Abelianization of Constraints in SU(N) Yang-Mills Theory

F. Loran ∗

Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology (IUT) Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

The abelian form of the first class constraints of $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory in $D = 3 + 1$ is obtained by perturbation. Considering the abelian constraints, we show that Coulomb gauge does not lead to Gribov ambiguity.

It is well known that $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory is a constraint system possessing non-abelian first class constraints ϕ_a ,

$$
\phi_a = \nabla. \vec{\Pi}_a - gf_{abc}\vec{A}_b. \vec{\Pi}_c \approx 0, \qquad a = 1, \cdots, N^2 - 1,\tag{1}
$$

in which, f_{abc} are the structure coefficients of SU(N) algebra, $\vec{\Pi}_a$'s are momenta conjugate to gauge fields \vec{A}_a 's,

$$
\{A_a^i(x), \Pi_b^j(y)\} = \delta_{ab}\delta^{ij}\delta(x - y), \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3,
$$
\n(2)

and g is the coupling constant of the gauge field self interaction [1, 2]. The constraints ϕ_a 's (1) form a representation of SU(N) algebra, i.e.

$$
\{\phi_a^{g_1}, \phi_b^{g_2}\} = gf_{abc}\phi_c^{g_1g_2},\tag{3}
$$

where g_1 and g_2 are smooth real functions and $\phi_a^g = \int_x g(x) \phi_a(x)$. In 1978, Gribov showed that Coulomb gauge $\nabla \cdot \vec{A}_a = 0$ is insufficient to fix the gauge freedom of the action generated by nonabelian constraints ϕ_a 's (1). He observed that for SU(2) Yang-Mills theory there exist at least two points on the gauge orbit that satisfy Coulomb gauge [3]. This effect is in general called Gribov ambiguity and became a serious drawback for the quantization of Yang-Mills theory. Gribov ambiguities can be remedied in the following three ways:

- 1. Considering proper gauge fixing condition like the axial gauge $A_a^3 = 0$, that does not lead to Gribov ambiguity [4],
- 2. Applying quantization approaches in which there is no need to do gauge fixing [5],
- 3. Using the celebrated BRST-BFV approach [6, 7] where one considers BRS transformation instead of gauge transformation. For a review see reference [2].

[∗] e-mail: loran@cc.iut.ac.ir

One may add another approach to the above list which we discuss here.

It is proved that non-abelian constraints become abelian if one maps each constraint to the surface of the other ones [8]. To be explicit, consider two independent constraints ϕ and ψ which satisfy the following algebra:

$$
\{\phi,\psi\} = C\phi + D\psi,\tag{4}
$$

where C and D are some functions of phase space coordinates. One can show that the constraints $\phi' = \phi|_{(\psi=0)}$ and $\psi' = \psi|_{(\phi=0)}$ are equivalent to ϕ and ψ and commute with each other, i.e.

$$
\{\phi', \psi'\} = 0.\tag{5}
$$

The non-abelian constraints ϕ_a 's (1) can be made abelian in a similar way. Using the Helmholtz theorem in vector analysis [9], one can write the vector $\vec{\Pi}_a$ as,

$$
\vec{\Pi}_a(x) = -\nabla \int_y \frac{\nabla . \vec{\Pi}_a(y)}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} + \nabla \times \int_y \frac{\nabla \times \vec{\Pi}_a(y)}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|},\tag{6}
$$

up to some surface terms. Inserting $\vec{\Pi}_a$ from Eq.(6) into Eq.(1), one can obtain a set of new constraints, say ϕ_a^1 's, equivalent to ϕ_a 's (1), defined as follows,

$$
\phi_a^1(x) = \nabla. \vec{\Pi}_a - gf_{abc}\vec{A}_b. \nabla \times \int_y \frac{\nabla \times \vec{\Pi}_c(y)}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} + g^2 R_a^{(2)}(x),\tag{7}
$$

where

$$
R_a^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{g^2} \left(gf_{abc} \vec{A_b} . \nabla \int_y \frac{\nabla . \vec{\Pi}_c(y)}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} \right)
$$

$$
= f_{abc} f_{cde} \vec{A_b} . \nabla \int_y \frac{\vec{A_d} . \vec{\Pi}_e}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} . \tag{8}
$$

One should note that to obtain the second equality we have considered $\phi_a = 0$ (1). It can be easily verified that $\{\phi_a^1, \phi_b^1\} = \mathcal{O}(g^2)$. Inserting $\vec{\Pi}_a$ from Eq.(6) into Eq.(7) and using Eq.(1) again, one obtains ϕ_a^2 's, a new set of constraints equivalent to ϕ_a 's, which satisfy the following algebra

$$
\{\phi_a^2, \phi_b^2\} = \mathcal{O}(g^3). \tag{9}
$$

At Nth step, one finds ϕ_a^N 's,

$$
\phi_a^N(x_1) = \nabla. \vec{\Pi}_a(x_1) + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{-g}{4\pi}\right)^n P_a^{(n)}(x_1) + (-g)^{(N+1)} R_a^{(N+1)}(x_1),\tag{10}
$$

where

$$
P_a^{(n)}(x_1) = Q_{a,a_{n+1}}^{(n)}(x_1) \times \int_{x_{n+1}} \frac{\nabla_{x_{n+1}} \times \vec{\Pi}_{a_{n+1}}(x_{n+1})}{|\vec{x}_n - \vec{x}_{n+1}|},
$$
\n(11)

and

$$
R_a^{(N+1)}(x_1) = f_{a_{N+1}b_{N+1}a_{N+2}} Q_{a,a_{N+1}}^{(N)}(x_1) \int_{x_{N+1}} \frac{\vec{A}_{b_{N+1}}(x_{N+1}) . \vec{\Pi}_{a_{N+2}}(x_{N+1})}{|\vec{x}_N - \vec{x}_{N+1}|},
$$
(12)

in which

$$
Q_{a,a_{n+1}}^{(n)}(x_1) = f_{a_n,b_n,a_{n+1}} Q_{a,a_n}^{(n-1)}(x_1) \int_{x_n} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}_{n-1} - \vec{x}_n|} \vec{A}_{b_n}(x_n) . \nabla_{x_n}, \qquad n > 1,
$$

\n
$$
Q_{a,a_2}^{(1)}(x_1) = f_{ab_1a_2} \vec{A}_{b_1}(x_1) . \nabla_{x_1}.
$$
\n(13)

Since

$$
\phi_a^N = \phi_a^N|_{(\phi_b^N = 0)} + \mathcal{O}(g^{N+1}), \qquad b \neq a,
$$
\n(14)

one verifies that $\{\phi_a^N, \phi_b^N\} = \mathcal{O}(g^{N+1})$, (see Eqs.(4,5)). In this way, non-abelian constraints ϕ_a 's (1), can be made abelian by perturbation. The generator of gauge transformation is

$$
G_{\epsilon}^{N} = \int_{x} \epsilon_{a}(x) \phi_{a}^{N}(x) + \mathcal{O}(g^{N+1}), \qquad (15)
$$

where $\epsilon_a(x)$ is some infinitesimal real smooth function. Since $\{\nabla \cdot \vec{A}_a(x), \nabla \times \vec{\Pi}_b(y)\}=0$, one verifies that

$$
\delta_{\epsilon} \left(\nabla. \vec{A}_a(x) \right) = \{ \nabla. \vec{A}_a(x), G_{\epsilon}^N \}
$$

\n
$$
= \{ \nabla. \vec{A}_a(x), \int_y \epsilon_a(y) \nabla. \vec{\Pi}_a(y) \}
$$

\n
$$
= -\nabla^2 \epsilon_a(x) + \mathcal{O}(g^{N+1}), \tag{16}
$$

for arbitrary N. We conclude that Coulomb gauge intersects the gauge orbit only once as is the case in QED. Therefore, Coulomb gauge does not lead to Gribov ambiguity. Of course some non-perturbative effects may emerge that should be added to the other non-perturbative effects in quantization of the theory.

It is interesting to calculate Ward identities corresponding to the gauge transformation generated by G_{ϵ}^{N} (15). In this way one can compare Dirac quantization [10] by BRST quantization and verify their equivalence explicitly.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his thanks to M. Haghighat for useful discussions.

References

[1] K. Sundermeyer, "Constrained Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics" Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1982;

M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim "Quantization of Gauge System" Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1992.

- [2] M. Henneaux, Phys. Rep. 126, (1985) 1.
- [3] V. N. Gribov, Nucl. Phys. B139, (1978) 1; I. M. Singer, Comm. Math. Phys. 60, (1978) 7.
- [4] R. Arnowitt and S. I. Fickler, Phys. Rev. 127, (1962) 1821; R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D4, (1971) 2215; I. Bars and F. Green, Nucl. Phys. B142, (1978) 157.
- [5] J. R. Klauder, Lect. Notes Phys. 572, (2001) 143, hep-th/0003297; J. R. Klauder and S. V. Shabanov, Phys. Lett. B398, (1997) 116, hep-th/9608027; J. Govaerts and J. R. Klauder, Annals Phys. 274, (1999) 251, hep-th/9809119; V. M. Villanueva, J. Govaerts, J-L. Lucio-Martinez, J.Phys. A33, (2000) 4183, hepth/9909033.
- [6] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, Comm. Math. Phys. 42, (1975) 127.
- [7] E. S. Fradkin and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. B55, (1975) 224; I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. B69, (1977) 309; E. S. Fradkin and E. S. Fradkina, Phys. Lett. B72, (1978) 343; I. A. Batalin and E. S. Fradkin, Phys. Lett. B122, (1983) 157.
- [8] F. Loran, Phys. Lett. B547, (2002) 63, hep-th/0209180; F. Loran, Phys. Lett. B554, (2003) 207, hep-th/0212341.
- [9] G. Arfken, Mathematical Methods for Physicists, second edition, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1970.
- [10] P. A. M. Dirac, Can. J. Math. 2, (1950) 129; Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 246, (1958) 326; "Lectures on Quantum Mechanics", Yeshiva University Press, New York, 1964.