

Gap Condition and Self-Dualized $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills Theory for ADE Gauge Group on $K3$

Toru Sasaki

Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
and

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan
sasaki@particle.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Abstract

We try to determine the partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory for ADE gauge group on $K3$ by self-dualizing our previous ADE partition function. The resulting partition function satisfies gap condition.

1 Introduction

SUSY duality has been giving a deep understanding of non-perturbative phenomena not only in physics but also in mathematics, with fundamental connection to string duality. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is most suitable laboratory to study this duality, since $\mathcal{N} = 4$ is maximal SUSY in 4-dimensional theory without gravity, and conformal invariant. Indeed, many concrete and precise calculations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY models were carried out and contributed to the deep understanding of duality.

Vafa and Witten first attempted to test duality conjecture of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory on 4-manifold by determining the exact partition function [22]. To determine the exact partition function, some additional condition must be considered. Firstly we need to use the twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory instead of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory itself. To include the contribution from the strongly coupled region, it is convenient to consider topologically twisted theory [22, 11]. Secondly we need to consider this twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory on Kähler 4-manifold so that this twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory can be reduced to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory by adding mass term proportional to the section of the canonical bundle. As far as we are only interested in observables (such as correlation functions or partition functions), it reproduces the same results completely. $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory is easier than $\mathcal{N} = 4$ or $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory to determine the partition function. Thus we consider the twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory on Kähler 4-manifold such as $CP^2, \hat{CP}^2, ALE, T^4, K3$ and $\frac{1}{2}K3$. It is well-known that duality conjecture of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory on 4-manifold is believed to be Montonen-Olive duality [16]. Montonen-Olive duality is a kind of strong/weak duality (S -duality). In addition to this duality, Montonen-Olive duality accompanies an exchange of gauge group ($\mathcal{G} \leftrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}$). Here $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ is the dual gauge group of \mathcal{G} .

As for $SU(N)$ theory on $K3$, Vafa-Witten derived the exact partition, which satisfies the sharpened version of Montonen-Olive conjecture [22, 12, 15]. On the other hand, there has been almost no attempt to determine the partition function of D, E gauge theory in spite of the fact that S -duality conjecture for these cases has already been established [23, 16]. Thus we tried to derive the D, E partition function on $K3$ in the previous article [8]. Fundamental strategy is the following: We speculated a piece of D, E partition function on $K3$, which was derived by using the denominator identity of affine Lie algebra for ADE group [9, 13]. Next we produced a set of functions derived by modular transformation of this piece. Finally we constructed the D, E partition function on $K3$ by combining these functions, so that these functions reproduced Montonen-Olive duality. The resulting partition function satisfied Montonen-Olive duality completely !

Our D, E partition function has serious problem. This partition function does not satisfy the gap condition [22]. Roughly speaking the gap comes from the irreducibility of the connections [1], and is necessary for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ partition functions [22]. There is similar situation in $U(N)$ partition functions on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ [14, 15, 3]. In this case this problem was solved by holomorphic anomaly. In the technical sense, the success of the solution of this problem is based on the self-duality of $U(N)$ theory. Then $U(N)$ partition function is described by quasi-modular forms. In this case one only adds quasi-modular forms, so that the partition function satisfies the gap condition [14]. On the other hand, since $U(N) = U(1) \times SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$, $U(N)$ partition function is decomposed into $U(1)$ flux part and $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ part [15]. In this article, we construct holomorphic part of $U(N)$ partition

function on $K3$. Strictly speaking, we construct self-dual $U(N)$ partition function, by multiplying $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ partition function given by Vafa-Witten [22, 15, 12] and $U(1)$ flux part together. The resulting partition function is also described by modular forms. Here we explain that it is possible to construct the self-dualized D, E partition function on $K3$ from our previous results. $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ partition functions are classified by 't Hooft flux $v \in H^2(K3, \mathbf{Z}_N)$. Furthermore on $K3$, these partition functions are classified by v^2 , which is called an orbit. Then $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ partition function on $K3$ have an orbit structure. The orbit structure is equivalent to Montonen-Olive duality. Our D, E partition functions on $K3$ also have this orbit structure. Thus we construct the self-dualized D, E partition functions on $K3$, by multiplying the D, E partition functions by $U(1)$ flux part. The resulting self-dualized D, E partition functions are also described by modular forms. What kinds of modular forms can we add to this partition function? Again we get back to the $U(N)$ theory on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ [14]. In analyzing the holomorphic part of $U(N)$ partition function on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ in N : odd prime case, we observe that the holomorphic part of $U(N)$ partition function can be expressed by Hecke transformation of order N of $U(1)$ partition function plus (polynomial of j function) $\times U(1)$ partition function. This form is very suggestive. We apply this decomposition to D, E on $K3$ case and construct gapful self-dualized D, E partition functions, by adding (polynomial of j function) $\times U(1)$ partition function on $K3$. At last we find out that the resulting gapful D, E partition functions have the same form as $U(N)$ partition functions with the same top q term. That is, we lose the characteristics of Montonen-Olive duality of each groups. However we expect that we can reconstruct D, E partition functions with each Montonen-Olive duality, if we separate $U(1)$ flux part from total gapful D, E partition functions. Separation of $U(1)$ flux part is remaining problem.

The organization of this article is the following: In Sec.2 we review the Vafa-Witten theory mainly concentrated on the $SU(N)$ theory. Important gap condition is also introduced. In Sec.3 we briefly sketch our previous results. In Sec.4 we introduce the concept of the self-dualization, by using the orbit structure of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ theory on $K3$. We also discuss the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ theory on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ in this context. In Sec.5 we construct the self-dualized D, E partition function on $K3$. Then we solve the gap condition for these self-dualized D, E partition function on $K3$. In Sec.6 we conclude and discuss the remaining problems.

2 Review of Vafa-Witten Theory

We believe that S -duality of the twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is Montonen-Olive duality like $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory itself. According to Montonen-Olive duality [16], \mathcal{G} theory is dual to $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ theory. As for ADE gauge theory, $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$. Here $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the center of this group and given by the following table.

For the theory with dual gauge group $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$, one can introduce 't Hooft flux $v \in H^2(X, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$ to classify $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ theory.

2.1 Vafa-Witten conjecture and Gap Condition

In this subsection we denote celebrated Vafa-Witten conjecture concerned with partition function of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory on 4-manifold X [22, 12, 26]. Vafa and Witten pointed out that the partition function of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory

\mathcal{G}	$\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$
A_{N-1}	\mathbf{Z}_N
D_{2N}	$\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$
D_{2N+1}	\mathbf{Z}_4
$E_r, r = 6, 7, 8$	\mathbf{Z}_{9-r}

can have remarkable simple form, if X is a Kähler 4-manifold and vanishing theorem holds [22]. In this situation, its partition function has the form of the summation of the Euler number of the moduli space of the ASD equations. More precisely, for twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4 \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ theory with 't Hooft flux $v \in H^2(X, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$ on X , the partition function of this theory is given by the formula

$$Z_v^X(\tau) := q^{-\frac{(r+1)\chi(X)}{24}} \sum_k \chi(\mathcal{M}(v, k)) q^k \quad (q := \exp(2\pi i\tau)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(v, k)$ is the moduli space of ASD connections associated to $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ -principal bundle with 't Hooft flux v and fractional instanton number $k \in \frac{1}{2|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|}\mathbf{Z}$. In (2.1), τ is the gauge coupling constant including theta angle, $\chi(X)$ is Euler number of X and r is the rank of \mathcal{G} . $q^{-\frac{1}{24}}$ factor is required by modular property like the case of $\eta(\tau)$ function. For later use, we introduce the following form corresponding to \mathcal{G} theory itself for $v = 0$: $Z_i^X(\tau) := Z_{v=0}^X(\tau) = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}| Z_{\mathcal{G}}^X(\tau)$. $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ is the number of the elements of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$. Furthermore we also introduce the following form corresponding to $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ for its dual pair: $Z_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}}^X(\tau) := \sum_v Z_v^X(\tau)$.

With this result, Vafa and Witten conjectured the behavior of the partition functions under the action of $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ on τ . They started with 't Hooft's work [21] in mind. In [21], the path integral with \mathbf{Z}_N -valued electric flux and that with magnetic flux are related by Fourier transform. Vafa and Witten combined the conjecture of strong/weak duality to this 't Hooft's result. Their conjecture is summarized by the following formula:

$$Z_i^X\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|^{-\frac{b_2(X)}{2}} \left(\frac{\tau}{i}\right)^{-\frac{\chi(X)}{2}} Z_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}}^X(\tau). \quad (2.2)$$

In $v = 0$ case $\mathcal{M}(0, k) := \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{G}}$ is the moduli space of irreducible ASD connections associated to \mathcal{G} -principal bundle with instanton number k . Its dimension $\dim \mathcal{M}(0, k)$ is given by Atiyah-Hitchin-Singer dimension formula [1]:

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{G}} = 4h(\mathcal{G})(k - r) - 4r. \quad (2.3)$$

where $h(\mathcal{G})$ is the dual Coxeter number, $\dim \mathcal{G}$ is the dimension of \mathcal{G} and k is the instanton number. $\dim \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{G}} \geq 0$ means $k \geq r + 1$. That is, the moduli space of irreducible ASD connections with ADE gauge group on $K3$ can exist only for $k \geq r + 1$ except for $k = 0$ trivial case. This condition restricts the form of the partition function. This condition is called gap condition [22, 14, 15, 3, 8].

2.2 $SU(N)$ partition function on $K3$

In this section we review Vafa-Witten's results for $SU(N)$ theory on $K3$ [22, 15, 12]. As mentioned above, $K3$ is a good manifold to determine the partition function. Especially there is a brane picture of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(N)$ gauge theory on $K3$ [15, 12]. By using the picture that $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(1)$ gauge theory is given by single $M5$ brane wrapped around $K3 \times T^2$, one can understand that $U(1)$ partition function on $K3$ is given by [22, 15, 12]:

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\tau)} := G(\tau). \quad (2.4)$$

Here we ignore the contribution from the $U(1)$ flux.

To obtain $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(N)$ gauge theory on $K3$, we consider N coincident $M5$ brane wrapped around $K3 \times T^2$. Furthermore by fixing 't Hooft flux with trivial $v = 0$, we obtain $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ gauge theory on $K3$. In this picture, Hecke transformation naturally appears as the effect of summing up all the ways of wrapping T^2 in $K3 \times T^2$ by T^2 in $M5$ brane. Based on this idea we define the following $SU(N)$ partition function:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{SU(N)}(\tau) &:= \frac{1}{N} Z_t(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z} \\ ad = N, b < d}} dG\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{d}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

This is almost Hecke transformation of order N of $G(\tau)$. Total $U(N)$ partition function based on this picture are derived in [2, 15]. But the formula (2.5) is not true Hecke transformation. This subtle difference is the origin of Montonen-Olive duality for $SU(N)$ as will be explained later. Next its dual pair $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ partition function on $K3$ is simply obtained by modular transformation $\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}$ and combined with Montonen-Olive duality (2.2). Throughout this section we avoid to mention the notion of orbit which corresponds to Hecke transformation. In forth section we show this notion in detail. Furthermore we explain the trick to produce Montonen-Olive duality from (2.5). Finally we note that the partition function trivially satisfies the gap condition.

3 Our Previous Results

$K3$ has many special properties. One is its orbifold construction. $K3$ can be constructed by the following processes. First we divide 4-torus T^4 by \mathbf{Z}_2 and obtain quotient space $T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2 := S_0$. Next we blow up its sixteen singularities by $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ curve and obtain smooth Kähler surface $K3$. $K3$ has the following data [4]:

$$\chi(K3) = 24, K_{K3} = \mathcal{O}, \text{ Intersection form of } K3 \text{ is } (-E_8)^{\oplus 2} \oplus H^{\oplus 3}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here K_{K3} is canonical bundle of $K3$ and \mathcal{O} stands for trivial.

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We tried to reproduce the above geometrical processes in the partition function level for $SU(N)$ [6, 7]. The trial was successful, that is, $SU(N)$ partition on $K3$ has the product of

two factors. The first one is the contribution from S_0 and has the form: Hecke transformation of order N of $1/\eta^8(\tau)$. The second one is the contribution obtained from blowing up sixteen singularities and the form is given by the blow-up formula [25, 10, 22]. These two factors are summed up so that the resulting partition function satisfies Montonen-Olive duality. Our partition function is consistent to the fact that $SU(N)$ partition function on $K3$ is the Hecke transformation of order N of $1/\eta^{24}(\tau)$ [22, 15, 27, 12, 17, 18]. Key point of this verification is that eta function $\eta(\tau/N)$ can be interpreted as blow up formula which has typically the form $\theta(\tau)/\eta^m(\tau)$ [25, 10]. Fortunately there are beautiful identities [7]:

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{N})} = \frac{\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)}{\eta^N(\tau)}. \quad (3.2)$$

These identities are already verified by celebrated denominator identity [9, 13].

In the next work, we tried to determine the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$ [8]. Using the generalization of (3.2) to ADE , we define the ADE blow-up formula:

$$\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{G}_r}(\tau)}{\eta^{r+1}(\tau)} = \text{eta product}, \quad (3.3)$$

where we note that these blow-up formula also have the form of eta product and this fact is also verified by denominator identity [9, 13]. By requiring the duality conjecture to these eta product, we want to construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition on $K3$. Before moving to concrete construction, we explain why it is reliable to adopt ADE blow-up formula to construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition on $K3$. There is a stringy picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{IIA on } K3 \times T^2 \times ALE_{ADE} & \leftrightarrow & \text{Hetero on } T^4 \times T^2 \times ALE_{ADE} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } ADE \text{ on } K3 & \leftrightarrow & (\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } U(1) \text{ on } ALE_{ADE})^{\otimes 24} \end{array}$$

First line is IIA/Hetero duality [5]. To second line in IIA side, we compactify $T^2 \times ALE_{ADE}$ and obtain $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE on $K3$. To the second line in Hetero side, we compactify $T^4 \times T^2$ and obtain $(\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } U(1) \text{ on } ALE_{ADE})^{\otimes 24}$ [8]. The origin of $\otimes 24$ is explained by the compactification of $K3 \times T^2$ in IIA side. Thus each side of the second line is equivalent and their partition functions in both side are the same. On the other hand, the partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } U(1)$ on ALE_{ADE} was already obtained by Nakajima [19]. His results are very similar to ours in (3.3). Thus we adopt 24-th power of (3.3) as a piece of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$. To construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$ from (3.3), we introduce 24-th power of (3.3), which we call primary function. First we generate a set of functions, by modular transformation of the primary function (and adding to $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1/m$ transformation in some cases). Next we request Montonen-Olive duality to appropriate liner combination of these functions and determine the coefficient of these functions. In practice, it is difficult to produce the partition functions directly from these functions. We use the following observation. We think of a subset of the functions. Appropriate liner combination of functions of this subset has the same modular property that a piece of $SU(N)$ partition function has [8]. Here \mathcal{G}_r and $SU(N)$ have the same Montonen-Olive duality. We call a piece of $SU(N)$ partition functions as $G_j(\tau)$ and appropriate liner combination of functions of this subset as $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$. Then modular property of $G_j(\tau)$ and

$\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$ is completely the same. For $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$, we use the same coefficient as that of $G_j(\tau)$ in $Z_t(\tau)$ or $Z_{SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N}(\tau)$. Finally we obtain the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function satisfying Montonen-Olive duality. Unfortunately the resulting partition functions does not satisfy the gap condition. We have to solve this problem.

4 $U(N)$ Theory on $K3$ and $\frac{1}{2}K3$

4.1 Orbit, Hecke and Montonen-Olive duality

In this part, we will explain the orbit structure of $SU(N)$, by using examples of $SU(2)$ or $SU(4)$.

On $K3$, $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ partition function with 't Hooft flux $v \in H^2(K3, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$ is classified by $v^2 \pmod{2|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|}$ or $v = 0$ instead of v itself in $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$:prime case [22, 8], because instanton number k is given by $k = n - v^2(N - 1)/2N$ in $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ case. We call v^2 as an orbit. In $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{Z}_2$ ($\mathcal{G} = SU(2)$ or E_7 case) for instance, $2^{22}Z_v(\tau)$'s are classified into three types $v^2 = 2j \pmod{4}$ ($j = 0, 1$) or $v = 0$. The numbers of these types of orbits are counted as [22]

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 &= \text{number of } v^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } v \neq 0 \\ &= 2^{21} + 2^{10} - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= \text{number of } v^2 \equiv 2j \pmod{4} \\ &= 2^{21} - 2^{10}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_t &= \text{number of } v = 0 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{Z}_4$ ($\mathcal{G} = SU(4)$ or D_{2N+1} case) for instance, $4^{22}Z_v(\tau)$'s are first classified into five types $v^2 = 2j \pmod{8}$ ($j = 0, \dots, 3$) or $v = 0$. Next we think of $v = 2\tilde{v}$ case. Then v are trivially classified into $v^2 = 0 \pmod{8}$, but we furthermore think 2 types $\tilde{v}^2 = 2j \pmod{4}$ ($j = 0, 1$). Totally there are 7 types of orbits, and the numbers of orbits are counted as [8]

$$\begin{aligned} n_0^4 &= 2^{42} + 2^{31} - 2^{21}, \\ n_1^4 &= 2^{42} - 2^{31}, \\ n_2^4 &= 2^{42} + 2^{31} - 2^{21}, \\ n_3^4 &= 2^{42} - 2^{31}, \\ n_0^2 &= 2^{21} + 2^{10} - 1, \\ n_1^2 &= 2^{21} - 2^{10}, \\ n_t^1 &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We will show how n_j work in the determination of the partition function. We pick up $SU(2)$ case as an example. These numbers n_j 's come into duality conjecture

$$Z_t\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{-12} 2^{-11} Z_{SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2}(\tau), \tag{4.5}$$

in

$$Z_{SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2}(\tau) = \sum_v Z_v(\tau) = n_t Z_t(\tau) + n_0 Z_0(\tau) + n_1 Z_1(\tau). \tag{4.6}$$

If we think of the form

$$Z_t(\tau) = a \frac{1}{\eta^{24}(2\tau)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau}{2})} + \frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau+1}{2})} \right), \quad (4.7)$$

$$Z_j(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau}{2})} + \frac{(-1)^j}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau+1}{2})} \right), \quad (4.8)$$

and request the modular property (4.5), then we have $a = \frac{1}{4}$ and can determine the partition function

$$Z_t(\tau) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\eta^{24}(2\tau)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau}{2})} + \frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau+1}{2})} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

This is the formula as we have already seen in (2.5). But this is not true Hecke transformation of order 2 of $1/\eta^{24}(\tau)$ with modular weight -12 . Here we introduce true Hecke transformation of order N of $\phi^k(\tau)$ with modular weight k [15]:

$$T_N \phi^k(\tau) := N^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z} \\ ad = N, b < d}} d^{-k} \phi^k\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{d}\right). \quad (4.10)$$

Using this, we can introduce,

$$2^{11} T_2 \frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\eta^{24}(2\tau)} + \frac{2^{11}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau}{2})} + \frac{1}{\eta^{24}(\frac{\tau+1}{2})} \right). \quad (4.11)$$

(4.11) has 2^{11} times degeneracy of $Z_t(\tau)$ and $Z_0(\tau)$. 2^{11} has the same origin of 2^{-11} in (4.5). If we change true Hecke (4.11) into (4.9), then the degeneracy is resolved and the partition function of each orbit acquires the property of Montonen-Olive duality of $SU(2)$ theory on $K3$ [22].

4.2 $U(N)$ on $K3$

Hereafter we consider the partition function of self-dualized A_{N-1} theory on $K3$. This is nothing but $U(N)$ partition function on $K3$. For this purpose, we multiply $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ partition function of each orbit by the corresponding $U(1)$ flux part and obtain $U(N)$ partition function. In $U(1)$ case, the $U(1)$ flux part is given by [15]:

$$\theta_{\Gamma^{19,3}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{P_L, P_R \in \Gamma^{19,3}} q^{\frac{1}{2} P_L^2} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2} P_R^2}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\Gamma^{19,3}$ is the even, self-dual, integral lattice with signature $(19, 3)$ (Narain lattice) and P_L, P_R are polarization on it. The total partition function with the $U(1)$ flux part is given by [15]:

$$Z_1(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \theta_{\Gamma^{19,3}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) G(\tau). \quad (4.13)$$

Modular weight of this partition function is $(19/2, 3/2) + (-12, 0) = (-5/2, 3/2)$. For the following reason, we want to remove $\bar{\tau}$ -dependence from this partition function. In the next section, we discuss the gap condition of self-dualized D, E partition function with

$U(1)$ flux part. In this case if we multiply our previous D, E partition function by $\bar{\tau}$ -dependent $U(1)$ flux part and intend to fulfill the gap condition, then we must consider the possibility for these partition functions to include E_2 -dependent terms. But E_2 -dependent terms request large ambiguity to cancel the negative q -powers except for top q term. So in technical reason, we want to restrict the holomorphic functions to cancel the negative q -powers. Thus before discussing the gap condition, we consider the $U(1)$ flux part by keeping the partition function holomorphic. We remove $\bar{\tau}$ -dependence from (4.12). The following derivation is the generalization of $U(1)$ on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ in [15]. Remembering $\Gamma^{19,3} = \Gamma^{16} \oplus \Gamma^{3,3}$ and $(P_L, P_R) = (P'_L, 0) \oplus (\tilde{P}_L, \tilde{P}_R)$, we separate $\bar{\tau}$ -dependence from (4.12),

$$\theta_{\Gamma^{19,3}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{\tilde{P}_L, \tilde{P}_R \in \Gamma^{3,3}} q^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_L^2} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_R^2} \cdot \sum_{P'_L \in \Gamma^{16}} q^{\frac{1}{2}P'^2_L}, \quad (4.14)$$

where we note that $\Gamma^{3,3} = (\Gamma^{1,1})^{\oplus 3}$ and $\Gamma^{16} = (\Gamma^8)^{\oplus 2}$ and introduce

$$\sum_{\tilde{P}_L, \tilde{P}_R \in \Gamma^{3,3}} q^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_L^2} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_R^2} := \theta_H^3(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \quad (4.15)$$

$$\sum_{P'_L \in \Gamma^{16}} q^{\frac{1}{2}P'^2_L} := \theta_{\Gamma^8}^2(\tau) = E_4^2(\tau). \quad (4.16)$$

Here in general $E_k(\tau)$ is k -th Eisenstein series with modular weight k . Remembering $K3$ is elliptic surface [4], we put the size of this elliptic fiber $1/R$. Then choosing a metric, we can put

$$(\tilde{P}_L^j, \tilde{P}_R^j) = \left(\frac{m^j + n^j}{2R} + \frac{(m^j - n^j)R}{2}, \frac{m^j + n^j}{2R} - \frac{(m^j - n^j)R}{2} \right), j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.17)$$

$\theta_H(\tau, \bar{\tau})$ is given by

$$\theta_H(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{m+n}{2R} + \frac{(m-n)R}{2})^2} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{m+n}{2R} - \frac{(m-n)R}{2})^2}. \quad (4.18)$$

We take the limit $1/R \rightarrow 0$, where the size of the elliptic fiber shrinks to zero. Then $m = n$ only contributes to (4.18) and the summation of (4.18) can be carried out as:

$$\theta_H(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2Im\tau}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Note that modular weight of $1/\sqrt{Im\tau}$ is $(1/2, 1/2)$. In the limit $1/R \rightarrow 0$, we can extract holomorphic part $\theta_{\Gamma^8}^2(\tau) = E_4^2(\tau)$ from $\theta_{\Gamma^{19,3}}(\tau, \bar{\tau})$. We redefine the total $U(1)$ partition function by

$$\hat{Z}_1(\tau) := \theta_{\Gamma^8}^2(\tau)G(\tau) = \frac{E_4^2(\tau)}{\eta^{24}(\tau)}. \quad (4.20)$$

We proceed to $U(2)$ theory. In this case we multiply $SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ partition function with v by the corresponding $U(1)$ flux part, where we introduce $v = (V, (i^k, j^k)), k = 1, 2, 3$ corresponding to $\Gamma^{19,3} = \Gamma^{16} \oplus \Gamma^{3,3}$. In the same way as $U(1)$ case, if we take the limit $1/R \rightarrow 0$, then only $i^k = j^k$ contribute to the partition function and the partition function

only depends on V . This yields 2^3 times degeneracy to be compared with original $SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ partition function. Thus we change $SU(2)$ partition function

$$Z_t(\tau) = \frac{1}{4}G(2\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \left(G\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + G\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right) \quad (4.21)$$

into

$$\hat{Z}_t(\tau) = \frac{1}{4}G(2\tau) + \frac{2^3}{2} \left(G\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + G\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right). \quad (4.22)$$

$Z_j(\tau) \rightarrow \hat{Z}_j(\tau) = 2^3 Z_j(\tau)$ is also done. Since $2^{11} = 2^3 \cdot 2^8 = 2^3(1 + 2^8 - 1)$, 2^8 times degeneracy of $2^{11}T_2G(\tau)$ in (4.11) is resolved into (4.22). Indeed corresponding Montonen-Olive duality is

$$\hat{Z}_t\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{-12}2^{-8}\hat{Z}_{SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2}(\tau). \quad (4.23)$$

What about $U(1)$ flux part of $U(2)$? These are given by

$$\hat{\theta}_t(\tau) := 4E_4^2(2\tau), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_0(\tau) := 2 \left(E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right) - 4E_4^2(2\tau), \quad (4.25)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1(\tau) := 2 \left(E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right). \quad (4.26)$$

Refer to $U(1)$ flux part on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ in [15]. To obtain $U(2)$ partition function, we multiply $U(1)$ flux part by the corresponding $SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ partition function, so that $U(2)$ partition function is self-dual. We finally obtain

$$\hat{Z}_2(\tau) := \hat{\theta}_t(\tau)\hat{Z}_t(\tau) + \hat{\theta}_0(\tau)\hat{Z}_0(\tau) + \hat{\theta}_1(\tau)\hat{Z}_1(\tau) \quad (4.27)$$

$$= E_4^2(2\tau)G(2\tau) + 2^4 \left(E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)G\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)G\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right) \quad (4.28)$$

$$= 2^3T_2\hat{Z}_1(\tau). \quad (4.29)$$

Indeed $\hat{Z}_2\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{-4}\hat{Z}_2(\tau)$. The final expression is natural, since $U(2)$ partition function is the true Hecke transformation. The Hecke transformation changes modular form into modular form. We also note that this partition function trivially satisfies the gap condition. This situation is true for any N case.

Generalization to $U(N)$ is straightforward not only in N ;prime case, but also in N ; non-prime case. That is

$$\hat{Z}_N(\tau) = N^3T_N\hat{Z}_1(\tau). \quad (4.30)$$

For later use, we give explicit $U(4)$ partition function:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_4(\tau) &= E_4^2(4\tau)G(2\tau) + 2^4 \left(E_4^2\left(\frac{2\tau}{2}\right)G\left(\frac{2\tau}{2}\right) + E_4^2\left(\frac{2\tau+1}{2}\right)G\left(\frac{2\tau+1}{2}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + 4^4 \left(E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau}{4}\right)G\left(\frac{\tau}{4}\right) + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+1}{4}\right)G\left(\frac{\tau+1}{4}\right) + \cdots + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+3}{4}\right)G\left(\frac{\tau+3}{4}\right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

$$= 4^3T_4\hat{Z}_1(\tau), \quad (4.32)$$

$$\hat{Z}_4\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{-4}\hat{Z}_4(\tau). \quad (4.33)$$

In this subsection we derived holomorphic part of $U(N)$ partition function on $K3$, by multiplying $SU(N)$ partition function and holomorphic part of $U(1)$ flux part together. The final results are described by the Hecke operator. While the standard $U(N)$ partition function on $K3$ includes $\bar{\tau}$ -dependence, this partition function is also described by the Hecke operator [15, 2, 28].

4.3 $U(N)$ on $\frac{1}{2}K3$

In this subsection, we investigate the holomorphic part of $U(N)$ partition functions on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ to derive the self-dualized D, E partition function satisfying the gap condition in the next section. There are already results of $U(N)$ partition functions on $\frac{1}{3}K3$ [15, 14, 3]. In [15] the authors thought the same kind of limit as in the previous subsection and removed the contribution of $\Gamma^{1,1}$ from that of $\Gamma^{9,1} = \Gamma^8 \oplus \Gamma^{1,1}$. Their partition function is formally a holomorphic function. In fact their $U(1)$ partition function in [15] is given by

$$G_1(\tau) = \frac{E_4(\tau)}{\eta^{12}(\tau)}. \quad (4.34)$$

Here we note that (4.34) is quasi-modular instead of self-dual. That is

$$G_1(\tau + 1) = -G_1(\tau). \quad (4.35)$$

This situation always occurs in N ; odd case. However from one method of their derivation of $U(N)$ partition functions; the holomorphic anomaly equations and the gap condition, the holomorphic anomaly or E_2 -dependence comes in the $U(N)$ partition functions except for $N = 1$ case. Their explicit results for G_3 and G_5 in [14] are given by

$$G_3(\tau) = \frac{E_4}{576\eta^{36}}(54E_2^2E_4^2 + 109E_4^3 + 216E_2E_4E_6 + 197E_6^2), \quad (4.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_5(\tau) = & \frac{E_4}{2985984\eta^{60}}(18750E_2^4E_4^4 + 136250E_2^2E_4^5 + 116769 \\ & + 150000E_2^3E_4^3E_6 + 653000E_2E_4^4E_6 + 426250E_2^2E_4^2E_6^2 \\ & 772460E_4^3E_6^2 + 505000E_2E_4E_6^3 + 207505E_6^4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

These results of course have E_2 terms. But we are only interested in the holomorphic part or E_2 -independent terms. In our interpretation, we expect that the holomorphic part of $G_N : N$ (odd prime) contains Hecke transformation of G_1 and top q term only comes from Hecke transformation. This expectation comes from the fact that Hecke transformation changes quasi-modular forms $G_1(\tau)$ into quasi-modular form in N ; odd prime case. Then, what about residual of Hecke transformation of G_1 in holomorphic part of G_N ? We expect that these parts are expressed as (polynomial of j function) $\times G_1$ from the modular weight without no ambiguity. Here $j(\tau) = E_4(\tau)^3/\eta(\tau)^{24}$. Note that $j(\tau)$ and $G_1(\tau)$ have the only singularity at $i\infty$ on the upper half plane ($Im\tau > 0$). Finally we express the holomorphic part G_3^{hol}, G_5^{hol} and G_7^{hol} in [14] as follows:

$$G_3^{hol}(\tau) = \frac{51}{32}T_3G_1(\tau) - \frac{495}{8}G_1(\tau), \quad (4.38)$$

$$G_5^{hol}(\tau) = \frac{913945}{497664}T_5G_1(\tau) - \frac{1994875}{41472}jG_1(\tau) + \frac{1617948125}{27648}G_1(\tau), \quad (4.39)$$

$$G_7^{hol}(\tau) = \frac{19871110007}{10749542400}T_7G_1(\tau) - \frac{12533265619}{298598400}j^2G_1(\tau) + \frac{16274075386961}{199065600}jG_1(\tau) - \frac{37025797373687003}{1343692800}G_1(\tau). \quad (4.40)$$

The above expression are natural, since we use Hecke transformation of G_1 and j function instead of E_4, E_6 as independent modular forms. But we want to use Hecke transformation of G_1 , which corresponds to the self-dualized D, E partition function.

5 Self-dualized D, E Theory on $K3$

To solve the problem of gap condition of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ D, E partition function on $K3$, it is the best to treat D, E partition function itself and intend to cancel negative q powers except for top q term. But by now we have no guiding principle. So we self-dualize our D, E partition function, and concentrate on a gap condition at the sacrifice of non-trivial Montonen-Olive duality.

5.1 Self-Dualizing Our Original D, E Partition Function on $K3$

For D_{2N+1}, E_r , it is straightforward to self-dualize the original D, E partition function. As we have mentioned in Sec.3, modular property of $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$ and $G_j(\tau)$ is the same [8]. Thus we simply replace $G_j(\tau)$ by $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$ and follow the process in Sec.4. Then we obtain self-dualized D, E partition function. We write down general process for D_{2N+1}, E_8 case.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_{UD_{2N+1}}(\tau) := & E_4^2(4\tau)\tilde{G}_t^1(\tau) + 2^4 \left(E_4^2\left(\frac{2\tau}{2}\right)\tilde{G}_0^2(\tau) + E_4^2\left(\frac{2\tau+1}{2}\right)\tilde{G}_1^2(\tau) \right) \\ & + 4^4 \left(+E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau}{4}\right)\tilde{G}_0^4(\tau) + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+1}{4}\right)\tilde{G}_1^4(\tau) + \dots + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+3}{4}\right)\tilde{G}_3^4(\tau) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\hat{Z}_{UE_8}(\tau) := E_4^2(\tau)Z_{E_8}(\tau). \quad (5.2)$$

See explicit forms of $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$ and $Z_{E_8}(\tau)$ in [8].

To self-dualize D_{2N} partition function, we have to remind of the discussion in [8]. In [8], the numbers of orbits of D_{2N} is the same as those of $SU(2)$ on the manifold with $H^{\oplus 22}$. To self-dualize D_{2N} partition function by $E_4^2(2\tau)$ etc, we have to make degeneracy of original D_{2N} partition function by 2^{14} times. Taking this point into consideration, we self-dualize D_{2N} partition function as follows:

$$\hat{Z}_{UD_{2N}}(\tau) := E_4^2(2\tau)\tilde{H}_0(\tau) + 2^{16} \left(E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\tilde{G}_0(\tau) + E_4^2\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)\tilde{G}_1(\tau) \right). \quad (5.3)$$

2^{16} factor reflects to the above point.

Here we list up several explicit self-dualized D, E partition functions by using the results in [8]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}_{UD_2}(\tau) &= \frac{E_4^2}{3888\eta^{72}}(323E_4^6 + 2594E_4^3E_6^2 + 971E_6^4) \\
&= q^{-3} - 24q^{-2} + 780q^{-1} + 116928 + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}_{UD_4}(\tau) &= \frac{E_4^2}{2902376448\eta^{120}}(24192611E_4^{12} + 638981788E_4^9E_6^2 \\
&\quad + 1548828426E_4^6E_6^4 + 653069452E_4^3E_6^6 + 7304171E_6^8) \\
&= q^{-5} + 504q^{-3} - 96q^{-2} + 107370q^{-1} + 116073216 + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}_{UD_3}(\tau) = \hat{Z}_4(\tau) &= \frac{E_4^2}{5038848\eta^{96}}(135295E_4^9 + 2129577E_4^6E_6^2 \\
&\quad + 2473329E_4^3E_6^4 + 300647E_6^6) \\
&= q^{-4} + 532728 \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}_{UD_5}(\tau) &= \frac{E_4^2}{544195584\eta^{144}}(134710267E_4^{15} + 5375612131E_4^{12}E_6^2 \\
&\quad + 22295933590E_4^9E_6^4 + 20140185182E_4^6E_6^6 + 4165145615E_3^3E_6^8 \\
&\quad + 131189279E_6^{10}) \\
&= 48q^{-4} + 25570944 + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}_{UD_7}(\tau) &= \frac{E_4^2}{7487812485248974848\eta^{192}}(3708321329732099E_4^{21} + 278349798105179209E_4^{18}E_6^2 \\
&\quad + 2495490821932031115E_4^{15}E_6^4 + 6027033285323884697E_4^{12}E_6^6 \\
&\quad + 4801092532525571089E_3^9E_6^8 + 1272963132360267843E_4^6E_6^{10} \\
&\quad + 95766323147504225E_4^3E_6^{12} + 1220755773779419E_6^{14}) \\
&= 2q^{-8} + 2508q^{-4} + 64q^{-2} + 1371241872 + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{Z}_{UE_8}(\tau) &= \frac{E_4^2}{1104122877824872835186688\eta^{216}}(179571116071856545537E_4^{24} \\
&\quad + 14834990989690717426744E_4^{21}E_6^2 + 148775993053767172568668E_4^{18}E_6^4 \\
&\quad + 411100196884302735739144E_4^{15}E_6^6 + 387366175916998061434246E_4^{12}E_6^8 \\
&\quad + 128228189072561942529160E_4^9E_6^{10} + 387366175916998061434246E_4^6E_6^{12} \\
&\quad + 128228189072561942529160E_4^3E_6^{14} + 304427514534730640824E_4^3E_6^{14} \\
&\quad + 371716666584611521E_6^{16}) \\
&= q^{-9} + 480q^{-8} + 61944q^{-7} + 1061784q^{-6} + 9424164q^{-5} + 64348440q^{-4} + 371270060q^{-3} + \\
&\quad 1864662168q^{-2} + 8608773987q^{-1} + 134979109134912 + \dots.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Above results suggest that self-dualized D, E partition functions does not satisfy the gap condition, except for D_3 and D_5 . For D_3 , since $D_3 = A_3$ and A_{N-1} series satisfy the gap condition, this fact is natural. For D_5 , we have to give some comments. D_5 partition function in (5.7) seems to satisfy the gap condition. From the previous discussion, the top q term of D_5 partition function must be q^{-6} . Thus this partition function in (5.7) does not satisfy the gap condition in true sense. Further analysis of D_{2N+1} suggests that the top q term of the partition function starts from q^{-4N} . Thus the top q term of D_{4N+1} partition function may vanish. This phenomenon is interesting, but we have no interpretation for this by now.

5.2 Fulfilling the Gap Condition of Self-Dualized D, E Partition Function

We assume that holomorphic anomaly does not appear in D, E partition function because of the following reason. Holomorphic anomaly comes from the failure of the reduction $\mathcal{N} = 4 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 1$. Typically in $\frac{1}{2}K3$ case, this failure happens. By using the mass perturbation proportional to the section of the canonical bundle, we reduce $\mathcal{N} = 4 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 1$ [22, 15, 3]. But in $\frac{1}{2}K3$ case, there is a locus where the section of this canonical bundle vanishes. In this locus, the holomorphic anomaly or E_2 -dependence comes in the partition function. On the other hand, $K3$ has trivial canonical bundle, that is, there is no locus where the section of this canonical bundle vanishes. This situation is the same, whether self-dualization is done or not. We think of the self-dualized D, E partition functions and intend to satisfy the gap condition of these partition functions. We want to cancel the negative q powers of the previous partition functions except for top q term, by using meromorphic modular forms. In the previous section, we observed that the holomorphic part of G_3, G_5 and G_7 are Hecke transformation of G_1 plus (polynomial of j function) $\times G_1$. In the same way as this observation, we expect the total D, E partition functions has the following form. The total D, E partition function contains the self-dualized D, E partition function as a core part and (polynomials of j function) $\times \hat{Z}_1$ as a residual part. That is, we assume that top q term only comes from the self-dualized D, E partition function. These two parts are both holomorphic. Finally we derive the following results:

$$Z_{UD_2}^{tot}(\tau) = \hat{Z}_{UD_2}(\tau) + 24j\hat{Z}_1(\tau) - 30732\hat{Z}_1(\tau) = \hat{Z}_3(\tau) = q^{-3} + 122976 + \dots, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{UD_4}^{tot}(\tau) &= \hat{Z}_{UD_4}(\tau) - 504j^2\hat{Z}_1(\tau) + 1004064j\hat{Z}_1(\tau) - 360585162\hat{Z}_1(\tau) \\ &= \hat{Z}_5(\tau) = q^{-5} + 1575504 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

$$Z_{UD_5}^{tot}(\tau) = \frac{1}{48}\hat{Z}_{UD_5}(\tau) = \hat{Z}_4(\tau) = q^{-4} + 532728 + \dots, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{UD_7}^{tot}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{Z}_{UD_7}(\tau) + 1254j^3\hat{Z}_1(\tau) + 3430944j^2\hat{Z}_1(\tau) - 2508200672j\hat{Z}_1(\tau) + 386059650048\hat{Z}_1(\tau) \\ &= \hat{Z}_8(\tau) = q^{-8} + 17047800 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{UE_8}^{tot}(\tau) &= \hat{Z}_{UE_8}(\tau) - 480j^7\hat{Z}_1(\tau) + 2679816j^6\hat{Z}_1(\tau) - 5777888472j^5\hat{Z}_1(\tau) + 6037910892540j^4\hat{Z}_1(\tau) \\ &\quad - 3141074576248824j^3\hat{Z}_1(\tau) + 747778700988800308j^2\hat{Z}_1(\tau) \\ &\quad - 64381310276022766872j\hat{Z}_1(\tau) + 1077116103457676370261\hat{Z}_1(\tau) \\ &= \hat{Z}_9(\tau) = q^{-9} + 29883672 + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Resulting gapful partition functions are all coincident with the $U(N)$ partition functions, which have the same top q terms. The characteristics of groups are lost. This phenomenon is interpreted as universality of gapful partition functions. If we separate the corresponding $U(1)$ flux part from the total gapful D, E partition functions, we find the difference from the $U(N)$ partition functions. Unfortunately we have no technique to separate D, E partition functions from the total gapful D, E partition functions.

6 Conclusion and Discussion

In this article, we derived the self-dualized D, E partition functions, which satisfy the gap condition. The self-dualization was first introduced to construct the holomorphic $U(N)$ partition function from the $U(1)$ flux part and $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$ partition functions, by using close relation between the orbit structure and modular forms. By using the self-dualization, we self-dualized our D, E partition functions on $K3$ and canceled its negative q powers except for top q term.

Remaining problem is to invent the technique to separate the orbit structure from general modular forms. If we can invent this technique, we can separate the true D, E partition functions on $K3$ from gapful self-dualized D, E partition functions. Ultimate aim is to obtain gapful D, E partition functions without self-dualization. We hope to find out the technique to do this and to find guiding principle like Seiberg-Witten curve or holomorphic anomaly equation in $U(N)$ on $\frac{1}{2}K3$ case.

In fact we had been writing the another article concerned about $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function [20] parallel to this article. In [20] we revealed a close relation between Hecke operator and S -duality of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills on $K3$. There are advantages and disadvantages in either article. We hope that these works are incorporated and we approach to our goal of the determination of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank M.Jinzenji for simulating discussions and collaborations. We also thank Prof.N.Kawamoto for carefully reading our manuscript.

References

- [1] M.F.Atiyah, N.H.Hitchin and I.M.Singer. *Self-duality in four dimensional Riemannian Geometry*, Proc.R.Soc.Lond A362(1978)425.
- [2] G.Bonelli. *The geometry of M5-branes and TQFTs*, hep-th/0012075.
- [3] T.Eguchi and K.Sakai. *Seiberg-Witten Curve for the E-String Theory*, JHEP 0205 (2002) 058.
- [4] K.Fukaya. *Topology, geometry and field theory*, World Scientific 1994.
- [5] J. A. Harvey, A. Strominger. *The Heterotic String is a Soliton*, Nucl.Phys. B449 (1995) 535-552
- [6] M.Jinzenji and T.Sasaki. *$\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory on Orbifold- T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2* , Mod.Phys.Lett. A16 (2001) 411-428.

- [7] M.Jinzenji and T.Sasaki. *N = 4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory on Orbifold- T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2 :Higher Rank Case*, JHEP 0112 (2001) 002.
- [8] M.Jinzenji and T.Sasaki. *An Approach to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE Gauge Theory on K3*, JHEP 0209 (2002) 002.
- [9] V. G. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge 1990.
- [10] M.Kapranov. *The Elliptic Curve in the S-Duality Theory and Eisenstein Series for Kac-Moody Groups*, math.AG/0001005.
- [11] J. M. F. Labastida, Carlos Lozano. *Mathai-Quillen formulation of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions*, Nucl.Phys.B502(1997)741.
- [12] J. M. F. Labastida, Carlos Lozano. *The Vafa-Witten Theory for Gauge Group $SU(N)$* hep-th/9903172.
- [13] I.G.Macdonald. *Affine root systems and Dedekind's η -functions*, Invent. math. **15** (1972), 91-143.
- [14] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, N. P. Warner. *Partition Functions for BPS States of the Non-Critical E_8 String* Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.1 (1998) 167-183.
- [15] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, C. Vafa, N. P. Warner. *E-Strings and $N=4$ Topological Yang-Mills Theories* Nucl.Phys. B 527 (1998) 581-623.
- [16] C.Montonen and D.Olive, *Magnetic monopoles as gauge particles ?*, Phys.Lett.B 72 (1977) 117;
P.Goddard, J.Nyuts and D.Olive, *Gauge theories and magnetic charge*, Nucl. Phys. B125 (1977) 1.
- [17] S.Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on abelian or K3 surface*, Inv.Math. 77 (1984) 101;
L.Göttsche, *The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface*, Math.Ann. 286 (1990) 193.
- [18] H.Nakajima. *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, to appear.
- [19] H.Nakajima. *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. 76 (1994);
Gauge theory on resolutions of simple singularities and simple Lie algebras., Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1994.
- [20] T. Sasaki. *Hecke Operator and S-Duality of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills for ADE Gauge Group on K3*, hep-th/0303121.
- [21] G.'t Hooft. *On the Phase Transition towards Permanent Quark Confinement.*, Nucl.Phys.B138(1978)1;
A Property of Electric and Magnetic Flux in Nonabelian Gauge Theories. Nucl.Phys.B153(1979)141.

- [22] C.Vafa and E.Witten. *A strong coupling test of S-duality*, Nucl. Phys. B431 (1994) 3.
- [23] C.Vafa. *Geometric Origin of Montonen-Olive Duality*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 1 (1998) 158-166.
- [24] E.Witten. *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory on A Four Manifold*. J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 5101-5135.
- [25] K.Yoshioka. *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on \mathbf{P}^2* . J. reine angew. Math. **453** (1994), 193-220.
- [26] K.Yoshioka. *Euler characteristics of $SU(2)$ instanton moduli spaces on rational elliptic surfaces* Commun.Math.Phys. 205 (1999) 501-517.
- [27] K.Yoshioka. *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces* math.AG/9907001.
- [28] K.Yoshioka. *On Euler characteristics of moduli space of vector bundles*, talk given in Kyoto Workshop on “Modular Invariance, ADE, Subfactors, and Geometry of Moduli Spaces”, Nov 26-Dec 02(2000).