Foliations with nonvanishing GV-class and gauge invariance

Ioannis P. ZO IS

IHES, Le Bois-Marie, 35, route de Chartres F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, FRANCE

A bstract

In m any aspects the m ost com plicated foliated m anifolds are those with nonvanishing G odbillon-Vey class. We argue that they probably do not appear in physics and that is due to gauge symmetry which prevents the foliation from becoming \too wild"; that m eans that the foliation does not develop resilient leaves which, at least in codim -1, by D um iny's theorem are responsible for the appearence of nontrivial GV-class.

PACS classi cation: 11.10.-z; 11.15.-q; 11.30.-Ly

K eywords: G odbillon-Vey class, foliations, noncom m utative geom etry, supergravity, M -T heory

1 Introduction and M otivation

N oncom m utative spaces arose in physics som e years ago in studying the IntegralQuantum HallE ect (IQHE in short), primarily through the work of Jean Bellissard (see [5]). By a noncom mutative space we a mean a space whose algebra of coordinate functions (or its analogue) is noncom mutative. The key role was played by the now fam ous noncom mutative 2-torus T^2 . E seentially the same ideas were carried over to the M-Theory context in the classic article due to Connes-Douglas-Schwarz (CDS in short) [1]. From

izois@ ihes.fr Current address: School of M athem atics, Cardi University, PO Box 926, Cardi CF24 4YH, UK; e-m ail address: zoisip@ cfac.uk

the CDS article we learnt that M -Theory adm its additional compactic cations onto noncommutative toriwhich are higher dimensional versions of the noncommutative 2-torus. One can study the noncommutative tori either algebraically using their corresponding noncommutative algebras of coordinate functions or geometrically using foliations on the tori. However these noncommutative tori in certain aspects which will hopefully become clearer below, are among the less noncommutative spaces" available. We would like to see if other \more noncommutative tori address here then is the following:

How much noncommutativity can we have in physics?

In the present article we shall argue that the most noncommutative spaces which are foliations with nonvanishing GV-class, probably do not appear in physics due to gauge symmetry; but spaces with \medium noncommutativ-ity" may very well appear and have signi cant consequences.

2 Foliations

Here we recall some basic facts about foliated manifolds: let M be an m-dim sm ooth closed oriented manifold. A codim-q foliation F on M is given by a codim-q integrable subbundle F of the tangent bundle TM of M . Integrable" means that the tangential vector elds to the foliation, namely the sm ooth sections of the vector bundle F over M, form a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of sm ooth sections of TM . An equivalent local de nition is given by a nonsingular decom posable q-form ! on M satisfying the integrability condition

$! ^ d! = 0$

W hat this does in e ect is that it gives a decomposition of M into a disjoint union of codim -q (and hence of dimension (m q)) immersed and connected submanifolds of M called leaves. Examples are Cartesian products and the total space of bre bundles (the bres are the leaves). Two important di erences between foliations and bre bundles are:

1. the topology of each leaf m ay vary (som e leaves m ay be compact but som e others m ay not; the fundam ental groups also vary) whereas in a bre bundle all bres \bok the sam e" as the typical bre. 2. the relative geom etry of neighbouring leaves is farm ore complicated because they may \spiral" over each other without intersecting.

It is known that foliated manifolds (more precisely the space of leaves of a foliated manifold) provide an excellent list of examples of noncommutative spaces (see [5]). Connes has given a recipe how to construct an algebra for every foliation. A noncommutative space is a space whose algebra of coordinate functions is noncommutative. Thus the corresponding algerba of a foliation can be thought of as the space of coordinate functions on its topologically ill-behaved space of leaves. We say that foliations provide an excellent list of examples of noncommutative spaces because although not every noncom mutative algebra can be realised as the corresponding algebra to a foliation, it is known that all three types of factors can occure as corresponding algebras to foliations: Type I occure at Reeb foliations, Type II at K ronecker foliations and T ype III at foliations with nonvanishing G odbillon-Vey class. So it is fair to say that foliations constitute the \back bone" of noncom mutative spaces. It is understood that the source of the noncom mutativity at the algebra level is the holonom y of the foliation. This encodes all the information about the foliation: it contains information about the topology of the leaves (prim arily their fundam ental groups) but it also contains inform ation about their relative geometry. It is perhaps illum inating to compare with the holonomy of a connection around a loop on a vector bundle: as it is well known this depends on the hom otopy class of the bop, thus we see the fundam ental group playing a role; but it also depends on the connection, ie how we parallel transport vectors along neighbouring bres, so it depends on the relative geometry of the bres.

Them ost noncom m utative spaces arise from foliations with non-vanishing GV-class. We want to argue here that at least these most extrem e cases probably do not appear in physics. The reason, as was moreorless suspected in [10], is that gauge symmetry \tam es" the foliation and prevents it from becoming \very wild". Let us emphasize here that we are talking about foliations with nonvanishing GV-class and not about Type III factors in general.

Now we shall brie y de ne the Godbillon-Vey (GV for short) class: our codim -q foliation is given by a q-form ! satisfying the integrability condition. By Frobenius' theorem the integrability condition is equivalent to

for another 1-form a. Then the GV-class is the real (2q + 1) de Rham cohom ology class a ^ (da)^q. The geom etric interpretation of a is the follow - ing: since F is a codim -q integrable subbundle of the tangent bundle TM of M, then its norm albundle Q := TM =F is of dim q and hence its q-th exterior power ^qQ is a line bundle. Then a is a (Bott) connection on ^qQ with curvature da.

For foliations one also has the important notion of topological entropy (eg see [7] or [13]) which roughly is another measure of how wild (or \how noncommutative") the quotient space of leaves is. Roughly speaking topological entropy contains inform ation only about the relative geometry of the leaves and not about their topology. So it encodes some of the inform ation contained in the holonomy groupoid. A Corollary to a deep theorem due to G erard D um iny relates topological entropy with the G odbillon-Vey class for codim -1 foliations: if the GV-class is nonzero then the topological entropy is also nonzero or equivalently if the topological entropy vanishes, then so does the GV-class. How ever if the GV-class vanishes, then the topological entropy may orm ay not vanish, one does not know. That means that som e-how the topological entropy of a foliation is a more delicate notion than the GV-class.

W e said that the most noncommutative spaces arise from foliations with nonvanishing GV-class. At the other extrem e of the spectrum we have the less noncommutative spaces: bre bundles. Fibre bundles have corresponding algebras which are strongly M orita equivalent to commutative algebras and their topological entropy vanishes, as does their GV-class. N evertheless bre bundles have non trivial holonomy, for example for a principal G-bundle where G is a Lie group, the holonomy groupoid is the Lie group G itself.

The next m ore noncom mutative spaces are foliations de ned by closed form s. (The integrability condition is trivially satisfied by closed form s). As was exhibited in [10], bre bundles with compact base manifold constitute particular examples of foliations de ned by closed form s. Foliations de ned by closed form shave always vanishing GV -class but their topological entropy vanishes only in codim -1 case. Moreover in codim -1, foliations with trivial holonom y are hom ocom orphic to foliations de ned by closed form s but we cannot deduce that a foliation de ned by a closed form has trivial holonom y. The next more noncommutative spaces to foliations defined by closed forms are the taut foliations. We shall define them in the codim -1 case: A codim -1 foliation F on an m-dimmanifold M is called (topologically) taut if there exists an S¹ intersecting transversely all leaves. A codim -1 foliation is called (geometrically) taut if there exists a metric for which all leaves are minimal submanifolds (is have mean curvature zero). It is in fact a theorem to prove that these two definitions are equivalent. These definitions can be generalised for foliations of codim greater than 1.

For taut foliations we have R um ler's criterion: for each taut $(m \ 1)$ -dim foliation there exists an $(m \ 1)$ -form which is F-closed and transverse to F. The second condition means that the form is nonsingular when restricited on every leaf. The condition that the form is F-closed weakens the condition of it being closed: in general for a p-dim foliation F, a p-form is called F-closed if d = 0 whenever at least p vectors are tangent to F.

M oreover from the H ilsum -Skandalis theorem (see [11]) we learn that the corresponding algebra of foliations with a complete transversal (a complete transversal is a transversal intersecting all leaves) simpli es drastically (for taut foliations the complete transversal is just S^1): it is M orita equivalent to the algebra of the restricition of the holonomy groupoid to only the transversal itself.

Now the noncommutative spaces (noncommutative torus T^2) appearing in physics literature both in the Integral Quantum Hall E ect as well as in the CDS article are foliations de ned by closed forms, in fact constant forms. Their corresponding algebras are Type II since they are essentially algebras associated to K ronecker foliations on the torus de ned by constant di erential forms. In the IQ HE we have a codim -1 foliation on a 2-torus de ned by a constant 1-form (the \slope" of the K ronecker ow) which is essentially determined by the uniform magnetic eld. M oreover the noncom mutative 2-torus T^2 is in fact hom otopic to the commutative one T^2 , thus having cyclic (co)hom ology and K-T heory isom orphic to the de R ham cohom ology and K -T heory respectively of the ordinary (commutative) 2-torus and hence from the point of view of noncommutative topology it is a rather trivial example. The only di erence between the K-Theories of T^2 and T^2 is the order of the Abelian groups.

Sim ilar things hold for the CDS article: there one has the D = 11 sugra

real 3-form potential C which is also assumed to be constant (and hence again closed) and that gives rise to higher dimensional K ronecker foliations on the compactied tori.

Now the topological entropy of the K ronecker foliation is zero, so the foliations appearing in physics literature up to now are the most trivial noncommutative spaces. And since they are de ned by constant forms (which are therefore closed), they also have vanishing GV-class. The K ronocker foliation has only nontrivial holonomy for irational slope.

It was the notion of topological entropy of foliations and its possible relation with physical entropy which served as our motivation for [10]: it is known that string theory gives in some cases an explanation of the microscopic origin of the black hole entropy. The compacti ed dimensions play an important role in this argum ent due to Horow itz, Strom inger and Vafa back in 1996 (see [2]). From the CDS article we had some important new input, that M -theory adm its additional compactic cations to (foliated) noncom m utative tori. So it is interesting to see what will happen if we assume that the compacti ed dimensions form not simply a noncommutative torus but a noncommutative torus with nonvanishing topological entropy. That might imply some modi cation to the Beckenstein-Hawking area entropy form ula for black holes (that's by the string theory origin of black hole entropy). To guarantee that the foliation has nonvanishing topological entropy, by D um iny's theorem , one m ay assume that the foliation has nonvanishing GV-class (this is a su cient but not necessary condition as we explained above).A rst answer to this question based on [9] which still needs further im provem ent was given in [10].

3 Foliations with nonvanishing GV -class vs gauge sym m etry

The starting point is to try to see if foliations with nonvanishing GV-class can occure as D = 11 sugra solutions following the D = 11 sugra interpretation in the CDS article.

Let us recall the bosonic part of the D = 11 supergravity Lagrangian density (we follow [6]):

$$L_{11} = \frac{1}{2k_{11}^2} \left(R - \frac{1}{2!4!} G^{-1} - G^{-1} \right) - \frac{1}{12k_{11}^2} : \frac{1}{3!4!^2} C^{-1} G^{-1} - G^{-1} + \sqrt{ferm ions^{(0)}}$$

where by de nition $G \coloneqq dC$. Our bosonic elds are the metric g_N with scalar curvature R (all capital letters appearing as subscripts take the values 0;1;:::;10) whose eld equations are analogous to E instein's equations with electrom agnetic eld in D = 11:

$$R_{M N} = \frac{1}{2} g_{M N} R = \frac{1}{12} (G_{M SPQ} G_{N}^{SPQ} - \frac{1}{8} g_{M N} G_{STXY} G^{STXY})$$

and the real 3-form C whose equations of motion are:

$$d \quad G + \frac{1}{2}G \land G = 0$$

where $^{(0)}$ $^{(0)}$ denotes the Hodge dual. For simplicity we set all fermionic elds equal to zero. If now we assume that C de ness a codim -3 foliation namely C ^ G = 0, that means that the Chern-Simons term in D = 11 sugra action C ^ G ^ G vanishes and hence we are left with the equation of motion for C:

$$d dC = 0$$

The key question then is if such solutions exist, namely we want to see if there exist real 3-form s C satisfying the Euler-Lagrange equation (equation N o 1)

$$d dC = 0$$

but at the same time they de ne a codim -3 foliation with nonvanishing GV-class, namely (equation N o 2)

$$G := dC = ^C$$

The above equation 2 is equivalent to C $^G = 0$ by the Frobenious theorem. The Hodge star in equation 1 referes to some metric which satis es E instein's equations. is the 1-form which appears in the denition of the corresponding GV-class which in this case will be $^(d)^3$. (can be seen as a Bott connection on the norm albundle). If we assume that the am bient 11-m anifold is closed, namely compact without boundary, then the equation of motion for C is equivalent to C being closed; hence the GV-class will vanish (we assume that C is nonzero). In order then to have some hope to nd a solution of the equations of motion which also de ne a codim -3 foliation with nonvanishing GV-class, we should either add a boundary or go to the noncom pact case.

We can simplify our discussion further: since D = 11 sugra is very similar to gravity coupled to electrom agnetism in odd dimensions, we start with the simplest case: gravity coupled to electrom agnetism in dimension 3. In this case we denote by A the electrom agnetic potential and its eld strength is denoted F := dA. We have two options: either that the foliation is de ned by the potential A or by its eld strength F. We start from the rst: M axwell's equation reads (equation 1'):

$$d dA = 0$$

Since we want A to de ne a codim -1 foliation it also has to satisfy (equation 2')

$$A \wedge dA = 0$$

where $F := dA = ^A$ for another 1-form , thus the electrom agnetic potential A de ness a codim -1 foliation with nonvanishing GV-class ^d. As it is well-known by the Frobenious theorem the equation A ^ dA = 0 is equivalent to $dA = ^A$.

F inally the m etric has to satisfy E instein's equations coupled to electrom agnetism :

R
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
g R = $\frac{1}{12}$ (F F $\frac{1}{8}$ g F F)

A gain on a closed 3-m anifold M axwell's equation is equivalent to A being closed hence the GV-class will vanish (we assume A is nonsingular). In order to hope to have nonvanishing GV-class (ie A not closed) one has either to add a boundary or consider noncom pact 3-m anifolds.

Now here is the key observation: equation 2' which says that the potential A de ness a codim -1 foliation with nonvanishing GV-class is not gauge invariant. Physics is not sensitive in gauge transform ations, namely we can replace A by A := A + d where is a zero form . A little calculation shows that although we started with a foliation de ned by A, namely A $^{\circ}$ dA = 0, its gauge transform A $^{\circ}$ dA = A $^{\circ}$ dA + d $^{\circ}$ dA = d $^{\circ}$ dA $\stackrel{\bullet}{\leftarrow}$ 0 is not zero in general. Hence the foliation structure can be completely destroyed by a gauge transform ation!

A side N ote: The remaining term d ^ dA how ever is a total derivative which will not contribute to the action if the manifold has no boundary; can we perhaps do something here to save the day? We do not have a concrete suggestion but the following might be of relevance: as it is wellknown A_1 -algebras appear in the BV-form alism when the commutator of two BRST transform ations does not close on shell. Som e more evidence which made us think of A_1 -algebras was from [12] where how otopy associative algebras appear in open string theory when the sym plectic structure is lost, ie when the gauge invariant combination closed; this \looks sim ilar" to a codim -2 foliation de ned by a 2-form which is not closed, thus may have nonvanishing GV-class; physically this corresponds to a curved D-brane embbeded in a curved background. m ore precisely in [12] the authors investigate the deform ation of D-brane worldvolum es in curved backgrounds. They calculate the leading corrections to the boundary conformal eld theory involving the background elds, and in particular they study the correlation functions of the resulting system. This allowed them to obtain the world-volum e deform ation, identifying the open string metric and the noncommutative deformation parameter. The picture that unfolded was the following: when the gauge invariant com bi-= B + F is constant one obtains the standard M oyal deform ation nation of the brane world-volume. Similarly, when d = 0 one obtains the noncom mutative K ontervich deform ation, physically corresponding to a curved brane in a at background. W hen the background is curved, d \neq 0, they nd that the relevant algebraic structure is still based on the Kontsevich expansion, which now de nes a nonassociative star product with an A_1 hom otopy associative algebraic structure. They then recovered, within this

Foliations are only invariant under multiplications of of A by a now here vanishing function f so it seems that gauge invariance forbids foliations with nonvanishing GV-class to play any role in physics even if they can exist as solutions of the equations of motion. Thus we see that foliations with non-vanishing GV-class are very delicate objects.

form alism, som e known results of M atrix theory in curved backgrounds.

However if the foliation is de ned by a closed 1-form A, ie dA = 0 (which is also an electrom agnetic potential), thus having vanishing GV-class, then if we gauge transform A to $A^{\sim} = A + d$, then since A^{\sim} is also closed the foliation structure remains since $A^{\sim} \wedge dA^{\sim} = 0$ and the new foliation de ned by the closed 1-form A^{\sim} has again vanishing GV-class. Hence foliations de ned by closed form s (and consequently have vanishing GV-class) are very rigid structures with respect to gauge transform ations.

So to sum -up: If we start from a foliation de ned by the electrom agnetic potential A which is a closed 1-form and thus has vanishing GV-class and we gauge transform A, we get another foliation de ned by another closed 1-form and thus has vanishing GV-class too; so in this case a gauge transform ation does not change the GV-class. Yet if we start from a foliation with nonvanishing GV-class and we gauge transform it, this transform ation will not only change the GV-class but it may destroy the foliation structure completely. This very di erent behaviour under gauge transform ations between foliations de ned by closed forms (which form a particular fam - ily of foliations with vanishing GV-class) and foliations with nonvanishing GV-class was surprising. Note that in codim -1 case a foliation de ned by a closed 1-form has zero topological entropy as well.

There seems to be another alternative how ever, namely to assume that the foliation is de ned by the eld F = dA (or its dual), in this case we shall have a codim -2 foliation (provided F is decomposable and nonsingular). But now F is closed due to Bianchi identity, hence the codim -2 now foliation will have again vanishing GV-class. (Equivalently the derivative of the dual eld vanishes due to M axwell's equations). This picture is consistent with IQHE where the slope of the noncommutative 2-torus comes from a uniform magnetic eld in z-coordinate (this is a component of F, not A). However the important di erence here is that a codim -2 foliation de ned by a closed 2-form, although it will have zero GV-class, it may have nonzero topological entropy. Hence we might have interesting phenom ena appearing even when the Bianchi identity holds (which would mean vanishing GVclass). The problem is that we do not have a way to detect the appearance of the topological entropy in codim ensions grater than 1. Dum iny's criterion which uses the GV-class, although not absolutely satisfactory since it is not an i and only i statem ent, applies only to the codim -1 case.

There is also yet another seting, that of Seiberg-W itten (or monopole)

equations in N = 2 SU SY Yang-M ills theory as modilled by K ronheim er and M rowka (see [3]) for a 4-m anifold with boundary. The boundary 3-m anifold m ay have a contact structure. C ontact structures are \cousins" to foliations and m oreover taut foliations correspond to tight contact structures. This possibility needs further study to see if one can get codim -1 foliations with nonvanishing GV-class in that set-up. One of the interesting points in that article is a correspondence between tight contact structures and taut foliations on the boundary and sym plectic structures on the bulk.

4 Remarks:

Let us m ake som e rem arks:

1. The existence of the GV-class for a codim -q foliation q 1 follows from Bott's vanishing theorem for the Pontrjagin classes in degree k > 2q of the norm al bundle of the foliation. One roughly then can think of the GV-class as something like the \corresponding (A belian) Chem-Sim ons" form in the following way: Bott's theorem says that given a smooth closed m - m anifold M with tangent bundle TM, if a codim -q subbundle F of TM is integrable then the Pontrjagin classes of the norm al bundle Q = TM =F in degree k > 2q must vanish. Hence supposing F de nes indeed a codim -q foliation, the rst vanishing Pontrjagin class of its norm al bundle will be in degree 2q + 2. So the GV-class which is a real (2q + 1)-form can be thought of as an \Abelian Chem-Sim ons" form whose exterior derivative will give the Pontrjagin class in degree (2q + 2). This how ever vanishes by Bott's theorem and so the GV-class is indeed a cohom ology class (ie it is closed).

However in this picture, strictly speaking, the GV-class really referes to the norm albundle and not to the foliation itself. Then the question is: up to what extend is the behaviour of the foliation determ ined by its norm al bundle?

The GV-class is not the most natural object to study in order to deduce results about the foliation itself because primarily it is some information about the normal bundle. This is so because as it is discussed in Bott's lectures on characteristic classes and foliations [4], given a smooth closed m-manifold M, the functor from codim-q Hae iger structures on M (folia-tions are particular examples of Hae iger structures) to GL (q;R)-bundles

over M which assignes a norm albundle to any codim -q Hae iger structure is essentially de ned by the derivative of the $_q$ -cocycle, the Jacobian of the local di eom orphism s. This functor is not an equivalence of categories: it is neither surjective (due to Bott's result not every GL (q;R)-bundle can occure as the norm albundle of som e codim -q Hae iger structure since at least it has to satisfy Bott's theorem, is it must have vanishing Pontrjagin classes in degree k > 2q). Nor is it injective since there are di erent Hae-

iger structures with the same norm albundle. So the lesson we have learnt is that studying foliations using secondary classes of their norm albundle is like studying functions by their 1st derivatives and this is an approxim ation, one loses inform ation.

The noncommutative geometry approach to study foliations is probably a tool which is more \sensitive", hence it gives a better approximation; it is more delicate but more complicated because one uses the holonomy groupoid of the foliation itself, which is essentially the Hae iger cocyce itself: one starts with the holonomy groupoid, then one takes the vector space of half-densities, equips it with a convolution product and with an involution and then completes it to a C -algebra (or even more to a Hopf algebra) and then one studies its cyclic cohom ology. However passing from the holonomy groupoid to the C -algebra (even the reduced) amounts to loss of inform ation again. At the K-Theory level this is probably not too bad since the Baum-Connes conjecture is true in many cases. Finally we get the transverse fundamental cyclic cocycle (abreviated to t_{r} , see [5]) which for a codim -q foliation belongs to the 2q-th cyclic cohom ology group of the corresponding algebra of the foliation. The reason why we belive that the noncommutative geometry approximation (Connes' approximation) is beter than the Bott approximation is that at least in the codim -1 case the derivative of the tfcc is the GV-class as explained in Connes' book. Hence the tfcc is a more delicate object than the GV-class. That makes one to suspect that there m ay be a relation between the tfcc and the vanishing or not of the topological entropy of a foliation in a \necessary and su cient" fashion which would improve considerably Duminy's result.

2.0 ur current level of understanding for codim -1 foliations is the follow ing (we assume compact manifolds): (bre bundles) (foliations de ned by closed forms) (foliations with zero topological entropy) (foliations with zero GV-class). In higher codim ensions one only has the following relations: (bre bundles) (foliations de ned by closed forms) (foliations with zero GV-class); the topological entropy still may be de ned but we know nothing about when it is vanishing. It would be desirable to introduce the tfcc into the picture as well.

So an important di erence between the codim -1 and codim greater than 1 cases is the following: in codim -1 a foliation de ned by a closed form has zero GV-class as well as topological entropy yet for codim grater than 1, foliations de ned by closed form s have zero GV-class but m ay have non-zero topological entropy.

3. In general one can study foliations using two approaches: either using di erential topology m ethods or operator algebraic tools. If one follows the rst approach one m eets notions like the GV-class and topological entropy. The most complicated foliations using this language are those with nonvanishing GV-class. P robably they do not appear in physical applications. The second less complicated case is foliations with nonvanishing topological entropy. W e would like to see if these appear in physical applications. Unfortunately we cannot see that clearly at this stage because we do not have a satisfactory criterion which will indicate the existence of topological entropy. D um iny's theorem is a criterion only for the codim -1 case and even then not as satisfactory as one m ight wish since it is based on the GV-class in the way described above.

W e would like to thank A lain Connes, T.D amour and A.C andel for useful discussions; moreover we wish to thank the IHES for its hospitality, for providing a stimulating atm osphere and excellent working conditions.

References

- [1] A.Connes, M.R.Douglas and A.Schwarz: \Noncommutative geometry and matrix theory: compactication on tori" JHEP 02,003 (1998)
- [2] A. Strom inger, C. Vafa: \M icroscopic O rigin of the Beckenstein Hawking Entropy", Phys. Lett. B 379 (1996) 99-104, hep-th/9601029

- [3] P.B.K ronheim er and T.S.M rowka: \M onopoles and contact structures", Invent.M ath.Vol130 pp208-255 (1997)
- [4] R.Bott: \Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations", Springer LNM 279 (1972)
- [5] A.Connes: \N oncom m utative G eom etry", A cadem ic P ress 1994
- [6] M J.Du : \TASILectures on Branes, Black Holes and Anti-De Sitter Space", hep-th/9912164
- [7] IP. Zois: \Black Hole Entropy, Topological Entropy and Noncommutative Geometry", hep-th/0104004, Oxford preprint
- [8] IP. Zois: \The GV-class, Invariants of manifolds and linearised M -Theory", hep-th/0006169, Oxford preprint
- [9] IP.Zois: \A new invariant for models", Commun.Math.Phys.Vol 209 No3 (2000) 757-783
- [10] IP. Zois: \Black hole entropy, topological entropy and the Baum -Connes conjecture in K-Theory", Jour. Phys. A: M ath. Gen. 35 (2002) 3015-3023
- [11] C.C.M oore and C.Schochet: \G lobal Analysis on Foliated Spaces", Springer, Berlin 1989
- [12] L.Comalba and R.Schiappa: \N onassociative StarProduct D eform ations for D-brane W orldvolum es in Curved Backgrounds", Commun. M ath.Phys.225 (2002) 33-66

[13] A.Candel and L.Conlon: \Foliations I", G raduate Studies in M athem atics Vol 23, AM S, O xford University P ress 2000