# Characterizing Phases of the non-Abelian Coulomb Gas

Lori D. Paniak and Gordon W. Semenoff

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia 6224 Agricultural Road, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z1

The thermodynamic problem of a gas of static quarks carrying U(N) charges and interacting with each other via U(N) electric gauge fields is formulated and solved in the large N limit. In a lattice theory, the solution can be found in any dimension. In particular, in 1+1-dimensions, the continuum model can also be solved. In that case, and when the quarks are in the adjoint representation, the explicit solution exhibits a first order quark confinement-deconfinement transition at a critical temperature and density. We also show that, when there are fundamental representation quarks, this phase transition persists until the relative density of fundamental quarks is comparable to the density of adjoint quarks, where it becomes a third order transition. We discuss the possible interpretation of the third order transition as deconfinement.

Presented by G.W.S at the 5th International Workshop on Thermal Field Theories and their Applications, Regensburg, Germany, August 10-14, 1998.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

One arena where non-perturbative QCD could be tested in the future is in the study of nuclear matter in extreme conditions - high density or high temperature. An approximation to this situation will eventually be produced in relativistic heavy ion collisions. In contrast to the familiar confining phase of QCD which is relevant to nuclear physics and where the degrees of freedom are hadrons, which are the colorless bound states of quarks and gluons, at sufficiently high temperature or density the system should be a plasma of the unconfined quarks and gluons themselves. Although there may not be a distinct phase transition between these regimes, the existence of the quark gluon plasma should have some well-defined signatures and studying its properties is important.

At zero temperature, for states near the vacuum of QCD, it is very clear what confinement means - there are no asymptotic states of the quantum field theory which carry color charge - all states are singlets of the global color symmetry. On the other hand, at finite temperature or density, where the physical system is in a mixture of states, there seems to be no clean characterization of confinement. Part of the problem is the fact that the confining interaction is so strong at large distances that it screens itself. If a single test quark carrying color charge is introduced into a confining medium, there is a low energy state where the color charge of the test quark is screened by a dynamical anti-quark to make a color neutral object with finite energy.

Of course, in a hypothetical system where quarks are absent, or where they occur only in the adjoint representation of the gauge group, so that they cannot screen the color charge of a fundamental representation test quark, one can characterize confinement by asking how much energy it takes to introduce the test quark into the system. In the confining phase it would be expected that it takes infinite energy, in the deconfined phase, finite energy. In the following, we will review a study of the issue of confinement in a particular high temperature gauge theory which is a toy model of QCD. The idea is to study a model which is simple enough to be exactly solvable, but still complex enough to exhibit the phenomenon of interest - a phase transition between quark confining and a plasma phases. The result of this study will be some speculations about characterizing the confining phase of a gauge theory at finite temperature and density when fundamental representation quarks are present. The model with adjoint representation quarks can be solved in any dimensions [1]. However, here, we will review only the one-dimensional case [2].

In two dimensions, the Yang-Mills field itself has no propagating degrees of freedom. In adjoint QCD, the matter fields provide dynamics by playing a role analogous to the transverse gluons of higher dimensional gauge theory. In fact, dimensional reduction of three dimensional Yang Mills theory produces two dimensional QCD with massless adjoint scalar quarks. Moreover, since adjoint matter fields do not decouple in the infinite N limit, the large N expansion is of a similar level of complexity to that of higher dimensional Yang-Mills theory. One would expect it to exhibit some of the stringy features of the confining phase which are emphasized in that limit.

Although adjoint QCD is not explicitly solvable, even at infinite N, details of its spectrum were readily analyzed by approximate and numerical techniques [3–6]. In addition, Kutasov [4] exploited an argument which was originally due to Polchinski [7] to show that the confining phase must be unstable at high temperature and suggested it as a tractable model where the confinementdeconfinement transition could be investigated.

Here, we discuss a simplified version of 1+1 dimensional QCD.<sup>\*</sup> This model was formulated in ref. [8] and

<sup>\*</sup>Generalization of these ideas to higher dimensions is pos-

[1]. We consider a one-dimensional gas of non-dynamical particles which have color charges and which interact with each other through non-Abelian electric fields.

Even when the quarks are in the adjoint representation, this system exhibits confinement. Because there are no dynamical gluons which could screen adjoint charges in one dimension, at low temperature and density, adjoint quarks are confined<sup>†</sup>. They form colorless "hadron" bound states with two or more adjoint quarks connected by non-dynamical strings of electric flux. The large Nlimit resembles a non-interacting string theory in that the energy of a state is proportional to the total length of all strings of electric flux plus a chemical potential times the total number of quarks. The property of confinement is defined by estimating the energy required to introduce an external fundamental representation guark-antiquark pair into the system. In the confining phase, where the hadron gas is dilute, the quark-antiquark energy is proportional to the length of the electric flux string which, to obtain gauge invariance, must connect them. This gives them a confining interaction which increases linearly with their separation.

In the confined phase, the average particle number density and the energy density are small — in the large Nlimit both are of order one, rather than  $N^2$  which one would expect from naive counting of the degrees of freedom. This is consistent with the fact that in a confining phase the number of degrees of freedom, i.e. hadrons, is independent of N. In contrast, in the deconfined phase, since the number of degrees of freedom, i.e. quarks and gluons, is proportional to  $N^2$  the particle density and energy are also of order  $N^2$ .

As temperature and density are increased, eventually we arrive at the situation where inserting a quarkantiquark pair, involves a negligibly small addition to the energy of the total system. This is the signature of the deconfined phase.

Between these two phases is a transition, which we show, is of first order [8]. In the string picture, this phase transition occurs when the strings in a typical configuration percolate in the one-dimensional space. The order parameter is the Polyakov loop operator [9,10] which measures the exponential of the negative of the free energy which is required to insert a single, unpaired fundamental representation quark source into the system. This free energy is infinite (and the expectation value of the Polyakov loop is zero) in the confining phase and it is finite in the deconfined phase.

The formalism we will use allows for a straightforward extension of the model to include non-dynamical, fundamental representation 'quarks' [2] in addition to adjoint representation 'gluons'. QCD with fundamental representation quarks is solvable in the large N limit and has been used as an explicit example where confinementrelated phenomena can be studied [11]. Its solvability follows partially from the fact that the quarks decouple in the infinite N limit. We can also solve the non-Abelian coulomb gas when it has fundamental representation quarks, which couple in a non-trivial way when their fugacity is of order N. This resembles a field theory when the number of flavors of heavy quarks,  $N_F$ , is of the same order as the number of colors. Then our solution applies to the large N,  $N_F \sim N$ , heavy quark limit.

In a gas dominated by fundamental representation particles, the energy needed to introduce another fundamental representation quark is always finite, and more sophisticated ideas are needed to study confinement [12]. (For an interesting suggestion about symmetry breaking in this case, see ref. [13].) Intuitively this is because the electric flux associated with any external fundamental representation source can be screened by a fundamental representation quark which is already available in the system. We will find that there is a third order phase transition in this system, where the character of the confining phase changes in a fundamental way.

The system we will consider here is that of a mixed gas of adjoint and fundamental representation non-Abelian charges interacting via 1+1 dimensional  $U(N \rightarrow \infty)$ gauge fields. The main result of our investigation is the development of a unified order parameter [14] for discerning the low temperature confined phase from the high temperature quark-gluon plasma phase for all relative densities of quarks and gluons. This order parameter can be defined by considering the group theoretic details of the mean-field solution of the model in the large N limit. We will outline this formalism and give some examples of its utility.

## **II. FORMALISM**

The action of 1+1-dimensional Yang-Mills theory coupled to a number of static particles is

$$S = \int d^2x \left( -\frac{1}{e^2} \operatorname{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

$$+ i \sum_{j=1}^{K} \ln \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp\left( i \int dt A_0(t, x_j) \right)$$
(1)

In the canonical quantization of this system, the electric field is the canonical conjugate of the spatial component of the gauge field,

sible and a number of models are explicitly solvable there as well [1]. Here, for simplicity we concentrate on the one dimensional case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>In higher dimensions, an adjoint charge and a gluon could form a color singlet bound state.

$$\left[A^{a}(x), E^{b}(y)\right] = i\delta^{ab}\delta(x-y) \tag{2}$$

The Hamiltonian is<sup>‡</sup>

$$H = \int dx \, \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N^2} (E^a(x))^2 \,, \qquad (3)$$

and the temporal component of the gauge field,  $A_0$  plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier field which enforces the Gauss' law constraint,

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}E^{a}(x) - f^{abc}A^{b}(x)E^{c}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{K}T_{i}^{a}\delta(x-x_{i})\right)\Psi_{\text{phys.}} = 0$$
(4)

We will impose this constraint as a physical state condition. The particles with color charges are located at positions  $x_1, \ldots, x_K$ .  $T_i^a$  are generators in the representation  $R_i$  operating on the color degrees of freedom of the *i*'th particle.

In the functional Schrödinger picture, the states are functionals of the gauge field and the electric field is the functional derivative operator

$$E^{a}(x) = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^{a}(x)}$$

The functional Schrödinger equation is that of a free particle

$$\mathcal{E} \Psi^{a_1 \dots a_K} [A; x_1, \dots, x_K]$$

$$= \int dx \left( -\frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N^2} \frac{\delta^2}{(\delta A^a(x))^2} \right) \Psi^{a_1 \dots a_K} [A; x_1, \dots, x_K]$$
(5)

Gauss' law implies that the physical states, i.e. those which obey the gauge constraint (4), transform as

$$\Psi^{a_1...a_K} [A^g; x_1, ..., x_K]$$
(6)  
=  $g^{\mathbf{R}_1}_{a_1b_1}(x_1) \dots g^{\mathbf{R}_K}_{a_Kb_K}(x_K) \Psi^{b_1...b_K} [A; x_1, ..., x_K]$ 

where  $A^g \equiv gAg^{\dagger} + ig\nabla g^{\dagger}$  is the gauge transform of A. For a fixed number of external charges, this model is explicitly solvable. In the following we shall examine its thermodynamic features, where we assume that the particles have Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics.

We shall work with the grand canonical ensemble. The partition function is constructed by taking the trace of the Gibbs density  $e^{-H/T}$  over physical states. This can

be implemented by considering eigenstates of  $A^a(x)$  (and an appropriate basis for the non-dynamical particles)  $|A\rangle e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_K}$ . Projection onto gauge invariant states involves a projection operator which has the net effect of gauge transforming the state field at one side of the trace, and integrating over all gauge transformations [15]. The resulting partition function is

$$Z[x_i, T] =$$

$$= \int [dA][dg] \langle A | e^{-H/T} | A^g \rangle \operatorname{Tr} g^{\mathrm{R}_1}(x_1) \dots \operatorname{Tr} g^{\mathrm{R}_K}(x_K)$$
(7)

where [dq(x)] is the Haar measure on the space of mappings from the line to the group manifold and [dA] is a measure on the convex Euclidean space of gauge field configurations. Here, we will consider the case of particles in both the adjoint and fundamental representations. For U(N), the trace in the adjoint representation is Tr  $g^{\text{Ad}}(x) = |\text{Tr } g(x)|^2$  where g(x) is in the fundamental representation. In order to form the grand canonical ensemble, we average over the particle positions by integrating  $\int dx_1 \dots \int dx_K$ , multiply by the fugacity,  $\lambda$ for adjoint charges,  $\kappa$  for fundamental charges,  $\kappa^*$  for the conjugate to the fundamental representation, to the power of the number of respective charges, divide by the factorial statistics factor to obtain Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics and sum over all numbers of particles. The result is

$$Z[\lambda,\kappa,T] = \int [dA][dg] \ e^{-S_{\rm eff}[A,g]} \tag{8}$$

where the effective action is

$$e^{-S_{\rm eff}[A,g]} = \langle A \left| e^{-H/T} \right| A^g \rangle$$

$$\times \exp\left( \int dx \, \left( \lambda \left| {\rm Tr} \ g \right|^2 + \kappa {\rm Tr} \ g + \kappa^* {\rm Tr} \ g^\dagger \right) \right)$$
(9)

The Hamiltonian is the Laplacian on the space of gauge fields. Using the explicit form of the heat kernel

$$\langle A \left| e^{-H/T} \right| A^g \rangle \sim \exp\left( -\int dx \; \frac{T}{e^2} \; \mathrm{Tr} \; (A - A^g)^2 \right) \; ,$$

we see that the effective theory is the gauged principal chiral model with a quadratic potential

$$S_{\text{eff}}[A,g] = \tag{10}$$

$$\int dx \left(\frac{N}{2\gamma} \operatorname{Tr} |\nabla g + i[A,g]|^2 - \lambda |\operatorname{Tr} g|^2 - 2N\kappa \operatorname{ReTr} g\right)$$

Here the integration over gauge fields A effectively enforces Gauss' law as one integrates over all elements of the gauge group with the Haar measure [dg]. The fugacities of the adjoint and fundamental charges are given by the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $N\kappa$ , respectively. Since we consider the matrix-valued fields A and g to be taken in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Here, for concreteness, we consider U(N) gauge theory. The gauge field is  $A = A^a t_a$ , with  $t_a$  the generators in the fundamental representation.

fundamental representation of SU(N), the large N limit will lead directly to the familiar situation of matrix models with large  $N \times N$  matrices. In order to keep all terms in the action of (10) at leading,  $N^2$ , order in this limit we will restrict parameters of the system such that  $\gamma \equiv \frac{2T}{e^2N}$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  are each of O(1).

For the discussion of a confinement-deconfinement phase transition the most important aspect of the action in (10) is a global symmetry S[A, g] = S[A, z g] when the fundamental charge fugacity  $\kappa$  vanishes. Here z is a constant element from the center of the gauge group, which for U(N) is U(1) and for SU(N) is  $Z_N$ . It is this symmetry and its (thermo-)dynamical breaking that leads to the deconfinement phase transition in this model. If  $\kappa \neq 0$ the question of what remnants of this symmetry persist is one we will answer in the next sections.

Additionally, there is a gauge invariance that can be used to diagonalize the matrices  $g_{ij}(x) = e^{i\alpha_i(x)}\delta_{ij}$ . The density of eigenvalues  $\rho(\theta, x) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\theta - \alpha_i(x))$ corresponding to the large N saddle-point evaluation of (10) now completely characterizes the properties of the system. Our goal is to find this distribution of eigenvalues. Without loss of generality we can consider the Fourier expansion

$$\rho(\theta, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \neq 0} c_n(x) e^{-in\theta} , \ c_n(x)^* = c_{-n}(x)$$
(11)

The configurations of the eigenvalue density (11) that saturate (10) at large N can be found via the collective field theory approach [16,17]. The method is essentially based on the relation between matrix quantum mechanics and non-relativistic fermions [18,19]. Leaving the details to [2], it can be shown that a solution of the saddle-point evaluation of (10) is given by

$$\rho_0(\theta) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\gamma \pi^2}} \sqrt{E + 2(\lambda c_1 + \kappa) \cos \theta} & \text{where } \rho \text{ is real} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(12)

The constant of integration E has physical interpretation as the Fermi energy of a collection of N fermions [18] on the circle subject to a periodic potential. It is fixed by requiring the eigenvalue distribution to have unit normalization. Furthermore, since the potential due to adjoint charges is non-local in eigenvalue space, the Fourier moment  $c_1$  (see (11)) must be self-consistently determined [20]

$$c_1 = \int d\theta \ \rho_0(\theta) \cos\theta \tag{13}$$

This pair of conditions is most conveniently analyzed by introducing a new parameter  $\mu = E/(2(\lambda c_1 + \kappa))$  and the integrals over the positive support of  $\mu + \cos \theta$ ,



FIG. 1. Plot of the lines (2.7) for  $\mu$  ranging from 0.4 (upper right corner) to 75 (line at the extreme left). The region of overlapping lines corresponds to a region of first order phase transition.

$$I_n(\mu) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int d\theta \cos n\theta \sqrt{\mu + \cos \theta}$$
(14)

In terms of  $\mu$ , the solution of the normalization and moment conditions is given by

$$c_1 = \frac{I_1(\mu)}{I_0(\mu)} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{\kappa}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{4I_0(\mu)^2} - \frac{\lambda}{\gamma} \frac{I_1(\mu)}{I_0(\mu)}$$
(16)

This last relation gives a family of lines in the  $(\lambda/\gamma, \kappa/\gamma)$ plane parameterized by  $\mu$ . As is shown in Figure 1 this family overlaps itself for lower densities of fundamental charges,  $\kappa/\gamma$  signaling the fact that there are multiple solutions to the equations of motion in this region of the phase diagram. Considering the free energy one can show [2] that for lower densities of adjoint charges the stable solution has  $\mu > 1$  while at higher adjoint densities the stable solution has  $\mu < 1$ . In the intermediate regime there is a first order phase transition. As the density of fundamental charges is increased the first order transition is smoothed out and a third order phase transition persists along the line  $\mu = 1$ .

The parameter  $\mu$  is now seen to be useful for two different reasons. First it characterizes the general structure of the phase diagram (Figure 2) where the 'strong coupling' regime is the region with  $\mu > 1$  and the 'weak coupling' regime has  $\mu < 1$ . As well, and of more importance for our analysis, we find that the expectation values of traces of powers of the group element g are given as a function of the single parameter  $\mu$ 

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr} g^n / N \rangle = c_n = \frac{I_n(\mu)}{I_0(\mu)}$$
 (17)

Consequently, it makes sense for our purposes to re-define the eigenvalue distribution in terms of  $\mu$ 



FIG. 2. Schematic picture of the phase diagram for the adjoint and fundamental representation non-Abelian Coulomb gas. The dotted curve marks the first order part of the critical line. The solid curves above and below it are the boundaries of the area with two possible phases. They join at a point which shows second order behaviour. For larger  $\kappa/\gamma$ , we find a third order line ( $\mu = 1$ ) marked by a solid line.

$$\rho_0(\theta,\mu) = \frac{2}{\pi I_0(\mu)} \sqrt{\mu + \cos\theta} \tag{18}$$

In the next section we will use this definition and its connection to the dominant configuration of the gauge element to analyze the phase diagram in terms of group theory.

## III. ORDER PARAMETERS AND THE THEORY OF GROUP CHARACTERS

As is known, in the case of pure gluo-dynamics, the realization of the center symmetry of the gauge group governs confinement [9,10]. The Polyakov loop operator Tr g(x), which is related to the free energy  $-T \log \langle \text{Trg}(\mathbf{x}) \text{Trg}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle$  of a conjugate pair of static, external fundamental charges separated by a distance x, serves as an order parameter [21] to test confinement. Since Tr q(x) transforms under the center as Tr  $q(x) \rightarrow$ z Tr q(x), the expectation value of the Polyakov loop operator must average to zero if the center symmetry is preserved. Physically this suggests that an infinite amount of energy is required to introduce a single fundamental test charge into the system. The presence of a gas of fundamental charges ( $\kappa \neq 0$ ) changes this situation though by explicitly breaking the center symmetry. Consequently we lose the Polyakov loop operator as an order parameter for phase transitions in the system. In this section we introduce a suitable generalization of the Polyakov loop operator which will allow us to identify a new order parameter.

As seen in the previous section, the solution of the non-Abelian Coulomb gas with adjoint and fundamental representation charges is completely characterized by a Fourier sum of the traces  $c_n = \langle \text{Tr } g^n / N \rangle$  - the higher winding Polyakov loops. As noted in [2] the character of these traces changes between the strong and weak coupling regimes. In particular, in the strong coupling  $(\mu > 1)$  phase  $c_n$  is damped exponentially with n while in the weak coupling  $(\mu < 1)$  phase the damping follows a power law behaviour. Now we will reconsider this behaviour in terms of group theory.

Since the matrix g is an element of the special unitary group, its trace in an irreducible representation, R defines the group character for that representation

$$\chi_R(g) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_R g \tag{1}$$

For the N dimensional fundamental representation of SU(N), F, the group character is just the Polyakov loop operator described above since we are considering group elements to be taken in the lowest fundamental representation

$$\chi_F(g) = \operatorname{Tr} g \tag{2}$$

Further simple examples are the symmetric (S) and antisymmetric (A) combinations of a pair of fundamentals where we have

$$\chi_S(g) = \frac{1}{2} [(\text{Tr } g)^2 + \text{Tr } g^2]$$
(3)

and

$$\chi_A(g) = \frac{1}{2} [(\text{Tr } g)^2 - \text{Tr } g^2]$$
(4)

A general relation between characters and the group elements is given by the Weyl formula but is not necessary for the following. A complete discussion can be found in standard references (see [22] for example).

The main idea is that the eigenvalues of the group matrices, which are the only relevant dynamical variables in the grand partition function (10), are completely determined by the N quantities {Tr  $g^n$ }, n = 1...N. In turn these traces form an algebraic basis equivalent to the characters of the N fundamental (completely antisymmetric) irreducible representations of SU(N) (including the trivial representation). Here we will explicitly demonstrate the relationship between the basis of traces and the basis of group characters. Ultimately it is the group theoretic variables which we will use to characterize the phases of the model (10).

The standard basis for general functions (of finite degree) of the eigenvalues of a matrix is the set of elementary symmetric functions  $\{a_r\}$ . In terms of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j = e^{i\theta_j}$  of the group element g they are given by

$$a_1 = \sum_j \lambda_j \tag{5}$$

$$a_{2} = \sum_{j < k}^{J} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{k}$$

$$a_{3} = \sum_{j < k < l}^{J} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{k} \lambda_{l}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{N} = \prod \lambda_{j} = \det g = 1$$
(6)

with  $a_r \equiv 0$  for r > N. The relationship of the symmetric functions  $\{a_r\}$  to the traces of the group elements,  $S_n = \text{Tr } g^n$ , is given [23] by the determinant

$$a_{k} = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} S_{1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ S_{2} & S_{1} & 2 & 0 & \cdots \\ S_{3} & S_{2} & S_{1} & 3 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ S_{k-1} & S_{k-2} & S_{k-3} & \cdots & S_{2} & S_{1} & k-1 \\ S_{k} & S_{k-1} & S_{k-2} & \cdots & S_{3} & S_{2} & S_{1} \end{vmatrix}$$
(7)

Most importantly, it can be shown that the elementary symmetric functions are nothing more than the characters of the fundamental representations for the unitary group [23,24]. That is, for the fundamental representation which is the anti-symmetric combination of k, Ndimensional representations,  $\chi_k(g) = a_k$ .

$$\chi_k(g) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \exp\left[-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Tr}g^n}{n} z^n\right]\Big|_{z=0}$$
(8)

For our purposes though it is useful to convert to a contour integral about the origin.

$$\chi_k(g) = \frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{dz}{z^{k+1}} \exp\left[-\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathrm{Tr}g^n}{n} z^n\right] \qquad (9)$$

These last two expressions explicitly demonstrate the relationship between the group element g and the  $k^{th}$  fundamental representation of the gauge group and are completely general results.

In principle this involves calculating expectations of the form  $\langle \operatorname{Tr} g^{n_1} \cdots \operatorname{Tr} g^{n_r} \rangle$  but because of the factorization of gauge invariant objects in the limit  $N \to \infty$ , this reduces to a product of expectations,  $\langle \operatorname{Tr} g^{n_1} \rangle \cdots \langle \operatorname{Tr} g^{n_r} \rangle$ . Consequently  $\langle \chi_k(g) \rangle$  is determined by replacing  $\operatorname{Tr} g^n$ by its expectation value in (9). Of course expectation values of the group element traces are intimately related to the eigenvalue density  $\rho(\theta, \mu)$  (see (11)) hence, after performing an infinite sum, we obtain

$$\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle [\rho(\theta,\mu)] \equiv \frac{(-1)^{\alpha N}}{2\pi i}$$

$$\times \oint \frac{dz}{z} \exp\left[\frac{N}{2} \int d\theta \rho(\theta,\mu) \log\left(\frac{1+z^2-2z\cos\theta}{z^{2\alpha}}\right)\right]$$
(10)

## IV. CALCULATION OF THE EXPECTATION OF FUNDAMENTALS

Since explicit evaluation of (10) is difficult we begin with some special limiting cases. As  $\mu \to -1$  the support of the eigenvalue distribution (18) vanishes at  $\theta = 0$ . The distribution does not vanish though as it retains unit normalization and effectively becomes a delta function,  $\delta(\theta)$ . Consequently we find the gauge matrix g is just the identity at  $\mu = -1$ , hence

$$\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} {N \choose \alpha N} = 2^N \sqrt{\frac{2}{N\pi}} e^{-2N(\alpha - 1/2)^2}$$
(1)

In this limit we find that the distribution of characters is symmetric about  $\alpha = 1/2$  as one would expect in a system where the total colour charge is vanishing. As well in this limit  $\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle$  is non-vanishing and all fundamental representations are present in the large N background solution of the model. As we will see, this result is generic in the weak coupling phase  $\mu < 1$ .

In the opposite limit, as  $\mu \to \infty$ , it can be shown that the eigenvalue distribution (18) approaches a constant value  $\rho = 1/2\pi$  with the eigenvalues of the group element g becoming uniformly distributed on the unit circle. Since expectation values of the traces of powers of the gauge matrix are essentially Fourier transforms of the eigenvalue distribution, it is easy to see that  $\langle \operatorname{Tr} g^n \rangle \to 0$  in this limit and

$$\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle \to \delta_{0,\alpha}$$
 (2)

In general the integral (10) can be evaluated by saddlepoint methods in the large N limit in which we are interested. The relevant action in this limit is

$$S(\alpha, \mu, z) = \int d\theta \rho(\theta, \mu) \log\left(\frac{1 + z^2 - 2z\cos\theta}{z^{2\alpha}}\right) \quad (3)$$

$$\alpha = \int d\theta \rho(\theta, \mu) \frac{z_0(z_0 - \cos\theta)}{1 + z_0^2 - 2z_0 \cos\theta}$$
(4)

Since  $\alpha$  is a real parameter restricted to the unit interval [0, 1] it can be shown that the saddle-point value of the parameter  $z_0$  is real. Further, for  $z_0 > 1$  and  $0 < z_0 < 1$  Eqn.4 returns values of  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\alpha < 0$ , respectively. Consequently we need only consider real, negative values of the parameter  $z_0$ .

We now turn to an examination of the saddle-point  $\theta = \pm \pi$  and hence the denominator in (4) is non-singular for all values of  $z_0$ . Consequently, in this regime  $\alpha$  varies smoothly and monotonically with  $z_0$  and the relation (4) can in principle be inverted to obtain  $z_0(\alpha)$ . With this information, the large N asymptotic form of the expectation value of the characters  $\langle \chi_\alpha \rangle$  can be determined by standard saddle-point methods. In Figure 3 we show a numerically calculated example of  $\alpha$  as a function of  $z_0$ for  $\mu = 0.5$ . For this same case we show a schematic diagram of the magnitude of the expectation value  $|\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle|$  as a function of  $\alpha$  in Figure 4. In particular we see that the system has excitations in all irreducible representations.

For  $\mu > 1$  the situation is somewhat different. Now the support of the eigenvalue distribution (18) is the full interval  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , and the denominator of (4) causes non-analytic behaviour to appear. As one increases  $\mu$ through unity the saddle-point relation for  $\alpha$  shows this non-analytic behaviour as a discontinuity at  $z_0 = -1$  (see Figure 5). The result is that an open interval of  $\alpha$  values centered on  $\alpha = 1/2$  are mapped into this discontinuity when the saddle-point relation (4) is inverted. Since



FIG. 3. Plot of the solutions of the saddle-point relation (7.60) for  $\mu = 0.5$ .



FIG. 4. Schematic diagram of  $|\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle|$  vs.  $\alpha$  for  $\mu = 0.5$ . Note that all fundamental representations have non-vanishing expectation value.



FIG. 5. Plot of the solutions of the saddle-point relation (7.60) for  $\mu = 1.2$ .



FIG. 6. Schematic diagram of  $|\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle|$  vs.  $\alpha$  for  $\mu = 1.2$ . In this case the expectation value of representations with  $\alpha$  between  $\sim 0.25$  and  $\sim 0.75$  is vanishing.

this discontinuity occurs in the saddle-point relation, it is not surprising to find that the curvature associated with the Gaussian integration of the saddle-point approximation is divergent, effectively forcing the integral to vanish. In terms of the expectation values of different representations in the background of the non-Abelian Coulomb gas, we see that an open interval of fundamental representations centered about  $\alpha = 1/2$  is missing from the spectrum in the large N limit. In Figure 6 we show an example of the behaviour of the expectation value  $|\langle \chi_{\alpha} \rangle|$ with  $\alpha$  for  $\mu = 1.2$ .

The main outcome of this analysis is that the expectation value of the central fundamental character  $\langle \chi_{1/2} \rangle$ is vanishing if and only if  $\mu \geq 1$ . Consequently it may be considered an order parameter distinguishing between the strong and weak coupling phases of the model. Physically the situation is clear. In the weak coupling phase the system can effectively screen the interactions of any pair of charges regardless of their representation since the system contains excitations in all representations of the gauge group. We conclude that the system looks much like a quark-gluon plasma where charges are effectively deconfined. At the phase transition line non-Abelian flux in the  $\alpha = 1/2$  fundamental representation becomes too energetically costly to produce and the system can no longer screen the interaction between a pair of  $\alpha = 1/2$  fundamental charges. In this strong coupling phase the interacting pair sees a linear confining potential (though somewhat reduced as compared to the empty background). As one further increases  $\mu$  the gap in the spectrum of fundamental representations becomes larger and in the extreme limit  $\mu = \infty$  the system contains only excitations in the trivial representation. This is precisely the confining phase of pure gluo-dynamics.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and a University of British Columbia Graduate Fellowship.

## REFERENCES

- G. W. Semenoff and K. Zarembo, Nucl. Phys. B480 (1996), 317; hep-th/9606117.
- [2] C. Gattringer, L. Paniak and G. Semenoff, Ann. Phys. 256 (1997), 74; hep-th/9612030.
- [3] S. Dalley and I. Klebanov, Phys. Rev. D47 (1993), 2517.
- [4] D. Kutasov, Nucl. Phys. B414, 33 (1994).
- [5] G. Bhanot, K. Demeterfi and I. Klebanov, Phys. Rev. D48, 4980 (1994); D. Demeterfi, G. Bhanot and I. Klebanov, Nucl. Phys. B418, 15 (1994).
- [6] I. Kogan and A. Zhitnitsky, Nucl. Phys. B465 (1996), 99; hep-ph/9509322.
- [7] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992), 1267.
- [8] G. W. Semenoff, O. Tirkkonen and K. Zarembo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996), 2174; hep-th/9605172.
- [9] A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. 72B, 477 (1978).
- [10] L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D20, 2610 (1979).
- [11] G. t'Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B72 (1974), 461.
- [12] N. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D35, 2495 (1987); A. Roberge and N. Weiss, Nucl. Phys. B275, 734 (1986).
- [13] O. Borisenko, M. Faber and G. Zinoviev, Mod. Phys. Lett. A12 (1997), 949; hep-lat/9604020.
- [14] L.D. Paniak, Phys. Rev. D56 (1997), 6566; hepth/9707092.
- [15] D. Gross, R. Pisarski and L. Yaffe, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 43 (1981).
- [16] A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. D22 (1980) 467.
- [17] K. Zarembo, Mod. Phys. Lett. A10 (1995) 677.
- [18] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.-B. Zuber, Commun. Math. Phys. **59** (1978) 35.
- [19] S.R. Wadia, Phys. Lett. **93B** (1980) 403.
- [20] S. Gubser and I. Klebanov, Phys. Lett. B340 (1994), 35; hep-th/9407014.

- [21] B. Svetitsky and L. Yaffe, Nucl. Phys. B210, 423 (1982).
- [22] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1946.
- [23] D. E. Littlewood, The Theory of Group Characters, second edition. University of Oxford Press, Oxford, 1958.
- [24] C. R. Hagen and A. J. Macfarlane, J. Math. Phys. 6 (1965), 1355.
- [25] T. Muir and W. Metzler, Theory of Determinants, George Banta Publishing Company, Albany NY, 1930.