

$O(N)$ Phantom, A Way to Implement $w < -1$

Xin-zhou Li* and Jian-gang Hao

*SUCA, Shanghai United Center for Astrophysics,
Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China*

(Dated: November 8, 2018)

Abstract

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we study the cosmological evolution of imaginary configuration for scalar fields with an $O(N)$ symmetry and discuss that it is possible to realize the equation-of-state parameter $w < -1$. We show that the introduction of negative kinetic energy of scalar fields could be equivalently realized by a vector anti-unitary representation of the $O(N)$ group. When the fields are constrained with an $O(N)$ symmetry, they are more stable than that without symmetry. In the exponential potential, the $O(N)$ phantom field imposes a lower bound for the equation of state $w > -2$. We also derive the relations between the potential of the field and the red-shift, known as reconstruction equations.

arXiv:hep-th/0303093v1 11 Mar 2003

*Electronic address: kychz@shtu.edu.cn

1. Introduction

Recent observation shows that our Universe is made up of two third of dark energy whose effective equation-of-state parameter may smaller than -1 [1, 2, 3]. While the equation of state of conventional quintessence models[4]that based on a scalar field and positive kinetic energy can not evolve to the the regime of $w < -1$. Some authors[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] investigated a phantom field model which has negative kinetic energy and can realize the $w < -1$ in its evolution. Although the introduction of a phantom field causes many theoretical problems such as the violation of some widely accepted energy condition and the rapid vacuum decay[15], it is still very interesting in term of fitting current observations. Comparing with the other approaches to realizing the $w < -1$, such as the modification of Friedman equation, it seems economical.

In our pervious work, we investigated the scalar fields with $O(N)$ symmetry as quintessence[20], which could be viewed as the unitary representation of the $O(N)$ group and has a positive kinetic energy. However, if we abandon the prohibition of negative kinetic energy, as did in the phantom models, we essentially work in the anti-unitary representation of the $O(N)$ group, in which the corresponding configuration of fields will be imaginary and thus naturally leads to a negative kinetic energy term in the lagrangian of the system. we show that the existence of "angular" motion of the field makes the phantom fields more stable against the perturbation (tachyon instability). Especially, via a specific model in which the potential is exponentially dependent on the field, we show that the attractor property of the dynamical system and the introduction of $O(N)$ symmetry leads to a lower bound on the equation of state $w > -2$. Also, we derive the relation between the red-shift and the scalar fields and the potential. It turns out that the potential relates to the red-shift the same way as that in ordinary scalar fields theory while the variation of the fields do in a quite different manner.

2. $O(N)$ Phantom

We start from the flat Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)(dr^2 + r^2d\alpha^2 + r^2\sin^2\alpha d\beta^2) \quad (1)$$

The Lagrangian density for the Phantom with $O(N)$ symmetry is

$$L_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_{\mu}\Phi^a)(\partial_{\nu}\Phi^a) - V(|\Phi^a|) \quad (2)$$

where Φ^a is the component of the scalar field, $a = 1, 2, \dots, N$. To make it possess a $O(N)$ symmetry, we write it in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^1 &= iR(t) \cos \varphi_1(t) \\ \Phi^2 &= iR(t) \sin \varphi_1(t) \cos \varphi_2(t) \\ \Phi^3 &= iR(t) \sin \varphi_1(t) \sin \varphi_2(t) \cos \varphi_3(t) \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \Phi^{N-1} &= iR(t) \sin \varphi_1(t) \dots \sin \varphi_{N-2}(t) \cos \varphi_{N-1}(t) \\ \Phi^N &= iR(t) \sin \varphi_1(t) \dots \sin \varphi_{N-2}(t) \sin \varphi_{N-1}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Therefore, we have $|\Phi^a| = R$ and assume that the potential of the $O(N)$ phantom depends only on R . The Lagrangian density then take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\Phi} = & - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{R}^2 + R^2\dot{\varphi}_1^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \varphi_1 \dot{\varphi}_2^2 + \dots \\ & + R^2 \sin^2 \varphi_1 \sin^2 \varphi_2 \dots \sin^2 \varphi_{N-2} \dot{\varphi}_{N-1}^2) - V(R) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to t . The Eq.(3) is a basis of vector anti-unitary representation of $O(N)$ group, which corresponds to the generators

$$s_{ab} = e_{ab} - e_{ba} \quad (a > b) \quad (5)$$

Here, e_{ab} is a matrix with a 1 on the intersection of the a -th row and the b -th column and zeros elsewhere. The multiplication rule for the e_{ab} is $e_{ac}e_{cb} = e_{ab}$, $e_{ac}e_{db} = 0$ ($c \neq d$). It suffices to know the commutators

$$[s_{ab}, s_{cd}] = \delta_{bc}s_{ad} + \delta_{ad}s_{bc} - \delta_{bd}s_{ac} - \delta_{ac}s_{bd} \quad (6)$$

as one obtain using the multiplication rule for the e_{ab} .

The action for the universe is :

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{16\pi G} R_s - \rho_{\gamma} + L_{\Phi} \right) \quad (7)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, G is the Newton's constant, R_s is the Ricci scalar, and ρ_γ is the non-relativistic matter density whose equation of state is $p_\gamma = \gamma\rho_\gamma$. Using the metric tensor(1) and action (7), we can obtain the equation of motion for φ_1 , \dots , φ_{N-1} as follows:

$$\ddot{\varphi}_1 + \left(2\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + 3H\right)\dot{\varphi}_1 - \cos\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_1(\dot{\varphi}_2^2 + \sin^2\varphi_2\dot{\varphi}_3^2 + \dots + \sin^2\varphi_2 \sin^2\varphi_3 \dots \sin^2\varphi_{N-2}\dot{\varphi}_{N-1}^2) = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\ddot{\varphi}_2 + \left(2\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + 3H + 2\cot\varphi_1\dot{\varphi}_1\right)\dot{\varphi}_2 - \cos\varphi_2 \sin\varphi_2(\dot{\varphi}_3^2 + \sin^2\varphi_3\dot{\varphi}_4^2 + \dots + \sin^2\varphi_3 \sin^2\varphi_4 \dots \sin^2\varphi_{N-2}\dot{\varphi}_{N-1}^2) = 0 \quad (9)$$

.....

$$\ddot{\varphi}_{N-2} + \left(2\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + 3H + 2\cot\varphi_1\dot{\varphi}_1 + \dots + 2\cot\varphi_{N-3}\dot{\varphi}_{N-3}\right)\dot{\varphi}_{N-2} - \cos\varphi_{N-2} \sin\varphi_{N-2}\dot{\varphi}_{N-1}^2 = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\ddot{\varphi}_{N-1} + \left(2\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + 3H + 2\cot\varphi_1\dot{\varphi}_1 + \dots + 2\cot\varphi_{N-2}\dot{\varphi}_{N-2}\right)\dot{\varphi}_{N-1} = 0 \quad (11)$$

we have $N - 1$ independent first integral of the system of equations

$$\dot{\varphi}_1 = \left(\Omega^2 - \frac{\Omega_1^2}{\sin^2\varphi_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(a^3 R^2)^{-1} \quad (12)$$

$$\dot{\varphi}_2 = \left(\Omega_1^2 - \frac{\Omega_2^2}{\sin^2\varphi_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(a^3 R^2 \sin^2\varphi_1)^{-1} \quad (13)$$

.....

$$\dot{\varphi}_{N-2} = \left(\Omega_{N-3}^2 - \frac{\Omega_{N-2}^2}{\sin^2\varphi_{N-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(a^3 R^2 \sin^2\varphi_1 \dots \sin^2\varphi_{N-3})^{-1} \quad (14)$$

$$\dot{\varphi}_{N-1} = \Omega_{N-2}(a^3 R^2 \sin^2\varphi_1 \dots \sin^2\varphi_{N-2})^{-1} \quad (15)$$

where $\Omega, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{N-2}$ are $N - 1$ independent constants determined by the initial condition of $\dot{\varphi}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$. The Einstein equations and the radial equation of scalar fields can be written as

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}[\rho_M + \rho_\Phi] \quad (16)$$

$$\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right) = -\frac{8\pi G}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2}\rho_M + 2p_\Phi + V(R)\right] \quad (17)$$

$$\ddot{R} + 3H\dot{R} - \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^3} - \frac{\partial V(R)}{\partial R} = 0 \quad (18)$$

where

$$\rho_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{R}^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2}\right) + V(R) \quad (19)$$

$$p_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{R}^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2}\right) - V(R) \quad (20)$$

are the energy density and pressure of the Φ field respectively, and H is Hubble parameter. The equation-of-state parameter for the $O(N)$ phantom is

$$w = \frac{p_\Phi}{\rho_\Phi} = \frac{\dot{R}^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2} + 2V(R)}{\dot{R}^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2} - 2V(R)} \quad (21)$$

It is clear that the $O(N)$ phantom could realize the equation-of-state parameter $w < -1$ which is equivalent to

$$0 < \dot{R}^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2} < 2V(R) \quad (22)$$

where the term $\frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2}$ comes from the "total angular motion". The most prominent feature of $O(N)$ phantom is that it will not reduce to a cosmological constant even when the R is spatially uniform and time-independent.

3. Attractor Property and Stability of $O(N)$ Phantom

In this section, we firstly investigate the attractor property of the $O(N)$ phantom field in an exponential potential. To do so, we rewrite the equations of motion as

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}(\rho_\gamma + p_\gamma + \dot{R}^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2}) \quad (23)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_\gamma = -3H(\rho_\gamma + p_\gamma) \quad (24)$$

$$\ddot{R} + 3H\dot{R} - \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^3} - \lambda\kappa V(R) = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}[\rho_\gamma + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{R}^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^2}) + V(R)] \quad (26)$$

Note that the equations of motion for the "angular" parts of the scalar fields have been integrated by the first integrals and their contribution is reduced to the terms containing Ω^2 in Eqs.(23),(25) and (26), see Ref.[20] for details. The potential $V(R)$ is exponentially dependent on R as $V(R) = V_0 \exp(-\lambda\kappa R)$.

Now, we will introduce the following variables to obtain the autonomous system for the above dynamical system. The variables could be defined as :

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{6}H} \dot{R} \\ y &= \frac{\kappa \sqrt{V(R)}}{\sqrt{3}H} \\ z &= \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{6}H} \frac{\Omega}{a^3 R} \\ \xi &= \frac{1}{\kappa R} \\ N &= \log a \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

the equation system(23)-(26) become the following autonomous system:

$$\frac{dx}{dN} = \frac{3}{2}x[\gamma(1 + x^2 - y^2 + z^2) - 2(x^2 + z^2)] - (3x - \sqrt{6}z^2\xi + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda y^2) \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{dy}{dN} = \frac{3}{2}y[\gamma(1 + x^2 - y^2 + z^2) - 2(x^2 + z^2)] - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda xy \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{dz}{dN} = -3z - \frac{3}{2}z[\gamma(1 + x^2 - y^2 + z^2) - 2(x^2 + z^2)] - \sqrt{6}xz\xi \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dN} = -\sqrt{6}\xi^2 x \quad (31)$$

Also, we have a constraint equation

$$\Omega_R + \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_\gamma^2}{3H^2} = 1 \quad (32)$$

where

$$\Omega_R = \frac{\kappa^2 \rho_R^2}{3H^2} = y^2 - x^2 - z^2 \quad (33)$$

The equation of state for the $O(N)$ phantom could be expressed in term of the new variables as

$$w_\Phi = \frac{p_\Phi}{\rho_\Phi} = \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{x^2 - y^2 + z^2} \quad (34)$$

It is not difficult to prove that the point $(x, y, z, \xi) = (-\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{6}}, \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{6}}, 0, 0)$ is a stable node of the autonomous system when $\lambda^2 < 6$. This corresponds to a late time attractor solution which is a phantom dominated epoch $\Omega_R = 1$ and an equation of state $w = -\frac{\lambda^2}{3}$. Unlike the singlet phantom field in exponential[17], the introduction of internal symmetry imposes a lower bound to the parameter λ^2 for attractor solution, which equivalent to the equation of state $w > -2$. This is a very interesting characteristic of the $O(N)$ phantom field.

Next, we study the stability of the $O(N)$ Phantom fields against small perturbation. We work in synchronous gauge and write the metric perturbation as

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)(\delta_{ij} - h_{ij})dx^i dx^j \quad (35)$$

Fourier model of the radial part of the phantom field is

$$R(t, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int R(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x \quad (36)$$

satisfies the equation of motion

$$\delta\ddot{R}_k + 3H\delta\dot{R}_k + (k^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^4} - V''(R)) = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{h}\dot{R} \quad (37)$$

where the h is the trace of h_{ij} and the term $\frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^4}$ is resulted from the $O(N)$ symmetry. It is necessary to point out that the $O(N)$ symmetry is an internal symmetry so that the perturbation of spacetime does not destroy the symmetry[20]. The effective mass for the perturbation is $(k^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^4} - V''(R))^{1/2}$, which will become imaginary for long wave perturbations and thus make the system unstable. One may avoid this instability by choosing the potential so as $V'' < 0$, as suggested in Ref.[15]. However, even if the potential has positive curvature, contrasting to the single scalar phantom model, the term $\frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^4}$ in Eq.(37) make the model more stable than that of real phantom model. When the potential is chosen as the exponential potential $V(\phi) = V_0 \exp(-\lambda\kappa\phi)$ as we analyzed in the former part of this section, we will have $[k^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^4} - \lambda^2\kappa^2 V_0 \exp(-\lambda\kappa\phi)]^{1/2}$. When the field evolves to its stable

attractor solution, the critical wave number of the perturbation should be

$$k_{critical} = \lambda H \sqrt{3 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \quad (38)$$

When the wave number is greater than $k_{critical}$, or equivalently the wave length is less than the critical wave length, the instability will not appear. Suppose that current universe is at the attractor solution epoch, then we may estimate the critical wave length of the perturbation should be about the order of 10^{28} cm, which is even greater than the radius of our observable universe. Note that the critical wave number for the $O(N)$ phantom field in exponential potential is the same as that of phantom field without $O(N)$ symmetry[17]. This is because that the angular contribution $\frac{\Omega^2}{a^6 R^4}$ tends to zero in the attractor regime. But before the field evolves to the attractor solution, the $O(N)$ phantom model is more stable than the phantom model without $O(N)$ symmetry.

4. Reconstruction

Now, we will correlate the potential with the observable red-shift of SNe Ia. To do so, following the earlier study in this field[21], we introduce the quantity

$$r(z) = \int_{t(z)}^{t_0} \frac{du}{a(u)} = \int_0^z \frac{dx}{H(x)} \quad (39)$$

which is the Robertson-Walker coordinate distance to an object at red-shift z . Also, we denote

$$\rho_M = \Omega_M \rho_{crit} = \frac{3\Omega_M H_0^2 (1+z)^3}{8\pi G} \quad (40)$$

where H_0 is the present Hubble constant, Ω_M is the fraction of non-relativistic matter to the critical density and ρ_{crit} is the critical energy density of the universe.

We then readily have

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H(z)^2 = \frac{1}{(dr/dz)^2} \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{1}{(dr/dz)^2} + (1+z) \frac{d^2 r/dz^2}{(dr/dz)^3} \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = -(1+z)H(z) = -(1+z) \frac{dr}{dz} \quad (43)$$

together with Eqs.(19) and (20), one can obtain the reconstruction equations as

$$V[R(z)] = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \left[\frac{3}{(dr/dz)^2} + (1+z) \frac{d^2 r/dz^2}{(dr/dz)^3} \right] - \frac{3\Omega_M H_0^2 (1+z)^3}{16\pi G} \quad (44)$$

$$\left(\frac{dR}{dz}\right)^2 + \frac{\Omega^2}{R^2}(1+z)^4\left(\frac{dr}{dz}\right)^2 = \frac{(dr/dz)^2}{4\pi G(1+z)^2} \left[\frac{(1+z)(d^2/dz^2)}{(dr/dz)^3} + \frac{3}{2}(1+z)^3 \right] \quad (45)$$

Eq.(44) is the same as those ordinary quintessence while the Eq.(45) is different in that there is a sign difference. The right hand side of the Eq.(45) is positive while it is negative in conventional $O(N)$ quintessence model.

5. Conclusion and discussion

Up to now, the observation data do not tell us what should be the nature of dark energy. But the future observation will be helpful to determine whether the dark energy is phantom, quintessence, or cosmological constant. If the equation-of-state parameter $w < -1$ is completely confirmed by observations, then its implications to fundamental physics would be astounding, since it cannot be achieved with substance with canonical lagrangian. Phantom field could be a good candidate for such substance because of its negative kinetic energy and simplicity. In this paper, we study a class of new phantom models, in which the scalar field possesses a $O(N)$ internal symmetry. The negative kinetic energy can be naturally incorporated by considering the anti-unitary representation of the $O(N)$ group. In a specific model, in which the potential of the phantom fields is an exponential potential, we show that there exists an attractor solution when $\lambda^2 < 6$ and the attractor solution corresponds to a phantom energy dominant phase and an equation-of-state parameter $w = -\frac{\lambda^2}{3}$. Most strikingly, the introduction of $O(N)$ symmetry imposes a restriction to the existence of the attractor solution $\lambda^2 < 6$, which accordingly puts a lower bound to the equation-of-state parameter $w > -2$.

This work was partially supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 19875016, and Foundation of Shanghai Development for Science and Technology under Grant No.01JC14035.

-
- [1] P. de Bernardis et al, Nature **404** (2000)955; S. Hanany et al, Astrophys. J. **545** (2000)1 ; N. Bahcall, J. P. Ostriker, S. Perlmutter and P. J. Steinhardt, Science **284** (1999)1481; S. Perlmutter et al, Astrophys. J. **517** (1999)565; A. G. Riess et al, Astron. J. **116** (1998)1009

- [2] S. Hannestad and E. Mortsell, Phys. Rev. **D66**, (2002)063508
- [3] A. Melchiorri, L. Mersini, C. J. Odmann and M. Trodden, The State of the Dark Energy Equation of State,astro-ph/0211522
- [4] B. Ratra and P. J. Peebles, Phys. Rev. **D37**(1988)3406; R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**(1998)1582; P. J. Steinhardt, L. Wang and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. **D59**(1999)123504; I. Zlatev, L. Wang and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**(1999)896; K. Coble, S. Dodelson, J. Frieman, Phys. Rev. **D55**(1997)1851.
- [5] R.R. Caldwell, Phys.Lett. **B545**(2002)23.
- [6] V. Sahni and A. A. Starobinsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D9**(2002)373
- [7] L. Parker and A. Raval, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 063512
- [8] T. Chiba, T. Okabe and M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. **D62**(2000) 023511
- [9] B. Boisseau, G. Esposito-Farese, D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett.**85**(2000) 2236
- [10] A. E. Schulz, Martin White, Phys.Rev. **D64** (2001) 043514
- [11] V. Faraoni, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D64**(2002) 043514
- [12] I. Maor, R. Brustein, J. McMahon and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002)123003
- [13] V. K. Onemli and R. P. Woodard, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002)4607
- [14] D. F. Torres, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002)043522
- [15] S. M. Carroll, M. Hoffman, M. Trodden, Can the dark energy equation-of-state parameter w be less than -1 ?, astro-ph/0301273
- [16] P. H. Frampton, Stability Issues for $w < -1$ Dark Energy, hep-th/0302007
- [17] J. G. Hao and X. Z. Li, An attractor solution for phantom field, gr-qc/0302100
- [18] R. R Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N. N. Weinberg, Phantom energy and cosmic doomsday, astro-ph/0302506
- [19] G. W. Gibbons, Phantom matter and the cosmological constant, hep-th/0302199
- [20] X. Z. Li, J. G. Hao, D. J. Liu, Class.Quant.Grav. **19** (2002) 6049
- [21] D. Huterer and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999)081301