

Extended BRST quantization in general coordinates

B. Geyer^{a1}, P. Lavrov^{b2} and A. Nersessian^c

^a Center of Theoretical Studies, Leipzig University, Augustusplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany

^b Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634041 Tomsk, Russia

^c Yerevan State University, A.M. Mancoogian St., 3, Yerevan, 375025, Armenia

Abstract

We propose an extended BRST invariant Lagrangian quantization scheme of general gauge theories based on explicit realization of "modified triplectic algebra" in general coordinates. All the known Lagrangian quantization schemes based on the extended BRST symmetry are obtained by specifying the (free) parameters of that method.

1 Introduction

Modern covariant quantization methods of general gauge theories are based on the principle of the BRST [1] or the extended BRST [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] invariance. Consideration of these methods in general coordinates seems to be a very important task for understanding the geometrical sense of main objects, like (extended) antibracket(s), generating second-order operator(s) and so on, underlying these schemes.

Investigations of the well-known Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) method [1] in general coordinates were initiated by E. Witten [8] and A. Schwarz [9]. It was found that the geometry of the BV formalism is the geometry of a superspace equipped with an odd symplectic structure ω and a density function ρ [10]. It will be shown below that the realization of such a programme for the quantization methods, based on the extended BRST symmetry, seems to be more complicated and, in addition, requires to use not only an even Poisson structure and a density function but also a flat symplectic connection and a symmetric structure (like a metric tensor).

2 Quantization methods in Darboux coordinates

Let us present the basic ingredients of extended BRST invariant Lagrangian quantization schemes, thereby following the original papers [2, 3, 4, 7]. Among them the first was the so-called $Sp(2)$ -covariant quantization proposed in papers [2]. The formulation of that method includes the introduction of a configuration space of fields \mathcal{A} with Grassmann parity $\epsilon(\mathcal{A}) = \epsilon_A$ which contains the fields of the initial classical theory, ghost and antighost fields, auxiliary fields and so on. For the purpose of the present investigation the explicit structure of the configuration space is not important and will not be discussed furthermore. To each field \mathcal{A} it is necessary to introduce three kinds of anti-fields, namely, a $Sp(2)$ -doublet \mathcal{A}_a ($\epsilon(\mathcal{A}_a) = \epsilon_A + 1$) where $a = 1, 2$ is the index of the group $Sp(2)$, and a singlet $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ ($\epsilon(\bar{\mathcal{A}}) = \epsilon_A$). The anti-fields \mathcal{A}_a can be considered as sources of BRST and anti-BRST transformations while the anti-fields $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ are sources of the mixed BRST-anti-BRST transformations. Furthermore, the set of auxiliary $Sp(2)$ -doublet fields \mathcal{A}_a

¹e-mail: geyer@itp.uni-leipzig.de

²e-mail: lavrov@tspu.edu.ru

($\delta^A = \delta_A + 1$) and singlet eld δ^A ($\delta^A = \delta_A$) is introduced. On the superspace of elds δ^A and anti elds δ_{Aa} the extended antibrackets $(;)^a$ are defined for any two functionals F and G by the rule

$$(F;G)^a = \frac{\partial_r F}{\partial^A} \frac{\partial G}{\partial_{Aa}} \quad (F \delta G) (1)^{(\delta^F)+1} (\delta^G)+1; \quad (2.1)$$

Usually, all derivatives are considered as left derivatives; right derivatives are labelled by a special mark "r". Among the properties of the extended antibrackets there exist non-trivial relations,

$$((F;G)^{fa};H)^{bg} (1)^{(\delta^F)+1} (\delta^H)+1 + \text{cycle}(F;G;H) = 0; \quad (2.2)$$

which can be considered as generalized Jacobi identities. Here and below curly brackets denote symmetrization with respect to the enclosed $Sp(2)$ indices a and b $F^{fa}G^{bg}$ $F^aG^b + F^bG^a$. Then, the generating operators δ^a and V^a are introduced,

$$\delta^a = (1)^A \frac{\partial}{\partial^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{Aa}}; \quad V^a = \delta^{ab} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{Ab}}; \quad (2.3)$$

where δ^{ab} is the antisymmetric tensor of the $Sp(2)$ group. The operators δ^a and V^a form the algebra of nilpotent and anticommuting operators,

$$\delta^a \delta^b = 0; \quad V^a V^b = 0; \quad \delta^a V^b + V^a \delta^b = 0; \quad (2.4)$$

The vacuum functional within the $Sp(2)$ approach is constructed as the following functional integral,

$$Z = \int \delta \delta \delta \delta \delta \exp(i=h) [S + X + S_0] g; \quad (2.5)$$

where the bosonic functional $S = S(; ;)$, the extended action, satisfies the quantum master equations,

$$\frac{1}{2} (S;S)^a + V^a S = i=h \delta^a S \delta^a + (i=h) V^a \exp(i=h) S g = 0; \quad (2.6)$$

and the boundary condition $S|_{h=0} = S_{cl}$, with S_{cl} being the initial classical action. In (2.6) the gauge fixing functional, $X = X(; ; ;)$, and the $Sp(2)$ scalar functional of special form, $S_0 = S_0(;)$, are introduced as follows:

$$X = \int_A \frac{\partial G}{\partial^A} \int_A \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial^A \partial^B} \delta^{Bb}; \quad S_0 = \int_{Aa} \delta^{Aa}; \quad (2.7)$$

thereby the functional $G = G()$ defines a specific choice of the gauge. The vacuum functional (2.5) is invariant under the extended BRST transformations

$$\delta^A = \delta^{Aa} \delta_a; \quad \delta_{Aa} = \delta_a \frac{\partial S}{\partial^A}; \quad \delta^a = \delta^{ab} \delta_{Ab}; \quad \delta_{Aa} = \delta^{ab} \delta_{Ab}; \quad \delta^A = 0;$$

with a $Sp(2)$ -doublet of constant Grassmann parameters, δ_a , and it is gauge independent, $Z_{G+G} = Z_G$.

Another version of the Sp(2) formalism, suggested in [3], considers the auxiliary fields ψ^a as canonically conjugate with respect to the fields ψ_A with the following re-definitions of the extended antibrackets,

$$(\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{G})^a = \frac{\partial_r \mathbb{F}}{\partial^A} \frac{\partial \mathbb{G}}{\partial_{Aa}} + \frac{ab}{\partial^A} \frac{\partial_r \mathbb{F}}{\partial^{Ab}} \frac{\partial \mathbb{G}}{\partial_A} \quad (\mathbb{F} \mathbb{G}) (1)^{(\mathbb{F})+1} (1)^{(\mathbb{G})+1}; \quad (2.8)$$

and generating operators \mathbb{F}^a and V^a ,

$$\mathbb{F}^a = (1)^A \frac{\partial}{\partial^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial_{Aa}} + (1)^{A+1} \frac{ab}{\partial^{Ab}} \frac{\partial}{\partial_A}; \quad (2.9)$$

$$V^a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{ab}{\partial^{Ab}} \frac{\partial}{\partial_A} + (1)^{A+1} \frac{Aa}{\partial^A}; \quad (2.10)$$

The operators \mathbb{F}^a (2.9) and V^a (2.10) form the following (triplectic) algebra,

$$f^a b^g = 0; \quad V^a V^b = 0; \quad V^a V^b + V^a b^c = 0; \quad (2.11)$$

Notice that by the explicit realization of the vector fields V^a in the form (2.10) the last relations in (2.11) in comparison with (2.4) hold without symmetrization of the indices a and b .

The vacuum functional is constructed in the following form,

$$Z = \int dz d\psi \exp(i\hbar) [S + X \mathbb{G}]; \quad (2.12)$$

where the bosonic functional $S = S(z)$ ($z = (\psi; \psi; \psi)$) satisfies equations like (2.6) and the gauge fixing functional $X = X(z; \psi)$ is required to satisfy the following master equations: $(\mathbb{F}^a + (i\hbar)V^a) \exp(i\hbar) X \mathbb{G} = 0$. The vacuum functional (2.12) is invariant under the extended BRST transformations $\delta = (\mathbb{F}^a + (i\hbar)V^a) \delta_a + 2 \partial_a V^a (\psi = (z; \psi))$, and it is gauge independent, $Z_{X+\psi} = Z_X$.

Let us notice that due to the special structure of the operators V^a , (2.10), it is not possible, in contrast to all previously known schemes of Lagrangian quantization, to consider the initial classical action as a natural boundary condition to the solution of the quantum master equations, since $(1=2) (S_{cl}; S_{cl})^a + V^a S_{cl} \neq 0$. This was the main reason in Ref. [7] to reformulate the original triplectic scheme by a modified one.

Remaining in the same configuration space of fields and antifields $z = (\psi; \psi; \psi)$, it was proposed to change from the beginning the triplectic algebra and the generating master equations by introducing an additional set of Sp(2) doublets of operators U^a , $(U^a)^2 = 1$, by requiring

$$f^a b^g = 0; \quad V^a V^b = 0; \quad V^a V^b + V^a b^c = 0; \quad (2.13)$$

$$U^a U^b = 0; \quad U^a U^b + U^a b^c = 0; \quad U^a V^b + V^b U^a = 0; \quad (2.14)$$

This algebra can be considered as an extension of the triplectic algebra (2.11) and is referred to as the "modified triplectic algebra". An explicit realization of the operators may be given by \mathbb{F}^a and V^a as introduced in Eq. (2.9) and the second of Eqs. (2.3), respectively, and U^a in the form

$$U^a = (1)^{A+1} \frac{Aa}{\partial^A}; \quad (2.15)$$

The vacuum functional within this approach formally coincides with Eq. (2.5) when $S = S(z)$ and $X = X(z; \psi)$ satisfy the quantum master equations $(\mathbb{F}^a + (i\hbar)V^a) \exp(i\hbar) S \mathbb{G} =$

0 and $(\delta^a - (i=h)U^a)\exp(i=h)Xg = 0$, respectively, and the functional S_0 was defined in (2.7). Now one can use the standard boundary condition for S in the form of an initial classical action S_{cl} when $h = \dots = 0$.

This vacuum functional is also invariant under extended BRST transformations $\delta = (\delta; X - S)^a - \delta_a(V^a + U^a)$ and it is gauge independent.

3 (Modified) triplectic algebra in general coordinates

Now, we consider an explicit realization of the (modified) triplectic algebra. Our starting point is an even superspace M_0 described by local coordinates x^i ($(x^i) = \dots$), which in Darboux coordinates will be identified as $x^i = (\dots; \dots)$. The superspace M_0 is equipped with a Poisson structure ω^{ij} ($(\omega^{ij}) = \dots + \dots$) with the following properties $\omega^{ij} = -(\omega^{ji})$ and $(\omega^{ik})\omega^{kl}\omega^{lj} + \text{cyclic perm}(ijk) = 0$.

In the following, for the sake of simplicity of all expressions and relations, we restrict ourselves to the case when all coordinates x^i are even, $\delta_i = 0$, although in the context of quantum field theory among x^i also odd variables (ghost fields) always are present.

M_0 is also equipped with a symmetric connection $\omega^k_{ij} = \omega^k_{ji}$ (or a covariant derivative r_i) respecting the Poisson structure $\omega^k_{ij}\omega^{kj} + \omega^{kl}\omega^j_{li}\omega^{kj} = 0$, as well as a scalar (density) function $\omega = \omega(x)$. When the Poisson structure is nondegenerate ω^k_{ij} coincides, in fact, with the Fedosov connection [11] and $(M_0; \omega; r)$ is referred to as the Fedosov space [12]. The curvature tensor R^l_{ijk} of this (Fedosov) connection has the standard form and properties:

$$R^l_{ijk} = \omega^l_{ki} \omega^k_{ij} + \omega^l_{jm} \omega^m_{ik} - R^l_{ikj}; \quad R^l_{ijk} = -R^l_{ikj}; \quad (3.16)$$

Then we consider the superspace M parametrized by the coordinates $z = (x^i; \dots)$, $(\dots) = \dots + 1$, where \dots ("anti fields") are transformed as $\delta_i = \delta = \delta x^i$ under reparametrizations of M_0 . Notice that the variables \dots in the Darboux coordinates can be identified as $\dots = (\dots; \dots)$.

Using the Fedosov connection, we can define on the superspace M the differential operators corresponding to the covariant derivative on M_0 :

$$r_i = \delta_i + \omega^k_{ij} \omega^j_{ka} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a}; \quad [r_i; r_j] = R^k_{mij} \omega^m_{ka} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a}; \quad (3.17)$$

where R^k_{mij} are the components of the curvature tensor (3.16).

To get a realization of the triplectic algebra in general coordinates, one can consider a minimal generalization by replacing in the antibrackets (2.8) the usual derivative, δ_i , by the covariant one, r_i , namely

$$(F; G)^a = (r_i F)^a \frac{\partial G}{\partial z^a} + (-1)^{F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z^a} (r_i G)^a \quad (3.18)$$

and in the definition of the operators δ^a ,

$$\delta^a = r_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^a; \delta^a); \quad (3.19)$$

On the superspace M there exists a naturally defined object being a $Sp(2)$ irreducible second rank tensor, namely $S_{ab} = (1=6) \omega^{ij}(x) \delta_{ab}$, $S_{ab} = S_{ba}$. This tensor is covariantly constant $r_i S_{ab} = 0$. With the help of this tensor we introduce the vector fields V^a in an explicitly $Sp(2)$ symmetric way $V_a = (S_{ab}; \delta^b) = (1=2) \omega^{ij} r_j$.

For these objects to be antibrackets, the operations (3.18) must satisfy the Jacobi identities (2.2). This requirement has the consequence for Γ_{ij}^k to be a flat connection, $R_{ijm}^k = 0$. The existence of such flat connections directly follows from the Darboux theorem. Namely, in Darboux coordinates one can choose the trivial connection which is obviously flat. Note that every non-linear canonical transformation transforms the trivial connection into a non-zero one. Such flat connection, respecting the Poisson bracket, was used in Ref. [13] for the formulation of a coordinate-free scheme of deformation quantization.

Therefore we arrive at an explicit realization of the triplectic algebra on M with an arbitrary flat Poisson space M_0 .

To find an explicit realization of the modified triplectic algebra we need an additional structure. Let us equip M_0 by a symmetric tensor $g_{ij}(x) = g_{ji}(x)$. When this tensor is nondegenerate it can be considered as a metric tensor on M_0 . Now we can construct the $Sp(2)$ scalar function S_0 which is defined on the superspace M as follows: $S_0 = (1=2)_{ia} g^{ij} g^{ab}$, $(S_0) = 0$, $g^{ij} = \delta^{im} g_{mn} \delta^{nj}$. It generates the vector fields $U^a = (S_0; \cdot)^a$. Requiring the conditions (2.14) yields the following equations for S_0

$$(S_0; S_0)^a = 0 \quad V^a S_0 = 0; \quad \nabla^a S_0 = 0; \quad (3.20)$$

Let us define the traceless matrix I_k^i by the relations $I_k^i = \delta^{ij} g_{jk}$. In terms of the operator I the relations (3.20) read

$$I_{j;i}^k \quad I_{i;j}^k = 0; \quad N_{ij}^k \quad I_i^l I_{j;l}^k \quad I_j^l I_{i;l}^k \quad I_l^k (I_{j;i}^l \quad I_{i;j}^l) = 0; \quad (3.21)$$

where N_{ij}^k is the Nijenhuis tensor. When these equations are fulfilled the set of operators ∇^a, V^a and U^a form the modified triplectic algebra (2.13), (2.14).

4 Quantization

The superspace M can be equipped with an even symplectic structure. Indeed, we can construct an even closed two-form, which is non-degenerated on the anti-fields (compare Ref. [14]):

$$\omega = d(\delta_{ia} \delta^{ij} D_j^a) = \frac{1}{2} R_{ijk1}^a \delta_a^1 dx^i \wedge dx^j + \delta^{ij} D_{ia} \wedge D_j^a; \quad (4.22)$$

where $D_{ia} = d_{ia} \delta_{ij}^k \delta_{ka} dx^j$, and δ_{ij}^k is some Fedosov connection (not necessarily flat). Requiring the connection to be flat, we can equip the superspace M , in addition to the triplectic algebra, by the even symplectic structure

$$\omega = dz \quad dz = \delta_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j + \delta^{-1} \omega = \delta_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j + \delta^{-1} \delta^{ij} D_{ia} \wedge D_j^a; \quad (4.23)$$

where δ is an arbitrary constant. Using this even symplectic structure we can define on M the analogue of the Liouville measure [15] $D_0 = \text{Ber} \quad = (\det \delta_{ij})^{3=2}$.

Let us construct the vacuum functional and the generating master equations for a quantum action $S = S(z)$ and a gauge fixing functional $X = X(z; \cdot)$ using the basic operators $\nabla^a; V^a; U^a$ and the function S_0 introduced above. We define the vacuum functional Z as the following path integral,

$$Z = \int dz d D_0 \exp(i=\hbar) [S + X + S_0] \quad (4.24)$$

where D_0 is the integration measure and α is a constant. We suggest the following form of generating master equations for S and X

$$\frac{1}{2}(S;S)^a + V^a S = i\hbar^{-1} S; \quad \frac{1}{2}(X;X)^a + U^a X = i\hbar^{-1} X \quad (4.25)$$

where $V^a; U^a$ are first order differential operators constructed from $V^a; U^a$. Let us consider the more general transformations of coordinates z , $z = (z; F)^a + \alpha_a V^a z + \alpha_a U^a z$, generated by the antibrackets $(;)^a$ and operators $V^a; U^a$, where α_a are some constants, α_a is a $Sp(2)$ doublet of anticommuting constant parameters and $F = F(z;)$ is a bosonic functional. Choosing $F = X - S$ and

$$V^a = \frac{1}{2}(U^a + V^a + U^a); \quad U^a = \frac{1}{2}(U^a - V^a - U^a); \quad (4.26)$$

we obtain the invariance of vacuum functional (4.24) under the BRST-antiBRST transformations defined by the generators $\mathcal{G}^a = (X - S;)^a + V^a - U^a$.

Evidently for arbitrary constants α_a the operators $V^a; U^a$ obey the properties $V^a V^b = 0$, $U^a U^b = 0$, $V^a U^b + U^a V^b = 0$. Therefore the operators \mathcal{G}^a (3.19) and $V^a; U^a$ (4.26) realize the modified triplectic algebra.

That construction, which we derived here, includes all the canonical $Sp(2)$ covariant quantization schemes listed in Section 2:

$\alpha = 0$: The operators $V^a; U^a$ are linear dependent $U^a = -V^a$. In this case there exists only one set of the vector fields V^a and the modified triplectic algebra is reduced to the triplectic one.

$\alpha = 0; \alpha = 2; \alpha = 0$: In this case one obtains $V^a = V^a$. In fact, this is representation of vector fields which was used in constructing the triplectic quantization in general coordinates [4]. In Darboux coordinates the vector fields $V^a, U^a = -V^a$ coincide with the ones used in original version of the triplectic quantization [3].

$\alpha = 1; \alpha = 2; \alpha = 0$: In the Darboux coordinates we reproduce the vector fields $V^a = V^a, U^a = U^a$ being used in [2] as well as in the modified triplectic quantization [7]. In this case $\alpha = \text{const}$, $S_0 = \alpha_a A^a$ and the vacuum functional (4.24) coincides with one within the modified triplectic quantization.

5 Conclusions

We developed (see [16]) the extended BRST invariant quantization scheme in Lagrangian formalism which includes all the ingredients appeared in the realization of the modified triplectic algebra. The formulation exactly uses the integration measure extracted from the even symplectic structure on the whole space of fields and antifields. It allows to consider all existing covariant quantization schemes with extended BRST symmetry as special limits.

Acknowledgements. P.L. and A.N. acknowledge the hospitality of NTZ at the Center of Advanced Study of Leipzig University and financial support by the Saxonian Ministry of Fine Art and Sciences. The work of P.L. was also supported under the INTAS, grant 99-0590 and the projects of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, 436 RUS 113/669 and Russian Foundation for Basic Research, 02-02-040022. The work of A.N. was supported under the INTAS project 00-0262 and the ANSEE PS124-01 grant.

References

- [1] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Volkovisky, *Phys. Lett.* 102B (1981) 27; *Phys. Rev.* 28D (1983) 2567; *Eur. Phys. J.* D 30 (1984) 508; *Nucl. Phys.* 234B (1984) 106.
- [2] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *J. Math. Phys.* 31 (1990) 1487; *ibid.* 32 (1990) 532; *ibid.* 32 (1990) 2513; P.M. Lavrov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* 6A (1991) 2051.
- [3] I.A. Batalin and R. Marnelius, *Phys. Lett.* 350B (1995) 44.
- [4] I.A. Batalin, R. Marnelius and A.M. Semikhatov, *Nucl. Phys.* 446B (1995) 249; I.A. Batalin and R. Marnelius, *Nucl. Phys.* 465B (1996) 521.
- [5] M. Henneaux, *Phys. Lett.* 282B (1992) 372; P. Goette and M. Henneaux, *Phys. Lett.* 277B (1992) 459; *Commun. Math. Phys.* 157 (1993) 279.
- [6] A. Nersisyan and P.H. Damgaard, *Phys. Lett.* 355B (1995) 150; M.A. Grigoriev and A.M. Semikhatov, *Phys. Lett.* 417B (1998) 259; M.A. Grigoriev, *Phys. Lett.* 458B (1999) 499.
- [7] B. Geyer, D.M. Gitman and P.M. Lavrov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* 14A (1999) 661; *Theor. Math. Phys.* 123 (2000) 476.
- [8] E. Witten, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* 5A (1990) 487;
- [9] A. Schwarz, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 155 (1993) 249; *ibid.* 158 (1993) 373.
- [10] O.M. Khudaverdian, *J. Math. Phys.* 32 (1991) 1934; O.M. Khudaverdian and A.P. Nersisyan, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* 8A (1993) 2377; *J. Math. Phys.* 37 (1996) 3713; H. Hata and B. Zwiebach, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* 322 (1994) 131.
- [11] B.V. Fedosov, *J. Diff. Geom.* 40 (1994) 213.
- [12] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh and M. Shubin, *Advan. Math.* 136 (1998) 104.
- [13] I.A. Batalin and I.V. Tyutin, *Nucl. Phys.* 345B (1990) 645.
- [14] A.P. Nersisyan, *JETP Lett.* 58 (1993) 66; *Lecture Notes in Physics* 524 (1997) 90.
- [15] O.M. Khudaverdian, A.S. Schwarz and Y.S. Tyupkin, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 5 (1981) 517.
- [16] B. Geyer, P. Lavrov and A. Nersisyan, *Phys. Lett.* 512B (2001) 211; *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* 17A (2002) 1183.