

Mixed Correlation Functions of the Two-Matrix Model

M. Bertola^{†1}, B. Eynard^{‡*2}

[†] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University
7141 Sherbrooke W., Montréal, Québec, Canada H4B 1R6*

[‡] *Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal
C. P. 6128, succ. centre ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7*

** Service de Physique Théorique, CEA/Saclay
Orme des Merisiers F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, FRANCE*

Abstract

We compute the correlation functions mixing the powers of two non-commuting random matrices within the same trace. The angular part of the integration was partially known in the literature [16, 17]: we pursue the calculation and carry out the eigenvalue integration reducing the problem to the construction of the associated biorthogonal polynomials. The generating function of these correlations becomes then a determinant involving the recursion coefficients of the biorthogonal polynomials.

1 Introduction and main result

Random matrix models were first introduced in the context of nuclear physics in order to describe the energy levels statistics for very large nuclei. Wigner proposed the hypothesis that these were distributed as the eigenvalues of a matrix with random entries. Later random matrix models were used in many areas of physics and mathematics [6, 11, 15].

An important application of random matrices is to 2d gravity, that is, statistical physics on a random surface. In fact, the perturbative expansion of a matrix integral can be accomplished by drawing Feynman graphs on fixed-genus surfaces. Matrix integration can therefore encode the summation over the set of discretized surfaces (possibly carrying some type of matter).

When the parameters of the model are fine-tuned near a critical point the average graph's size diverges and macroscopic graphs dominate the sum, so that suitable critical limits can represent statistical models over smooth surfaces. The smooth surfaces that one describes with the aid of matrix models have properties of scale invariance: this means that the critical points of matrix integrals are related to representations of the conformal group. We recall that its finite dimensional representations are classified by two integers (p, q) : it is known that one-matrix-models provide instances of $(p, 2)$ -irreps only, where as two-matrix-models allow to obtain (p, q) -representations.

¹bertola@mathstat.concordia.ca

²eynard@spht.saclay.cea.fr

Possibly the first such application of the two-matrix-model was to describe the Ising model on a random surface; in this case the Ising ferromagnetic transition corresponds to the conformal minimal model (3, 4). To see this, one should associate a color (or spin) + or - to each matrix so that the vertices of one matrix are labelled with a plus sign and the vertices of the other matrix with a minus sign. Then the Feynman graphs generated by a two matrix model represent discrete surfaces carrying spins (+ and -), i.e. an Ising model on a random surface.

The correlation functions of random matrices generate discrete surfaces with boundaries, and thus are in relationship with boundary conformal theory. A formula for correlation functions representing surfaces with mono-colored boundaries has been known since [10]. It is the aim of this paper to give a formula for a mixed correlation function, i.e. the generating function for discrete surfaces with a bi-colored boundary.

The 2-matrix model has attracted a lot of attention recently, and important progress have been made in the study of the associated bi-orthogonal polynomials [3, 5, 13]. Here, we will express the mixed correlation function in terms of the bi-orthogonal polynomials.

1.1 Definition and notation

We consider two $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices M_1, M_2 , with a probability measure

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu(M_1, M_2) &:= \mathcal{Z}_N^{-1} dM_1 dM_2 \exp \left[- \text{Tr}(V_1(M_1) + V_2(M_2) - M_1 M_2) \right], \\ \mathcal{Z}_N &:= \int \int dM_1 dM_2 \exp \left[- \text{Tr}(V_1(M_1) + V_2(M_2) - M_1 M_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1-1)$$

where $dM_1 dM_2$ is the product of Lebesgue measures of all the independent real and imaginary parts of the components of the two matrices divided by the square of the volume of the unitary group $U(N)$ (for later convenience). The functions V_1 and V_2 are called the potentials and must be chosen so as to make the integral convergent. The normalization factor \mathcal{Z}_N is called the “partition function”, where the name “function” refers to its dependence on the two potentials.

One can rewrite the measure in term of eigenvalues and angular integrals [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu(M_1, M_2) &:= \mathcal{Z}_N^{-1} \Delta(X)^2 \Delta(Y)^2 \exp \left[- \sum_{i=1}^N (V_1(x_i) + V_2(y_i)) \right] e^{U^\dagger X U V^\dagger Y V} dU dV \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i dy_i, \\ X &:= \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad Y := \text{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_N), \quad U, V \in U(N) \\ \mathcal{Z}_N &:= \int \int \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i dy_i \Delta(X)^2 \Delta(Y)^2 dU dV \exp \left[- \sum_{i=1}^N (V_1(x_i) + V_2(y_i)) \right] e^{U^\dagger X U V^\dagger Y V}. \end{aligned} \quad (1-2)$$

where $dU dV$ is the product of the *normalized* Haar measure over $U(N) \times U(N)$. In the original two Hermitian matrix model, the integration path for the x_i 's and y_j 's is the real axis (location of the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix), however, the model can be generalized to include complex paths or their homology classes in case the potentials are holomorphic or meromorphic [2, 3].

1.2 Correlation functions

In applications of the two-matrix-model to statistical physics on a random surface, one is interested in computing correlation functions involving traces of products of powers of M_1 and M_2 . Each such correlation function

can be expanded in Feynman graphs, which represent discrete surfaces with boundaries: the number of boundaries is the number of traces, the length of each boundary is the total power of M_1 plus the total power of M_2 within the trace [6].

For instance: $\langle \text{Tr} M_1^r \rangle$ is the generating function for discrete surfaces with one boundary (a circle) of length r made of $+$ spins only. $\langle \text{Tr} M_2^s \rangle$ is the generating function for discrete surfaces with one boundary of length s made of $-$ spins only. $\langle \text{Tr} M_1^r M_2^s \rangle$ is the generating function for discrete surfaces with one bi-colored boundary of length $r + s$ made of $r +$ spins, followed by $s -$ spins.

More generally, $\langle \text{Tr} M_1^{r_1} M_2^{s_1} M_1^{r_2} M_2^{s_2} \dots M_1^{r_n} M_2^{s_n} \rangle$ is the generating function for discrete surfaces with one $2n$ -colored boundary of length $\sum_i r_i + \sum_i s_i$ made of $r_1 +$ spins, followed by $s_1 -$ spins, followed by $r_2 +$ spins, \dots , followed by $s_n -$ spins.

One may also be interested in “multi-loop” correlators (i.e. more than one boundary), for instance: $\langle \text{Tr} M_1^{r_1} \text{Tr} M_1^{r_2} \rangle_{\text{conn}}$ is the generating function for discrete surfaces with two spin $+$ boundaries, one of length r_1 , the other of length r_2 . More generally, one may consider correlation functions involving an arbitrary number of traces, each containing arbitrary words of M_1 and M_2 .

The correlation functions, with an arbitrary number of traces, with each trace containing powers of only one matrix have been known since the work of [10]. They can be expressed in terms of bi-orthogonal polynomials.

The aim of the present article is to express the mixed correlation function

$$\langle \text{Tr} M_1^r M_2^s \rangle \tag{1-3}$$

in terms of bi-orthogonal polynomials too, and confirm that the key property of these models is that all relevant spectral statistics can be reduced to the computation of the corresponding biorthogonal polynomials [4, 10, 14].

1.3 Bi-orthogonal polynomials

Two sequences of monic polynomials

$$\pi_n(x) = x^n + \dots, \quad \sigma_n(y) = y^n + \dots, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{1-4}$$

are called biorthogonal if they are “orthogonal” with respect to a coupled measure on the product space:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx dy \pi_n(x) \sigma_m(y) e^{-V_1(x) - V_2(y) + xy} = h_n \delta_{mn}, \quad h_n \neq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1-5}$$

where $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(y)$ are the functions (called *potentials*) appearing in the two-matrix model measure (1-1). It is convenient to introduce the associated quasipolynomial differentials defined by the formulas

$$\psi_n(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_{n-1}}} \pi_{n-1}(x) e^{-V_1(x)} dx \tag{1-6}$$

$$\phi_n(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_{n-1}}} \sigma_{n-1}(y) e^{-V_2(y)} dy. \tag{1-7}$$

In terms of these two sequences of differentials the multiplications by x and y respectively are represented by semiinfinite square matrices $Q = [Q_{ij}]_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and $P = [P_{ij}]_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ according to the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} x\psi_n(x) &= \sum_m Q_{n,m} \psi_m(x); & y\phi_n(y) &= \sum_m P_{m,n} \phi_m(y) \\ Q_{n,m} &= 0 = P_{m,n}, & \text{if } n > m + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1-8}$$

The matrices P and Q have a rich structure and satisfy the “string equation” $[P, Q] = id$. However we do not need any of their properties except for eq. (1-8) to derive our present results, and therefore we refer for further details to [2, 3, 4, 5] where these models are studied especially in the case of polynomial potentials. We also point out that the model can easily be generalized to accommodate contours of integration other than the real axes [2, 3] leaving intact all the properties which are relevant to the following computations.

1.4 The main result

Our goal is to prove a formula for the generating function of the correlators

$$\langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \int dM_1 dM_2 \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) \exp(-\text{Tr}(V_1(M_1) + V_2(M_2) - M_1 M_2)) . \quad (1-9)$$

By generating function we mean the formal double Laurent series

$$\left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} := \sum_{r, s} x^{-r-1} y^{-s-1} \langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} . \quad (1-10)$$

The main obstacle to this sort of computations so far was posed by the “angular integration” over the unitary group $U(N)$.

One can trace in the literature various attempts at this computation using the loop equations [7, 8, 18]. A closed formula was found in the large N limit in [8], but an exact formula for finite N was never derived.

Our strategy is that of reducing the computation of (1-9) or even better (1-10) to the computation of the corresponding biorthogonal polynomials associated with the measure (1-1).

We can now write down the main result of the paper which is both simple and beautiful:

$$\left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} = 1 - \det \left[\mathbf{1}_N - \frac{\pi}{N} \frac{1}{x - Q} \frac{1}{y - P} \frac{\pi^t}{N} \right] \quad (1-11)$$

where P and Q are the matrices in eq. (1-8) and $\frac{\pi}{N}$ denotes the projector $\mathbb{C}^\infty \mapsto \mathbb{C}^N$ onto the span of the first N canonical basis vectors, i.e., the $N \times \infty$ matrix with nonzero entries $\frac{\pi_{i,i}}{N} = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Formula (1-11) should be properly understood in the sense of an identity of formal Laurent series in the variables x and y , although it would be possible to give an analytic meaning to both sides. For example from (1-11) one can easily obtain the following identities

$$\langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \text{Tr}(Q^r P \Pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (\text{Tr}(Q^j \Pi) \text{Tr}(Q^{r-1-j} \Pi) - \text{Tr}(Q^j \Pi Q^{r-1-j} \Pi)) \quad (1-12)$$

$$\langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^2) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \text{Tr}(Q^r P^2 \Pi) - \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = r-1} \left(\text{Tr}(Q^{k_1} P \Pi) \text{Tr}(Q^{k_2} \Pi) - \text{Tr}(Q^{k_1} P \Pi Q^{k_2} \Pi) \right) \quad (1-13)$$

$$+ \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = r-2} \left(\frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(\Pi Q^{k_1} \Pi Q^{k_2} \Pi Q^{k_3}) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Pi Q^{k_1} \Pi Q^{k_2}) \text{Tr}(\Pi Q^{k_3}) + \frac{1}{6} \text{Tr}(\Pi Q^{k_1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi Q^{k_2}) \text{Tr}(\Pi Q^{k_3}) \right) \quad (1-14)$$

where now $\Pi = \pi^t \pi$ is the semiinfinite square matrix with nonzero entries $\Pi_{i,i} = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, N$.

In fact in the course of the proof of eq. (1-11) we will also prove the following strong result for the correlations of $\langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) \rangle_{V_1, V_2}$ which naturally extends to arbitrary (analytic) functions $f(x), g(y)$:

$$\langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^r) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \tag{1-15}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \int \dots \int_{e^{\sum_k x_k y_k}} \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & \dots & x_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & \dots & x_{n+1}^{n-1} \\ x_1^r & \dots & x_{n+1}^r \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_1 & \dots & y_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^{n-1} & \dots & y_{n+1}^{n-1} \\ y_1^s & \dots & y_{n+1}^s \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & \dots & x_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^n & \dots & x_{n+1}^n \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_1 & \dots & y_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^n & \dots & y_{n+1}^n \end{pmatrix}} \det \left[K_{12}(x_k, y_\ell) \right]_{k, \ell \leq n+1} \tag{1-16}$$

The formula can be extended to arbitrary analytic functions $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ to give

$$\langle \text{Tr}(f(M_1)g(M_2)) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \tag{1-17}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \int \dots \int_{e^{\sum_k x_k y_k}} \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & \dots & x_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & \dots & x_{n+1}^{n-1} \\ f(x_1) \dots f(x_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_1 & \dots & y_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^{n-1} & \dots & y_{n+1}^{n-1} \\ g(y_1) \dots g(y_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & \dots & x_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^n & \dots & x_{n+1}^n \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_1 & \dots & y_{n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^n & \dots & y_{n+1}^n \end{pmatrix}} \det \left[K_{12}(x_k, y_\ell) \right]_{k, \ell \leq n+1} \tag{1-18}$$

Here we have used the kernel constructed from the quasipolynomials [10],

$$K_{12}(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^N \psi_n(x) \phi_n(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{\pi_n(x) \sigma_n(y) e^{-V_1(x) - V_2(y)}}{h_n} dx dy . \tag{1-19}$$

2 Proofs of formulæ (1-11) and (1-16)

We want to compute the expectation values

$$\langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \int d\mu(M_1, M_2) \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s). \tag{2-1}$$

We denote by $\{x_i\}_{i=1..N}$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1..N}$ the spectra of the two matrices M_1, M_2 and by $U \in U(N)$ the relative angles. Then we can reduce the integral (2-1) to an integral over the spectra of M_1, M_2 and the unitary group of the relative angles. Indeed we have

$$\langle \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \int d\mu(M_1, M_2) \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) = \tag{2-2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \int \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i dy_i e^{-V_1(x_i) - V_2(y_i)} \Delta^2(X) \Delta^2(Y) \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i^r y_j^s \int_{U(N)} dU |U_{ji}|^2 e^{\text{Tr}(XU^\dagger YU)}, \tag{2-3}$$

where $X = \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $Y = \text{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_N)$ and $\Delta(X)$, $\Delta(Y)$ denote the Vandermonde determinants. The computation requires the knowledge of the two-points correlators for the unitary integral in eq. (2-3) which we analyze in the next subsection.

2.1 Two-points correlator for the unitary integral

The computation of this quantity has been considered in the finite N regime in the two papers [16, 17]. In [17] was described a complete algorithm that allows the construction of a formula for the most general correlator

$$\langle U_{i_1 j_1} U_{k_1 l_1}^\dagger \cdots U_{i_n j_n} U_{k_n l_n}^\dagger \rangle_{U(N)} := \int_{U(N)} dU U_{i_1 j_1} U_{k_1 l_1}^\dagger \cdots U_{i_n j_n} U_{k_n l_n}^\dagger e^{\text{Tr}(XU^\dagger YU)}. \quad (2-4)$$

Such algorithm involves the introduction of the parametrization of the unitary group given by the Gel'fand-Tsetlin coordinates associated to one of the two matrices M_i ; however the computation is highly involved and in [17] the problem was not carried through to a completely manageable formula.

On the other hand in [16] a very simple closed formula was proposed for the two-point correlator $\langle |U_{ji}|^2 \rangle_{U(N)}$ in terms of a generating function, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j \langle |U_{ji}|^2 \rangle_{U(N)} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_i b_j \int_{U(N)} dU |U_{ji}|^2 e^{\text{Tr}(XU^\dagger YU)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \cdots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^{n-1} & \cdots & x_{i_{n+1}}^{n-1} \\ a_{i_1} & \cdots & a_{i_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ y_{\rho(i_1)} & \cdots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{\rho(i_1)}^{n-1} & \cdots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}^{n-1} \\ b_{\rho(i_1)} & \cdots & b_{\rho(i_{n+1})} \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \cdots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^n & \cdots & x_{i_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ y_{\rho(i_1)} & \cdots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{\rho(i_1)}^n & \cdots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}^n \end{pmatrix}}, \quad (2-6) \end{aligned}$$

with the understanding that the Haar measure of the unitary group $U(N)$ has been normalized to unity. This formula will be the starting point of our analysis: however the author of [16] did not actually prove the formula but just made an educated (and -as it turns out- correct) guess.

Therefore, before proceeding to proving our main result (1-11) we want to fill in the gaps between the full but unpractical algorithm given in [17] and the practical but unproven formula in [16]. We do not need the full generality of [17]: our departure point is formula (1.4) ibidem, restricted to the particular case of the two-point correlator. For the ease of the reader we rewrite the aforementioned formula in the notation of our present paper

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_{1j_1} U_{k_1 1}^\dagger \cdots U_{1j_n} U_{k_n 1}^\dagger \rangle_{U(N)} &= \frac{\delta_{j_1 k_1} \cdots \delta_{j_n k_n}}{\Delta(X)\Delta(y_2, \dots, y_N)} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} d\xi_k \right) \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{N-1} (\xi_\ell - x_{j_1}) \cdots \prod_{\ell=1}^{N-1} (\xi_\ell - x_{j_n})}{\prod_{\ell \neq j_1} (x_\ell - x_{j_1}) \cdots \prod_{\ell \neq j_n} (x_\ell - x_{j_n})} \times \\ &\times \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^N x_k y_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \xi_k y_1 \right] \det \left[e^{\xi_i y_{j+1}} \right]_{i,j=1..N-1}. \quad (2-7) \end{aligned}$$

Here the Haar measure of the unitary group has been normalized to unity, $\Delta(y_2, \dots, y_N)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant of the $N - 1$ numbers y_2, \dots, y_N , while $\Delta(X)$ is the short form for the Vandermonde determinant of the whole spectrum of the matrix X .

Eq. (2-7) was proven rigorously in [17] for $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N$; however it is straightforward to realize that the unitary integral in eq. (2-3) defines an analytic (in fact entire) function of the variables X and Y . Therefore the result extends to statistical ensembles of pairs M_1, M_2 of *normal matrices*³ with their spectrum on arbitrary paths on the complex plane. Moreover the restriction on the order of the spectrum can be lifted because the result is analytic in the variables x_1, \dots, x_N and can be analytically continued to \mathbb{C}^N .

We now set $n = 1$ in eq. (2-7) and hence $k_1 = j_1 = i$. Then we have :

$$\langle |U_{1i}|^2 \rangle_{U(N)} = \frac{e^{\sum^N x_\ell y_1}}{\Delta(X)\Delta(y_2, \dots, y_N) \prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i)} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}} \epsilon(\rho) \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} d\xi_k (\xi_k - x_i) e^{\xi_k (y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)} = \quad (2-8)$$

$$= \frac{e^{\sum^N x_\ell y_1}}{\Delta(X)\Delta(y_2, \dots, y_N) \prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i)} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}} \epsilon(\rho) \quad (2-9)$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{x_{k+1} - x_i}{y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1} - \frac{1}{(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)^2} \right) e^{x_{k+1}(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)} - \left(\frac{x_k - x_i}{y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1} - \frac{1}{(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)^2} \right) e^{x_k(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)} \right] = \quad (2-10)$$

$$= \frac{e^{\sum^N x_\ell y_1}}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y) \prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq 1} (y_\ell - y_1)} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}} \epsilon(\rho) \quad (2-11)$$

$$\left[\left((x_{k+1} - x_i)(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1) - 1 \right) e^{x_{k+1}(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)} - \left((x_k - x_i)(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1) - 1 \right) e^{x_k(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)} \right] = \quad (2-12)$$

We observe that the following identity holds

$$e^{\sum^N x_\ell y_1} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}} \epsilon(\rho) \left[\left((x_{k+1} - x_i)(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1) - 1 \right) e^{x_{k+1}(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)} - \left((x_k - x_i)(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1) - 1 \right) e^{x_k(y_{\rho(k)+1} - y_1)} \right] = \quad (2-13)$$

$$= - \det \left[\left((x_\ell - x_i)(y_m - y_1) - 1 \right) e^{x_\ell y_m} \right]_{\ell, m=1 \dots N} \quad (2-14)$$

which is realized by performing elementary row operations on the matrix inside the determinant in (2-14) so that the $N \times N$ determinant reduces to a $(N - 1)^2$ determinant by use of Laplace's formula.

The more general case of the expectation value of the (i, j) element is obtained by permutation of the spectrum of the matrix Y so as to give the formula

$$\langle |U_{ji}|^2 \rangle_{U(N)} = \frac{-1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \frac{\det \left[\left((x_\ell - x_i)(y_m - y_j) - 1 \right) e^{x_\ell y_m} \right]_{\ell, m=1 \dots N}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \quad (2-15)$$

This form of Shatashvili's formula (2-7) for the case $n = 1$ is remarkably simple but not suitable for our later purposes. Moreover it is not yet clearly equivalent to Morozov's formula (2-6), which is what we need for our computation. It will be proved in appendix A that the two formulæ are indeed equivalent.

³We recall that a normal matrix is a matrix that commutes with its Hermitian-transposed. Any such matrix can be diagonalized using a unitary transformation (and vice-versa).

2.2 The correlators $\langle \text{Tr} M_1^r M_2^s \rangle_{V_1, V_2}$ and their generating function

Starting from eq. (2-3) and using formula (2-6) with $a_i = x_i^r$ and $b_j = y_j^s$ we obtain

$$\int_{U(N)} dU \text{Tr}(X^r U^\dagger Y^s U) e^{\text{Tr}(XU^\dagger YU)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i^r y_j^s \int_{U(N)} dU |U_{ji}|^2 e^{\text{Tr}(XU^\dagger YU)} = \quad (2-16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^{n-1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^{n-1} \\ x_{i_1}^r & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^r \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_{\rho(i_1)} & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{\rho(i_1)}^{n-1} & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}^{n-1} \\ y_{\rho(i_1)}^s & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}^s \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^n & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_{\rho(i_1)} & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{\rho(i_1)}^n & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}^n \end{pmatrix}}, \quad (2-17)$$

with the understandings that we use the normalized Haar measure over the unitary group $U(N)$ and that for $n = 0$ the ratio of determinants should be $x_{i_1}^r y_{\rho(i_1)}^s$. The first observation is that the sum over n does not actually need to be extended up to the size N of the random matrices because the determinants will vanish for $n > \min(r, s)$. The next remark is that the ratios of determinants actually define certain totally symmetric polynomials of their arguments of degree $r - n$ and $s - n$ respectively: in fact they are Schur polynomials corresponding to hook Young diagrams

$$S_r(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}) := \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^{n-1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^{n-1} \\ x_{i_1}^r & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^r \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^n & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix}} = \sum_{a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{r-n}} \prod_{k=1}^{r-n} x_{i_{a_k}} = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{n+1} = r-n} x_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots x_{i_{n+1}}^{j_{n+1}}, \quad (2-18)$$

and a similar expression for the y part. It is interesting to notice that in eq. (2-17) the characters of the representations of the group $GL(N)$ appear; the same equation could possibly be derived from the character expansion of the integrand.

The formal generating function of these Schur polynomials is:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{r+1}} S_r(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{x - x_{i_k}} \quad (2-19)$$

Eq. (2-3) now becomes

$$\int d\mu(M_1, M_2) \text{Tr}(M_1^r M_2^s) = \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\mu(x_i) d\nu(y_i) \Delta(X) \Delta(Y) \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} S_r(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}) S_s(y_{\rho(i_1)}, \dots, y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}), \quad (2-20)$$

where $d\mu(x) := e^{-V_1(x)} dx$ and $d\nu(y) := e^{-V_2(y)} dy$.

Using eq. (2-19) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\mu(M_1, M_2) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) = \\ & = \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\mu(x_i) d\nu(y_i) \Delta(X) \Delta(Y) \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(x - x_{i_k})(y - y_{\rho(i_k)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2-21)$$

By a relabelling of the y 's the sum over ρ becomes an overcounting factor $N!$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\mu(M_1, M_2) \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) = \\ & N! \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\mu(x_i) d\nu(y_i) \Delta(X) \Delta(Y) e^{\sum x_\ell y_\ell} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(x - x_{i_k})(y - y_{i_k})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2-22)$$

This formula allows us to obtain the following expression for the expectations

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \\ & = \frac{N!}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\mu(x_i) d\nu(y_i) \Delta(X) \Delta(Y) e^{\sum x_\ell y_\ell} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(x - x_{i_k})(y - y_{i_k})} \\ & = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \int \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{\sigma(j)}(x_j) \phi_{\tau(j)}(y_j) e^{x_j y_j} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(x - x_{i_k})(y - y_{i_k})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2-23)$$

In eq. (2-23) we have used the normalized quasi-polynomial differentials defined in (1-7), the fact that, with our normalizations for the Haar measure of $U(N)$, the partition function is $\mathcal{Z}_N = (N!)^2 \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} h_j$ (see [14]), and the identities

$$\Delta(X) \prod_{k=1}^N d\mu(x_k) = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \sqrt{h_j} \right) \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{\sigma(k)}(x_k) \quad (2-24)$$

$$\Delta(Y) \prod_{k=1}^N d\nu(y_k) = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \sqrt{h_j} \right) \sum_{\tau \in S_N} \epsilon(\tau) \prod_{k=1}^N \phi_{\tau(k)}(y_k), \quad (2-25)$$

which are obtained by replacing the monomials in the Vandermonde determinants by the biorthogonal polynomials of the same degree.

2.2.1 Proof of formula (1-16)

We are now in the position of proving formula (1-16) in a few strokes. Taking the coefficient of $x^r y^s$ from the formal generating function in eq. (2-23) we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \text{Tr} (M_1^r M_2^s) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \\
& = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \int \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{\sigma(j)}(x_j) \phi_{\tau(j)}(y_j) e^{x_j y_j} S_r(x_{[i]_{n+1}}) S_s(y_{[i]_{n+1}}) \quad (2-26)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} (-1)^n \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n+1}} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \int \prod_{j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}\}} \psi_{\sigma(j)}(x_j) \phi_{\tau(j)}(y_j) e^{x_j y_j} \times \quad (2-27)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \psi_{\sigma(i_k)}(x_{i_k}) \phi_{\tau(i_k)}(y_{i_k}) e^{x_{i_k} y_{i_k}} S_r(x_{[i]_{n+1}}) S_s(y_{[i]_{n+1}}) . \quad (2-28)
\end{aligned}$$

In this formula the notation $x_{[i]_{n+1}}$ means the sequence of variables $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n+1}}$ (similarly for the y 's) and we have used the fact that the Schur polynomials S_r as defined in (2-18) vanish if the number of variables is greater than r . Next, the orthogonality relations between the ϕ_n 's and the ψ_n 's in line (2-27) imply that the sum over the permutations σ, τ is restricted to those permutations such that

$$\sigma = \tau \circ \eta, \quad \eta \in S\{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}\} \quad (2-29)$$

where $S\{i_1, \dots, i_{n+1}\}$ denotes the group of permutation of the indices i_k . The restriction on the indices i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} can be lifted because the following expression is permutation invariant in the label of those indices and when two such indices coincide the corresponding term vanishes due to the alternating form of the sum. This will produce an overcounting of a factor $(n+1)!$ which must be corrected: moreover we can relabel the variables of integration of the integral in line (2-28) from x_{i_k}, y_{i_k} to x_k, y_k

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \text{Tr} (M_1^r M_2^s) \rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \\
& = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\eta) \int e^{\sum_k^{n+1} x_k y_k} S_r(x_{[1, \dots]}) S_s(y_{[1, \dots]}) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \psi_{i_k}(x_k) \phi_{i_{\eta(k)}}(y_k) = \quad (2-30)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\eta) \int e^{\sum_k^{n+1} x_k y_k} S_r(x_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) S_s(y_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \psi_{i_k}(x_k) \phi_{i_{\eta^{-1}(k)}}(y_k) = \quad (2-31)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\eta) \int e^{\sum_k^{n+1} x_k y_k} S_r(x_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) S_s(y_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \psi_{i_k}(x_k) \phi_{i_{\eta(k)}}(y_k) = \quad (2-32)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1}} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\eta) \int e^{\sum_k^{n+1} x_k y_k} S_r(x_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) S_s(y_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \psi_{i_k}(x_k) \phi_{i_{\eta^{-1}(k)}}(y_k) = \quad (2-33)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \sum_{n=0}^{\min(r,s)} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \int e^{\sum_k^{n+1} x_k y_k} S_r(x_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) S_s(y_{[1, \dots, n+1]}) \det \left[K_{12}(x_j, y_\ell) \right]_{j, \ell \leq n+1} \quad (2-34)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of the kernel $K_{12}(x, y)$ given in eq. (1-19). This concludes the proof of eq. (1-16) from which formula (1-18) follows immediately.

2.2.2 Proof of formula (1-11)

Resuming from eq. (2-23) and performing a relabelling of the x 's and the y 's allows to choose $i_k = k$, and the sum over $i_1 < \dots < i_{n+1}$ becomes a combinatorial factor:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \\ & = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{N}{n+1} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_N} \epsilon(\sigma\tau) \int \prod_{j=1}^N \psi_{\sigma(j)}(x_j) \phi_{\tau(j)}(y_j) e^{x_j y_j} \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(x - x_k)(y - y_k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2-35)$$

Now, the equations (1-5) and (1-8) imply that

$$\int \psi_{\sigma(j)}(x') \phi_{\tau(j)}(y') e^{x' y'} = \delta_{\sigma(j), \tau(j)}, \quad (2-36)$$

and

$$\int \psi_{\sigma(j)}(x') \phi_{\tau(j)}(y') e^{x' y'} \frac{1}{(x - x')(y - y')} = W_{\sigma(j), \tau(j)} \quad (2-37)$$

where W is the $N \times N$ square matrix⁴:

$$W := \pi \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{x - Q} \frac{1}{y - P} \pi^t. \quad (2-38)$$

Therefore $\tau = \sigma\eta$ where η is a permutation of the $n + 1$ first indices only, and we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{N}{n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\eta) \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} W_{\sigma(j), \sigma\eta(j)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!(N-n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \det(W_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)})_{i,j=1, \dots, n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2-39)$$

We note $\alpha_i = \sigma(i)$ and we write:

$$\left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!(N-n-1)!} \sum_{\alpha_1 \neq \dots \neq \alpha_N} \det(W_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j})_{i,j=1, \dots, n+1}, \quad (2-40)$$

where the sum over $\alpha_{n+2}, \dots, \alpha_N$ disappears and brings a factor $(N - n - 1)!$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\alpha_1 \neq \dots \neq \alpha_{n+1}} \det(W_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j})_{i,j=1, \dots, n+1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\alpha_1 \neq \dots \neq \alpha_{n+1}} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\eta) \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} W_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{\eta(j)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2-41)$$

⁴We remind the reader that this matrix should be properly understood as a formal power series in inverse powers of x and y , although an analytic definition could be given in terms of the biorthogonal polynomials. However this is unnecessary for the scope of the present paper.

and we can replace the sum over distinct α 's by a sum over all α 's:

$$\left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \epsilon(\eta) \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} W_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{\eta(j)}}. \quad (2-42)$$

If η is decomposed into a product of $\mathcal{N}(\eta)$ cyclic permutations of lengths $l_1(\eta) + \dots + l_{\mathcal{N}(\eta)}(\eta) = n+1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta)} (-1)^{l_j(\eta)+1} \text{Tr} W^{l_j(\eta)} \\ &= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\eta \in S_{n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta)} (-\text{Tr} W^{l_j(\eta)}), \end{aligned} \quad (2-43)$$

Now we use the following Lemma, which is a classical result in combinatorics:

Lemma 2.1 *Let \mathcal{G}_m be a function defined on the permutation group of m elements S_m with the cluster property, i.e., such that if $\eta = \eta_1 \circ \eta_2$ is a decomposition into disjoint permutations of m' and m'' elements (and hence $m = m' + m''$) then*

$$\mathcal{G}_m(\eta) = \mathcal{G}_{m'}(\eta_1) \mathcal{G}_{m''}(\eta_2). \quad (2-44)$$

Under these circumstances we have the identity

$$\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_m} \frac{x^m}{m!} \mathcal{G}_m(\sigma) \right) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!} \sum_{\eta \in S_m} \mathcal{G}_m(\eta), \quad (2-45)$$

where \mathcal{C}_m denotes the set of all permutations of maximal length and has cardinality $(m-1)!$.

In other words this lemma says that if \mathcal{G} has the cluster property, then taking the logarithm of the RHS of eq. (2-45) removes all “nonconnected” contributions and returns the “connected components” only. In view of Lemma 2.1 let us define

$$\mathcal{G}_m(\eta) := \prod_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}(\eta)} (-\text{Tr} W^{l_j(\eta)}) \quad (2-46)$$

which has clearly the cluster property, and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \left\langle \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{x - M_1} \frac{1}{y - M_2} \right) \right\rangle_{V_1, V_2} &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\eta \in S_m} \mathcal{G}_m(\eta) = \exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{C}_m} \frac{1}{m!} \mathcal{G}_m(\eta) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[- \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Tr} W^m}{m} \right] = \exp [\text{Tr} \ln (\mathbf{1}_N - W)] = \det(\mathbf{1}_N - W) \end{aligned} \quad (2-47)$$

This concludes our proof of formula (1-11).

3 Conclusions

Formula (1-11) is quite simple in spite of the long computations involved in its proof. It is tempting to imagine that also more complicated multi-correlators could be reduced to a computation involving the matrices P and Q , i.e., to biorthogonal polynomials. Quite clearly the possibility rests on having a manageable formula for

the generic multi-correlators of the Itzykson-Zuber-Harish-Chandra integral. There are indications that such a formula should be derivable: for example it is quite simple to obtain a formula for a correlator of entries with the same first index. Indeed starting from eq. (2-7) and proceeding in the same way we did in order to obtain eq. (2-15) one can easily prove the following formula

$$\langle \prod_{a=1}^n |U_{j i_a}|^2 \rangle_{U(N)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\det \left[F_m(x_\ell) e^{x_\ell y_m} \right]_{\ell, m=1 \dots N}}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y) \prod_{a=1}^n \prod_{\ell \neq i_a} (x_\ell - x_{i_a}) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)^n} \quad (3-1)$$

where

$$F_m(\xi) := \left[(y_m - y_j)^n \prod_{a=1}^n (\xi - x_{i_a}) - (y_m - y_j)^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \prod_{a \neq \ell} (\xi - x_{i_a}) + \dots + (-1)^n n! \right] = \quad (3-2)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s (y_m - y_j)^{n-s} \frac{d^s}{d\xi^s} \prod_{a=1}^n (\xi - x_{i_a}) . \quad (3-3)$$

However the computation for non-equal first indices becomes quickly extremely complicated at least using the technique in [17]. Nonetheless we hope that this first computation can break through the general belief that computations of correlators in multi-matrix models are not feasible in the finite-N regime due to the angular integrations.

It should also be remarked that for polynomial potentials we could use the so-called “loop” equations to obtain information on other correlators. The result, however would be dependent on the specific form of the potentials and would not provide information on the HCIZ integral itself.

Let us also mention that this calculation could be generalized to other random matrix models, in particular the complex matrix model, which presents many similarities with the 2-matrix model. The gaussian complex matrix model has attracted lot of attention in string theory. A particular case of the ADS/CFT, is the conjectured duality between string-theory in a pp-wave background, and BMN gauge theory. The gaussian complex-matrix model appears as an effective BMN theory in a particular limit, and the computation of mixed correlation functions is very important in that model [1, 9]. In the gaussian complex matrix model, a formula is known for the 2-point mixed correlator $\langle \text{Tr} \frac{1}{x-M} \frac{1}{y-M^\dagger} \rangle$, but little is known for other mixed correlation functions.

A Equivalence of eq. (2-6) and (2-15)

In this appendix we prove that the two formulæ (2-6) and (2-15) are equivalent. To this end we start from (2-15) and compute

$$\langle |U_{ji}|^2 \rangle_{U(N)} = \frac{-1}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} \frac{\det \left[\left((x_\ell - x_i)(y_m - y_j) - 1 \right) e^{x_\ell y_m} \right]_{\ell, m=1 \dots N}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} = \quad (A-1)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{\Delta(X) \Delta(Y)} \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) \frac{e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \left((x_\ell - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell)} - y_j) - 1 \right) = \quad (A-2)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) \frac{(-1)^N e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \left(1 - (x_\ell - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell)} - y_j) \right) = \quad (\text{A-3})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) \frac{(-1)^{N+1} e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \quad (\text{A-4})$$

$$\left[1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^N (x_\ell - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell)} - y_j) + \sum_{\ell_1 < \ell_2} (x_{\ell_1} - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell_1)} - y_j)(x_{\ell_2} - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell_2)} - y_j) + \dots \right] = \quad (\text{A-5})$$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) \frac{(-1)^{N+1} e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^n \sum_{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_n} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{\ell_k} - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell_k)} - y_j) \right] = \quad (\text{A-6})$$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)} \sum_{\rho \in S_N} \epsilon(\rho) \frac{(-1)^{N+1} e^{\sum x_\ell y_{\rho(\ell)}}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_n \\ \ell_k \neq i, \rho(\ell_k) \neq j, \forall k}} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{\ell_k} - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell_k)} - y_j) \right] \quad (\text{A-7})$$

Let us consider the following subexpression from the above formula

$$\frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_n \\ \ell_k \neq i, \rho(\ell_k) \neq j, \forall k}} \prod_{k=1}^n (x_{\ell_k} - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell_k)} - y_j) \right] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \quad (\text{A-8})$$

$$= (-1)^{N+1} \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_n \\ \ell_k \neq i, \rho(\ell_k) \neq j, \forall k}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (x_{\ell_k} - x_i)(y_{\rho(\ell_k)} - y_j)}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} \right] = \quad (\text{A-9})$$

$$= (-1)^{N+1} \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_n \\ \ell_k \neq i, \rho(\ell_k) \neq j, \forall k}} \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell \notin \{i, \ell_k, \forall k\}} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\rho(\ell) \notin \{j, \rho(\ell_k), \forall k\}} (y_\ell - y_j)} \right] = \quad (\text{A-10})$$

$$= \left[\frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{N-n+1} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_n \\ i \in \{i_k\}, j \in \{\rho(i_k)\}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{k: i_k \neq i} (x_{i_k} - x_i) \prod_{k: \rho(i_k) \neq j} (y_{\rho(i_k)} - y_j)} \right] = \quad (\text{A-11})$$

$$= \left[\frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{\prod_{\ell \neq i} (x_\ell - x_i) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (y_\ell - y_j)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_n \\ i \in \{i_k\}, j \in \{\rho(i_k)\}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{k: i_k \neq i} (x_{i_k} - x_i) \prod_{k: \rho(i_k) \neq j} (y_{\rho(i_k)} - y_j)} \right] = \quad (\text{A-12})$$

$$= \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_n: \\ i \in \{i_k\}, j \in \{\rho(i_k)\}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{k:i_k \neq i} (x_{i_k} - x_i) \prod_{k:\rho(i_k) \neq j} (y_{\rho(i_k)} - y_j)} \right] = (\text{A-13})$$

$$\stackrel{(\star\star)}{=} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \frac{\sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^s \delta_{i, i_s} \Delta(x_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i_s}}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^s \delta_{j, \rho(i_s)} \Delta(y_{\rho(i_1)}, \dots, \widehat{y_{\rho(i_s)}}, \dots, y_{\rho(i_n)})}{\Delta(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \Delta(y_{\rho(i_1)}, \dots, y_{\rho(i_n)})} \right] = (\text{A-14})$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \frac{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^{n-1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^{n-1} \\ \delta_{ii_1} & \dots & \delta_{ii_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_{\rho(i_1)} & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{\rho(i_1)}^{n-1} & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}^{n-1} \\ \delta_{j\rho(i_1)} & \dots & \delta_{j\rho(i_{n+1})} \end{pmatrix}}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{i_1} & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i_1}^n & \dots & x_{i_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_{\rho(i_1)} & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{\rho(i_1)}^n & \dots & y_{\rho(i_{n+1})}^n \end{pmatrix}} \quad (\text{A-15})$$

In the above chain of equality we have replaced (after the (\star)) the upper limit of summation by $N - 1$ because in the case $n = N$ there is certainly one ℓ_k equal to i (and one $\rho(\ell_k)$ equal to j) so that that term does not contribute. After $(\star\star)$ we have removed the condition on the multi-index because it is implicit in the sum of deltas that follows. Putting together eq. (A-15) with eq. (A-7) or (2-15) we obtain the desired proof of the equivalence of formula (2-6) with eq. (2-7), thus also proving the former rigorously, which was not done in [16].

References

- [1] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, H. Nastase “Strings in flat space and pp waves from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang Mills”, JHEP 0204 (2002) 013.
- [2] M. Bertola, “Bilinear semi-classical moment functionals and their integral representation”, J. App. Theory (at press), math.CA/0205160
- [3] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, J. Harnad, “Differential systems for biorthogonal polynomials appearing in 2-matrix models and the associated Riemann–Hilbert problem”, nlin.SI/0208002.
- [4] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, J. Harnad, “Duality of spectral curves arising in two-matrix models”, Theor. Math. Phys. **134** (1), 25–36 (2003).
- [5] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, J. Harnad, “Duality, Biorthogonal Polynomials and Multi-Matrix Models”, Commun. Math. Phys. **229** (2002), 73–120.
- [6] P. Di Francesco, P. Ginsparg, J. Zinn-Justin, “2D Gravity and Random Matrices”, *Phys. Rep.* **254**, 1 (1995).
- [7] B. Eynard, “Eigenvalue distribution of large random matrices, from one matrix to several coupled matrices”, Nuc. Phys. B **506**,3 633-664 (1997).

- [8] B. Eynard, “Large N expansion of the 2-matrix model”, JHEP 01 (2003) 051.
- [9] B. Eynard, C. Kristjansen, “BMN Correlators by Loop Equations”, JHEP 0210 (2002) 027.
- [10] B. Eynard, M.L. Mehta, “Matrices coupled in a chain: eigenvalue correlations”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31**, 4449 (1998), cond-mat/9710230.
- [11] T. Guhr, A. Mueller-Groeling, H.A. Weidenmuller, “Random matrix theories in quantum physics: Common concepts”, *Phys. Rep.* **299**, 189 (1998).
- [12] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, “The planar approximation (II)”, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 411 (1980).
- [13] A. A. Kapaev, ‘ ‘The Riemann-Hilbert Problem for the Bi-Orthogonal Polynomials”, nlin.SI/0207036.
- [14] M.L. Mehta, “A method of integration over matrix variables”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79**, 327 (1981).
- [15] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 2nd edition, (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
- [16] A. Morozov, “Pair correlator in the Itzykson–Zuber Integral”, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **7**, no. 37 3503–3507 (1992).
- [17] S. L. Shatashvili, “Correlation Functions in the Itzykson–Zuber Model”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **154** 421–432 (1993).
- [18] M. Staudacher, “Combinatorial Solution of the Two–Matrix Model”, *Phys. Lett. B* **305** 332–338 (1993).