

A Matrix Model for a Class of Fractional Quantum Hall States *

A. JELLAL^{1†}, E.H. SAIDI², H.B. GEYER³ and R.A. RÖMER⁴

¹*Institut für Physik Technische Universität, D-09107, Chemnitz, Germany*

²*Lab/UFR, High Energy Physics, Physics Department, Mohammed V University,
Av. Ibn Battouta, P.O. Box. 1014, Rabat, Morocco*

³*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1,
Matieland 7602, South Africa*

⁴*Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK*

(Received July 18, 2002)

KEYWORDS: Non-commutative Chern-Simons, Matrix Model Theory, Fractional Quantum Hall (FQH) Fluids

1. Introduction

Recently, Susskind¹⁾ showed that an Abelian non-commutative Chern-Simons theory at level k is actually equivalent to Laughlin theory.²⁾

$$S = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^3y \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \left[A_\mu \star \partial_\nu A_\lambda + \frac{2}{3} A_\mu \star A_\nu \star A_\lambda \right] \quad (1)$$

where the star-product is the usual Moyal product with parameter θ . Therefore, he obtained the filling factor

$$\nu_S = \frac{1}{k}. \quad (2)$$

He also pointed out that the above theory can be formulated in terms of a matrix model involving classical Hermitian matrix variables A_0, X^i , $i = 1, 2$. The Lagrangian for the matrix theory is

$$L = B \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \epsilon_{ij} (\dot{X}^i + i[A_0, X^i]) X^j + 2\theta A_0 \right\} \quad (3)$$

B is the magnetic field. The equation of motion for the coordinate A_0 (Gauss law constraint) is

$$[X^1, X^2] = i\theta \quad (4)$$

which can only be solved if the matrices are infinite dimensional. This corresponds to an infinite number of electrons on an infinite plane.

For a finite system, Polychronakos³⁾ has introduced an additional set of bosonic degrees of freedom ψ_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, such that $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_M)$,

$$L_\psi = \psi^\dagger (i\dot{\psi} - A_0\psi). \quad (5)$$

Considering $L + L_\psi$, Polychronakos³⁾ found a quantum correction to Susskind's filling factor such that

$$\nu_P = \frac{1}{k+1}. \quad (6)$$

In this case, the Gauss law constraint becomes

$$[X^1, X^2] = i\theta \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+1} \psi\psi^\dagger \right). \quad (7)$$

Later Hellerman and Van Raamsdonk⁴⁾ built the cor-

responding wavefunctions for $L + L_\psi$,

$$|k\rangle = \left\{ \epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_M} (\psi^\dagger)_{i_1} (\psi^\dagger A^\dagger)_{i_2} \dots (\psi^\dagger A^{\dagger M-1})_{i_M} \right\}^k |0\rangle \quad (8)$$

where $\epsilon^{i_1 \dots i_M}$ is the fully antisymmetric tensor. These are similar to Laughlin's wavefunction.²⁾ Subsequently, three of us generalised⁵⁾ the above results to any filling factor given by

$$\nu_{k_1 k_2} = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_2}, \quad k_2 > k_1. \quad (9)$$

In what follows, we propose a matrix model to describe such FQH states that are not of Laughlin type.

2. $\nu_{k_1 k_2}$ fractional quantum Hall states

Although the $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$ FQH state is not of the Laughlin type, it shares some basic features of Laughlin fluids. The point is that from the standard definition of the filling factor $\nu = \frac{N}{N_\phi}$, the state $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$ can naively be thought of as corresponding to $\nu = \frac{N}{N_\phi}$ where the number N_ϕ of flux quanta is given by a fractional amount of the electron number; that is

$$N_\phi = \left(3 - \frac{1}{2}\right)N. \quad (10)$$

In fact this way of viewing things reflects the original idea of a hierarchical construction of FQH states for general filling factor $\frac{p}{q}$. In Haldane's hierarchy,⁶⁾ the elements of the series

$$\nu_{p_1 p_2} = \frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 - 1} \quad (11)$$

correspond to taking N_ϕ as given by a specific rational factor of the electron number, i.e.,

$$N_\phi = \left(p_1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)N. \quad (12)$$

Upon setting

$$k_1 = p_1, \quad k_2 = k_1(k_1 p_2 - 1) \equiv r k_1 \quad (13)$$

we have $\nu_{p_1 p_2} \equiv \nu_{k_1 k_2}$. For $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$, e.g.,

$$\nu = \frac{2}{5} \equiv \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{15}. \quad (14)$$

* To appear in J. Phys. Soc. Japan (2003)

† jellal@gursey.gov.tr

3. Matrix model analysis

To describe FQH fluids at $\nu_{k_1 k_2}$, we consider the following action for a system of $N = N_1 + N_2$ particles⁵⁾

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = & \int dt \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{k_i}{4\theta} \text{Tr} \left(i \bar{Z}_i D Z_i - \omega \bar{Z}_i Z_i + 2\theta A_{0,i} \right) \right] \\ & + h.c. + \int dt \left[\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi}^{\alpha\alpha} \left[\partial_t + A_{0,1} \beta \delta_a^b + A_{0,2} \delta_a^b \delta_\alpha^\beta \right] \Psi_{\beta b} \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda \bar{\Psi}^{\alpha\alpha} Z_{1\alpha}^\beta Z_{2a}^b \Psi_{\beta b} \right] + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N_1$, $1 \leq a, b \leq N_2$, $Z_l = X_l^1 + iX_l^2$ and $A_{0,i}$ the gauge for the i th particle. The $J_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)}$ and $J_{aa}^{(2)}$ currents (Gauss law constraints) read as

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)} &= [Z_1, \bar{Z}_1]_{\alpha\alpha} + \frac{\theta}{2k_1} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N_2} \Psi_{\alpha a} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha a} - J_0^{(1)} \right) \\ J_{aa}^{(2)} &= [Z_2, \bar{Z}_2]_{aa} + \frac{\theta}{2k_2} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_1} \Psi_{\alpha a} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha a} - J_0^{(2)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the two $U(1)$ charge operators $J_0^{(1)}$ and $J_0^{(2)}$ are

$$J_0^{(1)} = J_0^{(2)} = J_0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_1} \sum_{a=1}^{N_2} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha a} \Psi_{\alpha a} \quad (17)$$

The wavefunctions $|\Phi\rangle$ describing the $(N_1 + N_2)$ system of electrons on the non-commutative plane \mathbb{R}_θ^2 with filling factor $\nu_{k_1 k_2}$ should obey the constraint⁵⁾

$$J_0 |\Phi\rangle = \frac{N}{\nu_{k_1 k_2}} |\Phi\rangle. \quad (18)$$

Once we know the fundamental state $|\Phi_{\nu_{k_1 k_2}}^{(0)}\rangle$, excitations are immediately determined by applying the usual rules. Upon recalling the coordinate operators as

$$Z_{1\alpha\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} r_{\alpha\alpha}^+, \quad Z_{2aa} = \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} s_{aa}^+ \quad (19)$$

the total Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} may be treated as the sum of a free part given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{\omega}{2} (2\mathcal{N}_1 + 2\mathcal{N}_2 + N_1^2 + N_2^2) \quad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_1 = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N_1} r_{\alpha\beta}^\dagger r_{\beta\alpha}^-$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 = \sum_{a,b=1}^{N_2} s_{ab}^\dagger s_{ba}^-$ are the operator numbers counting the N_1 and N_2 particles respectively, and an interacting part

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} \sim \left(\psi_{\alpha\alpha}^+ r_{\alpha\beta}^+ s_{ab}^- \psi_{\beta b}^- + h.c. \right) \quad (21)$$

describing couplings between the *two sectors*.⁵⁾ The creation and annihilation operators $r_{\alpha\alpha}^\pm$, s_{aa}^\pm , and $\psi_{\alpha\alpha}^\pm$ satisfy the Heisenberg algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(r^-)_\alpha, (r^+)_\beta \right] &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}, & \left[(s^-)_a, (s^+)_b \right] &= \delta_{ab} \\ \left[(\psi^-)_{\alpha\alpha}, (\psi^+)_{\alpha\alpha} \right] &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

all others are given by commuting relations.

4. Wavefunctions

A way to build the spectrum of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 is given by help of the special condensate operators

$$(A^+)_{\alpha\alpha}^{(n,m)} = \left[(s^+)^{n-1} \psi^+ (r^+)^{m-1} \right]_{\alpha\alpha}. \quad (23)$$

The wavefunctions for the vacuum $|0\rangle$ of \mathcal{H}_0 read as

$$\left[\varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{N_1}} \prod_{j=1}^p O_{\alpha_{(jN_2+1)} \dots \alpha_{(j+1)N_2}}^{(j)} \right]^{k_1} |0\rangle \quad (24)$$

where the $O^{(j)}$'s are building blocks and given by

$$\begin{aligned} O_{\alpha_{(jN_2+1)} \dots \alpha_{(j+1)N_2}}^{(j)} &= \varepsilon^{\alpha_{(jN_2+1)} \dots \alpha_{(j+1)N_2}} \\ &\times (A^+)_{\alpha_{(jN_2+1)} \alpha_{(jN_2+1)}}^{(1,j)} \dots (A^+)_{\alpha_{(j+1)N_2} \alpha_{(j+1)N_2}}^{(N_2,j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The corresponding energy spectrum $E_c(\nu_{k_1 k_2})$ is

$$E_c = k_1 \left[p \frac{(N_2-1)(N_2-2)}{2} + \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2} N_2 \right] + \frac{N_1 + N_2}{2}. \quad (26)$$

Note that for large value of N_1 and N_2 ($N_1 = rN_2$), $E_c(\nu_{k_1 k_2})$ behaves quadratically in N_2 ,

$$E_c(\nu_{k_1 k_2}) \sim \frac{k_2}{2} N_2^2. \quad (27)$$

This energy relation is less than the total energy $E_d(\nu_{k_i})$ of the decoupled configuration ($|\Phi_1, \nu_{k_1}\rangle \otimes |\Phi_2, \nu_{k_2}\rangle$):

$$E_d(\nu_{k_i}) \equiv E\left(\frac{1}{k_1}\right) + E\left(\frac{1}{k_2}\right) \sim \frac{k_2(r+1)}{2} N_2^2. \quad (28)$$

Therefore, we have the following relation

$$E_d \sim (r+1) E_c. \quad (29)$$

For the example of the FQH state at $\nu = \frac{2}{5}$, the energy of the decoupled representation reads as

$$E\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + E\left(\frac{1}{15}\right) \sim 45 N_2^2 \quad (30)$$

while that of the interacting one is

$$E_c\left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \sim \frac{15}{2} N_2^2 \quad (31)$$

leading to

$$E\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + E\left(\frac{1}{15}\right) \sim 6 E_c\left(\frac{2}{5}\right). \quad (32)$$

5. Conclusion

We have developed a matrix model for FQH states at filling factor $\nu_{k_1 k_2}$ going beyond the Laughlin theory. To illustrate our idea, we have considered an FQH system of a finite number $N = (N_1 + N_2)$ of electrons with filling factor $\nu_{k_1 k_2} \equiv \nu_{p_1 p_2} = \frac{p_2}{p_1 p_2 - 1}$; p_1 is an odd integer and p_2 is an even integer. The $\nu_{p_1 p_2}$ series corresponds just to the level two of the Haldane hierarchy; it recovers the Laughlin series $\nu_{p_1} = \frac{1}{p_1}$ by going to the limit p_2 large and contains several observable FQH states such as $\nu = \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \dots$.

- 1) L. Susskind: hep-th/0101029.
- 2) R.B. Laughlin: Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** 1395 (1983).
- 3) A.P. Polychronakos: JHEP 0104 (2001) 011.
- 4) S. HELLERMAN and M. VAN RAAMSDONK: JHEP 0110 (2001) 039.
- 5) A. Jellal, E.H. Saidi and H.B. Geyer: hep-th/0204248.
- 6) F.D.M Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 605.