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#### Abstract

A class of exact solutions of the Skyrme model are obtained. They are described by the Weierstrass $\wp$-function or the Jacobi elliptic function. They are not solitonic but of wave character. They supply us with examples of the superposition of three plane waves in the Skyrme model.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The Skyrme model [1] has been discussed for more than 40 years. It is an effective field theory describing hadrons [2, 3]. It is defined by the Lagrangan density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{S}=-4 c_{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(g^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} g\right)\left(g^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} g\right)\right]+\frac{c_{4}}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left[g^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} g, g^{\dagger} \partial_{\nu} g\right]\left[g^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} g, g^{\dagger} \partial^{\nu} g\right]\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(x)$ is an element of $S U(2)$ and $c_{2}$ and $c_{4}$ are coupling constants. If we define $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$ and $H_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha}(x)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\tau^{\alpha} g^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} g\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
& H_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{\alpha}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{L}_{S}$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{S}=8 c_{2} A_{\mu}^{\alpha} A^{\alpha, \mu}-c_{4} H_{\mu \nu}^{\alpha} H^{\alpha, \mu \nu} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\tau_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2,3)$ are Pauli matrices. The field equation is given as the conservation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} J^{\alpha, \mu}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J^{\alpha, \mu}(\alpha=1,2,3, \quad \mu=0,1,2,3)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\alpha, \mu}=2 c_{2} A^{\alpha, \mu}+c_{4} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} H^{\beta, \mu \nu} A_{\nu}^{\gamma} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field $J^{\alpha, \mu}(x)$ is proportional to the isospin current of the model. Another important conserved current is the baryon number current [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\lambda}=\frac{1}{12 \pi^{2}} \varepsilon^{\lambda \mu \nu \rho} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} A_{\mu}^{\alpha} A_{\nu}^{\beta} A_{\rho}^{\gamma} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conservation law $\partial_{\lambda} N^{\lambda}=0$ follows solely from the definition of $N^{\lambda}(x)$ irrespective of the field equation for $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$.

By definition, $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{\alpha}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha}=2 \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} A_{\mu}^{\beta} A_{\nu}^{\gamma} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was discussed [4] that the Skyrme model is intimately related to the Faddeev model [5] defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{F}=c_{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{n}\right) \cdot\left(\partial^{\mu} \boldsymbol{n}\right)-2 c_{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}(x)=\left(n^{1}(x), n^{2}(x), n^{3}(x)\right)$ is a three-component scalar field satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{n}^{2}=n^{\alpha} n^{\alpha}=1 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F_{\mu \nu}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot\left(\partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_{\nu} \boldsymbol{n}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field equation for $\boldsymbol{n}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu}\left(c_{2} \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial^{\mu} \boldsymbol{n}-2 c_{4} F^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \boldsymbol{n}\right)=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Faddeev model is expected to describe the low energy gluonic dynamics of QCD [5]. If we define $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}(x)$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mu}(x)$ by $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}=\left(A_{\mu}^{1}, A_{\mu}^{2}, A_{\mu}^{3}\right), \boldsymbol{B}_{\mu}=\left(B_{\mu}^{1}, B_{\mu}^{2}, B_{\mu}^{3}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} n^{\beta} \partial_{\mu} n^{\gamma} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (1.5), (1.8) and (1.12) become [6]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\mu}\left[c_{2} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mu}+c_{4}\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{\mu} \times \boldsymbol{A}^{\nu}\right) \times \boldsymbol{A}_{\nu}\right]=0,  \tag{1.14}\\
& \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{A}_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}=2 \boldsymbol{A}_{\mu} \times \boldsymbol{A}_{\nu},  \tag{1.15}\\
& \partial_{\mu}\left[c_{2} \boldsymbol{B}^{\mu}+c_{4}\left(\boldsymbol{B}^{\mu} \times \boldsymbol{B}^{\nu}\right) \times \boldsymbol{B}_{\nu}\right]=0 . \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition (1.13), we have the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{B}_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \boldsymbol{B}_{\mu}=2 \boldsymbol{B}_{\mu} \times \boldsymbol{B}_{\nu} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mu}$. The parallelism of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mu}$ to $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}$ is evident. Of course, the two models are different from each other because the degree of freedom of the Skyrme model is 3 while that of the Faddeev model is 2 . It can be seen, however, that the configuration $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mu}$ yields a restricted class of the configuration $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}$.

The soliton solutions of the Skyrme model is classified by the baryon number $N=$ $\int d^{3} V N^{0}(x)$ and are identified with baryons [1]. On the other hand, the soliton solutions of the Faddeev model are classified by the topological number $Q_{H}$ called the Hopf charge and are identified with glueballs $[5,7,8]$. The numerical analysis of these models revealed that both models have rich spectra of knot soliton solutions [9, 10]. For example, the solution of the Faddeev model with $Q_{H}=7$ is the soliton of the trefoil knot structure.

As for the analytic solutions for these models, only a few simple examples are known. Skyrme [1] found that the configuration

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=h(k \cdot x) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k \cdot x=k_{\mu} x^{\mu}$ leading to the field $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$ of the form $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)=k_{\mu} f^{\alpha}(k \cdot x)$ satisfies the field equation if $k_{\mu}$ is light-like: $k^{2}=0$. In a recent paper [6], two of the present authors (M.H. and J.Y.) obtained the solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=h(k \cdot x, \quad l \cdot x) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k^{2}=l^{2}=0, k \cdot l \neq 0$. Although the solution (1.18) is independent of the coupling constants $c_{2}$ and $c_{4}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{S}$, the solution (1.19) depends on them through the dimensionless combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{4}(k \cdot l)} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we seek the solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=h(k \cdot x, l \cdot x, \quad m \cdot x) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}=l^{2}=m^{2}=0, \quad k \cdot l, \quad l \cdot m, \quad m \cdot k>0 . \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that the solution can be described with the help of the function

$$
\begin{align*}
K(\omega) & =\wp\left(\omega+\omega_{3}\right) \\
& =e_{3}+\frac{\left(e_{3}-e_{1}\right)\left(e_{3}-e_{2}\right)}{\wp(\omega)-e_{3}}  \tag{1.23}\\
& =e_{3}+\left(e_{2}-e_{3}\right) \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left(\sqrt{e_{1}-e_{3}} \omega, \sqrt{\frac{e_{2}-e_{3}}{e_{1}-e_{3}}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\wp(z)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function satisfying the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\wp^{\prime}(z)\right]^{2}=4\left[\wp(z)-e_{1}\right]\left[\wp(z)-e_{2}\right]\left[\wp(z)-e_{3}\right] . \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$ are real constants satisfying $e_{1}>e_{2}>e_{3}, \operatorname{sn}(u, k)$ is the Jacobi elliptic function of $u$ with the modulus $k, 2 \omega_{3}$ is the second fundamental period of $\wp(z)$, and $\omega=L \cdot x$ is a linear combination of $k \cdot x, l \cdot x$ and $m \cdot x$. We note that $L^{2}$ is equal to $c_{2} / c_{4}$ multiplied
by a constant independent of the momenta $k, l$ and $m$. In contrast with the solution (1.18) and (1.19) with the vanishing baryon number density, our solution (1.21) possesses the nonvanishing baryon number density

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}(x)=n_{0}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{c_{4}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot(\boldsymbol{l} \times \boldsymbol{m})}{\sqrt{(k \cdot l)(l \cdot m)(m \cdot k)}} \frac{d \wp\left(\omega+\omega_{3}\right)}{d \omega}, \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is a constant independent of $c_{2}, c_{4}, k, l$ and $m$.
This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we seek a convenient set of variables and fields and write the field equation in a compact way. In Sec.III, we introduce a set of ansatzs for $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}$ compatible with the field equation. By the above ansatzs, the non-linear partial differential equations for $\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}$ are reduced to some algebraic constraints for parameters specifying solutions. Some examples of the solutions of the above algebraic constraints are given. Sec.V is devoted to a summary and discussions.

## II. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

In order to discuss the case of $h(k \cdot x, l \cdot x, m \cdot x)$, we introduce the parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{i}=\sqrt{\frac{c_{4}}{c_{2}} \frac{\left(k^{i} \cdot k^{j}\right)\left(k^{i} \cdot k^{k}\right)}{\left(k^{j} \cdot k^{k}\right)}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the triplet $(i, j, k)$ should be $(1,2,3)$ or $(2,3,1)$ or $(3,1,2)$ and $k^{1}=k, k^{2}=l, k^{3}=m$. Then we have

$$
\frac{k^{i} \cdot k^{j}}{\kappa^{i} \kappa^{j}}= \begin{cases}0 & ;  \tag{2.2}\\ \frac{c_{2}}{c_{4}} ; & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

We now introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{i}=\frac{k^{i} \cdot x}{\kappa^{i}}, \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write $\xi=\xi^{1}, \eta=\xi^{2}$ and $\zeta=\xi^{3}$. For $g(x)=g\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \xi^{3}\right), A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$ in (1.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\mu}^{\alpha} & =\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{k_{\mu}{ }^{i}}{\kappa^{i}} a_{i}^{\alpha} \\
a_{i}^{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{2 i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\tau^{\alpha} g^{\dagger} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi^{i}}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If we define $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}^{1}, a_{1}^{2}, a_{1}^{3}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{b}=\left(a_{2}^{1}, a_{2}^{2}, a_{2}^{3}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{c}=\left(a_{3}^{1}, a_{3}^{2}, a_{3}^{3}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}_{\mu}=\frac{k_{\mu} \boldsymbol{a}}{\kappa^{1}}+\frac{l_{\mu} \boldsymbol{b}}{\kappa^{2}}+\frac{m_{\mu} \boldsymbol{c}}{\kappa^{3}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that the integrability condition (1.8) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{l_{\mu} k_{\nu}-l_{\nu} k_{\mu}}{\kappa^{1} \kappa^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}}{\partial \eta}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}{\partial \xi}-2 \boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{a}\right)+\frac{m_{\mu} l_{\nu}-m_{\nu} l_{\mu}}{\kappa^{2} \kappa^{3}}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}}{\partial \eta}-2 \boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{b}\right) \\
& +\frac{m_{\mu} k_{\nu}-m_{\nu} k_{\mu}}{\kappa^{3} \kappa^{1}}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}}{\partial \xi}-2 \boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a}\right)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}}{\partial \eta}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}{\partial \xi}=2(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{a})  \tag{2.8}\\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}}{\partial \xi}=2(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a}) \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}}{\partial \eta}=2(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{b})
\end{array}\right.
$$

The second term on the right hand side of (1.6) is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma} H_{\mu \nu}^{\beta} A^{\gamma, \nu}=\frac{2}{\kappa^{1} \kappa^{2} \kappa^{3}}\left[(l \cdot m) k_{\mu} D^{\alpha}+(m \cdot k) l_{\mu} E^{\alpha}+(l \cdot k) m_{\mu} F^{\alpha}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{D}, \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{F}$ and $\alpha$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{D}=\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{a})+\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a})+\boldsymbol{c} \times(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{a})+\boldsymbol{b} \times(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a}), \\
& \boldsymbol{E}=\boldsymbol{b} \times(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})+\boldsymbol{b} \times(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{b})+\boldsymbol{c} \times(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})+\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{b}),  \tag{2.10}\\
& \boldsymbol{F}=\boldsymbol{c} \times(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{c})+\boldsymbol{c} \times(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})+\boldsymbol{a} \times(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})+\boldsymbol{b} \times(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{c}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the field equation (1.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right)(\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{D})+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right)(\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{E})+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right)(\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{F})=0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a vector nonlinear differential equation. It is difficult to discuss it generally, so we will introduce some ansatzs in the next section.

## III. ANSATZS

## A. Parameters specifying the solution

In order to obtain the solutions of Eqs. (2.8) and (2.11), we first assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}_{i}}{\partial \xi^{j}}=C_{i j} \boldsymbol{a}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{a}_{j} \quad(i \neq j) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}=\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}=\boldsymbol{b}$, and $\boldsymbol{a}_{3}=\boldsymbol{c}$. Here $C_{i j}$ are constants. Since the condition (2.8) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}+C_{j i}=-2 \quad(i j=12,23,31) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we are led to the parametrization

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{23}=\alpha, C_{31}=\beta, \quad C_{12}=\gamma \\
& C_{32}=-2-\alpha, C_{13}=-2-\beta, \quad C_{21}=-2-\gamma \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

It is interesting to note that the three conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{k}}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}_{i}}{\partial \xi^{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{j}}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}_{i}}{\partial \xi^{k}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \neq j, j \neq k$ and $k \neq i$ are satisfied if $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ satisfy the single relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta \gamma=(\alpha+2)(\beta+2)(\gamma+2) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to (3.1), we assume

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{a}}{\partial \xi}=\kappa(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{c})+\lambda(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b}), \\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}{\partial \eta}=\rho(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{a})+\sigma(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c}),  \tag{3.6}\\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{c}}{\partial \zeta}=\nu(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{b})+\mu(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a}),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa, \lambda, \rho, \sigma, \nu$ and $\mu$ are constants. Then, the conditions (3.4) yield

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\alpha(\alpha+2)+\nu \sigma=0, & \beta(\beta+2)+\mu \kappa=0, \\
\gamma(\gamma+2)+\lambda \rho=0, & \lambda(\alpha+\beta+2)-\kappa \nu=0, \\
\sigma(\beta+\gamma+2)-\rho \kappa=0, & \mu(\alpha+\gamma+2)-\nu \rho=0,  \tag{3.7}\\
\kappa \nu \rho+\lambda \mu \sigma=0 . &
\end{array}
$$

It can be seen that the eight conditions (3.5) and (3.7) for nine parameters are equivalent to five conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \beta \gamma=(\alpha+2)(\beta+2)(\gamma+2), \\
& \alpha(\alpha+2)+\nu \sigma=0, \\
& \beta(\beta+2)+\mu \kappa=0,  \tag{3.8}\\
& \gamma(\gamma+2)+\lambda \rho=0, \\
& \kappa \nu \rho+\lambda \mu \sigma=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

We now regard that the independent parameters are $\alpha, \beta, \mu$ and $\nu$. The other parameters $\gamma, \kappa, \lambda, \rho$ and $\sigma$ are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa & =-\frac{\beta(\beta+2)}{\mu}, \\
\sigma & =-\frac{\alpha(\alpha+2)}{\nu}, \\
\lambda & =-\frac{\nu}{\mu} \frac{\beta(\beta+2)}{\alpha+\beta+2},  \tag{3.9}\\
\rho & =\frac{\mu}{\nu} \frac{\alpha(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+\beta+2}, \\
\gamma & =-\frac{(\alpha+2)(\beta+2)}{\alpha+\beta+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Consequences of the ansatzs

Our ansatzs proposed in the previous subsection readily lead us to the consequence that $\boldsymbol{a}^{2}, \boldsymbol{b}^{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}^{2}$ are constant. We also find the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})}{\partial \xi} & =\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})}{\partial \eta}=\frac{\lambda}{\kappa \nu} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})}{\partial \zeta} \\
& =\frac{\sigma}{\rho \kappa} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})}{\partial \xi}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})}{\partial \eta}=\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})}{\partial \zeta}  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a})}{\partial \xi}=\frac{\mu}{\nu \rho} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a})}{\partial \eta}=-\frac{1}{\nu} \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a})}{\partial \zeta} \\
& =\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c}) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the consistency conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{i}}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \xi^{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{j}}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \xi^{i}}\right) \quad(i, j=1,2,3, A=\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \quad \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}, \quad \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}), \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa \frac{\partial[\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})]}{\partial \eta}+\sigma \frac{\partial[\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})]}{\partial \xi} & =\rho \frac{\partial[\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})]}{\partial \zeta}+\mu \frac{\partial[\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})]}{\partial \eta} \\
& =\nu \frac{\partial[\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})]}{\partial \xi}+\lambda \frac{\partial[\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})]}{\partial \zeta} \\
& =0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

With the aid of the relations (3.10) and (3.11), we conclude that $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})$ are given in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=(\alpha+\beta+2) J(\omega)+d_{1}, \\
& \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}=\mu J(\omega)+d_{2},  \tag{3.13}\\
& \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}=-\nu J(\omega)+d_{3}, \\
& \boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})=-\alpha(\alpha+2) \frac{\mu}{\nu} \frac{d J(\omega)}{d \omega},  \tag{3.14}\\
& \omega=\rho \kappa \xi-\rho \sigma \eta+\mu \sigma \zeta, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J(\omega)$ is a function of $\omega$ to be determined and $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are arbitrary constants. The function $J(\omega)$ is fixed as follows. From the formula

$$
[\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})]^{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{a}^{2} & \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} & \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}  \tag{3.16}\\
\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} & \boldsymbol{b}^{2} & \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c} \\
\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{c} & \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c} & \boldsymbol{c}^{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and Eqs. (3.13) and (3.14), we observe that $K(\omega)$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(\omega)=\frac{1}{z_{1}} J(\omega)-\frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}} \\
& z_{1}=-\frac{2 \alpha^{2}(\alpha+2)^{2} \mu}{(\alpha+\beta+2) \nu^{3}}  \tag{3.17}\\
& z_{2}=\frac{-\mu^{2} \boldsymbol{a}^{2}-\nu^{2} \boldsymbol{b}^{2}-(\alpha+\beta+2)^{2} \boldsymbol{c}^{2}-2 \mu \nu d_{1}+2(\alpha+\beta+2)\left(\mu d_{3}-\nu d_{2}\right)}{6(\alpha+\beta+2) \mu \nu}
\end{align*}
$$

should satisfy the equation of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\frac{d K(\omega)}{d \omega}\right]^{2} } & =4[K(\omega)]^{3}-g_{2} K(\omega)-g_{3}  \tag{3.18}\\
& =4\left[K(\omega)-e_{1}\right]\left[K(\omega)-e_{2}\right]\left[K(\omega)-e_{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where constants $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$ are complicated functions of $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu, \boldsymbol{a}^{2}, \boldsymbol{b}^{2}, \boldsymbol{c}^{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ and satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}=0, \\
& e_{2} e_{3}+e_{3} e_{1}+e_{1} e_{2}=-\frac{1}{4} g_{2},  \tag{3.19}\\
& e_{1} e_{2} e_{3}=\frac{1}{4} g_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

This equation indicates that $K(\omega)$ is given by the Weierstrass $\wp$-function as $K(\omega)=\wp(\omega+$ const). We hereafter assume that the constants $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu, \boldsymbol{a}^{2}, \boldsymbol{b}^{2}, \boldsymbol{c}^{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are chosen so that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{2}\right)^{3}-27\left(g_{3}\right)^{2}>0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Then the constants $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$ are real and can be assumed to satisfy $e_{1}>e_{2}>e_{3}$. To remove the poles for the real values of $\omega$, we adopt $K(\omega)$ given by (1.23). The explicit formula for $\omega_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3}=\frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{e_{3}} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{\left(e_{1}-u\right)\left(e_{2}-u\right)\left(e_{3}-u\right)}} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that $\omega_{3}$ is a purely imaginary number. Other choices of the integral constant leads to the complex-valued $K(\omega)$. From (2.3) and (3.15), $\omega$ is calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega=L \cdot x \\
& L=\frac{\alpha(\alpha+2)}{\nu^{2}(\alpha+\beta+2)}\left[-\nu \beta(\beta+2) \frac{k}{\kappa^{1}}+\mu \alpha(\alpha+2) \frac{l}{\kappa^{2}}-\mu \nu(\alpha+\beta+2) \frac{m}{\kappa^{3}}\right] . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

As was noted below (1.24), $L^{2}$ is a constant multiple of $c_{2} / c_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}=\frac{2 \alpha^{2}(\alpha+2)^{2}}{(\alpha+\beta+2)^{2}}[-\alpha \beta(\alpha+2)(\beta+2)+\beta(\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+2) \nu-\alpha(\alpha+2)(\alpha+\beta+2) \mu] \frac{\mu}{\nu^{3}} \frac{c_{2}}{c_{4}} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define the differential operator $D$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\mu(\alpha+2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}-\nu \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}-\beta(\alpha+2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$ and $\omega$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \boldsymbol{a}=D \boldsymbol{b}=D \boldsymbol{c}=D \omega=0 . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there exists another independent variable $\omega^{\prime}$ satisfying $D \omega^{\prime}=0$, e.g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}=\frac{\xi}{\mu(\alpha+2)}+\frac{\eta}{\nu \beta}, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ are represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{a}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{b}=\boldsymbol{b}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right), \boldsymbol{c}=\boldsymbol{c}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have thus seen that the general solution of our ansatz are given by (3.22), (3.26) and (3.27). We note that we have not yet made use of the field equation (2.11). In the next section, we shall see that the field equation can be used to constrain the allowed values of the independent parameters $\alpha, \beta, \mu$ and $\nu$.

## C. Baryon number density

The baryon number current $N^{\lambda}(x)$ in the Skyrme model was defined by Eq.(1.7). Substituting (2.4) into (1.7), we obtain the baryon number density (1.25) with $n_{0}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\alpha^{3}(\alpha+2)^{3} \mu^{2}}{(\alpha+\beta+2) \nu^{4}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. SOLVING THE FIELD EQUATION

To discuss the field equation, it is convenient to intorduce $\boldsymbol{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{R} & =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right) \boldsymbol{a}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right) \boldsymbol{b}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right) \boldsymbol{c} \\
\boldsymbol{Q} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}(\boldsymbol{D}+\boldsymbol{E})+\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(\boldsymbol{E}+\boldsymbol{F})+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(\boldsymbol{D}+\boldsymbol{F}) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the field equation (2.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{Q}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of the ansatzs (3.1) and (3.6), $\boldsymbol{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ can be expressed solely by $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ without their derivatives. After some manipulations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{R}=2(\gamma+1)(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})+2(\alpha+1)(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})+2(\beta+1)(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a}), \\
& \boldsymbol{Q}=[(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})](A \boldsymbol{a}+B \boldsymbol{b}+C \boldsymbol{c})+D(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b})+E(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})+F(\boldsymbol{c} \times \boldsymbol{a}), \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A, B, C, D, E$ and $F$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\beta+\gamma-2 \alpha+\nu+\rho-\mu-\sigma, \\
B & =\alpha+\gamma-2 \beta+\kappa+\nu-\lambda-\mu, \\
C & =\alpha+\beta-2 \gamma+\rho+\kappa-\sigma-\lambda, \\
D & =-\rho \boldsymbol{a}^{2}+\lambda \boldsymbol{b}^{2}+(2 \gamma+2+\lambda-\rho) \boldsymbol{c}^{2}-(2 \gamma+2) \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \\
& +(-2 \gamma-2+2 \lambda) \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}-(2 \gamma+2+2 \rho) \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a},  \tag{4.4}\\
E & =(2 \alpha+2+\sigma-\nu) \boldsymbol{a}^{2}-\nu \boldsymbol{b}^{2}+\sigma \boldsymbol{c}^{2}-(2 \alpha+2+2 \nu) \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \\
& -(2 \alpha+2) \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}+(-2 \alpha-2+2 \sigma) \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \\
F & =\mu \boldsymbol{a}^{2}+(2 \beta+2+\mu-\kappa) \boldsymbol{b}^{2}-\kappa \boldsymbol{c}^{2}+(-2 \beta-2+2 \mu) \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}-(2 \beta+2 \kappa+2) \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c} \\
& -(2 \beta+2) \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} .
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})$ is nonvanishing, (4.2) is equivalent to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{U}=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S} & =\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})}(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{Q})), \\
\mathcal{T} & =\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})}(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot(\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{Q})),  \tag{4.6}\\
\mathcal{U} & =\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})}(\boldsymbol{c} \cdot(\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{Q}))
\end{align*}
$$

By making use of (3.13), we see that $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ take the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{S}=s J(\omega)+s^{\prime} \\
& \mathcal{T}=t J(\omega)+t^{\prime}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \mathcal{U}=u J(\omega)+u^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s, t, u, s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, u^{\prime}$ are constant. we now find that the field equation has been reduced to the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=t=u=s^{\prime}=t^{\prime}=u^{\prime}=0 . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. Solving $s^{\prime}=t^{\prime}=u^{\prime}=0$

We first discuss the equations $s^{\prime}=t^{\prime}=u^{\prime}=0$. The constants $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime}$ in (4.7) are expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{\prime}=B d_{1}+C d_{3}+s_{0} \\
& t^{\prime}=A d_{1}+C d_{2}+t_{0}  \tag{4.9}\\
& u^{\prime}=B d_{2}+A d_{3}+u_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{0}, t_{0}$ and $u_{0}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{0}=(\beta+\gamma+2+\rho-\mu) \boldsymbol{a}^{2}-\nu \boldsymbol{b}^{2}+\sigma \boldsymbol{c}^{2}+2(\alpha+1), \\
& t_{0}=\mu \boldsymbol{a}^{2}+(\alpha+\gamma+2+\nu-\lambda) \boldsymbol{b}^{2}-\kappa \boldsymbol{c}^{2}+2(\beta+1),  \tag{4.10}\\
& u_{0}=-\rho \boldsymbol{a}^{2}+\lambda \boldsymbol{b}^{2}+(\alpha+\beta+2+\kappa-\sigma) \boldsymbol{c}^{2}+2(\gamma+1) .
\end{align*}
$$

To make $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime}$ vanishing, we have only to choose the arbitrary constants $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{1} & =\frac{C u_{0}-A s_{0}-B t_{0}}{2 A B}, \\
d_{2} & =\frac{A s_{0}-B t_{0}-C u_{0}}{2 B C},  \tag{4.11}\\
d_{3} & =\frac{B t_{0}-A s_{0}-C u_{0}}{2 A C}
\end{align*}
$$

B. Solving $s=t=u=0$

We next consider the three equations $s=t=u=0$. It can be seen that they are equivalent to the following algebraic equations for $\mu$ and $\nu$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}(\mu, \nu)=p_{i} \mu^{2}+2 h_{i} \mu \nu+q_{i} \nu^{2}+2 g_{i} \mu+2 f_{i} \nu+r_{i}=0, \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i} h_{i}, q_{i}, g_{i}, f_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ are given by $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}=(2+2 \alpha+\beta)(4+2 \alpha+\beta), \\
q_{1}=\beta(2+\beta), \\
r_{1}=\beta(2+\beta)(2+\alpha+\beta)^{2}, \\
h_{1}=2 \alpha(1+\beta)+(2+\beta)^{2}, \\
g_{1}=(2+\alpha+\beta)\left[2 \alpha(1+\beta)+(2+\beta)^{2}\right], \\
f_{1}=-\beta(2+\beta)(2+\alpha+\beta),
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.13}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{2}=\alpha(2+\alpha), \\
q_{2}=(2+\alpha+2 \beta)(4+\alpha+2 \beta), \\
r_{2}=\alpha(2+\alpha)(2+\alpha+\beta)^{2}, \\
h_{2}=(2+\alpha)^{2}+2(1+\alpha) \beta, \\
g_{2}=\alpha(2+\alpha)(2+\alpha+\beta), \\
f_{2}=-(2+\alpha+\beta)\left[(2+\alpha)^{2}+2(1+\alpha) \beta\right],
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.14}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{3}=1, \\
q_{3}=1, \\
r_{3}=-4+(\alpha-\beta)^{2}, \\
h_{3}=-1, \\
g_{3}=\beta-\alpha, \\
f_{3}=\beta-\alpha .
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ must be chosen so that the simultaneous equations (4.12) of second order for $\mu$ and $\nu$ possess a common root $(\mu, \nu)$. To obtain the condition that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ must satisfy, assuming $p_{1}, q_{1}, h_{1} \neq 0$, we rewrite the equation $F_{i}(\mu, \nu)=0 \quad(i=1,2,3)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1} \nu^{2}+2 G_{1} \mu+2 F_{1} \nu+R_{1} & =0  \tag{4.16}\\
Q_{2} \mu^{2}+2 G_{2} \mu+2 F_{2} \nu+R_{2} & =0  \tag{4.17}\\
2 Q_{3} \mu \nu+2 G_{3} \mu+2 F_{3} \nu+R_{3} & =0 \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{i}, G_{i}, F_{i}$ and $R_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{q}=\varepsilon_{i j k} p_{i} h_{j} q_{k}, \\
Q_{2} & =(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{p}=-Q_{1}, \\
Q_{3} & =(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{h}=-Q_{1},
\end{aligned}\right.  \tag{4.19}\\
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
G_{1} & =(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{g}, \\
G_{2} & =(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{g}, \\
G_{3} & =(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{g},
\end{aligned}\right.  \tag{4.20}\\
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
F_{1} & =(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}, \\
F_{2} & =(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}, \\
F_{3} & =(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{f},
\end{aligned}\right.  \tag{4.21}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
R_{1}=(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}, \\
R_{2}
\end{array}=(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{r},\right.  \tag{4.22}\\
& R_{3}= \\
& =(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we eliminate $\mu$ from (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} \nu^{4}+A_{1} \nu^{3}+A_{2} \nu^{2}+A_{3} \nu+A_{4}=0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{0}=Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}  \tag{4.24}\\
A_{1}=4 F_{1} Q_{1} Q_{2} \\
A_{2}=4 F_{1}^{2} Q_{2}+2 Q_{1} Q_{2} R_{1}-4 Q_{1} G_{1} G_{2} \\
A_{3}=4 Q_{2} F_{1} R_{1}-8 F_{1} G_{1} G_{2}+8 F_{2} G_{1}^{2} \\
A_{4}=Q_{2} R_{1}^{2}-4 G_{1} G_{2} R_{1}+4 R_{2} G_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we eliminate $\mu$ from (4.16) and (4.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0} \nu^{3}+B_{1} \nu^{2}+B_{2} \nu+B_{3}=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B_{0}=Q_{1} Q_{3}  \tag{4.26}\\
B_{1}=Q_{1} G_{3}+2 F_{1} Q_{3} \\
B_{2}=R_{1} Q_{3}+2 F_{1} G_{3}-2 F_{3} G_{1} \\
B_{3}=G_{3} R_{1}-G_{1} R_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The condition for the two equations (4.23) and (4.25) to have a common root $\nu$ is that the resultant for these equations vanishes:

$$
R(\alpha, \beta) \equiv\left|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A_{0} & A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & A_{4} & 0 & 0  \tag{4.27}\\
0 & A_{0} & A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & A_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{0} & A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & A_{4} \\
B_{0} & B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & B_{0} & B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B_{0} & B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & B_{0} & B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

We note that the condition (4.27) is necessary but not sufficient for the original equations (4.12) to have a common root $(\mu, \nu)$. In general, the condition (4.27) is a very complicated relation between $\alpha$ and $\beta$. It is clear, however, that there exist infinite number of pairs ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) that satisfy (4.27).

For simplicity, let us consider the case $\alpha=\beta$. Then (4.27) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{4}(\beta+1)^{56}(\beta+2)^{4} R_{1}(\beta) R_{2}(\beta)=0 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{1}(\beta)$ and $R_{2}(\beta)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}(\beta)=\beta^{4}+8 \beta^{3}+18 \beta^{2}+16 \beta+4, \\
& R_{2}(\beta)=\beta^{4}-6 \beta^{2}-8 \beta-4 . \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

We here exclude the case $\alpha \beta(\alpha+2)(\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+2)=0$, in which our above formulation should be modified. We find two real roots

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1}=-2-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{2}}}{2} \\
& \beta_{2}=-2-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{2}}}{2} \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

of $R_{1}(\beta)=0$ and two real roots

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{2}}}{2} \\
& \beta_{4}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{2}}}{2} \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

of $R_{2}(\beta)=0$. We see, however, that only the roots $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ give rise to the common root $(\mu, \nu)$ for (4.12). For both $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, the common root $(\mu, \nu)$ is given by $(1,-1)$. As was stated below (4.27), this is because (4.27) is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for (4.12) to possess a common root $(\mu, \nu)$.

## C. Constraints for $\sqrt{a^{2}}, \sqrt{b^{2}}$ and $\sqrt{c^{2}}$

In order to obtain $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$, we must keep up the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a b \leqq \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \leqq a b \\
& -b c \leqq \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c} \leqq b c  \tag{4.32}\\
& -c a \leqq \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \leqq c a
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ denote $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}^{2}}, \sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}^{2}}$ and $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{c}^{2}}$, respectively. With the help of the inequality $\wp(\omega) \geqq e_{1}$, which is valid in the case noted arround (3.20), we easily obtain the maxima and the minima of $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$. They depend on the signatures of $(\alpha+\beta+2) z_{1}, \mu z_{1}$ and $-\nu z_{1}$. For the above example $\alpha=\beta=\beta_{1}, \mu=1, \nu=-1$, we have $(\alpha+\beta+2) z_{1}>0$, $\mu z_{1}=-\nu z_{1}<0$ and obtain the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Min}(X, Y, Z) \geqq e_{2}>e_{3} \geqq \operatorname{Max}(U, V, W) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X, Y, Z, U, V$ and $W$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\frac{1}{z_{1}}\left(\frac{a b-d_{1}}{\alpha+\beta+2}-z_{2}\right), \\
Y & =-\frac{1}{z_{1}}\left(b c+d_{2}+z_{2}\right), \\
Z & =-\frac{1}{z_{1}}\left(c a+d_{3}+z_{2}\right), \\
U & =-\frac{1}{z_{1}}\left(\frac{a b+d_{1}}{\alpha+\beta+2}+z_{2}\right),  \tag{4.34}\\
V & =\frac{1}{z_{1}}\left(b c-d_{2}-z_{2}\right) \\
W & =\frac{1}{z_{1}}\left(c a-d_{3}-z_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A sufficient conditions for (4.33) to hold valid are

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(U), f(V), f(W)<0 \\
& f(\operatorname{Min}(X, Y, Z))<0  \tag{4.35}\\
& \operatorname{Max}(U, V, W)<u_{-}<\operatorname{Min}(X, Y, Z),
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(u)$ and $u_{-}$are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=4\left(u-e_{1}\right)\left(u-e_{2}\right)\left(u-e_{3}\right) \equiv 4 u^{3}-g_{2} u-g_{3} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u_{-}=-\frac{\sqrt{g_{2}}}{2 \sqrt{3}}$, satisfying $f^{\prime}\left(u_{-}\right)=0$. It can be numerically checked that, e.g. $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}^{2}}=6$, $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}^{2}}=3, \sqrt{\boldsymbol{c}^{2}}=1$ satisfy (4.35). Thus we realize that there indeed exist solutions that we intended to obtain.

As for the case $\alpha=\beta=\beta_{2}, \mu=1, \nu=-1$, we have $(\alpha+\beta+2) z_{1}>0, \mu z_{1}=-\nu z_{1}>0$. We then find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Min}(X, V, W) \geqq e_{2}>e_{3} \geqq \operatorname{Max}(U, Y, Z) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

should be satisfied. In this case, we obtain sufficient conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(U), f(Y), f(Z)<0 \\
& f(\operatorname{Min}(X, V, W))<0 \\
& \operatorname{Max}(U, Y, Z)<u_{-}<\operatorname{Min}(X, V, W) . \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

We find that, e.g. $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}^{2}}=\sqrt{5 / 6}, \sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}^{2}}=1$ and $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{c}^{2}}=2$ are the case.

## D. The expressions for $a, b, c$

We finally discuss how to obtain $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$ from given $\boldsymbol{a}^{2}, \boldsymbol{b}^{2}, \boldsymbol{c}^{2}, \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$. Expressing $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}=a b \cos \theta(\omega) \\
& \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}=b c \cos \phi(\omega)  \tag{4.39}\\
& \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}=a c \cos \psi(\omega)
\end{align*}
$$

we introduce the unit vectors $\boldsymbol{e}(\omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{f}(\omega)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{e}(\omega)=\left(\sin \theta(\omega) \cos \alpha_{1}(\omega), \sin \theta(\omega) \sin \alpha_{1}(\omega), \cos \theta(\omega)\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{f}(\omega)=\left(\sin \psi(\omega) \cos \alpha_{2}(\omega), \sin \psi(\omega) \sin \alpha_{2}(\omega), \cos \psi(\omega)\right) \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (4.39) with (3.13), we can regard $\theta(\omega), \phi(\omega)$ and $\psi(\omega)$ as given by $K(\omega)$. The angles $\alpha_{1}(\omega)$ and $\alpha_{2}(\omega)$ can be chosen so as to satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos \phi(\omega) & =\cos \theta(\omega) \cos \psi(\omega)+\sin \theta(\omega) \sin \psi(\omega) \cos \alpha(\omega)  \tag{4.41}\\
\alpha(\omega) & =\alpha_{1}(\omega)-\alpha_{2}(\omega) . \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

We also introduce unit vectors $\boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right), \boldsymbol{j}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$. They can be assumed to satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{j}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{k}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=0  \tag{4.43}\\
& \boldsymbol{j}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{k}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\cos \alpha(\omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{a}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=a \boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{b}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=b \cos \theta(\omega) \boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)+b \sin \theta(\omega) \boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \times \boldsymbol{j}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{c}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)=c \cos \psi(\omega) \boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)+c \sin \psi(\omega) \boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \times \boldsymbol{k}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that the above $\boldsymbol{a}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right), \boldsymbol{b}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{c}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy (3.13). We find that $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})$ is calculated to be $a b c \sin \theta \sin \psi \cos \alpha$. The relationship among $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}$ can be depcted as FIG.1. We note that we still have the freedom in choosing $\boldsymbol{i}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{j}\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right)$.


FIG. 1: Relationship among $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}$.

## V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

We have obtained the solutions of the Skyrme model of the form $g(x)=h(k \cdot x, l \cdot x, m \cdot x)$ with the momenta $k, l, m$ satisfying $k^{2}=l^{2}=m^{2}=0$. We found that the variables $\xi, \eta$ and $\zeta$ defined by (2.3) and the fields $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ defined by (2.5) are convenient to describe the problem. The existency condition for $g(x)$ and the field equation were expressed in compact forms as seen in (2.8) and (2.11). To solve these equations, we introduced the ansatzs (3.1) and (3.6) for the derivatives of $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ with respect to $\xi, \eta$ and $\zeta$. The ansatzs contained nine parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \nu, \kappa, \lambda, \rho$ and $\sigma$. It was observed that the consistency of the ansatzs yield five constraints (3.8) for the parameters, leaving $\alpha, \beta, \mu$ and $\nu$ independent. Through the ansatzs, we found that $\boldsymbol{a}^{2}, \boldsymbol{b}^{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}^{2}$ are constants, $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$ are given by the Weierstrass $\wp$-function, and $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})$ is given by the derivative of the $\wp$-function.

With the help of the ansatzs, the field equation was reduced to six algebraic constraints for the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu, d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$, where $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are arbitrary constants contained in $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}$ and $\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$, respectively. Three of the six constraints were used to fix $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$. The structure of the remained three constraints for $\alpha, \beta, \mu$ and $\nu$ were discussed. It was confirmed numerically that there indeed exist solutions of the field equation.

From the solutions $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$, we can construct $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$ by (2.4). The matrix field $g(x)$ is
obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=g\left(x_{0}\right) \bar{P}_{\lambda} \exp \left[i \int_{0}^{1} d \lambda A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x(\lambda)) \tau^{\alpha}\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(\lambda)$ parametrizes a path from $x_{0}=x(0)$ to $x=x(1)$, and $\bar{P}_{\lambda}$ is the anti- $\lambda$ ordering operator. The value of $g(x)$ is independent of the choice of the path thanks to Eq. (2.4). The above expression for $g(x)$ is rather symbolical because the path-ordered quantity is not easy to carry out explicitly. Some important physical quantities, however, can be calculated in terms of $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$. One example is the baryon number current discussed in Sec.I and Sec.III. The isospin current touched upon in Sec.I is also calculated through $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$. Another physical quantity which can be calculated from $A_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x)$ is the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu \nu}(x)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{S}}{\partial A^{\alpha, \mu}} A_{\nu}^{\alpha}-\eta_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{L}_{S} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It straightforward to obtain the result such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu}^{\mu}=-\frac{32 c_{2}^{2}}{c_{4}}[(\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b})+(\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{c})+(\boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{a})] . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the solution of this paper, we see that $T_{\mu}{ }^{\mu}$ is given as a linear function of $\wp\left(\omega+\omega_{3}\right)$. We can also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\mu} k^{\nu} T_{\mu \nu}=16 \kappa_{1}^{2} \frac{c_{2}^{3}}{c_{4}^{2}}\left[(\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{c})^{2}+2(\boldsymbol{b} \times \boldsymbol{c})^{2}\right] \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and find that it contains $\left[\wp\left(\omega+\omega_{3}\right)\right]^{2}$. The solutions obtained in this paper are of wave character. They are regarded as the superpositions of three plane waves. We end this paper with asking what the ansatz leading to the exact solitonic solutions of the Skyrme model is.
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