt{X^- X^+} = 0$. Bringing up another brane will produce a divergence on an ellipsoid ($b \neq h$) in ten dimensions (for D(-1)-branes) or a sphere of radius b in eight dimensions (for a D1-brane). This sphere or ellipsoid is interpreted as the “stringy halo”. Shown is the parameterisation of the halo. Its radius is set by b in the plane wave’s eight transverse directions, and $h > b$ in the X^\pm directions if it is a D(-1)-brane. It is shifted towards the origin by an amount a .

- $a = 0$: This happens for $m = 0$ (case (i) in figures 3 and 4) in which case we simply recover the flat space result that the force diverges when the separation between the branes is $\sqrt{2\pi^2\alpha'}$. The case $a = 0$ also happens for $m \neq 0$ and $y_1 = 0$. In this case $a = 0$, but $b < h < \sqrt{2\pi^2\alpha'}$.
- $b^2 > a^2 > 0$: This “small mass” situation (case (ii) in figure 3 and 4) happens for $0 < y_1^2 < y_{**}^2$, or equivalently for $0 < m < m_{**}$.
- $a^2 = b^2$ This happens when $y_1^2 = y_{**}^2$, or equivalently $m = m_{**}$, as defined in equation (26). Since y_{**}^2 is a decreasing function of m , we can say $m > m_{**} \iff y_{**}^2(m) < y_1^2$, and $m < m_{**} \iff y_{**}^2(m) > y_1^2$.
- $a^2 > b^2 > 0$: This “medium mass” situation (case (iii) in figures 3 and 4) happens for $y_{**}^2 < y_1^2 < y_*^2$, or equivalently $m_{**} < m < m_*$. The brane is no longer surrounded by the string halo. The second brane can be brought in to annihilate with the first without encountering the halo.
- $b^2 = 0$: This happens when $y_1^2 = y_*^2$, or equivalently, $m = m_*$, as defined in equation (14). Since y_*^2 is a decreasing function of m , we can say $m > m_* \iff y_*^2(m) < y_1^2$, and $m < m_* \iff y_*^2(m) > y_1^2$.




Figure 3: There is a brane at relative position $z = \sqrt{z^i z^i} = 0$. For D(-1)-branes, it is also at relative position $\sqrt{X^+ X^-} = 0$. Bringing up another brane will produce a divergence on an ellipsoid ($b \neq h$) in ten dimensions (for D-1-branes) or a sphere of radius b in eight dimensions (for a D1-brane). This sphere or ellipsoid is interpreted as the “stringy halo”. Shown are three characteristic cases that can occur for the halo for different values of the parameters. See the text for further explanation. See also figures 2 and 4.

- $b^2 < 0$: This happens for $y_*^2 < y_1^2$, or equivalently $m_* < m$. In this case there is no divergence of the force for any separations. This “large mass” situation is case (iv).

5 Discussion

The maximally supersymmetric plane wave background [9] allows for an exactly solvable string model [10, 42] in which to examine the properties of D-branes in some detail. D-branes in flat space exhibit an important feature in that they are sensitive to each other’s presence upon approaching to a distance scale set by the tension of the open strings that can end on them. It is natural therefore to look for new physics in this regime for D-branes in the plane wave background, since there is a mass parameter μ naturally associated to the background.

It is possible to account for some of the features that we saw in our amplitudes by examining the worldsheet model, given in equation (2). The mass parameter μ controls a mass m in the two dimensional string model (either closed or open [17, 18]; see equations (8) and (9)) for the modes in the x^i ($i = 1, \dots, 8$) directions. This means that for non-zero m , it is energetically favourable to keep x as small as possible. This nicely explains two phenomena about the halo. For increasing m , we saw that b , which sets the size of the halo, decreases. The halo is made of fundamental strings, whose bulk behaviour is controlled by the model in equation (2). So the decrease in the size of the halo is to be expected, since the open strings wish to stay closer




Figure 4: This figure depicts a brane (large dot) and its accompanying halo in four different situations. (The labels (i) — (iv) correspond to the labelling in the text and in figure 3.) This case is for fixed m , while the brane is placed at increasing distance from the origin. The halo shrinks, shifts off the brane, and eventually disappears altogether. Fixing the brane’s position and increasing m will also shrink the halo and cause it to disappear.

to the origin $x = 0$ of the spacetime. This also explains why the halo gets shifted towards the origin when the D-brane is located at $y_1 \neq 0$. The potential $m^2 x^2$ in the plane wave model produces a “drift force” on the halo, distorting it sideways towards $x = 0$. This is shown more graphically in figure 4.

The disappearance of the halo past a critical distance, or equivalently a critical value of m , is intriguing. It originates in the fact that there is a competition between the classical desire of the open strings to reduce their length to zero since they have tension, and the fact that they have a quantum mechanical negative zero point energy, and so they stretch to soak up the energy, and form the halo. The background’s mass parameter, m , tilts the battle in the favour of the tension, since energy can go into the string’s mass term at the expense of stretching. This results in the shrinking of the halo, while also shifting its centre as we saw above, since smaller x is less costly in the presence of the mass term. At a critical value of m however, m_* , the contribution of m overwhelms the quantum mechanical zero point energy to the extent that there is no longer any energetic reason for the strings to stretch out at all. In this case, there is no region where there is a massless state which is about to become tachyonic at all. As mentioned in the introduction, this is interesting, since while nothing we have done here shows that the D-branes can’t annihilate when there is no halo³, there is no accompanying open

³We’ve been looking specifically for the halo, which marks the opening up of new annihilation channels. In the absence of a halo, one expects the annihilation to be akin to that in ordinary field theory. Further analysis is needed to demonstrate anything conclusive about the full annihilation process.

string tachyon for a range of parameters. This leads one to speculate about whether or not the spectrum of branes in this background can be captured by K-theory, of which the tachyon condensation mechanism for constructing lower dimensional branes [2, 3, 4, 5] seems to supply a physical realisation in flat space [6, 7, 8].

That there is a critical mass m beyond which the branes lose their halo (or equivalently, a critical radius from the origin outside of which branes lose their halo) for this plane wave background may have a number of important consequences beyond the matters of classification mentioned above. For example, given that strings in such a background capture aspects of (a sector of) large N gauge theory [43], there may be consequences for gauge theory dynamics to be uncovered.

Acknowledgements

C.V.J. would like to thank the EPSRC and the PPARC for financial support. H.G.S. was supported by a doctoral student fellowship from the Research Council of Norway, by an ORS award, and by the University of Durham.

References

- [1] T. Banks and L. Susskind, “Brane - Antibrane Forces,” [hep-th/9511194](#).
- [2] A. Sen, “Stable non-BPS states in string theory,” *JHEP* **06** (1998) 007, [hep-th/9803194](#).
- [3] A. Sen, “Stable non-BPS bound states of BPS D-branes,” *JHEP* **08** (1998) 010, [hep-th/9805019](#).
- [4] A. Sen, “Tachyon condensation on the brane antibrane system,” *JHEP* **08** (1998) 012, [hep-th/9805170](#).
- [5] A. Sen, “SO(32) spinors of type I and other solitons on brane- antibrane pair,” *JHEP* **09** (1998) 023, [hep-th/9808141](#).
- [6] R. Minasian and G. W. Moore, “K-theory and Ramond-Ramond charge,” *JHEP* **11** (1997) 002, [hep-th/9710230](#).
- [7] E. Witten, “D-branes and K-theory,” *JHEP* **12** (1998) 019, [hep-th/9810188](#).
- [8] P. Horava, “Type IIA D-branes, K-theory, and matrix theory,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1999) 1373–1404, [hep-th/9812135](#).
- [9] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, C. Hull, and G. Papadopoulos, “A new maximally supersymmetric background of IIB superstring theory,” *JHEP* **01** (2002) 047, [hep-th/0110242](#).
- [10] R. R. Metsaev, “Type IIB Green-Schwarz superstring in plane wave Ramond- Ramond background,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B625** (2002) 70–96, [hep-th/0112044](#).
- [11] A. Dabholkar and S. Parvizi, “Dp branes in pp-wave background,” [hep-th/0203231](#).
- [12] C.-S. Chu and P.-M. Ho, “Noncommutative D-brane and open string in pp-wave background with B-field,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B636** (2002) 141–158, [hep-th/0203186](#).
- [13] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Branes in AdS and pp-wave spacetimes,” *JHEP* **06** (2002) 025, [hep-th/0204054](#).
- [14] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Open strings in the plane wave background. I: Quantization and symmetries,” [hep-th/0211011](#).
- [15] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Open strings in the plane wave background. II: Superalgebras and spectra,” [hep-th/0212184](#).

- [16] M. Billo and I. Pesando, “Boundary states for GS superstrings in an Hpp wave background,” *Phys. Lett.* **B536** (2002) 121–128, [hep-th/0203028](#).
- [17] O. Bergman, M. R. Gaberdiel, and M. B. Green, “D-brane interactions in type IIB plane-wave background,” [hep-th/0205183](#).
- [18] M. R. Gaberdiel and M. B. Green, “The D-instanton and other supersymmetric D-branes in IIB plane-wave string theory,” [hep-th/0211122](#).
- [19] M. R. Gaberdiel and M. B. Green, “D-branes in a plane-wave background,” [hep-th/0212052](#).
- [20] T. Takayanagi, “Modular invariance of strings on pp-waves with RR-flux,” [hep-th/0206010](#).
- [21] M. Alishahiha and A. Kumar, “D-brane solutions from new isometries of pp-waves,” *Phys. Lett.* **B542** (2002) 130–136, [hep-th/0205134](#).
- [22] P. Bain, P. Meessen, and M. Zamaklar, “Supergravity solutions for D-branes in Hpp-wave backgrounds,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 913–934, [hep-th/0205106](#).
- [23] P. Bain, K. Peeters, and M. Zamaklar, “D-branes in a plane wave from covariant open strings,” *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 066001, [hep-th/0208038](#).
- [24] H. Takayanagi and T. Takayanagi, “Open strings in exactly solvable model of curved space-time and pp-wave limit,” *JHEP* **05** (2002) 012, [hep-th/0204234](#).
- [25] V. Balasubramanian, M.-x. Huang, T. S. Levi, and A. Naqvi, “Open strings from $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills,” *JHEP* **08** (2002) 037, [hep-th/0204196](#).
- [26] D.-s. Bak, “Supersymmetric branes in PP wave background,” *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 045017, [hep-th/0204033](#).
- [27] A. Kumar, R. R. Nayak, and Sanjay, “D-brane solutions in pp-wave background,” *Phys. Lett.* **B541** (2002) 183–188, [hep-th/0204025](#).
- [28] P. Lee and J.-w. Park, “Open strings in PP-wave background from defect conformal field theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 026002, [hep-th/0203257](#).
- [29] D. Berenstein, E. Gava, J. M. Maldacena, K. S. Narain, and H. Nastase, “Open strings on plane waves and their Yang-Mills duals,” [hep-th/0203249](#).

- [30] J.-w. Kim, B.-H. Lee, and H. S. Yang, “Superstrings and D-branes in plane wave,” [hep-th/0302060](#).
- [31] L. F. Alday and M. Cirafici, “An example of localized D-branes solution on pp-wave backgrounds,” [hep-th/0301253](#).
- [32] S.-j. Hyun, J. Park, and H.-j. Shin, “Covariant description of D-branes in IIA plane-wave background,” [hep-th/0212343](#).
- [33] Y. Hikida and S. Yamaguchi, “D-branes in pp-waves and massive theories on worldsheet with boundary,” *JHEP* **01** (2003) 072, [hep-th/0210262](#).
- [34] R. R. Nayak, “D-branes at angle in pp-wave background,” [hep-th/0210230](#).
- [35] Y. Michishita, “D-branes in NSNS and RR pp-wave backgrounds and S-duality,” *JHEP* **10** (2002) 048, [hep-th/0206131](#).
- [36] A. Biswas, A. Kumar, and K. L. Panigrahi, “p - p’ branes in pp-wave background,” *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 126002, [hep-th/0208042](#).
- [37] K. L. Panigrahi and Sanjay, “D-branes in pp-wave spacetime with nonconstant NS-NS flux,” [hep-th/0303182](#).
- [38] D. Amati and C. Klimcik, “Nonperturbative computation of the Weyl anomaly for a class of nontrivial backgrounds,” *Phys. Lett.* **B219** (1989) 443.
- [39] G. T. Horowitz and A. R. Steif, “space-time singularities in string theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 260.
- [40] G. T. Horowitz and A. R. Steif, “Strings in strong gravitational fields,” *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 1950–1959.
- [41] O. Jofre and C. Nunez, “Strings in plane wave backgrounds revisited,” *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 5232–5240, [hep-th/9311187](#).
- [42] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, “Exactly solvable model of superstring in plane wave Ramond-Ramond background,” *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 126004, [hep-th/0202109](#).
- [43] D. Berenstein, J. M. Maldacena, and H. Nastase, “Strings in flat space and pp waves from $N = 4$ super Yang Mills,” *JHEP* **04** (2002) 013, [hep-th/0202021](#).