

# Comment on 'Must a Hamiltonian be hermitian'

André van Hameren

*Institute of Nuclear Physics, NCSR Demokritos, 15310 Athens, Greece*

`andrevh@inp.demokritos.gr`

November 2, 2018

## Abstract

A small comment on the paper with the mentioned title by Carl M. Bender, Dorje C. Brody and Hugh F. Jones.

As argued in [1], the eigenfunctions  $\phi_n$  of the Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem (8) are fixed up to a constant phase factor. Instead of  $\phi_n$ , one can also choose

$$\psi_n(x) = i^n \phi_n(x) \ ,$$

to be the eigenfunctions under considerations, which satisfy

$$\mathcal{PT}\psi_n(x) = \psi_n^*(-x) = (-i)^n \phi_n^*(-x) = (-i)^n \phi_n(x) = (-)^n \psi_n(x) \ ,$$

and

$$\delta(x-y) = \sum_n (-)^n \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y) = \sum_n \psi_n(x) \psi_n(y) \ .$$

This formula, then, looks more familiar than (6). Only if the functions  $\psi_n$  are non-real, one usually has a different formula:  $\sum_n \psi_n^*(x) \psi_n(y) = \delta(x-y)$ . The operator  $\mathcal{C}$  can be represented by

$$\mathcal{C}(x, y) = \sum_n (-)^n \psi_n(x) \psi_n(y) \ .$$

It acts on the functions  $\psi_n$  as  $\mathcal{C}\psi_n = (-)^n \psi_n$ , so that they are invariant under  $\mathcal{CPT}$ :

$$\mathcal{CPT}\psi_n = \psi_n \ ,$$

and are orthonormal under

$$\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle = \int [\mathcal{CPT}\psi_n(x)] \psi_m(x) dx = \int \psi_n(x) \psi_m(x) dx \ ,$$

which looks like a *real* inner product<sup>1</sup>. So we see that  $\mathcal{CPT}$ -invariance of a Hamiltonian, as introduced in [1], is equivalent with the existence of a set of eigenfunctions that are

---

<sup>1</sup>*i.e.*  $\langle f|g \rangle = \langle g|f \rangle$  instead of  $\langle f|g \rangle = \langle g|f \rangle^*$

complete and orthonormal under a *real* inner product. The (complex) inner product in the whole Hilbert space can be defined by

$$\langle f|g\rangle = \sum_n f_n^* g_n \quad \text{with} \quad f_n = \int \psi_n(x) f(x) dx \quad , \quad g_n = \int \psi_n(x) g(x) dx \quad .$$

## References

- [1] Carl M. Bender, Dorje C. Brody and Hugh F. Jones, *Must a Hamiltonian be hermitian*, hep-th/0303005.