

## Lagrangian formulation of some $q$ -deformed systems

**R.P.Malik** \* †

*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,  
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, P. O. Box 79, 101000 Moscow, Russia*

**Abstract:** In the framework of Lagrangian formulation, some  $q$ -deformed physical systems are considered. The  $q$ -deformed Legendre transformation is obtained for the free motion of a non-relativistic particle on a quantum line. This is subsequently exploited to obtain the Lagrangians for the  $q$ -deformed harmonic oscillator and  $q$ -deformed relativistic free particle. The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are derived in a consistent manner with the corresponding Hamilton's equations. The Lagrangian for the  $q$ -deformed relativistic particle is endowed with the  $q$ -deformed gauge symmetry and reparametrization invariance which are shown to be equivalent only for  $q = \pm 1$ .

---

\*E-mail address: malik@boson.bose.res.in

†Present address: S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block-JD, Sector-III, Salt Lake, Calcutta-700 098, West Bengal, India.

The concept of deformation has played a notable part in the development of modern theoretical physics. The most familiar and cardinal examples of such a class of deformed physical theories are presumed to be the quantum mechanics and the special theory of relativity with deformation parameters like Planck's constant ( $\hbar$ ) and the speed of light ( $c$ ), respectively [1,2]. A key feature of thorough understanding of these theories is the emergence of a couple of fundamental constants of nature, namely;  $\hbar$  and  $c$ . It is conjectured that the deformation of groups, based on the quasi-triangular Hopf algebras [3,4], together with the ideas of non-commutative geometry might provide a "fundamental length" in the context of spacetime quantization [5] which would have close kinship with the dimensionless deformation parameter ( $q$ ) of the deformed groups [6]. Some attempts have recently been made to associate " $q$ " with the relativistic quantities [7] and the length of compactification [8] in the context of some concrete physical examples. In addition, these deformed (so-called quantum) groups have also been treated as gauge groups for the development of the  $q$ -deformed gauge theories [9].

It is an interesting endeavour to apply the ideas of the quantum groups in a cogent way to some known physical systems [7-10]. The purpose of the present paper is to develop the Lagrangian formulation for some known physical systems by exploiting the basic ingredients of the quantum group  $GL_q(2)$  and the corresponding differential calculus [11] discussed on the quantum phase space [12]. We obtain the  $q$ -deformed Legendre transformations and relevant Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for a free non-relativistic particle, a harmonic oscillator and a relativistic particle on a quantum line which are consistent with the  $q$ -deformed Hamilton's equations of motion. One of the salient features of our approach is that the equations of motion for a given  $q$ -deformed physical system remain *the same* as that of its undeformed (classical) counterpart but the momentum, velocity and force, etc., depend on the deformation parameter  $q$ . It is fascinating to find that the mass and metric in the case of the  $q$ -deformed relativistic particle turn out to be non-commutative objects on the quantum world-line, embedded in a  $D$ -dimensional undeformed flat Minkowski space.

We start off with the free motion of a non-relativistic particle on a quantum-line [12] characterized by the coordinate generator  $x(t)$  and momentum generator  $p(t)$  that satisfy the following relationship on this line <sup>‡</sup>

$$x(t) p(t) = q p(t) x(t), \tag{1}$$

where the  $q$ -trajectory of the particle, moving on a  $q$ -deformed cotangent manifold, is parametrized by a real commuting variable  $t$ . It is straightforward to check that the above relation is form-invariant under the following  $GL_q(2)$  transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

---

<sup>‡</sup>Note that the definition of the quantum-line present in Ref. [12] would be obtained from (1) by the replacement:  $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$ .

if we assume the commutativity of the phase variables with the elements  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  of the  $2 \times 2$   $GL_q(2)$  matrix obeying the following braiding relations in rows and columns

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= qba, & cd &= qdc, & ac &= qca, & bc &= cb, \\ bd &= qdb, & ad - da &= (q - q^{-1})bc. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

To develop the Lagrangian formulation for a given classical system, it is essential to discuss its dynamics in the tangent (velocity phase) space. The second-order Lagrangian ( $L_s$ ) describing the free motion ( $m\ddot{x} = 0$ ) in this space is

$$L_s = \frac{q}{1 + q^2} m\dot{x}^2, \quad (4)$$

where, in addition to  $x(t)$  and  $p(t)$ , the  $t$ -independent mass parameter  $m$ , is also a Hermitian element of an algebra in involution (i.e.  $|q| = 1$ ) and  $\dot{x} = dx/dt$ .

The most basic geometrical object in classical mechanics is the non-degenerate and closed two-form symplectic structure, defined on a symplectic (cotangent) manifold. The covariant and contravariant symplectic metrics that reduce to their classical canonical counterparts in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ , are (see, e.g., Ref. [2])

$$\Omega^{AB}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1/2} \\ q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega_{AB}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \\ -q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The first-order Lagrangian ( $L_f$ ) describing the free motion ( $\dot{p} = 0$ ) can be obtained by exploiting the covariant metric  $\Omega_{AB}(q)$  in the following Legendre transformations

$$L_f = z^A \bar{\Omega}_{AB}(q) \dot{z}^B - H = q^{1/2} p \dot{x} - H, \quad (6)$$

where  $z^A = (x, p)$ ,  $H$  is the Hamiltonian function defined on the cotangent manifold and the general expression (see, e.g., Ref. [8])  $\bar{\Omega}_{AB}(q) = \int_0^1 \Omega_{AB}(\alpha z) \alpha d\alpha$  reduces in our case to  $\bar{\Omega}_{AB}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{AB}(q)$ . The definition of the canonical momentum ( $p$ ) crucially depends upon the choice of the symplectic metric and the (non-)commutativity of the velocity ( $\dot{x}$ ) and momentum ( $p$ ) in the Legendre transformations (6). For the first- and second-order Lagrangians, the consistent expression for this quantity is

$$p = q^{-3/2} \left( \frac{\partial L_{(f,s)}}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) \equiv q^{1/2} m\dot{x}, \quad (7)$$

where the on-shell non-commutative relations  $\dot{x}m = qm\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{x}p = qp\dot{x}$ , emerging from the  $GL_q(2)$  invariant quantum-line (1), have been used in the derivation of (7). Furthermore, consistent with these non-commutative relations, the following rule of the differentiation has been invoked

$$\frac{\partial(y^r \dot{x}^s)}{\partial \dot{x}} = y^r \dot{x}^{s-1} q^r \frac{1 - q^{2s}}{1 - q^2}, \quad (8)$$

where  $y = m, p$  and  $r, s \in \mathcal{Z}$  are whole numbers but not fractions. The Hamiltonian function  $H$ , describing the motion in the cotangent space, can be obtained by the Legendre

transformation ( $H = q^{1/2}p\dot{x} - L_s$ ) and equation (7). This is expressed in terms of the non-commutative mass parameter ( $m$ ) and momentum ( $p$ ) as [12]

$$H = \frac{q^2}{1+q^2} p m^{-1} p. \quad (9)$$

The contravariant symplectic metric of (5) is used in the computation of the  $q$ -deformed Poisson brackets present in the Hamilton's equations of motion. For instance,  $\dot{x} = \{x, H\}_q = \Omega^{AB} \partial_A x \partial_B H \equiv q^{-1/2} m^{-1} p$  and  $\dot{p} = \{p, H\}_q = 0$  are obtained due to the  $GL_q(2)$  invariant differential calculus defined on the phase space. All the on-shell, associative and non-commutative relations, resulting from defining quantum-line equation (1), are listed below

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} p &= q p \dot{x}, & p m &= q m p, \\ \dot{x} m &= q m \dot{x}, & x m &= q m x, & x \dot{x} &= \dot{x} x. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the computation of the Hamilton's equations of motion ( $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{p}$ ), the Hamiltonian is first of all recast in the monomial form  $m^r p^s$  ( $r, s \in \mathcal{Z}$  are whole numbers but not fractions) and the following differentiation rule is used

$$\frac{\partial(m^r p^s)}{\partial p} = m^r p^{s-1} q^r \frac{1 - q^{2s}}{1 - q^2}. \quad (11)$$

One of the key features of our discussion is that the  $q$ -dependence appears only in the expressions for velocity and momentum but the equations of motion remain the same as that in the undeformed case. In addition to the description of quantization on a quantum-line, it has been demonstrated in Ref. [12] that the solutions of the equations of motion respect  $GL_q(2)$  invariance at any arbitrary time  $t$ .

The Hamilton's equations of motion can be derived by requiring the invariance of the action ( $S = \int L_f dt$ ) in the framework of the principle of the least action, as illustrated below

$$\delta S = 0 = \int \left( q^{1/2} \delta p \dot{x} + q^{1/2} p \delta \dot{x} - \delta x \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} - \delta p \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \right) dt, \quad (12)$$

where Hamiltonian is assumed to possess no explicit time dependence. Now taking all the variations to the left side by exploiting the following on-shell  $q$ -commutation relations resulting from (1)

$$\delta \dot{x} p = q p \delta \dot{x}, \quad \dot{x} \delta p = q \delta p \dot{x}, \quad (13)$$

and dropping off the total derivative term by choosing appropriate boundary conditions on the transformation parameters, we obtain the following equations of motion

$$\dot{x} = q^{-1/2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \quad \dot{p} = -q^{1/2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad (14)$$

which are in total agreement with the choice of the contravariant metric (5) and the  $q$ -deformed Poisson-brackets.

To derive the deformed Euler-Lagrange equations of motion, it is instructive to consider the  $q$ -deformed harmonic oscillator on the quantum-line (1). The Hamiltonian, first- and second-order Lagrangians for this system are

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{osc} &= \frac{q^2}{1+q^2} p m^{-1} p + \frac{q^{-2}\omega^2}{1+q^2} x m x, \\
L_f^{osc} &= q^{1/2} p \dot{x} - \frac{q^2}{1+q^2} p m^{-1} p - \frac{q^{-2}\omega^2}{1+q^2} x m x, \\
L_s^{osc} &= \frac{q}{1+q^2} m \dot{x}^2 - \frac{q^{-2}\omega^2}{1+q^2} x m x,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the frequency  $\omega$  is a commuting number. All the  $q$ -commutation relations (10) are valid in this case as well, because, the Hamilton's equations of motion  $\dot{x} = \{x, H^{osc}\}_q = q^{-1/2}m^{-1}p$  and  $\dot{p} = \{p, H^{osc}\}_q = -\omega^2 q^{1/2}mx$  do not spoil these relations. Moreover, the extra  $q$ -commutation relations  $x\dot{p} = q\dot{p}x$  and  $p\dot{p} = \dot{p}p$  are automatically satisfied due to (10). The expression for the canonical momentum ( $p$ ) is same as (7) and, consistent with the Hamilton's equations, the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion ( $\ddot{x} = -\omega^2x$ ) is

$$q^{-3/2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L_{(f,s)}^{osc}}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = q^{1/2} \left( \frac{\partial L_{(f,s)}^{osc}}{\partial x} \right). \tag{16}$$

The Hamiltonian ( $H_v$ ), describing the motion of a classical  $q$ -deformed particle moving under the influence of a potential  $V(x)$  is as follows

$$H_v = \frac{q^2}{1+q^2} p m^{-1} p + V(x). \tag{17}$$

The first- and second-order Lagrangians can be defined in an analogous manner as in (15). All the non-commutative relations listed above and the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion remain the same if the potential obeys

$$x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = q \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} x. \tag{18}$$

This requirement implies that the force on the system is a non-commutative object. Equation (18) is satisfied by the harmonic oscillator potential due to (10). The  $GL_q(2)$  invariant evolution equations, quantization and oscillator realizations have been discussed in Ref. [12].

With the above background, we shall dwell a bit more on the free motion of a  $q$ -deformed relativistic particle on a quantum world-line parametrized by a commuting evolution parameter  $\tau$ . A quantum world-line, traced out by the free motion of a  $q$ -relativistic particle in a  $D$ -dimensional flat Minkowski phase space, is defined in terms of the coordinate generator  $x_\mu$  and the momentum generator  $p_\mu$  as

$$x_\mu(\tau) p^\mu(\tau) = q p^\mu(\tau) x_\mu(\tau). \tag{19}$$

It can be readily seen that the above definition of the quantum world-line remains invariant under the following transformations

$$x_\mu \rightarrow a x_\mu + b p_\mu, \quad p_\mu \rightarrow c x_\mu + d p_\mu, \quad (20)$$

if we assume the commutativity of the phase variables with the elements  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  of a  $2 \times 2$   $GL_q(2)$  matrix obeying the braiding relations (3). In the definition of the  $q$ -world line (19), the repeated indices are summed over ( $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, D-1$ ) and  $GL_q(2)$  symmetry transformations (20) are implied for each component-pairs of the phase variables:  $(x_0, p_0), (x_1, p_1), \dots, (x_{D-1}, p_{D-1})$ .

The Hamiltonian, describing the free motion of the  $q$ -relativistic particle, is as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{q}{1+q^2} (p_\mu e p^\mu - m e m), \quad (21)$$

where  $e$  is the einbein (metric) and all the variables, except the mass parameter  $m$ , are a function of  $\tau$ . The Hamilton's equations of motion, derived from the generalized form of the Poisson-bracket and symplectic metric (5), are as follows

$$\dot{x}_\mu = \{x_\mu, \mathcal{H}\}_q = q^{1/2} e p_\mu, \quad \dot{p}_\mu = \{p_\mu, \mathcal{H}\}_q = 0, \quad (22)$$

where  $\dot{x}_\mu = (dx_\mu/d\tau)$ . The defining quantum world-line equation (19), together with (22) and associativity requirements, leads to the validity of the following on-shell non-commutative relations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_\mu p^\mu &= q p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu, & \ddot{x}_\mu p^\mu &= q p^\mu \ddot{x}_\mu, & em &= q me, & p_\mu m &= m p_\mu, \\ \dot{x}_\mu m &= q m \dot{x}_\mu, & ep_\mu &= q p_\mu e, & x_\mu m &= q m x_\mu, & e \dot{x}_\mu &= q \dot{x}_\mu e. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

It can be seen that these relations are consistent <sup>§</sup> with  $x_\mu x_\nu = x_\nu x_\mu, p_\mu p_\nu = p_\nu p_\mu, x_\mu p_\nu = qp_\nu x_\mu$  if we assume  $ex_\mu = qx_\mu e$  and use the on-shell conditions (22). The first- and second-order Lagrangians can be derived from the Hamiltonian (21), as listed below

$$\begin{aligned} L_F &= q^{1/2} p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - \frac{q}{1+q^2} (p_\mu e p^\mu - m e m), \\ L_S &= \frac{q^2}{1+q^2} e^{-1} (\dot{x}_\mu)^2 + \frac{q}{1+q^2} m e m. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Analogous to eq. (7) and consistent with (22), the expression for the canonical momentum ( $p_\mu$ ) is

$$p_\mu = q^{-3/2} \left( \frac{\partial L_{(F,S)}}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) \equiv q^{-1/2} e^{-1} \dot{x}_\mu. \quad (25)$$

---

<sup>§</sup>It should be emphasized here that the relations  $x_\mu x_\nu = x_\nu x_\mu, p_\mu p_\nu = p_\nu p_\mu, x_\mu p_\nu = qp_\nu x_\mu$  have played a very significant role in the construction of a consistent dynamics in the non-commutative phase space (*R. P. Malik, A. K. Mishra, G. Rajasekaran, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 13 (1998) 4759*, arXiv: hep-th/9707004). In a recent work (*R. P. Malik, Dynamics in a noncommutative space*, arXiv: hep-th/0302224), these relations have been exploited to establish a neat connection between some of the basic ideas of non-commutative geometry and a few key properties (and related notions) of the quantum groups.

The  $q$ -differentiation [11] of the second-order Lagrangian  $L_S$  with respect to the multiplier field “ $e$ ” yields

$$\frac{q^4}{1+q^2}(m^2 - e^{-1}\dot{x}_\mu e^{-1}\dot{x}^\mu) = 0, \quad (26)$$

which, in turn, leads to the mass-shell condition for the  $q$ -deformed free particle as follows

$$p_\mu p^\mu - m^2 = 0. \quad (27)$$

This equation is one of the Casimir invariants of the Poincaré group corresponding to the undeformed Minkowski space. The eigen value of this operator and Pauli-Lubanski vector would designate the eigen states, that would be needed for the representation theory of the Poincaré group. This constraint condition is in neat conformity with the recent discussion [13] of the Klein-Gordon equation and the Dirac equation derived from the  $q$ -deformation of the Dirac  $\gamma$ -matrices. Furthermore, eq. (26) yields the following relationship among einbein, velocity and mass parameter

$$e^{-2} = m^2 (\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu)^{-1}. \quad (28)$$

The computation of  $e$  and  $e^{-1}$  from (28) is a bit tricky because of the non-commutativity of velocity and mass. A nice and simple way to compute these is firstly start with

$$e^{-1} = f(q) m (\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu)^{-1/2}, \quad (29)$$

and require the validity of (28). Using the  $q$ -commutation relations (23), the second-order Lagrangian  $L_S$  can be recast in various forms where  $e^{-1}$  and  $e$  would occupy different positions in its first and second terms. The requirement of equality of the resulting Lagrangians leads to the determination of  $f(q)$  to be  $q^{1/2}$  if the substitution (29) is made<sup>¶</sup>. Ultimately, the following  $q$ -deformed Lagrangian with square root is obtained from the second order Lagrangian  $L_S$

$$L_0 = q^{1/2} m (\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu)^{1/2}. \quad (30)$$

The action  $A = q^{1/2} m \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau (\dot{x}^2)^{1/2} \equiv q^{1/2} m \int_1^2 ds$  corresponding to (30) and, proportional to the path length  $ds = (dx_\mu dx^\mu)^{1/2}$ , is invariant under the undeformed reparametrization transformations  $\tau \rightarrow f(\tau)$ , where  $f(\tau)$  is a monotonically varying function of  $\tau$ . The definition of the canonical momentum (25) is correct in the case of the above Lagrangian too. This can be seen (with  $(\dot{x}^2)^{1/2} m = qm(\dot{x}^2)^{1/2}$ ) as follows

$$p_\mu = q^{-3/2} \frac{\partial(\dot{x}^2)}{\partial\dot{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial(\dot{x}^2)^{1/2}}{\partial\dot{x}^2} \frac{\partial[q^{1/2}m(\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu)^{1/2}]}{\partial(\dot{x}^2)^{1/2}} \equiv \dot{x}_\mu (\dot{x}^2)^{-1/2} m. \quad (31)$$

It should be emphasized here that, while computing the  $q$ -derivative of the  $q$ -variables with fractional power, the following rule has to be invoked

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^{r/s}) = \frac{1 - q^{2r}}{1 - q^{2s}} x^{(r/s)-1}, \quad (32)$$

---

<sup>¶</sup>We have also used  $\dot{x}_\mu (\dot{x}^2)^{1/2} = (\dot{x}^2)^{1/2} \dot{x}_\mu$  which results in from (29) with  $e\dot{x}_\mu = q\dot{x}_\mu e$  and  $x_\mu m = qm\dot{x}_\mu$ .

where  $r$  is *not* divisible by  $s$  ( $r, s \in \mathcal{Z}$ ). Furthermore, the mass-shell condition (27) is satisfied for both the left chain rule as well as the right chain rule of differentiation, implemented in the computation of (31).

The equation of motion  $\dot{p}_\mu = 0$ , resulting from (31), leads to the following expression

$$\ddot{x}_\mu(\dot{x})^2 - \dot{x}_\mu(\dot{x}_\nu \dot{x}^\nu) = 0, \quad (33)$$

which corresponds to the equation of motion in the undeformed case. It is difficult to extract out the evolution equation at arbitrary  $\tau$  from (33). The viable alternative is to parametrize the evolution equation in terms of the path length “ $s$ ” [14]. In the purview of this change of parametrization, the canonical momentum  $p_\mu = m(dx_\mu/ds) \equiv m\dot{x}_\mu(\dot{x}^2)^{-1/2}$ , leads to the equation of motion ( $m(d^2x_\mu/ds^2) = 0$ ). The evolution equations

$$x_\mu(s) = x_\mu(0) + m^{-1} p_\mu(0) s, \quad p_\mu(s) = p_\mu(0), \quad (34)$$

respect the “ $GL_q(2)$ -invariance” (i.e.  $x_\mu(s)p^\mu(s) = qp^\mu(s)x_\mu(s)$ ) at any arbitrary value of the path-length  $s$  because  $sp_\mu(0) = qp_\mu(0)s$  and  $sm = qms$  <sup>||</sup>. It is worth pointing out that, in contrast to the commutativity of  $\tau$ , the path length  $s$  is a non-commutative parameter which turns out to be handy only in the description of the evolution equations.

It is important to pin-point here that, unlike the non-relativistic cases where  $pm = qmp$ , one obtains  $p_\mu m = mp_\mu$  in the case of  $q$ -deformed relativistic particle. The correctness of these relations can be checked by using the on-shell  $q$ -commutation relations (10), (23) and the substitution  $p = q^{1/2}m\dot{x}$ ,  $p_\mu = q^{-1/2}e^{-1}\dot{x}_\mu$ . In fact, the space part of  $p_\mu m = q^{-1/2}e^{-1}\dot{x}_\mu m$  reduces to  $q^{-1/2}m\dot{x}m$  in the one-dimensional non-relativistic limit which corresponds to  $\dot{x}_\mu \rightarrow \dot{x}$ ,  $(\dot{x}^2)^{1/2} \rightarrow 1$  and  $e^{-1} = q^{1/2}m(\dot{x}^2)^{-1/2} \rightarrow q^{1/2}m$ . Now, due to (10), it is clear that  $m\dot{x}m = qmm\dot{x}$  which yields the non-relativistic relation  $pm = qmp$ . This conclusion can also be drawn from the relativistic relation  $p_\mu e^{-1} = qe^{-1}p_\mu$  because in the non-relativistic limit:  $p_\mu \rightarrow p$ ,  $e^{-1} = q^{1/2}m(\dot{x}^2)^{-1/2} \rightarrow q^{1/2}m$ . The commutativity of the mass parameter  $m$  and momenta  $p_\mu$  in the case of the  $q$ -relativistic particle is primarily due to the existence of the mass-shell condition (27).

All three Lagrangians of (24) and (30) are equivalent and are endowed with gauge and reparametrization symmetries. To illustrate this, we shall concentrate on the first order Lagrangian  $L_F$ . It is obvious that the  $q$ -canonical momentum ( $\Pi_e$ ) with respect to the multiplier field  $e(\tau)$  is zero. Thus,  $\Pi_e \approx 0$  is the primary constraint. The secondary constraint  $\Pi_e^{(1)}$  can be obtained by requiring the consistency of the primary constraint under time evolution, generated by the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$ . This is given by

$$\Pi_e^{(1)} = \{\Pi_e, \mathcal{H}\}_q = -\frac{q^{1/2}q^4}{1+q^2}(p^2 - m^2) \approx 0, \quad (35)$$

---

<sup>||</sup>These  $q$ -commutation relations are obtained due to (29),  $ep_\mu = qp_\mu e$ ,  $(\dot{x}^2)^{1/2}m = qm(\dot{x}^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $p_\mu m = mp_\mu$  and the commutativity property of  $\tau$  with the phase variables.



which amounts to the validity of the mass-shell condition. Both these constraints are first-class in the language of Dirac and there are no tertiary constraints. The gauge symmetry transformations, generated by these constraints, are as follows

$$\delta x^\mu = q^{1/2} \xi p^\mu, \quad \delta p^\mu = 0, \quad \delta e = q^2 \dot{\xi}, \quad (36)$$

where  $\xi$  is the non-commutative gauge transformation parameter. This can be seen by the application of the transformations (36) and requiring the validity of (22) on the  $q$ -deformed world-line (19) which yields  $\xi p_\mu = qp_\mu \xi$ . As per our convention, all the symmetry transformations are firstly taken to the left and then the substitutions (36) are made. The quasi-invariance of the Lagrangian is succinctly expressed as follows

$$\delta L_F = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{\xi(p^2 + q^2 m^2)}{(1 + q^2)} \right), \quad (37)$$

where the chain rule  $dp^2/d\tau = (dp^2/dp^\mu)(dp^\mu/d\tau) = (1 + q^2)p_\mu \dot{p}^\mu$  has been used. Even if we do not take the symmetry variation to the left side in all the terms of  $L_F$  but exploit the non-commutativity of  $\xi$ , then also, we end up with the transformation (37).

In addition to the gauge symmetry, the first-order Lagrangian is invariant under the following reparametrization transformations

$$\delta_r x_\mu = \epsilon \dot{x}_\mu, \quad \delta_r p_\mu = \epsilon \dot{p}_\mu, \quad \delta_r e = \frac{d}{d\tau} (\epsilon e), \quad (38)$$

emerging due to the one-dimensional diffeomorphism  $\tau \rightarrow \tau - \epsilon(\tau)$  with commuting infinitesimal transformation parameter  $\epsilon$ . This is because of the fact that  $\delta_r x_\mu p^\mu = qp_\mu \delta_r x_\mu$  with  $\dot{x}_\mu p^\mu = qp_\mu \dot{x}^\mu$  leads to  $p_\mu \epsilon = \epsilon p_\mu$ . In fact, the first-order Lagrangian undergoes the following change under (38)

$$\delta_r L_F = \frac{d}{d\tau} (\epsilon L_F). \quad (39)$$

In the usual undeformed ( $q = 1$ ) case of the free relativistic particle, the gauge (cf. (36)) and reparametrization (cf. (38)) symmetries are equivalent on-shell with the identification  $\xi = \epsilon e$  [15]. However, in the deformed case, these are not equivalent because the transformations of the einbein field, in spite of the above identification, are not equal unless  $q = \pm 1$ . This discrepancy might manifest at very high energy and might turn out to have some significant implications in the study of non-commutative geometry and spacetime structure at very high energy scale.

The  $q$ -deformed relativistic particle presents a prototype example of  $q$ -deformed Abelian gauge theories. In addition to the explicit derivation of the Noether's theorem, it would be worthwhile to develop a  $q$ -deformed BRST formalism to quantize this system on a quantum world-line. It seems, there would not be any principal difficulties in the extension of our results for the discussion of  $q$ -deformed spinning relativistic particle where the ideas of the quantum group  $GL_q(1|1)$  would play a prominent role. Furthermore, it would be interesting

to generalize the second-order Lagrangian  $L_S$  to the  $q$ -deformed string action and discuss various subtleties involved in it. We hope to come to these problems in future.

Fruitful and stimulating conversations with A. Isaev, R. Mir-Kasimov and S. Shabanov are gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- [1] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 111 (1978) 61;  
F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 111 (1978) 111.
- [2] U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schliecker, M. Scholl, S. Watamura, Z. Phys. C 48 (1990) 159;  
U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schliecker, M. Scholl, S. Watamura, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 6 (1991) 3081.
- [3] V. G. Drinfeld, Quantum Groups, Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Berkley, 1 (1986) 798;  
M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985) 63;  
M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. 11 (1986) 247.
- [4] See, e.g., for a review, S. Majid, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 5 (1990) 1.
- [5] H. S. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 71 (1947) 38;  
C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 72 (1947) 874;  
H. Yukawa, Phys. Rev. 91 (1953) 415.
- [6] I. V. Volovich, Class. Quant. Grav. 4 (1987) L 83;  
I. V. Volovich, CERN- Preprint: CERN-TH-4781 (1987).
- [7] R. M. Mir-Kasimov, J. Phys. A: Math Gen 24 (1991) 4283.
- [8] S. V. Shabanov, J. Phys. A: Math Gen 25 (1992) L1245.
- [9] I. Ya. Aref'eva, I. V. Volovich, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 893;  
I. Ya. Aref'eva, I. V. Volovich, Phys. Lett. B 264 (1991) 62;  
A. P. Isaev, Z. Popowicz, Phys. Lett. B 281 (1992) 271.
- [10] J. Schwenk, J. Wess, Phys. Lett. B 291 (1992) 273;  
S. V. Shabanov, Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992) 117;  
See, e.g., for  $N = 2$  SUSY quantum mechanics, A. P. Isaev, R. P. Malik, Phys. Lett. B 280 (1992) 219.
- [11] J. Wess, B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 18 (1990) 302.
- [12] I. Ya. Aref'eva, I. V. Volovich, Phys. Lett. B 268 (1991) 179.
- [13] A. Schirmacher, Quantum Groups, quantum space-time and Dirac equation, Max Planck Institute Preprint: MPI-PTh/92-92.
- [14] A. J. Hanson, T. Regge, C. Teitelboim, Constrained Hamiltonian Systems, (Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1976).
- [15] D. Nemeschansky, C. Preitschopf, M. Weinstein, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 183 (1988) 226.