

# Cosmological Anisotropy and Cyclic Universe Model

Jian-gang Hao and Xin-zhou Li\*

*Shanghai United Center for Astrophysics, Shanghai Normal University,  
100 Guilin Road, Shanghai 200234 , China*

(Dated: February 8, 2020)

## Abstract

Abstract : In this paper, we analyze the anisotropy of the scale factor in the anisotropic flat Robertson-Walker spacetime. We show that the anisotropy will not increase when the expansion rate is greater than certain value while it will increase when the expansion rate is smaller than that value or the Universe is contracting. With a specific model, we show that the expansion of the universe in the past can safely damp the anisotropy, which is consistent with current observations. However, during the contraction phase in the cyclic universe model, we demonstrate that the anisotropy will not increase significantly due to the coupling  $\beta(\phi)$  between  $\phi$  field and the matter(radiation). We also derive the relation between the cosmological anisotropy and the red-shift of the supernovae, which could be used to verify the anisotropy through the observation.

arXiv:hep-th/0303110v2 15 Mar 2003

---

\*Electronic address: kychz@shtu.edu.cn

## 1. Introduction

Recently, a new model for the Universe has been proposed by Steinhardt and Turok[1], which is based on the spin-off of ekpyrotic scenario[2] whose starting point is the unified theory known as heterotic M-theory[3]. Comparing with the consensus model of Universe, the big bang was replaced with a phase transition from contraction phase to expansion phase in the new picture. The expansion period of the cycle contains a period of radiation and matter domination ensued by an extended period of cosmic acceleration at low energy, which establishes the flat and vacuous initial condition required for the ekpyrosis and for removing the entropy, black holes and other debris produced in the preceding cycle. One of the remarkable feature of cyclic model is that it avoids the unnatural magnitude difference (100 orders) of the two period of accelerating expansion, inflation and current observed cosmic acceleration. Also, it provides a new mechanism for the generation of a scale-invariant spectrum of density perturbation and an explanation for the big bang.

In this paper, we analyze the development of cosmological anisotropy during the expansion and contraction phase in a flat Robertson-Walker spacetime. In a typical power-law expansion/contraction, we show that the anisotropy will increase rapidly if the expansion rate  $a(t)$  is slower than  $a(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{3}}$  or the Universe is undergoing an contraction. This could be used to explain why we did not observe significant cosmological anisotropy in our current expanding Universe. However, in the cyclic model, we demonstrate that even in the contraction phase, it will not produce significant anisotropy due to the coupling  $\beta(\phi)$  between  $\phi$  and matter(radiation).

## 2. The Evolution of Anisotropy

The anisotropic Robertson-Walker Universe has been widely studied[4]. Here, we consider the Kantowski-Sachs metric tensor in flat spacetime as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + b^2(t)dx^2 + a^2(t)(dy^2 + dz^2) \tag{1}$$

The corresponding Einstein equations are:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} = \kappa\rho \tag{2}$$

$$2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = -\kappa p \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + \frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} = -\kappa p \quad (4)$$

where  $\kappa = 8\pi G$ ,  $p$  and  $\rho$  are the pressure and energy density of the perfect fluid respectively.

Substitute Eq.(4) into Eq.(3), we have

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{b}}{b} \right) = 0 \quad (5)$$

If we rewrite  $b = a(1 + \delta)$  and  $\dot{\delta} = (1 + \delta) \left( \frac{\dot{b}}{b} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)$ , where  $\delta = \frac{b-a}{a}$  is a quantity that represents the cosmological anisotropy, then Eq.(5) will reduce to

$$\ddot{\delta} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\delta} = 0 \quad (6)$$

It is not difficult to find the first integral of Eq.(6) as

$$\frac{\dot{\delta}(t)}{\dot{\delta}(t_0)} = \left[ \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t)} \right]^3 \quad (7)$$

where  $\dot{\delta}(t_0)$  is the initial "speed" of the anisotropy and  $a(t_0)$  is the initial value of the scale factor at  $t = t_0$ . From Eq.(7), we have  $\frac{\dot{\delta}(t)}{\dot{\delta}(t_0)} > 0$ , therefore  $\delta(t)$  is a monotonic function. When  $\dot{\delta}(t_0) > 0$ ,  $\delta(t)$  increases monotonically; When  $\dot{\delta}(t_0) < 0$ ,  $\delta(t)$  decreases monotonically.

It does not lose generality that we assume  $b(t_0) > a(t_0)$ , i.e.,  $\delta(t_0) \geq 0$  for simplicity. the generalized scale factor  $a(t)$  is determined by the pressure and energy density of the perfect fluid in the Einstein equations (2)-(4). For example, we can apply these equations specifically to the initial stage of cosmological evolution which is assumed to be governed by the ordinary scalar field or the rolling tachyon field. In this paper, these equations are applicable to matter with energy momentum tensor of arbitrary form so that we should discuss the varied form of  $a(t)$ . From Eq.(7), we have

$$\delta(t) \leq \delta(t_0) + |\dot{\delta}(t_0)| \cdot \left| \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t)} \right]^3 dt \right| \quad (8)$$

By using the consensus Cauchy criterion, we discuss the convergence of definite integral in Eq.(8). At  $t \gg t_0$ , we rewrite  $a(t)$  as  $\frac{f(t)}{t^q}$ , if  $q > \frac{1}{3}$  and  $f(t) \leq \text{constant} \leq +\infty$ , then the integral is convergent; If  $q \leq \frac{1}{3}$  and  $f(t) > \text{constant} \geq 0$ , then the integral is divergent.

This argument can be extended to the following form: if  $\left[\frac{a(t_0)}{a(t)}\right]^3 = f(t) \cdot g(t)$  for  $t \gg t_0$ ,  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} g(t)dt$  is a convergent integral and  $f(t)$  is a monotonic and boundary function, then  $I \equiv \int \left[\frac{a(t_0)}{a(t)}\right]^3 dt$  is a convergent integral. The observed expansion of the universe and the observed cosmic black body radiation provide the empirical basis for a Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker model of the universe, sometimes called the isotropic model. Therefore, we assume that the initial values of anisotropy must be tiny as compared with the scale factor, i.e.,  $\delta(t_0) \ll 1$  and  $|\frac{\dot{b}}{b} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}|_{t=t_0} \ll 1$ . Therefore, the anisotropy degree  $\delta(t)$  is still tiny at the late time when  $I$  is a convergent integral. We now have proved a lemma that is stated as follows:

*lemma* There is an anisotropic perturbation at  $t = t_0$ , which is described by  $\delta(t_0)$  and  $\dot{\delta}(t_0)$  in the isotropic universe with the scale factor  $a(t)$ . The anisotropy degree  $\delta(t)$  is still tiny at the late time evolution when the expansion rate is greater than certain value while it will become large when the expansion rate is equal or smaller than that value or the universe is undergoing an contraction stage appeared in the cyclic model.

Now, let's consider the power law expansion of the scale factor  $a(t) = a_0 t^q$ . The cases  $q = 1/2$ ,  $q = 2/3$  and  $q > 1$  represent the radiation dominated era, matter dominated era and accelerating expansion era respectively. The  $q < 0$  case corresponds to the contraction phase in the cyclic universe model. Using  $a(t) = a_0 t^q$ , one can easily obtain

$$\delta(t) = \begin{cases} \delta(t_0) + \frac{\dot{\delta}(t_0)}{1-3q} [t(\frac{t_0}{t})^{3q} - t_0], & q \neq \frac{1}{3} \\ \delta(t_0) + \dot{\delta}(t_0) t_0 \ln(\frac{t}{t_0}), & q = \frac{1}{3} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

If the condition  $q > 1/3$  is satisfied, we have

$$\delta(t_0) - \frac{|\dot{\delta}(t_0)|}{3q-1} t_0 \leq \delta(t) \leq \delta(t_0) + \frac{|\dot{\delta}(t_0)|}{3q-1} t_0 \quad (10)$$

so that the anisotropy degree  $\delta(t)$  is small forever, and is specified by its initial value. In the radiation and matter dominated era as well as the accelerating expansion era, the expansion satisfy the condition  $q > 1/3$ . Therefore, the evolution of anisotropy degree has been so significantly damped that it could not be detected by current observation. When the expansion is slower than  $t^{1/3}$ , or the universe is contracting, the anisotropy degree will

increase rapidly with time. It is easily found that the time  $t_*$  at which  $b(t_*) = Na(t_*)$  is

$$t_* = \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{(N-1)(1-3q)}{|\dot{\delta}(t_0)|t_0} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-3q}}, & q \neq 1/3 \\ t_0 \exp\left[ \frac{(N-1)}{|\dot{\delta}(t_0)|t_0} \right], & q = 1/3 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where  $N$  is a positive integer. When the scale factor is in the exponential expansion or contraction  $a(t) = a_0 e^{\pm Ht}$ , we will have

$$\delta(t) = \delta(t_0) \pm \frac{\dot{\delta}(t_0)}{3H} [1 - e^{\pm 3H(t_0-t)}] \quad (12)$$

where  $H$  is the Hubble constant and the plus and minus signs represent the expansion and contraction respectively. It is obvious that the anisotropy degree  $\delta(t)$  satisfies

$$\delta(t_0) - \frac{|\dot{\delta}(t_0)|}{3H} \leq \delta(t) \leq \delta(t_0) + \frac{|\dot{\delta}(t_0)|}{3H} \quad (13)$$

when the scale factor is expanding, while the anisotropy degree will increase rapidly with time when the scale factor contracts exponentially.

### 3. Anisotropy in Cyclic Model

In cyclic model, the cyclic scenario can be effectively described in terms of the evolution of a scalar field in a specific potential  $V(\phi)$ . The essential difference is in the form of the potential and the couplings between the scalar field, matter and radiation[1]. In the anisotropic R-W metric, the action for the model can be expressed as

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi) + \beta^4(\phi)(\rho_M + \rho_R) \right) \quad (14)$$

where  $g$  is the determinant of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  is the Ricci scalar. The coupling  $\beta(\phi)$  between  $\phi$  and the matter ( $\rho_M$ ) and radiation ( $\rho_R$ ) densities is crucial because it allows the densities to remain finite at the big crunch/big bang transition. Varying the action(14), one can obtain the Einstein equation as

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} = \kappa \left( \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V + \beta^4\rho_R + \beta^4\rho_M \right) \quad (15)$$

$$2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = -\kappa \left( \dot{\phi}^2 - V + \beta^4\rho_R + \frac{1}{2}\beta^4\rho_M \right) \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\ddot{b}}{b} + \frac{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{ab} = -\kappa \left( \dot{\phi}^2 - V + \beta^4 \rho_R + \frac{1}{2} \beta^4 \rho_M \right) \quad (17)$$

The fluid equation of motion for matter or fluid is

$$\frac{d\rho_i}{dt} = \left[ -2\frac{\dot{\hat{a}}}{\hat{a}} - \frac{\dot{\hat{b}}}{\hat{b}} \right] (\rho_i + p_i) \quad (18)$$

where  $\hat{a} = \beta(\phi)a$  and  $\hat{b} = \beta(\phi)b$ ,  $\beta(\phi)$  is such chosen that the quantity  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$  are finite when  $a$  and  $b$  approach zero.

Now, we can consider the cosmological anisotropy in a similar fashion as that in the previous section of this paper. It is clear that the evolution equation for the anisotropy can also be expressed as Eqs.(9) and (12) for the power law and exponential expansion/contraction respectively. Therefore the conclusions in previous sections are still held true. When the universe is in the contraction phase, the anisotropy will develop to be very significant. However, in the cyclic model, what we are interested is the rescaled quantity such as  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$ . So, the question is whether the rescaled anisotropy  $\hat{\delta}$  is divergent when the universe contracts. Similarly, we can define the rescaled anisotropy as

$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{\hat{b} - \hat{a}}{\hat{a}} = \frac{b - a}{a} \quad (19)$$

This indicates that the rescaling with  $\beta(\phi)$  will not change the divergent property of the anisotropy when  $a$  contracts. To avoid this, we require that the coupling  $\beta(\phi)$  depends on the scale factor and therefore are denoted as  $\beta_a(\phi)$  and  $\beta_b(\phi)$ . Then we will have

$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{b\beta_b(\phi)}{a\beta_a(\phi)} - 1 \quad (20)$$

The anisotropy will not diverge when and only when the corresponding coupling offset the contraction in different directions. The detailed exposition of  $\beta_a(\phi)$  and  $\beta_b(\phi)$  need the complete solution of the cyclic model in anisotropic Robertson-Walker(Kantowski-Sachs) spacetime, which is not the focus of this current work. But here, we can show the limiting case. As argued in Ref.[1], the coupling  $\beta(\phi) \sim e^{-\phi\sqrt{6}}$  when  $\phi \rightarrow -\infty$  and thus make the rescaled scale factor  $\hat{a}$  finite at  $a = 0$ . Have this in mind, we can conclude that both  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$  are finite when the universe at its big crunch. Then, from Eq.(19), we know that  $\hat{\delta}$  is finite and anisotropy in cyclic model can be well controlled.

In the following section, we will correlate the anisotropy with the red-shift of the supernovae, which might be a way to identify the anisotropy not only when it increase, but also when it damps.

#### 4. Relate the Anisotropy with the Red-shift

The red-shift data of supernovae has been used to reconstruct the potential[6, 7] or the equation of state[8] of the field that drive the expansion of the universe. Now, we generalize the red-shift relations in isotropic flat R-W spacetime by introducing the different red-shift in different directions. In our set-up here, we consider the simple case that the red-shift is different in the  $x$  direction and the  $y, z$  plane. Accordingly, we introduce the following definitions:

$$x(Z_x) = \int_{t(Z_x)}^0 \frac{du}{b(u)} = \int_0^{Z_x} \frac{d\xi}{H_x(\xi)} \quad (21)$$

$$y(Z_y) = \int_{t(Z_y)}^0 \frac{du}{a(u)} = \int_0^{Z_y} \frac{d\xi}{H_y(\xi)} \quad (22)$$

where  $x(Z_x)$  and  $y(Z_y)$  are the coordinate distance in  $x$  and  $y$  directions respectively.  $Z_x$  and  $Z_y$  are the red-shift in  $x$  and  $y$  direction respectively.  $H_x(Z)$  and  $H_y(Z)$  are defined as

$$\left(\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^2 = H_x(Z_x)^2 = \frac{1}{(dx/dZ_x)^2} \quad (23)$$

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H_y(Z_y)^2 = \frac{1}{(dy/dZ_y)^2} \quad (24)$$

$H_x(Z) \equiv \frac{\dot{b}}{b}$  and  $H_y(Z) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ . Since the  $z$  direction has the same scale factor as that in  $y$  direction, we consider the corresponding coordinate distance in  $z$  direction is the same as in  $y$  direction. Obviously, the above definitions is a direct generalization of the red-shift in the isotropic spacetime

$$r(Z) = \int_{t(Z)}^{t_0} \frac{du}{a(u)} = \int_0^Z \frac{d\xi}{H(\xi)} \quad (25)$$

It is not difficult to prove that the relations between  $t$  and  $Z_x$  and  $Z_y$  are

$$\frac{dZ_x}{dt} = -(1 + Z_x)H_x(Z_x) = -(1 + Z_x)\frac{dx}{dZ_x} \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{dZ_y}{dt} = -(1 + Z_y)H_y(Z_y) = -(1 + Z_y)\frac{dy}{dZ_y} \quad (27)$$

To correlate the red-shift  $Z_x$  and  $Z_y$  with the anisotropy  $\delta$ , we can consider Eqs.(7) and (23). From Eq.(24), one have

$$d \ln a = \frac{dt}{dy/dZ_y} \quad (28)$$

Together with Eq.(7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\delta}(Z_x, Z_y) &\equiv f(Z_x, Z_y) \\ &= \dot{\delta}_0 \exp\left[\int \frac{3(dx/dZ_x)}{(dy/dZ_y)(1 + Z_x)}dZ_x + 3 \int \frac{dZ_y}{(1 + Z_y)}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Therefore, the correlation equations could be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial Z_x} + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial Z_y} \frac{(1 + Z_y)(dy/dZ_y)}{(1 + Z_x)(dx/dZ_x)} = -\frac{f(Z_x, Z_y)}{(1 + Z_x)(dx/dZ_x)} \quad (30)$$

$$\delta(Z_x, Z_y) = - \int \left[ \frac{f(Z_x, Z_y)dZ_x}{(1 + Z_x)(dx/dZ_x)} + \frac{f(Z_x, Z_y)dZ_y}{(1 + Z_y)(dy/dZ_y)} \right] \quad (31)$$

It is obvious that once we know the coordinate distance  $x$  and  $y$  as the function of red-shift  $Z_x$  and  $Z_y$ , we will be able to obtain the anisotropy  $\delta$  through the correlation relations Eqs.(30) and (31).

#### 4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, we study the cosmological anisotropy in the flat Robertson-Walker space-time and derive its relation with the cosmological red-shift. We show that the anisotropy will increase significantly if the expansion rate is slower than a critical value. We also show that the expansion in the conventional big bang cosmology, the radiation dominated era, matter dominated era and the current accelerating expansion era, is fast enough to damp the anisotropy, which is in agreement with current observations. However, in the cyclic model, even when the Universe contracts, the anisotropy will not increase significantly due to the coupling  $\beta(\phi)$ . This could be understood by that the contraction in the cyclic model is essentially the contraction of the extra dimension, while our three large space dimension will not contract significantly[5]. It needs to point out that in the cyclic model,  $q$  does not remain small all the way to the bounce. Rather,  $q$  is greater than  $\frac{1}{3}$  once the branes get



to within a few Planck lengths. In Ref.[1], this comes about naturally when the potential turns upward after the minimum. So, the above increase of anisotropy could be considered as a mechanism employed by the cyclic model to seed acceptable anisotropy observed in the present Universe.

On the other hand, observation of the red-shift of SNeIa has been proved to be an important way to probe the Universe[9]. We show the relation between the cosmological anisotropy and the red-shift, which indicates that one can gain some information about the anisotropy by fitting the red-shift data. When the Universe contracts, we can reconstruct the anisotropy through the red-shift data.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Professor P. Steinhardt for helpful comments. This work was partially supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 19875016, National Doctor Foundation of China under Grant No. 1999025110, and Foundation of Shanghai Development for Science and Technology.

- 
- [1] P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D65, 126003(2002); Science **296** 1436 (2002); astro-ph/0204479
  - [2] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Phys.Rev. **D64** (2001) 123522; Phys.Rev. **D66** (2002) 046005; J. Khoury, Burt A. Ovrut, Nathan Seiberg, Paul J. Steinhardt and Neil Turok, Phys. Rev. **D65** 086007(2002);
  - [3] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460**, 506(1996); Nucl. Phys. **B475**, 94(1996); E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B471**, 135(1996)
  - [4] R. Kantowski and R. K. Sachs, J. Math. Phys. **7**,443(1996)
  - [5] Private correspondence with P. J. Steinhardt
  - [6] M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. **D48**3502(1993); E. J. Copeland, E. W. Kolb, A. R. Liddle and J. E. Lidsey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** 219(1993); Phys. Rev. **D48**2529(1993); Phys. Rev. **D49**1840(1994); A. R. Liddle and M. S. Turner Phys. Rev. **D50** 758(1994)
  - [7] D. Huterer and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. **D60** 081301(1999)
  - [8] J. G. Hao and X. Z. Li, Phy. Rev. **D66** 087301(2002)

- [9] S. Perlmutter et al, *Astrophys. J.* **517** 565(1999)  
A. G. Riess et al *Astron. J.* **116** 1009 (1998)