

# COMPACTIFICATION WITH FLUX AND YUKAWA HIERARCHIES.

B.S. ACHARYA<sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics,  
Rutgers University,  
126 Freylinghuysen Road,  
NJ 08854-0849.

## ABSTRACT

Flux compactifications of  $M$  theory on certain  $G_2$ -manifolds have vacua in which all moduli are determined. These vacua have discrete parameters - the flux quanta. Small changes in these quanta produce small changes in the moduli and these can lead to large changes in the Yukawa couplings between Higgses, quarks and leptons. We argue that a large number of vacua exist with a wide variety of Yukawa hierarchies.

---

<sup>1</sup>bacharya@physics.rutgers.edu

$M$  theory vacua in which the seven extra dimensions form a  $G_2$ -manifold  $X$  admit a low energy description in terms of an  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity theory. If  $X$  is *smooth*, the gauge group is abelian and there are no light charged particles. The theory has  $b_3(X)$  moduli fields  $s^i$  whose values determine the metric of  $X$ .<sup>2</sup>

When  $X$  develops certain kinds of singularities, more interesting physics emerges. For example, if  $X$  develops an orbifold singularity along a 3-dimensional submanifold  $Q$ , then non-Abelian gauge fields are supported at  $Q$  (times the four dimensional macroscopic spacetime) [1]. These were further studied in [2, 3, 4] and elsewhere.

If, in addition,  $X$  contains a certain kind of conical singularity at a point  $p \subset Q$ , then chiral matter fields are supported at  $p$  (again times the four dimensional spacetime)[4, 5, 6]<sup>3</sup>. These are charged under the gauge group supported at  $Q$ . Various aspects of the phenomenology of these compactifications in the context of high scale unification have been described in [10, 11].

Note that in principle,  $X$  could have many  $Q$ 's and hence many gauge groups and matter representations leading to a vast number of possibilities for the low energy physics. This already suggests the existence of *many*  $M$  theory vacua with a low energy standard model gauge group and field content but with presumably different couplings.

Low energy  $M$  theory physics is well approximated by d=11 supergravity. The bose fields of this theory are the metric  $g$  and a 3-form field  $C$ , with field strength  $G$ . When  $X$  develops the singularities discussed above the action is supplemented with additional gauge and matter fields which are localised at the singularities.

We recently showed that if there is a  $Q$  which admits a complex flat gauge field whose Chern-Simons invariant is not real and suitably large, then compactifications with non-zero  $G$ -flux exist for which the potential has a minimum in which all the moduli fields  $s^i$  are fixed [12]<sup>4</sup>. Whilst this mechanism utilises the gauge fields on  $Q$  and its superpartners in an essential way, it does not require invoking any dynamics of the charged matter fields and hence does not require the low energy theory to contain any realistic particle physics.

This is just as well, because the vacuum in the minimum is supersymmetric with a *negative* cosmological constant  $\Lambda_0$ . If the singularities of  $X$  are such

---

<sup>2</sup> $b_3(X)$  is the number of linearly independent, harmonic, rank 3 antisymmetric tensor fields on  $X$ . In known examples  $b_3(X)$  ranges from 2 to  $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$ , but examples probably exist with much larger numbers of moduli.

<sup>3</sup>See [7, 8, 9] for some generalisations of the results in [6]

<sup>4</sup>We will assume that such  $G_2$ -manifolds exist. In our opinion, this is a relatively mild assumption.

that they produce a particle physics sector which dynamically breaks supersymmetry, then there will be an additional contribution to the cosmological constant,  $\Lambda_1$ , which we will assume is positive.

In principle, therefore, these models could have positive cosmological constants.

Since we are yet to have a good understanding of the supersymmetry breaking dynamics this is somewhat difficult to evaluate, as are many other phenomenological questions. However, we feel that it is nonetheless worthwhile to consider certain phenomenological aspects of these models before supersymmetry breaking. After all, if the supersymmetry breaking is “soft” enough, it may be that some of what we learn prior to breaking it persists when it is broken. Another rationale for this is simple: since we have found a vacuum selection principle, we ought to attempt to put it to some use<sup>5</sup>!

Because of our lack of understanding of supersymmetry breaking this “phenomenology” will necessarily be crude.

For example, as we discussed in [12], in order to obtain a realistic cosmological constant,  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  have to be equal to many orders of magnitude. Without explaining how this could possibly be, we can try to learn something by imposing that they have the same order of magnitude. For example, if  $\Lambda_1$  is of order  $(TeV)^4$ , then the eleven dimensional Planck scale is of order  $10TeV$  and we would have “large extra dimensions” as in [13]. More generally, one can show using the formulae in [12] that if  $\Lambda_1$  is  $m^4$  then  $M_p$  and  $m$  are equal to within an order of magnitude for certain choices of flux, so the scale at which  $\Lambda_1$  is generated is at or around the eleven dimensional Planck scale. So, in a unification scenario with “small extra dimensions”, the particle physics contribution to the cosmological constant will have to be generated at a high scale, close to  $M_{GUT}$ . This already *suggests* a departure from conventional wisdom. Firstly the standard lore suggests that the highest scale of supersymmetry breaking (which occurs in certain models of gravity mediated supersymmetry breaking) is  $10^{13}GeV$ , whereas in the high scale unification based on  $G_2$ -manifolds the fundamental Planck scale is several orders of magnitude higher, not one. In reality this observation probably means that  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  are not of the same order in these models at all.

However, even if we do not solve the cosmological constant problem, we may have more success in explaining other observed features of our universe. In this paper we will show that the  $M$  theory vacua with flux described in [12] naturally predict hierarchies of Yukawa couplings prior to supersymmetry breaking. In fact, we will see that by tuning the discrete parameters, one

---

<sup>5</sup>In a cosmological setting we are asserting that the universe is close to the minimum of the scalar potential. Its not at all clear that this is the right thing to do.

can obtain models with a wide variety of Yukawa hierarchies.

[16] emphasised the fact that couplings depend on flux quanta from a general point of view. Here we will be able to be more specific.

Including supersymmetry breaking dynamics is unlikely, in our opinion, to change the conclusion that a wide variety of vacua exist with a wide variety of Yukawa couplings. If so, the conclusion of this paper will be that there are *many* vacua of  $M$  theory which contain the field content of the standard model at low energies, but with the incorrect masses and couplings. Moreover *some* of these vacua do have the correct couplings.

The vacua under discussion have many discrete parameters. For each modulus field we have to specify an integer  $N_i$  which labels the amount of  $G$ -flux in a particular direction in the cohomology lattice of  $X$ . Changing the flux quanta  $N_i$  changes the value of the  $s^i$  in the minimum of the potential. So there are actually many different vacua, with many different values of the moduli.

If  $Q$  is the 3-manifold which supports the standard model gauge group, then the quark, lepton and Higgs fields are supported at points  $p_i$  on  $Q$ . Because in general, the  $p_i$  are distinct in the extra dimensions, there can be no local interactions between charged matter particles in these vacua. Instead, nonlocal interactions are generated by Euclidean membrane instantons<sup>6</sup> whose world volumes are 3-manifolds  $\Sigma$  which pass through the points  $p_i$ . For example, if  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  support a Higgs field  $H$ , and charged chiral fermion fields  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ , then a Yukawa coupling

$$L \sim \lambda H \psi_1 \psi_2 \tag{1}$$

can be generated if  $X$  contains a 3-manifold  $\Sigma$  passing through the three points. The Yukawa coupling constant  $\lambda$  will be exponentially small in the volume of  $\Sigma$

$$\lambda \sim e^{-2\pi \text{Vol}(\Sigma)} \tag{2}$$

In particular, since we expect the Yukawa couplings to be generated through superpotential interactions, we expect that  $\Sigma$  is a supersymmetric 3-cycle in  $X$  (as is  $Q$ ). This implies that

$$\text{Vol}(\Sigma) = a_i s^i \tag{3}$$

ie is linear in the moduli. So we see from these simple observations that small changes in the moduli can produce large changes in Yukawa couplings.

As we will see, choices of flux quanta exist in which the hierarchies of Yukawa couplings in these vacua are roughly compatible with the observed

---

<sup>6</sup>In the smooth case, these have been studied in [14]

Yukawa couplings of the standard model. Many more vacua could also exist in which the hierarchies of Yukawa couplings are unlikely to be correct.

In [12] we found that in the vacuum, the moduli fields satisfied the relation

$$\int_X G \wedge \varphi = \sum_i 2\pi N_i s^i = -\frac{7}{5}c_2 \quad (4)$$

Where  $G$  is the four-form flux and  $\varphi$  is the moduli dependent 3-form  $G_2$ -structure on  $X$ .  $c_2$  is the imaginary part of the Chern-Simons invariant associated with the superpotential induced by the gauge fields and superpartners.  $c_2$  is a non-zero constant in the vacua under consideration.

This equation shows that if, say,  $N_1$  is increased,  $s^1$  must decrease. For simplicity we will assume that each Yukawa coupling comes from one supersymmetric 3-cycle and further that the volume of each such 3-cycle is one of the  $s^i$  ie that the 3-cycles which give rise to the Yukawa couplings actually form part of a basis for the homology of  $X$ . These assumptions do not affect the results.

Then the  $i$ 'th Yukawa coupling is of order

$$\lambda_i \sim \exp\left(\frac{1.4c_2}{b_3(X)N_i}\right) \quad (5)$$

where  $b_3(X)$  is the number of independent flux quanta.

Moreover, the ratio of two Yukawa couplings is

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \sim \exp\left(1.4c_2 \frac{N_j - N_i}{b_3(X)N_i N_j}\right) \quad (6)$$

Note that these formulae should be interpreted as giving the Yukawa couplings at the eleven dimensional Planck scale  $M_p$ . In a given model we have to renormalise these couplings to, say, the electroweak scale in order to compare with experiment. This really depends upon the details of the model if the Planck scale is high. However, as we will show below, choices of flux exist which can easily produce a large variety of hierarchies of couplings at the Planck scale, so if a given compactification requires a particular hierarchy, then choices of flux exist which could produce it.

To illustrate these points, let us assume that the effective field theory is such that the Yukawa couplings of the light fermions are not renormalised too much between  $M_p$  and say  $1GeV$ . The Yukawa coupling of the electron is then roughly  $10^{-6}$ . So if  $\lambda_1$  is this coupling, then  $\frac{c_2}{b_3(X)N_1} \sim -9.8$ . If, for example,  $\frac{c_2}{b_3(X)} = 3026$ , then choosing  $N_1 = 307$  makes  $\lambda_1$  the right order of magnitude. Then, if  $\lambda_2$  is the Yukawa coupling of the muon, which is about two orders of magnitude larger we can take a flux vacuum in which  $N_2 = 460$ .

The difference between  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  is of order 150, so by choosing values of  $N_2$  closer to  $N_1$  we have a lot of freedom to tune the ratio of couplings. So, if the effective field theory is such that the required Yukawas at the Planck scale are much closer than those observed at low energies, this can easily be achieved. Finally, we should mention that since the Yukawa coupling of the top quark is so large, that a fairly large flux might be necessary, which produces an order one contribution in  $M$  theory.

Some comments are in order. If  $c_2$  is lowered, then the gap between  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  is lowered, and consequently the range of possible values of  $\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$  decreases. So, for manifolds with low  $c_2$ , the number of possible vacua with the correct masses decreases. This suggests that as  $c_2$  is lowered the predictive power of the theory increases since the number of models that one has to analyse goes down. We remind the reader that lowering  $c_2$  decreases the size of the extra dimensions and therefore increases  $M_p$ .

But if  $c_2$  is large enough we see that before taking into account any supersymmetry breaking dynamics, many vacua exist with a wide variety of Yukawa hierarchies.

The vacua with different choices of flux are “related” via domain wall nucleation. In this case, if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two different choices of  $G$ -flux then the domain wall connecting them is an  $M5$ -brane which wraps the 3-manifold  $D$  in  $X$  whose homology class is dual to the difference of the two  $G$ -fluxes:

$$[D] = G_1 - G_2 \tag{7}$$

Bousso and Polchinski have argued that since  $X$  could have many 3-cycles that it is likely that vacua exist for which the value of the cosmological constant is consistent with observation [15]. As we have already mentioned, before taking into account any supersymmetry breaking dynamics, the cosmological constant is negative, regardless of the flux quanta. However, it may be that the arguments of [15] are valid after supersymmetry breaking.

From our discussion here, we can see that, even though we have to tune the flux quanta carefully to obtain the correct fermion masses, we only have to tune a few fluxes, and not hundreds. Therefore, accepting the the arguments in [15]<sup>7</sup>, we might conclude that vacua exist with the correct cosmological constant *and* fermion masses. However, fine tuning of many more fluxes may be required to obtain the correct superpartner masses, say and other couplings of nature. This is difficult to estimate since we do not understand supersymmetry breaking well enough. But it may turn out that the arguments of [15] could be invalidated, since even though universes with the correct

---

<sup>7</sup>For a further discussion see [16].

cosmological constant exist, it could be unlikely that they would have the correct particle masses and interactions.

[18] describe supersymmetric Type IIB string flux vacua with negative  $\Lambda$  and fixed moduli. Studies of these vacua are likely to lead to similar sorts of conclusions to those drawn here. These and more general questions have been addressed recently in [19] which takes a more statistical approach to the problem of “standard model vacua” of string and  $M$  theory.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank the Theorists at MIT for stimulating the discussion from which this paper was conceived, Thomas Dent, Mike Douglas, Steve Gubser and Greg Moore.

## References

- [1] B.S. Acharya, M theory, Joyce Orbifolds and Super Yang-Mills, [arXiv:hep-th/9812205].
- [2] B.S. Acharya, On Realising N=1 super Yang-Mills in M theory. [arXiv:hep-th/0011089]; Confining Strings from  $G_2$ -holonomy Spacetimes, [arXiv:hep-th/0101206]
- [3] M. Atiyah, J. Maldacena and C. Vafa, An M theory flop as a Large N duality, [arXiv:hep-th/0011256].
- [4] M. Atiyah and E. Witten, M theory Dynamics on a Manifold of  $G_2$ -holonomy, [arXiv:hep-th/0107177].
- [5] E. Witten, Anomaly Cancellation on  $G_2$ -manifolds, [arXiv:hep-th/0108165].
- [6] B.S. Acharya and E. Witten, Chiral Fermions from Manifolds of  $G_2$ -holonomy, [arXiv:hep-th/0109152].
- [7] P. Berglund and A. Brandhuber, Matter from  $G_2$ -manifolds, [arXiv:hep-th/0205184].
- [8] L. Angelova and C. Lazaroiu, [arXiv:hep-th/0204249], [arXiv:hep-th/0205070].
- [9] K. Behrndt, G. Dall’Agata, D. Lust, S.Mahapatra, [arXiv:hep-th:0207117].
- [10] E. Witten, Deconstruction,  $G_2$ -holonomy and Doublet-Triplet Splitting, [arXiv:hep-ph/0201018].

- [11] T. Friedmann and E. Witten, Unification Scale, Proton Decay and Manifolds of  $G_2$ -holonomy, [arXiv:hep-th/0211269].
- [12] B.S. Acharya, A Moduli fixing Mechanism in  $M$  theory, hep-th/0212294.
- [13] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, The hierarchy Problem and New Dimensions at a Millimeter, Phys. Lett. **B429** (1998) 263 [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315]; I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, New Dimensions at a Millimeter to a Fermi and Superstrings at a Tev Phys. Lett. **B436** (1998) 257.
- [14] J. Harvey and G. Moore, Superpotentials and Membrane Instantons, [arXiv:hep-th/9907026].
- [15] R. Bousso and J. Polchinski, Quantization of Four-Form Flux and Dynamical Relaxation of the Cosmological Constant, [arXiv:hep-th/0004134] JHEP 0006 (2000) 006
- [16] T. Banks, M. Dine and L. Motl, On Anthropic Solutions of the Cosmological Constant Problem, JHEP 0101 (2001) 031 [arXiv: hep-th/0007206]
- [17] L. Alvarez-Gaume, J. Polchinski and M. Wise, Minimal Supergravity GUTS, Nucl. Phys. **B221** (1983) 495; H.P. Nilles, Phys. Rep. **C 110** (1984) 1.
- [18] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and S. Trivedi, de Sitter Vacua in String Theory, [arXiv:hep-th/0301240].
- [19] M.R. Douglas, The Statistics of String/M theory Vacua, [arXiv:hep-th/0303194].